# Ruamahanga River at Waihenga Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) Revision 1 DRAFT

Date: 2017-11-10

### Introduction

This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below.

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

Ruamahanga River at Waihenga has an upstream catchment area of ~236,089 ha. The catchment is 8.0% dairy/dairy support, 19.0% native bush, 54.2% sheep and beef and 0.6% arable. The remaining area (18.2%) is a variety of 'other' land uses including lifestyle, mixed, horticulture and urban of which no mitigations are applied. Land retirement of 271 ha of mature trees (>10 years) or 0.11% of the catchment at a rate of ~4.3 ha/yr since 2017 occurs by 2080, and 5,759 ha of pole planted land or 2.4% of the catchment at a planting rate of ~91.5 ha/yr from 2017 is mature by 2080 and contributing to reduced SSC loads. Stock exclusion and nearly 100% land treatment of the upstream waste water treatment plants (WWTP- Masterton, Carterton and Greytown) also contributes to reduced SSC loads, with the combined mitigations decreasing 50th and 95th SSC percentiles up to 20.4% and 21.7% by BAU 2080.

Silver and Gold scenarios lead to a significant increase in pole planting peaking at 26,491 ha of mature trees by 2080 (11.2% of the catchment). This is equivalent to space planting upstream of this reporting point at a rate of ~420.5 halyr from 2017. Land retirement also rises to 10,637 ha (4.5% of catchment at a rate of 168.8 halyr from 2017). Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8–6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. Coupled with 100% land treatment of the three upstream WWTP's, these combined effects decrease Silver and Gold median and 95th percentiles by 41.4% and 43.1%, respectively in 2080.

# JACOBS Ruamāhanga Whaitua Committee

# Location



# Scenario Input Data

Table 1. Current landuse area in ha (% of total)

|                     |              |         | ,             |            |                |              |            |           |          |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|---------------|------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------|
|                     | Dairy        | I       | Dairy Support | Arable     | Sheep and Beet | f Native Bus | sh Other   |           | Total    |
| Baseline Land       | use 13451    | (5.7%)  | 5413 (2.3%)   | 1487 (0.6) | 128058 (54.2%) | 44818 (19    | .0%) 42862 | 2 (18.2%) | 236089   |
| Table 2. Mitigation | (area in ha) |         |               |            |                | •            |            | ·         |          |
| Mitigation*         | BAU 2025     | BAU 204 | 40 BAU 2080   | Silver 202 | 5 Silver 2040  | Silver 2080  | Gold 2025  | Gold 204  | 0 Gold 2 |
| Retirement          | 0            | 107     | 271           | 0          | 5272           | 10637        | 0          | 10637     | 10637    |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites.

| initigation           | DA0 2023          | DA0 2040        | DA0 2000           | 011101 2020        |                 | 011/01 2000          | 0010 2023        | 0010 2040         | 0010 2000 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Retirement            | 0                 | 107             | 271                | 0                  | 5272            | 10637                | 0                | 10637             | 10637     |
| Pole Planting         | 0                 | 926             | 5759               | 0                  | 8787            | 26491                | 0                | 8792              | 26491     |
| *Retirement is effect | tive for SSC at > | >10 years. Pole | planting is effect | tive at >15 years. | Area given here | is not reflective of | the total area p | anted in the cate | chment.   |

# Scenario Results

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 21.2     | 19.3     | 17.5     | 16.8     | 17.8        | 14.0        | 12.4        | 17.3      | 13.6      | 12.4      |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 324.9    | 297.0    | 267.1    | 254.6    | 268.6       | 206.2       | 185.0       | 263.2     | 199.0     | 185.0     |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -8.7%    | -17.1%   | -20.4%   | -15.8%      | -33.7%      | -41.4%      | -18.4%    | -35.9%    | -41.4%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -8.6%    | -17.8%   | -21.7%   | -17.3%      | -36.6%      | -43.1%      | -19.0%    | -38.8%    | -43.1%    |



95th Percentile (% change from Baseline)





This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below.

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

Ruamahanga River at Wardells has an upstream catchment area of ~64,284 ha. The catchment is 6.0% dairy/dairy support, 16.0% native bush, 59.9% sheep and beef and 0.3% arable. The remaining area (17.9%) is a variety of 'other' land uses including lifestyle, mixed, horticulture and urban of which no mitigations are applied. Land retirement of 158 ha of mature trees (>10 years) occurs by 2080 (0.25% of the catchment at a rate of ~2.5 ha/yr since 2017) while 580 ha of pole planted land is mature by 2080 and contributing to reduced loads (0.9% of the catchment at a rate of 9.2 ha/yr from 2017). Stock exclusion and nearly 100% land treatment of the Masterton Waste Water Treatment Plant also contributes to reduced SSC loads, with the combined mitigations decreasing 50th and 95th SSC percentiles up to 13.5% and 15.9% by BAU 2080.

Silver and Gold scenarios lead to a significant increase in pole planting, peaking at 5,914 ha of mature trees by 2080 (9.2% of the catchment). This is equivalent to space planting upstream of this reporting point at a rate of ~93.9 ha/yr from 2017. Land retirement also rises to 3,008 ha (4.7% of catchment at a rate of 47.7 ha/yr). Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8–6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. Coupled with 100% land treatment at Masterton WWTP, these combined effects on a catchment dominated by farming landuses results in decreases of Silver and Gold median and 95th SSC percentiles by 37.8% and 42.3%, respectively in 2080.

# Location



# Scenario Input Data

|                          | Dairy       | Dairy Support | Arable    | Sheep and Beef | Native Bush   | Other         | Total |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| Baseline Landuse         | 2322 (3.6%) | 1518 (2.4%)   | 161 (0.3) | 38490 (59.9%)  | 10298 (16.0%) | 11495 (17.9%) | 64284 |
| Table 2. Mitigation (are | a in ha)    |               |           |                |               |               |       |

| Mitigation*           | BAU 2025          | BAU 2040        | BAU 2080           | Silver 2025       | Silver 2040     | Silver 2080          | Gold 2025        | Gold 2040         | Gold 2080 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Retirement            | 0                 | 0               | 158                | 0                 | 1241            | 3008                 | 0                | 3008              | 3008      |
| Pole Planting         | 0                 | 49              | 580                | 0                 | 2444            | 5914                 | 0                | 2444              | 5914      |
| *Retirement is effect | tive for SSC at > | >10 years. Pole | planting is effect | ive at >15 years. | Area given here | is not reflective of | the total area p | anted in the cate | chment.   |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites.

#### Retrement is elective for 550 at 2

# Scenario Results

| Table 3. Water o | quality statistics |
|------------------|--------------------|
|------------------|--------------------|

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 13.7     | 12.4     | 12.0     | 11.8     | 11.6        | 9.4         | 8.5         | 11.1      | 9.0       | 8.5       |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 280.0    | 256.9    | 241.5    | 235.4    | 234.8       | 177.8       | 161.7       | 224.9     | 168.3     | 161.7     |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -9.7%    | -12.5%   | -13.5%   | -15.6%      | -31.2%      | -37.8%      | -19.0%    | -34.3%    | -37.8%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -8.2%    | -13.8%   | -15.9%   | -16.1%      | -36.5%      | -42.3%      | -19.7%    | -39.9%    | -42.3%    |









This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below.

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

Tauanui River Mouth has an upstream catchment area of ~4,155 ha. The catchment is largely native bush (61.0%), with some sheep and beef (14.9%) and no dairy/dairy support. The remaining area (24.1%) is a variety of 'other' land uses including lifestyle, sheep and mixed of which no mitigations are applied. In BAU 2080 there is no land retirement or pole planting in the catchment. Stock exclusion is the only mitigations reducing SSC loads, resulting in a decrease in the 50th and 95th SSC percentiles in this catchment by up to 14.7% and 15.0%, respectively, by BAU 2080.

In Silver and Gold scenarios, pole planting peaks at 159 ha or 4% of the catchment, equivalent to a planting rate of ~ 2.5 ha/yr from 2017, and the amount of retired land increases to 8 ha or 0.2% of the catchment, equivalent to a retirement rate of ~0.1 ha/yr from 2017. Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8–6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. These combined effects on a catchment with mixed native bush and farming landuses results in decreases in the Silver and Gold median and 95th percentiles of up to ~44%, simulated in both scenarios by 2080.

# Location



# Scenario Input Data

Table 1. Current landuse area in ha (% of total)

|                  | Dairy | Dairy Support | Arable | Sheep and Beef | Native Bush  | Other        | Total |
|------------------|-------|---------------|--------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Baseline Landuse | -     | -             | -      | 617 (14.9%)    | 2535 (61.0%) | 1003 (24.1%) | 4155  |

Table 2. Mitigation (area in ha)

| Mitigation*   | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | Silver 2025 | Silver 2040 | Silver 2080 | Gold 2025 | Gold 2040 | Gold 2080 |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Retirement    | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 5           | 8           | 0         | 8         | 8         |
| Pole Planting | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0           | 3           | 159         | 0         | 3         | 159       |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites.

\*Retirement is effective for SSC at >10 years. Pole planting is effective at >15 years. Area given here is not reflective of the total area planted in the catchmen

# Scenario Results

### Table 3. Water quality statistics

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 0.6      | 0.5      | 0.5      | 0.5      | 0.5         | 0.4         | 0.4         | 0.5       | 0.4       | 0.4       |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 64.1     | 55.4     | 54.5     | 54.5     | 55.4        | 41.3        | 35.7        | 54.7      | 41.3      | 35.7      |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -13.2%   | -14.7%   | -14.7%   | -13.3%      | -35.4%      | -44.2%      | -14.4%    | -35.4%    | -44.2%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -13.5%   | -15.0%   | -15.0%   | -13.5%      | -35.6%      | -44.4%      | -14.7%    | -35.6%    | -44.4%    |



# 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline)





This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below.

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

The Taueru River at Gladstone has an upstream catchment area of ~49,244 ha. The catchment is 1.1% dairy/dairy support, 0.5% native bush, 80.5% sheep and beef and 1.2% arable. The remaining area (16.7%) are all 'other' land uses including lifestyle, plantation forestry, mixed and horticulture of which no mitigations are applied. No land retirement occurs in BAU, while 2,241 ha of pole planted land is mature by 2080 and contributing to reduced loads (4.5% of catchment at a rate of 35.6 ha/yr from 2017). Stock exclusion also contributes to reduced SSC loads, with the combined mitigations decreasing 50th and 95th SSC percentiles up to 36.7% and 36.6% by BAU 2080.

Silver and Gold scenarios lead to a significant increase in pole planting, peaking at 8,198 ha of mature trees by 2080 (16.6% of the catchment). This is equivalent to space planting upstream of this reporting point at a rate of ~130 ha/yr from 2017. Land retirement also rises to 3,310 ha (6.7% of catchment at a rate of 52.5 ha/yr). Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8–6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. These combined effects on a catchment dominated by farming landuses results in decreases of the Silver and Gold median and 95th percentiles of up to 67.4% and 67.5%, respectively in 2080.

# Location



# Scenario Input Data

Table 1. Current landuse area in ha (% of total)

| Da                  | Dairy     | Dairy Support | Arable    | Sheep and Beef | Native Bush | Other        | Total |
|---------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| Baseline Landuse 29 | 98 (0.6%) | 246 (0.5%)    | 587 (1.2) | 39655 (80.5%)  | 242 (0.5%)  | 8217 (16.7%) | 49244 |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites.

Table 2. Mitigation (area in ha)

| Mitigation*          | BAU 2025          | BAU 2040        | BAU 2080           | Silver 2025       | Silver 2040     | Silver 2080          | Gold 2025        | Gold 2040         | Gold 2080 |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Retirement           | 0                 | 0               | 0                  | 0                 | 1213            | 3310                 | 0                | 3310              | 3310      |
| Pole Planting        | 0                 | 658             | 2241               | 0                 | 1414            | 8198                 | 0                | 1414              | 8198      |
| *Retirement is effec | tive for SSC at > | >10 years. Pole | planting is effect | ive at >15 years. | Area given here | is not reflective of | the total area p | anted in the cate | chment.   |

# Scenario Results

| Table | 3. | Water | quality | statistics |
|-------|----|-------|---------|------------|
|       |    |       |         |            |

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 43.5     | 39.9     | 29.8     | 27.5     | 34.3        | 19.9        | 14.2        | 32.6      | 18.5      | 14.2      |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 821.1    | 754.6    | 568.6    | 520.8    | 643.8       | 373.8       | 267.2       | 615.7     | 345.1     | 267.1     |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -8.4%    | -31.6%   | -36.7%   | -21.3%      | -54.2%      | -67.4%      | -25.1%    | -57.6%    | -67.4%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -8.1%    | -30.7%   | -36.6%   | -21.6%      | -54.5%      | -67.5%      | -25.0%    | -58.0%    | -67.5%    |



95th Percentile (% change from Baseline)



# Tauherenikau River at Websters Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) Revision 1 DRAFT

Date: 2017-11-10

# Introduction

This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

Tauherenikau River at Websters has an upstream catchment area of ~14,481 ha. The catchment is largely native bush (77.7%) with some sheep and beef (6.5%) and dairy/dairy support (4.7%). The remaining area (11.0%) is a variety of 'other' land uses including lifestyle and mixed which no mitigations are applied. During BAU 2080, no land is retired and 34 ha of pole planting is >15 years old and considered to reduce SSC loads. Stock exclusion also contributes to reduced SSC loads, and with the combined mitigations there is a decrease in the 50th and 95th SSC percentiles in this catchment by up to 12.7% and 13.1%, respectively, by BAU 2080.

In Silver and Gold scenarios pole planting increases and peaks at 530 ha or 3.7% of the catchment, equivalent to a planting rate of ~ 8.5 ha/yr since 2017, but no land is retired. Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8-6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. In a catchment dominated by native bush where no mitigation is applied, the effects of the combined mitigations on sheep and beef and dairy landuses results in minor simulated decreases in the Silver and Gold median and 95th percentiles up to 13.6% and 14.0%, in both scenarios by 2080.



# Location



# Scenario Input Data

Table 1. Current landuse area in ha (% of total)

|                  | Dairy      | Dairy Support | Arable | Sheep and Beef | Native Bush   | Other        | Total |
|------------------|------------|---------------|--------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-------|
| Baseline Landuse | 267 (1.8%) | 419 (2.9%)    | -      | 944 (6.5%)     | 11255 (77.7%) | 1596 (11.0%) | 14481 |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites.

Table 2 Mitigation (area in ha)

| Mitigation*           | BAU 2025          | BAU 2040        | BAU 2080           | Silver 2025       | Silver 2040     | Silver 2080          | Gold 2025        | Gold 2040         | Gold 2080 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Retirement            | 0                 | 0               | 0                  | 0                 | 0               | 0                    | 0                | 0                 | 0         |
| Pole Planting         | 0                 | 0               | 34                 | 0                 | 473             | 530                  | 0                | 473               | 530       |
| *Retirement is effect | tive for SSC at 3 | >10 years. Pole | planting is effect | ive at >15 years. | Area given here | is not reflective of | the total area p | anted in the cate | chment.   |

Scenario Results

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 6.4      | 5.6      | 5.6      | 5.6      | 5.6         | 5.5         | 5.5         | 5.6       | 5.5       | 5.5       |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 133.7    | 116.4    | 116.4    | 116.2    | 116.3       | 115.0       | 115.0       | 116.3     | 115.1     | 115.0     |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -12.6%   | -12.6%   | -12.7%   | -12.7%      | -13.6%      | -13.6%      | -12.7%    | -13.6%    | -13.6%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -12.9%   | -12.9%   | -13.1%   | -13.0%      | -14.0%      | -14.0%      | -13.0%    | -13.9%    | -14.0%    |



95th Percentile (% change from Baseline)





This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below.

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

Turanganui River Mouth has an upstream catchment area of ~6,740 ha. The majority of the catchment is native bush (51.8%), with some sheep and beef (26.8%) and dairy and dairy support (4.5%). The remaining area (16.9%) is a variety of 'other' land uses including lifestyle and mixed of which no mitigations are applied. During BAU 2080, limited land retirement is mature (~67 ha) and only 99 ha of mature pole planting is present, equivalent to ~1.5 ha/yr from 2017. Stock exclusion also contributes to reduced SSC loads, and with the combined mitigations there is a decrease in both the 50th and 95th SSC percentiles by up to 18.1% by BAU 2080.

In Silver and Gold scenarios, pole planting increases and retired land decreases slightly compared to BAU. Pole planting peaks at 831 ha or 12.3% of the catchment, equivalent to a planting rate of ~13.2 ha/yr since 2017, and retired land peaks at 131 ha or 1.9% of the catchment, equivalent to a rate of ~2 ha/yr since 2017. Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8–6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. In a catchment of primarily native bush, the effects of the combined mitigations, primarily pole planting and retirement, results in a noticeable decrease of both the Silver and Gold median and 95th percentiles of up to 61.5% simulated by 2080.

# Location



# Scenario Input Data

Table 1. Current landuse area in ha (% of total)

|                  | Dairy      | Dairy Support | Arable | Sheep and Beef | Native Bush  | Other        | Total |
|------------------|------------|---------------|--------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Baseline Landuse | 260 (3.9%) | 38 (0.6%)     | -      | 1810 (26.8%)   | 3491 (51.8%) | 1141 (16.9%) | 6740  |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites.

Table 2. Mitigation (area in ha)

| Mitigation*           | BAU 2025          | BAU 2040        | BAU 2080           | Silver 2025        | Silver 2040     | Silver 2080          | Gold 2025        | Gold 2040         | Gold 2080 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Retirement            | 0                 | 67              | 67                 | 0                  | 123             | 131                  | 0                | 131               | 131       |
| Pole Planting         | 0                 | 70              | 99                 | 0                  | 739             | 831                  | 0                | 739               | 831       |
| *Retirement is effect | tive for SSC at : | >10 years. Pole | planting is effect | tive at >15 years. | Area given here | is not reflective of | the total area p | anted in the cate | chment.   |

Scenario Results

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 1.4      | 1.2      | 1.1      | 1.1      | 1.3         | 0.5         | 0.5         | 1.1       | 0.5       | 0.5       |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 104.2    | 90.4     | 85.3     | 85.3     | 95.1        | 41.1        | 40.1        | 81.0      | 41.0      | 40.1      |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -13.2%   | -18.1%   | -18.1%   | -8.7%       | -60.5%      | -61.5%      | -22.3%    | -60.7%    | -61.5%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -13.2%   | -18.1%   | -18.1%   | -8.7%       | -60.5%      | -61.5%      | -22.3%    | -60.7%    | -61.5%    |



95th Percentile (% change from Baseline)





This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below.

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

Waingawa River at South Road has an upstream catchment area of ~14,969 ha. The catchment is primarily native bush (65.8%), with some sheep and beef (16.0%) and dairy and dairy support (2.3%). The remaining area (15.9%) is a variety of 'other' land uses including lifestyle and mixed of which no mitigations are applied. By BAU 2080, mature land retired (>10 years old) is ~7 ha, while 324 ha of mature pole planted land (~2% of the catchment at a rate of 5.1 ha/yr from 2017) will be contributing to reduced SSC loads. Coupled with stock exclusion, combined mitigations lead to a decrease in the 50th and 95th SSC percentiles by up to 10.5% and 11.1%, respectively, by BAU 2080.

In Silver and Gold scenarios, there is a considerable increase in pole planting but a decrease in retired land compared to BAU. Land retirement is minimal, ~5 ha while pole planting covers 2,488 ha or 5.3% of the catchment, equivalent to a planting rate of ~14.2 ha/yr from 2017. Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8–6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. In a catchment of primarily native bush, the effects of the combined mitigations on dairy and sheep and beef land uses results in only a marginal decrease of the Silver and Gold median and 95th SSC percentiles of up to 14.6% and 15.2%, respectively, simulated by 2080.

# Location



# Scenario Input Data

Table 1. Current landuse area in ha (% of total)

|                  | Dairy      | Dairy Support | Arable | Sheep and Beef | Native Bush  | Other        | Total |
|------------------|------------|---------------|--------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Baseline Landuse | 215 (1.4%) | 127 (0.9%)    | -      | 2389 (16.0%)   | 9856 (65.8%) | 2382 (15.9%) | 14969 |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ09000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites.

Table 2. Mitigation (area in ha)

| Mitigation*           | BAU 2025          | BAU 2040        | BAU 2080           | Silver 2025       | Silver 2040     | Silver 2080          | Gold 2025        | Gold 2040         | Gold 2080 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Retirement            | 0                 | 0               | 7                  | 0                 | 0               | 5                    | 0                | 5                 | 5         |
| Pole Planting         | 0                 | 0               | 324                | 0                 | 1529            | 2488                 | 0                | 1529              | 2488      |
| *Retirement is effect | tive for SSC at > | >10 years. Pole | planting is effect | ive at >15 years. | Area given here | is not reflective of | the total area p | anted in the cate | chment.   |

Scenario Results

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 18.1     | 16.8     | 16.8     | 16.2     | 16.7        | 15.5        | 15.5        | 16.5      | 15.5      | 15.5      |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 253.2    | 233.3    | 233.3    | 225.0    | 232.3       | 215.3       | 214.8       | 230.3     | 215.3     | 214.8     |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -7.5%    | -7.5%    | -10.5%   | -7.9%       | -14.4%      | -14.6%      | -8.7%     | -14.4%    | -14.6%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -7.9%    | -7.9%    | -11.1%   | -8.3%       | -15.0%      | -15.2%      | -9.1%     | -15.0%    | -15.2%    |



95th Percentile (% change from Baseline)





This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below.

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

The Walohine River at Bicknells is a reporting site slightly upstream of the confluence with the Ruamahanga River. The upstream catchment rate is ~39,320 ha. The catchment is 18.0% dairy/dairy support, 60.1% native bush, 9.1% sheep and beef and 0.6% arable. The remaining area (12.1%) are all 'other' land uses including lifestlye, mixed, urban and horticulture of which no mitigations are applied. No land retirement occurs in this catchment in all scenarios, while 872 ha of pole planted land is mature in BAU by 2080 and contributing to reduced loads (2.2% of catchment at a rate of 13.8 ha/yr from 2017). Stock exclusion and land treatment of up to 85% of the upstream Catterton Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) also contributes to reduced SSC loads, with the combined mitigations decreasing 50th and 95th SSC percentiles up to 12.6% and 11.8% by BAU 2080.

Silver and Gold scenarios lead to a significant increase in pole planting, peaking at 2,629 ha of mature trees by 2080 (6.7% of the catchment). This is equivalent to space planting upstream of this reporting point at a rate of ~41.7 halyr from 2017. Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8–6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. Coupled with 100% land treatment of the Carterton WWTP, these combined effects decrease Silver and Gold median and 95th percentiles by 15.3% and 15.6%, respectively in 2080. The significant native bush catchment area and lower sediment loads means mitigations have less effect at this site.

# Location



# Scenario Input Data

Table 1. Current landuse area in ha (% of total)

|                       |                                  |            | •      |             |           |           |                |             |               |    |              |        |      |        |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------------|---------------|----|--------------|--------|------|--------|
|                       |                                  | Dairy      | Dairy  |             | / Support | Arable    | Sheep and Beef |             | Native Bush   |    | Other        |        | Tota | I      |
| Baseline Landuse 6070 |                                  | se 6070 (* | 15.4%) | 1036 (2.6%) |           | 227 (0.6) | 35             | 95 (9.1%)   | 23641 (60.1%) |    | 4750 (12.1%) |        | 3932 | 20     |
| Table 2.              | Table 2. Mitigation (area in ha) |            |        |             |           |           |                |             |               |    |              |        |      |        |
| Mitiga                | ition*                           | BAU 2025   | BAU 2  | 040         | BAU 2080  | Silver 20 | )25            | Silver 2040 | Silver 2080   | Go | old 2025     | Gold 2 | 2040 | Gold 2 |
|                       |                                  |            |        |             |           |           |                |             |               |    |              |        |      |        |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites.

| Mitigati   | on*        | BAU 2025        | BAU 2040      | BAU 2080           | Silver 2025      | Silver 2040     | Silver 2080         | Gold 2025         | Gold 2040        | Gold 2080 |
|------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Retirem    | ent        | 0               | 0             | 0                  | 0                | 0               | 0                   | 0                 | 0                | 0         |
| Pole Pla   | anting     | 0               | 2             | 872                | 0                | 1599            | 2629                | 0                 | 1599             | 2629      |
| *Dotiromor | t in offer | tive for SSC at | 10 vooro Bolo | planting in offere | ive at >1E veere | Area given here | a not roflactive of | the total area of | antad in the act | hmont     |

Retirement is effective for SSC at >10 years. Pole planting is effective at >15 years. Area given here is not reflective of the total area planted in the

# Scenario Results

| Table 3. Water quality statistics        |          |          |          |          |             |             |             |           |           |           |  |  |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |  |  |
| Median (mg/L)                            | 7.6      | 6.8      | 6.8      | 6.6      | 6.8         | 6.5         | 6.5         | 6.8       | 6.5       | 6.5       |  |  |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 167.6    | 151.4    | 151.1    | 147.8    | 150.6       | 141.7       | 141.4       | 149.9     | 141.9     | 141.4     |  |  |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -10.2%   | -10.4%   | -12.6%   | -10.7%      | -15.2%      | -15.3%      | -11.2%    | -15.0%    | -15.3%    |  |  |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -9.7%    | -9.8%    | -11.8%   | -10.2%      | -15.5%      | -15.6%      | -10.6%    | -15.3%    | -15.6%    |  |  |



95th Percentile (% change from Baseline)



# Waipoua River at Colombo Rd Bridge

Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) Revision 1 DRAFT Date: 2017-11-10



### Introduction

This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

The Waipoua River at Colombo Road Bridge is a reporting site in the upper Ruamahanga, with an upstream catchment area is ~17,542 ha. The catchment is 4.8% dairy/dairy support, 16.1% native bush, 56.5% sheep and beef and 0.6% arable. The remaining area (22%) are all 'other' land uses including lifestyle, mixed, urban and horticulture of which no mitigations are applied. 105 ha of land (0.6% of catchment at a rate of 1.7 ha/yr from 2017) is retired and mature (>10 years old), while 301 ha of pole planted land is mature and contributing to reduced loads in this catchment by BAU 2080 (1.7% of catchment at a rate of 4.8 ha/yr from 2017). Stock exclusion also contributes to reduced SSC loads, with the combined mitigations decreasing 50th and 95th SSC percentiles up to 24.1% and 23.2% by BAU 2080.

Silver and Gold scenarios lead to an increase in pole planting, peaking at 1,548 ha of mature trees by 2080 (8.8% of the catchment). This is equivalent to space planting upstream of this reporting point at a rate of ~24.6 ha/yr from 2017. Land retirement has also increased to 454 ha (2.5% of the catchment at a rate of 7.2 ha/yr). Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8-6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. These combined effects decrease Silver and Gold median and 95th percentiles by 48.5% and 47.8%, respectively in 2080. The significant farming catchment (>60% of area) meant mitigations have greater effect on simulated SSC load at this site.

# Location



# Scenario Input Data

| Table 1. | Current | landuse | area in | ha | (% | of total) |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|----|----|-----------|
|----------|---------|---------|---------|----|----|-----------|

|                                  | Dairy      | Dairy Support | Arable    | Sheep and Beef | Native Bush  | Other        | Total |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Baseline Landuse                 | 173 (1.0%) | 670 (3.8%)    | 113 (0.6) | 9862 (56.5%)   | 2802 (16.1%) | 3832 (22.0%) | 17452 |  |  |  |  |
| Table 2. Mitigation (area in ha) |            |               |           |                |              |              |       |  |  |  |  |

Silver 2080 Gold 2025 Mitigation\* BAU 2025 BAU 2040 BAU 2080 Silver 2025 Silver 2040 Gold 2040 Gold 2080 Retirement 0 0 105 0 314 454 0 454 454 0 8 301 1548 0 Pole Planting 0 914 914 1548

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites

\*Retirement is effective for SSC at >10 years. Pole planting is effective at >15 years. Area given here is not reflective of the total area planted in the catchment.

# Scenario Results

### Table 3 Water quality statistics

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 3.0      | 2.7      | 2.5      | 2.3      | 2.6         | 1.7         | 1.5         | 2.3       | 1.6       | 1.5       |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 141.1    | 128.0    | 118.9    | 108.3    | 123.6       | 80.1        | 73.7        | 109.4     | 77.7      | 73.7      |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -9.8%    | -16.5%   | -24.1%   | -12.8%      | -44.2%      | -48.5%      | -22.7%    | -45.6%    | -48.6%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -9.3%    | -15.8%   | -23.2%   | -12.4%      | -43.2%      | -47.8%      | -22.5%    | -44.9%    | -47.8%    |





-50%

0%

-10%

-20%

-30%

-40%

# Whangaehu River at 250m from Ruamahanga Confluence Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC)

Revision 1 DRAFT Date: 2017-11-10



### Introduction

This fact sheet presents results generated from modelling nine scenarios for the Ruamahanga Catchment. The results are compared to the baseline model, with a focus on the change in concentrations in the median and 95th percentiles. Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) has no limit setting criteria defined in the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (amended 2017), however is required to be considered in relation to ecosystem health.

### Summary

See Table 1, 2, and 3 for reference to the statistics presented in the summary below

The mitigations applied in scenario modelling have various influences on sediment loads generated from SedNetNZ. Retiring land results in changes of landuse classes to 'native bush', with a large decrease in sediment load. Pole planting reduces erosion on the SedNetNZ hillslope layer at the relevant landuse by 70% when trees are mature (>15 years old). Stock exclusion and riparian planting result in an ~80% reduction in sediment load generated from a net bank erosion layer in SedNetNZ. This reduction has been applied at tier 1 (rather than tier 3) in modelling, with the effects of this captured in BAU, Gold and Silver.

The Whangaehu River at 250m from Confluence has an upstream catchment area of ~14,578 ha. The catchment is primarily sheep and beef (70.9%), with some dairy and dairy support (8.4%) and no native bush. The remaining area (20.4%) is a variety of 'other' land uses including lifestyle, mixed, forestry and arable of which limited mitigations are applied. In BAU 2080, no land is retired while 274 ha of land is pole planted and mature (representing ~2% of the catchment), equivalent to a planting rate of ~4.3 ha/yr from 2017. Stock exclusion also contributes to reduced SSC loads, and with the combined mitigations there is a decrease in the 50th and 95th SSC percentiles by up to 11.4% and 28.7%, respectively, by BAU 2080.

In Silver and Gold scenarios, there is a significant increase in land retirement and pole planting in the catchment. Land retirement is up to 1,286 ha or 8.8% of the catchment, equivalent to a rate of ~20.5 ha/yr from 2017, and pole planting peaks at 1,750 ha or 12% of the catchment, equivalent to a planting rate of ~ 27.7 ha/yr from 2017. Tier 2 mitigations such as constructed wetlands lead to further decreases in SSC load of 5.8-6.4% on dairy landuses, and 20.7% on sheep and beef. These combined effects on a catchment dominated by farming landuses results in significant decreases of the Silver and Gold median and 95th percentiles of up to 74.1% and 66.6%, respectively, simulated by 2080.

### Location



# Scenario Input Data

Table 1. Current landuse area in ha (% of total)

|                  | Dairy      | Dairy Support | Arable   | Sheep and Beef | Native Bush | Other        | Total |
|------------------|------------|---------------|----------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| Baseline Landuse | 915 (6.3%) | 299 (2.1%)    | 45 (0.3) | 10335 (70.9%)  | 5 (0.0%)    | 2979 (20.4%) | 14578 |

Disclaimer: This fact sheet should be read in conjunction with the report "IZ090000\_RP\_Rua\_Scenarios\_Ecological Health\_Rev1", which provides further details on the scenario modelling, mitigations, assumptions and limitations. The results presented are based off modelling outputs and may not be an exact match to the observed data, which is dependent on the flow and water quality calibration achieved at various modelling sites

Table 2. Mitigation (area in ha)

| Mitigation*           | BAU 2025   | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | Silver 2025 | Silver 2040 | Silver 2080 | Gold 2025 | Gold 2040 | Gold 2080 |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Retirement            | 0  | 0        | 0        | 0           | 452         | 1286        | 0         | 1286      | 1286      |  |  |  |  |
| Pole Planting         | 0  | 37       | 274      | 0           | 512         | 1750        | 0         | 512       | 1750      |  |  |  |  |
| *Retirement is effect | Retirement is effective for SSC at >10 years. Pole planting is effective at >15 years. Area given here is not reflective of the total area planted in the catchment. |          |          |             |             |             |           |           |           |  |  |  |  |

# Scenario Results

| Statistic                                | Baseline | BAU 2025 | BAU 2040 | BAU 2080 | SILVER 2025 | SILVER 2040 | SILVER 2080 | GOLD 2025 | GOLD 2040 | GOLD 2080 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Median (mg/L)                            | 3.4      | 3.2      | 3.1      | 3.0      | 2.7         | 2.1         | 0.9         | 2.6       | 2.0       | 0.9       |
| 95th Percentile (mg/L)                   | 394.0    | 370.0    | 300.5    | 281.0    | 299.4       | 173.6       | 131.7       | 300.7     | 153.8     | 131.7     |
| Median (% change from Baseline)          |          | -6.0%    | -10.6%   | -11.4%   | -21.6%      | -37.8%      | -74.1%      | -23.3%    | -41.9%    | -74.1%    |
| 95th Percentile (% change from Baseline) |          | -6.1%    | -23.7%   | -28.7%   | -24.0%      | -55.9%      | -66.6%      | -23.7%    | -61.0%    | -66.6%    |



95th Percentile (% change from Baseline)

