

**Appendix 5: Table of recommendations on submissions**

For text in the ‘Decision requested’ column that shows additions and deletions in colour, please see the original submission on the PC1 website: <https://www.gw.govt.nz/your-region/plans-policies-and-bylaws/updating-our-regional-policy-statement-and-natural-resources-plan/natural-resources-plan-2023-changes/consultationssubmitters/>

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S102.001	Donald Love (S102)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Considers only a small % of sediment is from highest erosion risk land (pasture) and is dwarfed by other sources. Suggests replacing pasture at low stocking rates with woody vegetation would not have a net negative outcome of sediment discharge.	Remove the mandatory requirement for 50% of permanent woody vegetation.		Accept in part
	Donald Love	FS47.315	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support in part	Meridian opposes any requirement to re-vegetate with woody vegetation any land within its West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms because this may conflict with or become an obstacle to the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of its generation activities, contrary to the objective and policies of the NPS-REG;	Allow in part	Allow S102.001 in part by deleting the reference in clause (3) to re-vegetation with woody vegetation or amend the reference to clarify that it does not apply to land used for renewable electricity generation or only 'where practicable'.	Accept
S102.003	Donald Love (S102)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Concerned there is no definition of a "farm environment plan certifier" within the plan and that its not a commonly used NZ national role. Cites the GWRC process and acknowledges a number of people are certified. Suggests process could be costly and excessive for the scale of operation in this area.	Seeks a change in Schedule 36 (b) to remove the woody vegetation requirement.		Accept in part
	Donald Love	FS47.443	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support in part	Meridian considers the Schedule B requirement for re-vegetation with woody vegetation has the potential to conflict with the functional and operational needs of its lawfully established wind farms and opposes the imposition of the requirement through Rule P.R26;	Allow in part	Allow S102.003 or, as alternative relief, exempt existing renewable electricity generation activities from the re-vegetation requirements in Schedule 36 B.	Accept
S102.006	Donald Love (S102)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Amend		Notes woody vegetation experiencing high winds can cause major soil disturbance and sediment release and that partially disturbed or rotted tree roots can initiate landslide on steep land.	Delete provision unless science supporting claim that erosion is worse without woody vegetation can be provided.		Accept in part
S102.007	Donald Love (S102)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-	Oppose		Considers it is not clear that replacing lightly stocked grassland with woody vegetation would achieve a net reduction in sediment.	Remove 50% total area in woody vegetation requirement or make it optional.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Porirua Whaitua.						
	Donald Love	FS47.445	Meridian Energy Limited	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support in part	Meridian considers the Schedule 36 B and 36E requirements for re-vegetation with woody vegetation has the potential to conflict with the functional and operational needs of its lawfully established wind farms and seeks an exemption from the requirement, either in Schedule 36B and 36E or in the relevant rules;	Allow in part	Allow S102.007 by deleting the requirement or, as alternative relief, provide an exemption from the re-vegetation requirements in Schedule 36 B and 36E for farm land within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities.	Accept
S102.008	Donald Love (S102)			12 Schedules	Table D1. Financial contribution calculations for residential greenfield development	Amend		Suggests the plan should make it clear that responsibility for wild animals involves multiple agencies including GWRC.	Make clear that implementing control of pest plants and animals is also a requirement for GWRC.		Reject
S102.009	Donald Love (S102)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Not Stated		Supports promoting updates of good management practice but suggests there is confusion about the boundary between good and bad management practice. Notes the GWRC Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines guide is more related to discharge in relation to earthworks.	Retain C.		Accept
S103.001	Kim Bowen (S103)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Concerned with the single monitoring site in the Makara river. Considers this does not give accurate idea of where the sediment or contaminants would be originating from. Considers GWRC should increase number of monitoring sites to identify where water quality improvements could be made.	Increase the water monitoring sites		Reject
S103.002	Kim Bowen (S103)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Considers that local communities know how to look after their land areas the best. Disagrees with the regulatory approach for the proposed plan change taken by GWRC. Concerned with large costs associated with fencing. Concerned that guardianship rights of the land will be lost.	Not stated		No recommendation
S105.003	Hannah Bridget Gray (No2) Trust (S105)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Amend		It is important that land owners understand the practical definition of 'highest erosion risk land (pasture). The definition provided refers to a specific map at a point in time.- does not enable landowners to accurately judge the impact of their impacts- does not enable farm environment plan certifiers to accurately evaluate yearly farm plans if the GWRC map is not up to date.-creates a reliance on GWRC re-mapping activities- There is also a significant time lag between landowners action and results.	Provide a clear definition of what Highest erosion risk land (pasture) is rather than referring to a point in time map.		Accept in part
S105.010	Hannah Bridget Gray (No2) Trust (S105)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Supports Porirua City Council's submission point on this provision. Strongly supports compliance incentives, such as relief rates for those actively making an effort, rather than regulatory enforcement.	Amend the definition in line with the Porirua City Council's submission point on this provision.		Reject
S105.016	Hannah Bridget Gray (No2) Trust (S105)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming	Amend		Supports Porirua City Council's submission point on this provision.	Amend the definition in line with the Porirua City Council's submission point on this provision.		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					activities on land with high risk of erosion.						
S105.018	Hannah Bridget Gray (No2) Trust (S105)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified		Reject
S105.019	Hannah Bridget Gray (No2) Trust (S105)			12 Schedules	B Management objectives	Amend		Maps 90-95 appear to have areas as small as ~5m wide. This could be covered by 1-2 trees and likely not more. It is unclear at what point a 25m2 spot would be considered 'revegetated'.	B. Management Objectives. Clarify how target states apply if the highest erosion risk land (pasture) areas are not contiguous		Accept in part
S105.020	Hannah Bridget Gray (No2) Trust (S105)			12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.	Amend		Maps 90-95 appear to have areas as small as ~5m wide. Considers it is unreasonable to set a per-hectare target based on this, as a hectare is much larger than many of the areas identified as being at-risk. It should be based on the prorated/original total area identified as at risk.	E. Erosion Risk Treatment Plan (1). can reasonably be expected to reach canopy cover of at least 80% per hectare of the total area of any highest erosion risk land (pasture) within 10 years of being established, and		Accept in part
S109.001	Mark Phillips (S109)			4 Policies	Policy P70: Minimising effects of rural land use activities.	Amend		Considers that GWRC is selecting regenerating land with low stock units to control erosion rather than deforested plantation forestry blocks which is inconsistent with the Government's promotion of Pinus Radiatus. Considers that isolating erosion prone areas to stop stock movement will not prevent wild animals entering, and vegetated areas with no firebreaks are a fire risk that can damage waterways which flow into Pauatahanui Inlet. Queries whether land with one cow per 2+Ha or one family and associated infrastructure (driveway, sewage) to 2.5Ha is better for the environment. Considers that elimination should be the first option in controlling hazards, and that erodible areas should be removed down to the lowest river level of the property, to create a flat land with a gradual, controllable flow of water to Pauatahanui Inlet.	Amend plan change 1 erosion controls.		Accept in part
S11.001	Lindsay Jenkin (S11)			2 Interpretation	Stock unit	Amend		Smaller animals are not comparable to regular sized farm animals in terms of stock unit. Smaller breeds should be included as stock units in the definition through alternative weight ranges.	Amend the stock unit list to: - include the typical types of animals small block farms tend to run (list of examples provided in full submission). - Use a weight range calculation for equivalent stock units so that smaller animals can be accounted for.		Accept in part
S111.004	Forest Enterprises (S111)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Considers a lack of justification and definition for erosion prone land.Considers catchment management critical for positive environmental outcomes and cites supporting reports on catchment management.Notes in the LUC, classes six and seven are recommended for forestry as soil conservation is needed in comparison to arable cropping.Considers the erosion risk land maps, for pasture, woody vegetation, and plantation forestry, ignore geology and other elements which provide land stability. States the Section 32 report part D page 110 defines erosion prone land as pre-existing slope of the land exceeding 20 degrees. Notes LUC defines slope of greater than 20 degrees as strongly rolling to hill country and as non-arable land, whereas a slope less than 20 degrees is arable and appropriate for cropping and intensive farming. Considers making afforestation or planting a prohibited activity on slope greater than 20 degrees, pastoral farming will be encouraged on land which it is not suitable for (where	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								grass has a much shallower root profile in comparison to plantation tree species) causing further erosion and sediment discharge.Considers forests are often located on land steeper than 20 degrees and are a productive land use on such sites, with adverse effects regulated by NESCF.			
	Forest Enterprises	FS30.058	Pukerua Holdings Limited	General comments	General comments - rural		Support	Agrees that there is a lack of justification and definition for erosion prone land.	Allow	Support submission point in full	No recommendation
S114.004	Michael Marfell-Jones (S114)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		Does not support the prescribed information requirements, on the basis that it is too complex for laypeople to record.	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC.		Accept
S117.001	John Bowen (S117)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Oppose		Considers there to be an insufficient amount of water quality monitoring sites in the Makara River. Suggests that data from the one and only monitoring site does not adequately show where sediment and contaminants are entering the river. Suggests that river banks washing away due to heavy rainfall or floods may be getting overlooked.	Increase the amount of water monitoring sites in the Makara catchment		Reject
S117.002	John Bowen (S117)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Considers the local community to be the most capable in determining how to best enhance and protect the local environment. Measures such as native planting and fencing have already been implemented.	Remove the regulatory approach under PC1		Accept in part
S118.004	Wayne Robert Pettersson and Maureen Pettersson (S118)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Considers the stream on personal property has not had any erosion problems.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S118.005	Wayne Robert Pettersson and Maureen Pettersson (S118)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Concerned about costs and practicalities of having to fence off streams.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S12.001	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Proposed rules restricting rural land use change would make crop rotation impossible, which is an essential horticultural management practice for soil health and reducing disease pressure. Notes that planting vegetables or cover crops with differing nutrient needs in succession can reduce fertiliser requirements. Considers that it can be appropriate to change land use from low-intensity horticulture (orcharding) to other horticulture use (vegetable growing). Suggests a permitted activity status for a change from horticulture to horticulture and for crop rotation is more appropriate. Considers that a change in pastoral land use to horticulture will contribute to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and should be enabled to achieve regional emissions targets. Considers National Direction does not restrict the conversion of land to horticulture due to freshwater concerns but rather recognises vegetable growing as nationally significant through Specified Vegetable Growing Areas (National Policy Statement	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								for Freshwater Management , Clause 3.33). The National Environmental Standards for Freshwater does control the intensification of dairy farming, but not other activities. Considers proposed land use change rules to be inefficient and ineffective. Considers a targeted approach that considers catchment contaminants and targeted mitigations for the highest contributing activities is more appropriate. Considers that most vegetables are grown only for domestic consumption and it is not expected that vegetable growing will expand at a faster rate than population growth. Considers that restricting vegetable production will have nutritional and affordability consequences.			
S12.002	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Amend		Does not support the method of capping nitrogen discharges from individual properties. Suggests a targeted approach at the freshwater management unit (FMU) or sub-catchment scale. Recommends identifying contaminants degrading water quality and establishing and distributing contaminant load restrictions to different activities based on community values, prioritising the second hierarchy of Te Mana o te Wai (health needs of people, including drinking water and fresh fruits and vegetables), and reducing regional greenhouse gas emissions. Also suggests the framework should have a method to measure compliance with load reduction requirements. Considers capping discharges on every property is not a targeted approach and may adversely affect activities of great importance to the local community. Considers that nitrogen risk assessment tools that work for pastoral farming may not be appropriate for horticulture. Questions meaning of “intensively farmed” as fruit and vegetable growing are not intensive farming practices. Suggests the council provide scientific evidence to justifying what is considered to be “intensive farming”. Recognition of good management practices is supported.	Amend Policy WH.P22 wording to as follows: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities Diffuse nitrogen discharges from large rural properties and from smaller rural properties that are intensively farmed, are capped, minimised and, on large properties and horticultural properties, reduced where necessary by ensuring that:		Accept in part
	Horticulture New Zealand	FS23.344	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point	Reject
S12.003	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Suggests that land use changes should be enabled to allow for economic diversification and transition to low emissions land uses. Expressed that mixed farming systems support improved freshwater outcomes and that fruit and vegetable growers can manage freshwater effects through freshwater farm plans and best management practices. Considers this policy would prevent crop rotation, a management practice for soil health and reducing disease pressure. Suggest a new policy enabling crop rotation is required. Considers that 4ha is too small a parcel to trigger controlled land use change. Freshwater farm plan rules start at 5ha for horticulture	Delete Policy WH.P25. Introduce a new Policy WH.PX for Crop Rotation. Wording for this policy is as follows: Manage commercial vegetable production, including the flexibility to undertake crop rotations on multiple and/or changing properties with a Farm Environment Plan.		Reject
	Horticulture New Zealand	FS23.345	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S12.004	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Support		Supports a permitted activity status for horticulture with a requirement for a farm environment plan for activities over 5 ha.	Retain as notified.		Accept
	Horticulture New Zealand	FS23.346	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point	Reject
S12.005	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Concerned that this rule will prevent crop rotation, a management practice for soil health and reducing disease pressure. Considers that it can be appropriate to change land use from low-intensity horticulture (orcharding) to other horticulture use (vegetable growing). Suggests a permitted activity status for a change from horticulture to horticulture and for crop rotation is more appropriate. Considers that a change in pastoral land use to horticulture will contribute to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and should be enabled to achieve regional emissions targets. Considers that restrictions on vegetable production will have consequences on food security.	Delete WH.R31.		Reject
	Horticulture New Zealand	FS23.1526	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point	Accept
S12.006	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Amend		Does not support the method of capping nitrogen discharges from individual properties. Suggests a targeted approach at the freshwater management unit (FMU) or sub-catchment scale. Recommends identifying contaminants degrading water quality and establishing and distributing contaminant load restrictions to different activities based on community values, prioritising the second hierarchy of Te Mana o te Wai (health needs of people, including drinking water and fresh fruits and vegetables), and reducing regional greenhouse gas emissions. Also suggests the framework should have a method to measure compliance with load reduction requirements. Considers capping discharges on every property is not a targeted approach and may adversely affect activities of great importance to the local community. Considers that nitrogen risk assessment tools that work for pastoral farming may not be appropriate for horticulture. Questions meaning of “intensively farmed” as fruit and vegetable growing are not intensive farming practices. Suggests the council provide scientific evidence to justifying what is considered to be “intensive farming”. Recognition of good management practices is supported.	Amend Policy P.P21 wording to as follows: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities Diffuse nitrogen discharges from large rural properties and from smaller rural properties that are intensively farmed, are capped, minimised and, on large properties and horticultural properties, reduced where necessary by ensuring that:		Accept in part
	Horticulture New Zealand	FS23.1527	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					farming activities.						
S12.007	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Suggests that land use changes should be enabled to allow for economic diversification and transition to low emissions land uses. Expressed that mixed farming supports improved freshwater outcomes and effects on freshwater can be managed through the implementation of best management practices and freshwater management plans. Considers this policy will prevent crop rotation and a new policy enabling crop rotation and pastoral to horticulture land use changes is required. Considers that 4ha is too small a parcel to trigger controlled land use change. Freshwater farm plan rules start at 5ha for horticulture .	Delete Policy P.P24. Introduce a new Policy WH.PX for Crop Rotation. Wording for this policy is as follows: Manage commercial vegetable production, including the flexibility to undertake crop rotations on ,multiple and/or changing properties with a Farm Environment Plan.		Reject
	Horticulture New Zealand	FS23.1528	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point	Accept
S12.008	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Support		Supports a permitted activity status for horticulture with a requirement for a farm environment plan for activities over 5 ha.	Retain as notified.		Accept
	Horticulture New Zealand	FS23.1529	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point	Reject
S12.009	Horticulture New Zealand (S12)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Concerned that this rule will prevent crop rotation, a management practice for soil health and reducing disease pressure. Considers it can be appropriate to change land use from low-intensity horticulture (orcharding) to other horticulture use (vegetable growing). Suggests a permitted activity status for a change from horticulture to horticulture and for crop rotation is more appropriate. Considers a change in pastoral land use to horticulture will contribute to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and should be enabled to achieve regional emissions targets. Considers restrictions on vegetable production will have consequences on food security.	Delete P.R28.		Reject
	Horticulture New Zealand	FS23.1530	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission point would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Whole submission point	Accept
S120.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Van Nortwick & Jill Van NortwickJohn & Jill Van Nortwick (S120)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S120.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Van Nortwick & Jill			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Van NortwickJohn & Jill Van Nortwick (S120)							build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		
S120.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Van Nortwick & Jill Van NortwickJohn & Jill Van Nortwick (S120)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S120.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Van Nortwick & Jill Van NortwickJohn & Jill Van Nortwick (S120)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S120.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Van Nortwick & Jill Van NortwickJohn & Jill Van Nortwick (S120)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S120.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Van Nortwick & Jill Van NortwickJohn & Jill Van Nortwick (S120)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S121.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karen Wallace & Mark RobbinsKaren Wallace Mark Robbins (S121)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S121.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karen Wallace & Mark RobbinsKaren Wallace Mark Robbins (S121)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.			
S121.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karen Wallace & Mark RobbinsKaren Wallace Mark Robbins (S121)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S121.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karen Wallace & Mark RobbinsKaren Wallace Mark Robbins (S121)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S121.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karen Wallace & Mark RobbinsKaren Wallace Mark Robbins (S121)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S121.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karen Wallace & Mark RobbinsKaren Wallace Mark Robbins (S121)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S122.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Lambert & Steph LambertPaul & Steph Lambert (S122)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S122.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Lambert & Steph LambertPaul & Steph Lambert (S122)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S122.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Lambert & Steph LambertPaul &			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Steph Lambert (S122)										
S122.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Lambert & Steph LambertPaul & Steph Lambert (S122)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S122.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Lambert & Steph LambertPaul & Steph Lambert (S122)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S122.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Lambert & Steph LambertPaul & Steph Lambert (S122)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S123.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sandy CooperSandy Cooper (S123)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S123.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sandy CooperSandy Cooper (S123)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S123.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sandy CooperSandy Cooper (S123)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S123.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sandy CooperSandy Cooper (S123)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S123.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sandy Cooper (S123)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S123.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sandy Cooper (S123)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S124.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Fredrick Steensma (S124)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S124.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Fredrick Steensma (S124)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S124.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Fredrick Steensma (S124)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S124.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Fredrick Steensma (S124)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S124.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Fredrick Steensma (S124)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S124.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents -			8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Fredrick Steensma (S124)			Whanganui-a-Tara	hectares or more of land – permitted activity.			bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		
S125.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Shoshanah (Shosh) Phillips (S125)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S125.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Shoshanah (Shosh) Phillips (S125)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S125.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Shoshanah (Shosh) Phillips (S125)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S125.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Shoshanah (Shosh) Phillips (S125)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S125.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Shoshanah (Shosh) Phillips (S125)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S125.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Shoshanah (Shosh) Phillips (S125)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S126.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Russell Judd &			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Cecile Judd (S126)										
S126.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Russell Judd & Cecile Judd (S126)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S126.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Russell Judd & Cecile Judd (S126)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S126.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Russell Judd & Cecile Judd (S126)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S126.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Russell Judd & Cecile Judd (S126)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S126.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Russell Judd & Cecile Judd (S126)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S127.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Johanna Overdiep & Steve Sturgess (S127)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a “river” is.		Reject
S127.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Johanna Overdiep & Steve Sturgess (S127)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		
S127.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Johanna Overdiep & Steve Sturgess (S127)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S127.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Johanna Overdiep & Steve Sturgess (S127)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S127.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Johanna Overdiep & Steve Sturgess (S127)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S127.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Johanna Overdiep & Steve Sturgess (S127)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S128.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joany Grima & Allen Rockell (S128)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S128.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joany Grima & Allen Rockell (S128)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S128.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joany Grima & Allen Rockell (S128)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S128.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joany Grima & Allen Rockell (S128)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S128.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joany Grima & Allen Rockell (S128)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S128.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joany Grima & Allen Rockell (S128)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S129.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Keith Budd & Liz Budd (S129)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S129.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Keith Budd & Liz Budd (S129)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S129.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Keith Budd & Liz Budd (S129)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S129.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Keith Budd & Liz Budd (S129)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S129.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents -			8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Keith Budd & Liz Budd (S129)			Whanganui-a-Tara	property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.			provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		
S129.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Keith Budd & Liz Budd (S129)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S130.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pete Clark (S130)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S130.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pete Clark (S130)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S130.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pete Clark (S130)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S130.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pete Clark (S130)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S130.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pete Clark (S130)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S130.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pete Clark (S130)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading		Accept in part



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		
S131.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gillian Taylor & Chris Taylor (S131)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S131.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gillian Taylor & Chris Taylor (S131)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S131.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gillian Taylor & Chris Taylor (S131)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S131.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gillian Taylor & Chris Taylor (S131)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S131.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gillian Taylor & Chris Taylor (S131)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S131.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gillian Taylor & Chris Taylor (S131)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S132.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Hannah Dawson & Ryan Dawson (S132)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S132.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents -			General comments	General comments -	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Hannah Dawson & Ryan Dawson (S132)				economic cost/impact			charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		
S132.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Hannah Dawson & Ryan Dawson (S132)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S132.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Hannah Dawson & Ryan Dawson (S132)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S132.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Hannah Dawson & Ryan Dawson (S132)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S132.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Hannah Dawson & Ryan Dawson (S132)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S133.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Len Drabble (S133)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a “river” is.		Reject
S133.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Len Drabble (S133)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S133.007	Akatarawa Valley			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Residents - Len Drabble (S133)							pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		
S133.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Len Drabble (S133)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S133.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Len Drabble (S133)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S133.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Len Drabble (S133)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S134.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Graeme Allan (S134)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a “river” is.		Reject
S134.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Graeme Allan (S134)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S134.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Graeme Allan (S134)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S134.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Graeme Allan (S134)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S134.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Graeme Allan (S134)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S134.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Graeme Allan (S134)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S135.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joshua Wood (S135)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S135.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joshua Wood (S135)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S135.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joshua Wood (S135)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S135.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joshua Wood (S135)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S135.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joshua Wood (S135)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S135.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents -			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Joshua Wood (S135)				more of land – permitted activity.			the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		
S136.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Micayla Wood (S136)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S136.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Micayla Wood (S136)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S136.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Micayla Wood (S136)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S136.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Micayla Wood (S136)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S136.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Micayla Wood (S136)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S136.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Micayla Wood (S136)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S137.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jonathan Wood (S137)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S137.006	Akatarawa Valley			General comments	General comments -	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue,	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Residents - Jonathan Wood (S137)				economic cost/impact			and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		
S137.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jonathan Wood (S137)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S137.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jonathan Wood (S137)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S137.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jonathan Wood (S137)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S137.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jonathan Wood (S137)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S138.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Tony Wood & Helen Wood (S138)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S138.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Tony Wood & Helen Wood (S138)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S138.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Tony Wood & Helen Wood (S138)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S138.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Tony Wood & Helen Wood (S138)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S138.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Tony Wood & Helen Wood (S138)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S138.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Tony Wood & Helen Wood (S138)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S139.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Glenda Arnold (S139)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S139.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Glenda Arnold (S139)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S139.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Glenda Arnold (S139)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S139.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Glenda Arnold (S139)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares –	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					permitted activity.						
S139.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Glenda Arnold (S139)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S139.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Glenda Arnold (S139)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S14.002	Bede Crestani (S14)			4 Policies	Policy P70: Minimising effects of rural land use activities.	Amend		Concern it is not possible to economically plant small pockets of land, and conditions make planting viable only in protected areas. Concern their land would have to be retired.	Remove the need to plant or retire land if the discharge is acceptable, otherwise come up with an appropriate treatment. Seeks current discharge quality be determined before deciding on the action to maintain or improve.		Reject
S14.003	Bede Crestani (S14)			4 Policies	Policy P73: Implementation of farm environment plans in priority catchments.	Amend		Concerns about time and cost needed to check stream quality and risk areas of the farm under different weather conditions prior to putting a plan together.	Seeks development of water quality tests and plans to understand causes before being required to prepare Farm Environment Plan, allow 5 years for implementation.		Reject
S140.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Janet Collins (S140)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S140.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Janet Collins (S140)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S140.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Janet Collins (S140)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S140.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Janet Collins (S140)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares –	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					permitted activity.						
S140.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Janet Collins (S140)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S140.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Janet Collins (S140)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S141.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - George Hare (S141)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S141.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - George Hare (S141)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S141.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - George Hare (S141)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S141.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - George Hare (S141)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S141.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - George Hare (S141)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S141.013	Akatarawa Valley			8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R27: Farming	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Residents - George Hare (S141)			Whanganui-a-Tara	activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.			and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		
S142.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Arnold (S142)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S142.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Arnold (S142)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S142.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Arnold (S142)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S142.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Arnold (S142)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S142.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Arnold (S142)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S142.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Arnold (S142)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S143.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Chilly Brook Trust (Mary			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Redington) (S143)										
S143.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Chilly Brook Trust (Mary Redington) (S143)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S143.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Chilly Brook Trust (Mary Redington) (S143)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S143.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Chilly Brook Trust (Mary Redington) (S143)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S143.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Chilly Brook Trust (Mary Redington) (S143)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S143.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Chilly Brook Trust (Mary Redington) (S143)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S144.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gaylene Ward & Mike Ward (S144)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S144.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gaylene Ward & Mike Ward (S144)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		
S144.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gaylene Ward & Mike Ward (S144)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S144.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gaylene Ward & Mike Ward (S144)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S144.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gaylene Ward & Mike Ward (S144)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S144.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Gaylene Ward & Mike Ward (S144)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S145.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Nigel Parry & Judy Parry (S145)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a “river” is.		Reject
S145.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Nigel Parry & Judy Parry (S145)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S145.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Nigel Parry & Judy Parry (S145)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S145.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Nigel Parry & Judy Parry (S145)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S145.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Nigel Parry & Judy Parry (S145)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S145.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Nigel Parry & Judy Parry (S145)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S146.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Leanna Jackson & Carl Burns (S146)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S146.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Leanna Jackson & Carl Burns (S146)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S146.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Leanna Jackson & Carl Burns (S146)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S146.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Leanna Jackson & Carl Burns (S146)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S146.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents -			8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Leanna Jackson & Carl Burns (S146)			Whanganui-a-Tara	property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.			provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		
S146.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Leanna Jackson & Carl Burns (S146)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S147.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joline Fowke & Owen Fowke (S147)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S147.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joline Fowke & Owen Fowke (S147)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S147.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joline Fowke & Owen Fowke (S147)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S147.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joline Fowke & Owen Fowke (S147)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S147.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joline Fowke & Owen Fowke (S147)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S147.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Joline Fowke & Owen Fowke (S147)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land –	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					permitted activity.			residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		
S148.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Baker (S148)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S148.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Baker (S148)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S148.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Baker (S148)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S148.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Baker (S148)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S148.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Baker (S148)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S148.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Paul Baker (S148)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S149.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan MacDonald (S149)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S149.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents -			General comments	General comments -	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Allan MacDonald (S149)				economic cost/impact			charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		
S149.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan MacDonald (S149)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S149.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan MacDonald (S149)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S149.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan MacDonald (S149)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S149.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan MacDonald (S149)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S150.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phyllis Strachan (S150)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a “river” is.		Reject
S150.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phyllis Strachan (S150)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S150.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phyllis Strachan (S150)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S150.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phyllis Strachan (S150)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S150.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phyllis Strachan (S150)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S150.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phyllis Strachan (S150)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S152.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Raffan & Heather Raffan (S152)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S152.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Raffan & Heather Raffan (S152)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S152.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Raffan & Heather Raffan (S152)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S152.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Raffan & Heather Raffan (S152)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					20 hectares – permitted activity.			the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.			
S152.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Raffan & Heather Raffan (S152)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S152.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Raffan & Heather Raffan (S152)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S153.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Redington Family Trust (Mary Redington) (S153)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S153.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Redington Family Trust (Mary Redington) (S153)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S153.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Redington Family Trust (Mary Redington) (S153)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S153.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Redington Family Trust (Mary Redington) (S153)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S153.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Redington Family Trust			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	(Mary Redington) (S153)				hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.			properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		
S153.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Redington Family Trust (Mary Redington) (S153)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S154.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Ash Barker & Kes Barker (S154)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S154.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Ash Barker & Kes Barker (S154)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S154.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Ash Barker & Kes Barker (S154)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S154.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Ash Barker & Kes Barker (S154)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S154.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Ash Barker & Kes Barker (S154)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S154.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Ash Barker & Kes Barker (S154)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity,	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.			
S155.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Susan Davidson (S155)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S155.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Susan Davidson (S155)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S155.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Susan Davidson (S155)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S155.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Susan Davidson (S155)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S155.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Susan Davidson (S155)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S155.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Susan Davidson (S155)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S156.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Bryce (S156)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S156.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Bryce (S156)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		
S156.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Bryce (S156)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S156.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Bryce (S156)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S156.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Bryce (S156)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S156.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Bryce (S156)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S157.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Patricia Laing (S157)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S157.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Patricia Laing (S157)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S157.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Patricia Laing (S157)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.			
S157.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Patricia Laing (S157)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S157.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Patricia Laing (S157)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S157.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Patricia Laing (S157)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S158.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Erica Dawson (S158)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S158.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Erica Dawson (S158)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S158.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Erica Dawson (S158)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S158.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Erica Dawson (S158)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S158.012	Akatarawa Valley			8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R26: Farming	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Residents - Erica Dawson (S158)			Whanganui-a-Tara	activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.			water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		
S158.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Erica Dawson (S158)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S159.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Bruce Stevens & Theresa Stevens (S159)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S159.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Bruce Stevens & Theresa Stevens (S159)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S159.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Bruce Stevens & Theresa Stevens (S159)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S159.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Bruce Stevens & Theresa Stevens (S159)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S159.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Bruce Stevens & Theresa Stevens (S159)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S159.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Bruce Stevens			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land –	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	& Theresa Stevens (S159)				permitted activity.			management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		
S16.002	Pauatahanui Residents Association (S16)			General comments	General comments - maps	Not Stated		Considers the maps in PC1 make it difficult for property owners to work out how they might be affected.	Not stated		No recommendation
S16.006	Pauatahanui Residents Association (S16)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Coniders better outcomes would be achieved if PC1 was weighted in accordance with Recommendations 58, 59, 60, 61 and 64 of Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua Implementation Programme, focusing on resourcing support and actions rather than on enforcement.	Not stated		No recommendation
S16.007	Pauatahanui Residents Association (S16)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Considers to achieve the objectives of the Natural Resources Plan and of Plan Change 1, rural landowners are supported through measures that include, but are not limited to, those outlined in Method 44.	Retain Method M44		Accept
S16.012	Pauatahanui Residents Association (S16)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Considers it should be possible to demonstrate at a property level whether target attribute states are exceeded and if the property activities are not contributing to an increase, then a change in land use should be permitted.	Amend provision to allow a property scale response.		Reject
S160.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Harold Cuffe (S160)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S160.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Harold Cuffe (S160)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S160.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Harold Cuffe (S160)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S160.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Harold Cuffe (S160)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S160.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Harold Cuffe (S160)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management		Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.			properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		
S160.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Harold Cuffe (S160)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S162.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phil Kirycuk (S162)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S162.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phil Kirycuk (S162)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S162.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phil Kirycuk (S162)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S162.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phil Kirycuk (S162)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S162.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phil Kirycuk (S162)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S162.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Phil Kirycuk (S162)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S163.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Simister (S163)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S163.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Simister (S163)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S163.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Simister (S163)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S163.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Simister (S163)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S163.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Simister (S163)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S163.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - John Simister (S163)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S164.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sarah Purdy (S164)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S164.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sarah Purdy (S164)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		
S164.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sarah Purdy (S164)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S164.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sarah Purdy (S164)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S164.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sarah Purdy (S164)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S164.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Sarah Purdy (S164)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S166.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Anna De Raadt & Roger Fairclough (S166)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a “river” is.		Reject
S166.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Anna De Raadt & Roger Fairclough (S166)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S166.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Anna De Raadt & Roger			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Fairclough (S166)							prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.			
S166.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Anna De Raadt & Roger Fairclough (S166)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S166.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Anna De Raadt & Roger Fairclough (S166)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S166.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Dr Anna De Raadt & Roger Fairclough (S166)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S167.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan and Sarah Kelly (S167)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a “river” is.		Reject
S167.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan and Sarah Kelly (S167)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S167.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan and Sarah Kelly (S167)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S167.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan and Sarah Kelly (S167)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S167.012	Akatarawa Valley			8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R26: Farming	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Residents - Allan and Sarah Kelly (S167)			Whanganui-a-Tara	activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.			water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		
S167.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Allan and Sarah Kelly (S167)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S168.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Barry Hearfield & Carol McGhie (S168)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S168.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Barry Hearfield & Carol McGhie (S168)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S168.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Barry Hearfield & Carol McGhie (S168)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S168.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Barry Hearfield & Carol McGhie (S168)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S168.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Barry Hearfield & Carol McGhie (S168)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S168.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Barry Hearfield			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land –	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	& Carol McGhie (S168)				permitted activity.			management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		
S17.002	John Easter (S17)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Amend		Considers Makara and Ohariu catchments are faulted with variable aspects and topography.Potential erosion varies within subcatchments, which cannot be determined through aerial scanning data.	Considers erosion potential of all land must be based on evidence from site investigation. Considers Map 92 is not fit for purpose other than as a tool to indicate where specific site investigation should be undertaken.		Accept
	John Easter	FS47.116	Meridian Energy Limited	2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)		Support	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy of the mapping and its relevance for Meridian's existing wind farms West Wind and Mill Creek;	Allow	Allow S17.002.	Accept
S17.003	John Easter (S17)			2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)	Amend		Considers Makara and Ohariu catchments are faulted with variable aspects and topography.Potential erosion varies within sub catchments, which cannot be determined through aerial scanning data.	Considers erosion potential of all land must be based on evidence from site investigation. Considers Map 92 is not fit for purpose other than as a tool to indicate where specific site investigation should be undertaken.		Accept
	John Easter	FS47.119	Meridian Energy Limited	2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)		Support	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy of the mapping and its relevance for Meridian's existing wind farms West Wind and Mill Creek;	Allow	Allow S17.003.	Accept
S17.010	John Easter (S17)			5.2 and 5.3 Discharges to land and water and land use rules	5.2 and 5.3 Discharges to land and water and land use rules	Amend		Concerned the objectives to establish vegetation and revegetation restricts machinery access in water which sometimes cannot be avoided. Suggests provisions mitigating adverse effects including limiting access to remediation or prevention of flood damage and limiting access to outside spawning periods and weekends to minimise effects on recreational use.	Suggests separate provisions are required for working in streams within the Makara/Ohariu whaitua.		No recommendation
S17.012	John Easter (S17)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Amend		The points in the attached document have been listed as new submission points by GWRC at the beginning of this submission.	Make council responsible for the preparation and registration of small farms plans in consultation with the property owner.		Reject
S17.014	John Easter (S17)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Amend		Considers the requirements and benefits from implementing policies WH.P21-WH.P24 should be funded by regional and national communities.	Clarification that implementation and costs of implementation of policies in 8.2.4 are funded by Council.		Reject
S17.015	John Easter (S17)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Amend		Considers pastoral land should be measured by the area of land used for that purpose not the size of the block of land.	Clause (c) be clarified to refer to contiguous parcels for a specified land use not the area of the titles the areas are within.		Accept in part
S17.016	John Easter (S17)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Plans should be used to show areas which are subject to further investigation not those which are subject to contingent policies and rules.	Plans associated with erosion risk attached to PC1 should be labelled indicative to assist with interpretation and not be part of the plan change.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	John Easter	FS47.167	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support in part	Meridian is concerned at the farm-scale accuracy (or inaccuracy) of the mapping and the impact of the rules associated with the mapping;	Allow in part	Allow S17.016 and amend the status of the plans to indicative.	Accept
S17.018	John Easter (S17)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers mandated retirement of erosion prone pastoral land into permanent forest must be a regional/national responsibility planned and funded in accordance with a risk based regional plan.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S17.019	John Easter (S17)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Feels compensation for land retirement, covering loss of production and income and options for property purchase, should be included within the plan change or supporting regulation before plan change is implemented.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S17.021	John Easter (S17)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Assumptions of silt leading to afforestation or mandated retirement of pastoral land must be replaced with evidence from sub catchments and tributaries.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S17.025	John Easter (S17)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Not Stated	Not stated.		No recommendation
S17.026	John Easter (S17)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Concerned that any use of streams including recreational uses will exceed requirements in PC1 and the PC1 provisions are unrealistic and not based on evidence.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S17.027	John Easter (S17)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Not Stated	Not stated.		No recommendation
S17.028	John Easter (S17)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Not Stated	Not stated.		No recommendation
S17.030	John Easter (S17)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Considers PC1 needs to include provisions to address landowners being penalised for use of the land while those with lease agreements (using windfarms as an example) can avoid liability for diverting revenue into reforestation.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S170.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karina Fraser & Grant Fraser (S170)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S170.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karina Fraser & Grant Fraser (S170)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S170.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karina Fraser &			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Grant Fraser (S170)							prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.			
S170.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karina Fraser & Grant Fraser (S170)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S170.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karina Fraser & Grant Fraser (S170)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S170.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Karina Fraser & Grant Fraser (S170)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered. Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S171.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jessica Perno & Gavin Perno (S171)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a “river” is.		Reject
S171.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jessica Perno & Gavin Perno (S171)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S171.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jessica Perno & Gavin Perno (S171)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S171.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jessica Perno & Gavin Perno (S171)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined. Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S171.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jessica Perno & Gavin Perno (S171)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S171.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Jessica Perno & Gavin Perno (S171)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S172.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Thomas Davies (S172)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S172.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Thomas Davies (S172)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S172.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Thomas Davies (S172)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact socking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S172.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Thomas Davies (S172)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S172.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Thomas Davies (S172)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considerd registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S172.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents -			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Thomas Davies (S172)				more of land – permitted activity.			the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		
S174.004	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pam Ritchie (S174)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Oppose		Considers a definition is needed for “river” and there is a need to define how a 1m water course is measured.	Define important terms to address lack of clear definition and prevent uncertainty and inconsistency. Include picture references to inform what a "river" is.		Reject
S174.006	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pam Ritchie (S174)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Concerns about cost to be borne by rural landowners with no evidence that they are the cause of the issue, and the lack of information available on what fees and charges GWRC will levy. Considers that for landowners who have not yet been in a position to build a home, this plan change is unreasonable and unfair. Concerned that proposed changes by GWRC are out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Considers it is difficult to stay on top of regulatory changes and is concerned that PC1 is out of alignment with regulations soon to be imposed by Upper Hutt City Council. Questions which regulations have precedence where conflicting.	All rules that add cost to landowners be reconsidered and “recalibrated” with scientific evidence, whereby the more important issues are tackled instead of a “broad brush approach” to all perceived issues. A reconciliation and analysis of the GWRC proposal and other local authority regulations relevant to rural landowners (e.g. UHCC PC50) is completed to identify instances where regulation is inconsistent.		Accept in part
S174.007	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pam Ritchie (S174)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Notes that residents deal with incursion of pest species onto their land from GWRC land and seeks GWRC manage pests on their own land. Notes that pest species adversely impact stocking levels and prevent landowners from increasing indigenous biodiversity.	GWRC to actively manage the pests on GWRC land that borders the Akatarawa Valley and review its practices regarding the management of its land particularly forestry.		No recommendation
S174.009	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pam Ritchie (S174)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers that landowners should be able to continue to farm at the level practicable for the land rather than be constrained to an arbitrary stocking level. Considers that changing levels of farm activity is normal for rural property farm management, and that the current provisions would see some residents say their land is incapable of reasonable use.	Review stocking rates to allow for low intensity farming. Provide information on how rates have been determined.Include an additional category for small breeds of cattle and deer.		Reject
S174.012	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pam Ritchie (S174)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data to suggest low intensity hobby farms and lifestyle blocks are the cause of poor water quality and the requirement to register and provide information is onerous and unjustified. Considered registration should be reserved for properties where there is a risk of elevated nitrate levels.	Delete the registration requirement for these properties. GWRC to accept the designation of property management plans set out in the gazette NPS-IB namely QEII, Conservation and other approved property management plans which may include small farms of 4 or more but less than 20 hectares.		Accept
S174.013	Akatarawa Valley Residents - Pam Ritchie (S174)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Considers there is no data that points to farming on larger properties being the cause of poor water quality and notes that the RMA currently prohibits clearing of bush to scales that will increase erosion. Considers the requirement to register and provide a farm management plan is onerous and not justified when residents are already incurring costs to maintain the land and/or regenerate indigenous biodiversity, including pest control activities, and costs could be unsustainable for the average property owner.	Consider introducing additional categories of properties that reflect the actual range of properties E.g. add a new category for properties over 20 hectares that are largely unproductive and delete the requirement for this type of property to be registered.Exclude land registered in the Emissions Trading Scheme, QEII Trust, Conservation, approved property plan as per the NPS-IB.		Accept in part
S175.003	Tracy Simms (S175)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Considers provisions on fencing waterways are contrary to previous advice provided by GWRC.	Withdraw the Plan Change		Reject
S175.005	Tracy Simms (S175)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Oppose		Concerns about the range of data required and the expertise required to produce the amount of data required. Concerns that GWRC has not yet developed its own systems to receive this data.	Withdraw the Plan Change		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S176.011	Te Awarua o Porirua Harbour and Catchments Community Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet (S176)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Supports Method M44 to support health of rural water bodies. Notes in order for rural landowners to retire pasture and undertake protective fencing of vegetation, especially on high erosion prone slopes, there must be a sufficient quid-pro quo for landowners by way of incentives and financial relief. Does not support local authority suggestions that lower valuations of land and therefore lower rates are already baked in to rating formulas and sufficient to compensate landowners for “doing the right thing” for the environment.	Seeks the following be implemented:  (a) investigate financial support and rates relief options for accelerating retirement/revegetation of pastoral and plantation forestry land uses, and (b) support the effective uptake and implementation of Farm Environment Plans, and (c) promote uptake of good management practice in rural land uses, including for pastoral farming and plantation forestry, and (d) develop and deliver a specific programme of engagement and education with small (<20ha) landowners.		Accept
S176.013	Te Awarua o Porirua Harbour and Catchments Community Trust & Guardians of Pāuatahanui Inlet (S176)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Notes PC1 includes strong provisions (such as Policy P.P22) to reduce sediment discharges from farming activities on land with a high risk of erosion. Supports the measures proposed in P.P22 which will deliver farm management plans and risk erosion plans but notes implementing these can be costly to landowners and suggests support is needed for both risk erosion and farm management plans.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S18.005	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Oppose		Considers that there is more research available to determine landslide by susceptibility, citing recent New Zealand research.	Delete the mapping layer or have it peer reviewed to establish its scientific validity.		Accept in part
S18.026	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Considers that there is a discrepancy between rules for farming compared to forestry activities on erosion prone land, noting that there is a process in place for farming activities to enable gradual compliance without jeopardising land use, but that forestry is subject to stringent policy which mandates the retirement of forestry in high erosion-risk land. Considers that farming activities are given preferential treatment over forestry without appropriate scientific evidence which hinders the growth of both sectors. Considers approach poses disadvantages to the forestry sector resulting in financial burdens, limited resource access, and reduced growth opportunities, ultimately impeding rural development. Also suggests this approach leads to imbalanced land use, diminished freshwater quality and soil degradation.	Greater consistency of rules between farming and forestry.		Reject
S18.027	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Considers limitations on land use are too restrictive and may result in adverse economic effects. Seeks that the policy is reviewed with consideration for the following: - Scientific evidence - Flexibility for case-by-case evaluations, consideration of specific circumstances, and the potential for innovative and sustainable land use practices - Economic impact assessment - Community engagement - Mechanisms for ongoing monitoring and adaptive management.	Delete provision, or redraft in accordance with feedback given		Reject
	PF Olsen Ltd	FS1.046	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	This policy is overly onerous and could prevent crop rotation, an essential practice for soil health and preventing pests and disease.	Allow	Delete provision. Review any remaining policies related to rural land use change with the considerations listed by the submitter.	Reject
S18.040	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and	Amend		Considers there is preferential leniency towards farming practices over forestry activities which disadvantages the forestry sector. Considers the approach obstructs the growth of both sectors and presents challenges for water quality. Considers the approach contradicts scientific evidence, leading to	Greater consistency of rules between farming and forestry.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					20 hectares – permitted activity.			adverse social and economic consequences. Considers that farming activities should be subject to similar retirement rules as forestry activities.			
S18.041	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers there is preferential leniency towards farming practices over forestry activities which disadvantages the forestry sector. Considers the approach obstructs the growth of both sectors and presents challenges for water quality. Considers the approach contradicts scientific evidence, leading to adverse social and economic consequences. Considers that farming activities should be subject to similar retirement rules as forestry activities.	Greater consistency of rules between farming and forestry.		Accept in part
S18.042	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.	Oppose		Considers there is preferential leniency towards farming practices over forestry activities which disadvantages the forestry sector. Considers the approach obstructs the growth of both sectors and presents challenges for water quality. Considers the approach contradicts scientific evidence, leading to adverse social and economic consequences. Considers that farming activities should be subject to similar retirement rules as forestry activities.	Delete Table 8.6		Reject
S18.051	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Considers that there is a discrepancy between rules for farming compared to forestry activities on erosion prone land, noting that there is a process in place for farming activities to enable gradual compliance without jeopardising land use, but that forestry is subject to stringent policy which mandates the retirement of forestry in high erosion-risk land. Considers that farming activities are given preferential treatment over forestry without appropriate scientific evidence which hinders the growth of both sectors. Considers approach poses disadvantages to the forestry sector resulting in financial burdens, limited resource access, and reduced growth opportunities, ultimately impeding rural development. Also suggests this approach leads to imbalanced land use, diminished freshwater quality and soil degradation.	Amend to include the retirement of farming activity in high-risk erosion land (pasture) and highest erosion-risk land (pasture).		Reject
S18.052	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Considers there is a discrepancy between rules for farming compared to forestry activities on erosion prone land, noting that there is a process in place for farming activities to enable gradual compliance without jeopardising land use, but that forestry is subject to stringent policy which mandates the retirement of forestry in high erosion-risk land. Considers that farming activities are given preferential treatment over forestry without appropriate scientific evidence which hinders the growth of both sectors. Considers approach poses disadvantages to the forestry sector resulting in financial burdens, limited resource access, and reduced growth opportunities, ultimately impeding rural development. Also suggests this approach leads to imbalanced land use, diminished freshwater quality and soil degradation.	Amend to include the retirement of farming activity in high-risk erosion land (pasture) and highest erosion-risk land (pasture).		Reject
S18.053	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Considers limitations on land use are too restrictive and may result in adverse economic effects, particularly clause (b). Seeks that the policy is reviewed with consideration for the following: - Scientific evidence - Flexibility for case-by-case evaluations, consideration of specific circumstances, and the potential for innovative and sustainable land use practices - Economic impact assessment - Community engagement - Mechanisms for ongoing monitoring and adaptive management.	Delete provision, or redraft in accordance with feedback given		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	PF Olsen Ltd	FS1.068	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Support	This policy is overly onerous and could prevent crop rotation, an essential practice for soil health and preventing pests and disease.	Allow	Delete provision. Review any remaining policies related to rural land use change with the considerations listed by the submitter.	Reject
S18.067	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers there is preferential leniency towards farming practices over forestry activities which disadvantages the forestry sector. Considers the approach obstructs the growth of both sectors and presents challenges for water quality. Considers the approach contradicts scientific evidence, leading to adverse social and economic consequences. Considers that farming activities should be subject to similar retirement rules as forestry activities.	Amend to include the retirement of farming activity in high-risk erosion land (pasture) and highest erosion-risk land (pasture).		Reject
S18.068	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers that there is preferential leniency towards farming practices over forestry activities which disadvantages the forestry sector. Considers the approach obstructs the growth of both sectors and presents challenges for water quality. Considers the approach contradicts scientific evidence, leading to adverse social and economic consequences. Considers that farming activities should be subject to similar retirement rules as forestry activities.	Amend to include the retirement of pastoral land use in high-risk erosion land (pasture) and highest erosion-risk land (pasture).		Reject
S18.069	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.	Oppose		Considers there is preferential leniency towards farming practices over forestry activities which disadvantages the forestry sector. Considers the approach obstructs the growth of both sectors and presents challenges for water quality. Considers the approach contradicts scientific evidence, leading to adverse social and economic consequences. Considers that farming activities should be subject to similar retirement rules as forestry activities.	Delete Table 9.5		Reject
S18.072	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Amend		Seeks equal treatment for rural production, where there is high risk of erosion of land.	Amend to include the same option for small forests		Reject
S18.073	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Oppose		Considers there is more research available to determine landslide by susceptibility, citing recent New Zealand research.	Delete the mapping layer or have it peer reviewed to establish its scientific validity.		Accept in part
S18.075	PF Olsen Ltd (S18)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Oppose		Considers that there is more research available to determine landslide by susceptibility, citing recent New Zealand research.	Delete the mapping layer or have it peer reviewed to establish its scientific validity.		Accept in part
	PF Olsen Ltd	FS47.449	Meridian Energy Limited	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support in part	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy and relevance of the map for its existing Mill Creek wind farm;	Allow in part	Allow S18.075	Accept
S180.002	William Gill (S180)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Expresses concern that PC1 will result in the loss of the majority of their farm, due to high-risk erosion provisions; lower slopes provisions; SNAs; forestry activities; and land retirement requirements.	Not stated		No recommendation
S181.008	John Boyle (S181)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Notes an absence of detail in PC1 around GWRC managed land.	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S181.009	John Boyle (S181)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Concerned that 1080 drops on GWRC managed land will affect the waterways and soil quality.	Not stated		No recommendation
S182.008	Susan Boyle (S182)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Concerned PC1 does not address how GWRC will manage its own land including natural sediment movement on the land, streams being controlled by natural gravity and animal movements on the land and in streams. Also concerned that GWRC activity on the land has impacts on waterways and streams, particularly as a result of 1080 drops.	Withdraw PC1		Reject
S183.007	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Annual stocking rate	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.007	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Annual stocking rate		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.014	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Effective hectares	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.014	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Effective hectares		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.017	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Erosion risk treatment plan	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.017	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Erosion risk treatment plan		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S183.023	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.023	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.024	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.024	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.028	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Intensive grazing	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.028	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Intensive grazing		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.032	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Nitrogen discharge risk	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.032	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	2 Interpretation	Nitrogen discharge risk		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")					retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.035	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.035	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.037	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Registration	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.037	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Registration		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.040	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Sacrifice paddocks	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.040	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Sacrifice paddocks		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.041	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.041	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.048	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Stocking rate	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.048	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Stocking rate		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.049	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Stock unit	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.049	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Stock unit		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.055	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			2 Interpretation	Winter Stocking rate	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.055	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Winter Stocking rate		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.079	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P70: Minimising effects of rural land use activities.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.079	Manor Park and Haywards	4 Policies	Policy P70: Minimising		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")		effects of rural land use activities.			degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.080	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P71: Managing the discharge of nutrients.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.080	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	4 Policies	Policy P71: Managing the discharge of nutrients.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.081	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P72: Priority Catchments.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.081	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	4 Policies	Policy P72: Priority Catchments.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.082	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P73: Implementation of farm environment plans in priority catchments.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.082	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	4 Policies	Policy P73: Implementation of farm environment		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")		plans in priority catchments.			retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.083	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P74: Avoiding an increase in adverse effects of rural land use activities and associated diffuse discharges of contaminants.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.083	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	4 Policies	Policy P74: Avoiding an increase in adverse effects of rural land use activities and associated diffuse discharges of contaminants.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.084	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			4 Policies	Policy P76: Consent duration for rural land use in priority catchments.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.084	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	4 Policies	Policy P76: Consent duration for rural land use in priority catchments.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.154	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			5.2 and 5.3 Discharges to land and water and	Rule R110: Use of rural land in priority catchments –	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.154	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	land use rules 5.2 and 5.3 Discharges to land and water and land use rules	permitted activity. Rule R110: Use of rural land in priority catchments – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.155	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			5.2 and 5.3 Discharges to land and water and land use rules	Rule R111: Use of rural land in priority catchments – controlled activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.155	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	5.2 and 5.3 Discharges to land and water and land use rules	Rule R111: Use of rural land in priority catchments – controlled activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.156	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			5.2 and 5.3 Discharges to land and water and land use rules	Rule R112: Use of rural land in priority catchments – discretionary activity.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.156	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	5.2 and 5.3 Discharges to land and water and land use rules	Rule R112: Use of rural land in priority catchments – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S183.174	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.174	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.176	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.176	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.211	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.211	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.212	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.212	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.213	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					land with high risk of erosion.						
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.213	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.214	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.214	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.215	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.215	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.216	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P26:	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
				Whanganui-a-Tara	Managing livestock access to small rivers.						
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.216	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.217	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.217	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								“planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.249	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.249	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.250	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.250	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.251	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.251	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.252	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.252	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly,	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.253	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.253	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.254	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.254	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.255	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.255	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.256	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.256	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.295	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.295	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")		nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.			Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.296	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.296	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.297	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.297	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.298	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P23: Phasing of	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
				Porirua Whaitua	farm environment plans.						
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.298	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.299	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.299	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.300	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.300	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.331	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.						
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.331	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.332	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.332	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.333	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.333	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.334	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.334	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.335	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.335	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.336	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.336	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.390	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.390	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.391	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.391	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.			provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.392	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			12 Schedules	A Certification requirements under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.392	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	12 Schedules	A Certification requirements under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.393	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			12 Schedules	B Management objectives.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.393	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	B Management objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.394	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.394	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.395	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.395	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly,	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.397	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.397	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.398	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.398	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.416	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.416	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.419	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.419	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S183.422	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 96: Mākara catchment.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.422	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 96: Mākara catchment.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S183.423	Yvonne Weeber (S183)			13 Maps	Map 97: Mangaroa catchment.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Yvonne Weeber	FS27.423	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	13 Maps	Map 97: Mangaroa catchment.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.011	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			2 Interpretation	Erosion risk treatment plan	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.434	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Erosion risk treatment plan		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S186.033	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			4 Policies	Policy P71: Managing the discharge of nutrients.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.456	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	4 Policies	Policy P71: Managing the discharge of nutrients.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								“planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.034	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			4 Policies	Policy P72: Priority Catchments.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.457	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	4 Policies	Policy P72: Priority Catchments.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S186.184	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			12 Schedules	A Certification requirements under the	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.						
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.607	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	A Certification requirements under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S186.185	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.608	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S186.186	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.609	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S186.188	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.611	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S186.189	Guardians of the Bays Inc (S186)			12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Guardians of the Bays Inc	FS27.612	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.030	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.030	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.035	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1186	Forest & Bird	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1119	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.056	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.056	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.061	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1212	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1145	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.057	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Not Stated		Seeks reduction in nitrogen discharge risk “to the extent reasonably practicable” (clause c) in waterbodies which have been degraded by nutrient inputs and unlikely to achieve any measure of improvement as required by national legislation such as RMA 1991, NPS-FM 2020, and Te Mana o te Wai.	Strengthen policy, with time-bound and measurable actions which will return degraded waterways in a stepwise fashion to a state of health and wellbeing.		Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.057	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.062	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1213	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1146	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS39.255	Wellington Water Ltd	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	WWL supports the retention of the wording as notified.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S188.058	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.058	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					activities on land with high risk of erosion.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.063	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1214	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1147	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S188.059	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm	Not Stated		Notes need for resourcing consultants to certify effective FEPs.	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					environment plans.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.059	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.064	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1215	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1148	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S188.060	Wellington Fish and Game			8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Regional Council (S188)			Whanganui-a-Tara	land use change.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.060	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.065	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1216	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1149	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S188.061	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					access to small rivers.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.061	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.066	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1217	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1150	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S188.062	Wellington Fish and Game			8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P27: Promoting	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Regional Council (S188)			Whanganui-a-Tara	stream shading.						
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.062	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.067	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1218	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1151	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S188.086	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse	Not Stated		Seeks a reduction in diffuse discharges of nitrogen where nitrogen use and leaching makes this necessary, regardless of size of property.	Amend policy as follows: Diffuse nitrogen discharges from large rural properties and from smaller rural properties that are intensively farmed, are capped,		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.				minimising, and on large properties reduced where necessary by ensuring that: [...]		
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.086	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.091	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1242	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1175	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.087	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.087	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.092	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1243	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1176	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.088	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.088	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.093	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1244	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1177	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S188.089	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council (S188)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS9.089	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS21.094	Manor Park Golf Club (Incorporated) (MPGC)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Support	In keeping with the sanctuary environment status that the MPGC has established and is looking to maintain.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS23.1245	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought be unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Wellington Fish and Game Regional Council	FS27.1178	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S193.003	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Concerned to see 'blanket' policies and rules proposed that will be implemented at property level with severe implications for rural landowners, including requiring them to retire certain classes of land from pastoral and plantation forestry use and undertake expensive riparian management measures. Considers there is insufficient evidence supporting these policies and rules, and the proposed policies and rules will not get us any closer to achieving the TASs.	Not Stated		No recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.959	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S193.004	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Not Stated		Considers the direct and opportunity costs of the proposed policies and rules are too high for rural landowners and amount to a form of 'managed retreat' for public good, with no compensation.	Not Stated		No recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.960	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S193.005	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Considers the Council could be an "exemplar" on its own land in partnering with landowners and rural communities to get the smart data needed to inform cost-effective policies that will achieve its long-term objectives.	Not Stated		No recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.961	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S193.009	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Concerned about the erosion risk land modelling and how the Council intends to use it to underpin policies to retire land from pasture and plantation forest. Agrees with Easton et al that site-specific assessments must be undertaken to ground-truth the model. Considers the policy requiring the establishment of permanent woody vegetation cover on at least 50% of highest erosion land (pasture) within 10 years and 100% by 2040 to be overly onerous to landowners and impractical to implement. Considers this a policy of managed retreat to attain a public good and is an intrusion into private property	Not Stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rights.Considers the policy creates an equity issue, as proposed policies and rules in urban areas of the whitua generally apply at a municipal level (they don't directly impact individual households or businesses), and costs can be debt funded across multiple generations of ratepayers. In comparison, policies and rules proposed for rural areas of the whitua impact individual landowners with considerable costs being incurred within the next 17 years.Consider the policy may be palatable if the timeframes were extended to a reasonable period and landowners, in a voluntary capacity, could receive full compensation for areas of their land that would no longer be available for farming. Concerned the adoption of this policy will affect the on-farm income of landowners as it reduces options for economic use for landowners and will likely impact property values, making it harder for these properties to be sold and reducing their sale price.Submitter references Evans et al who argue that a change in government policy that denies property owners the ability to make an economically viable use of that property in the use for which it was purchased represents a de-facto taking that requires compensation. Concerned the cost of fencing, pre-planting preparation of land, purchase of seedstock, planting, watering, fertilising and weed and pest control will be picked up by farmers. Identifies there will be challenges sourcing sufficient seedstock for planting, finding labour to plant native seedstock and sourcing and paying for specialist advice to ensure new plantings occur in a way that is consistent with the Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) eligibility criteria so as to avoid plantings being ineligible for New Zealand Units (NZUs).Notes the policy vaguely mentions that WRC will provide 'support' to landowners and if this support will be comprehensive it is likely to be costly for ratepayers. Considers the support of landowners (i.e. financial compensation for the loss of production, the costs associated with planting land in permanent forest, and ongoing maintenance of those areas) is of such importance that a policy is required that is explicit in the extent, timing and delivery of such assistance and includes a full buy-out option. Considers the statement in the s32 report that the separation of highest erosion risk land and soil conservation treatment of high erosion risk land may provide for minor increases in farm productivity has no rational basis and ignores the Council's other policies which cap nutrient discharges from farming activities, effectively limiting further intensification. Considers the area (ha) of land within the highest erosion risk land map at a farm scale is significant for some properties. Some members estimate they could lose a third of their property under this policy.			
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.965	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S193.010	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Opposes policies and rules that require the 'blanket' mandatory retirement of private land to manage potential sediment loss. Suggests non-regulatory incentives and support should be used to achieve the restoration and enhancement of the natural ecosystems as discussed in Hearing Stream 3 for the RPS. References comments in the s42A report that regional plans cannot require landowners or others to plant forest or restore and extend wetlands, the recommended amendment to Method CC.4 to use a partnership approach, with mana whenua and other key stakeholders, and specific recommendations in relation to the Regional Forest Spatial Plan. Supports an integrated catchment approach to the management of sediment loss, supported by the Council and underpinned by non-regulatory methods such as FAPs and Regional Forest Spatial Plans. Considers this approach provides an opportunity for the Council to demonstrate best practice regarding the management and protection of natural ecosystems including freshwater ecosystems. Suggests Council can use their own farmland as an exemplar to communities and develop, in partnership with private landowners, innovative solutions to targeted at-risk areas.	Not Stated		No recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.966	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S193.011	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Concerned about the dSedNet modelling to estimate the sediment load reductions required from catchments to meet the TASs for visual clarity. Considers there is too much uncertainty and error for the model output to be used as a basis for policy decisions that will impact farming businesses. References Greer et al 2023 to support concerns regarding the limitation of modelling and data collected. Considers the sediment load reduction modelling relies on data that is spatially and temporally limited. In particular, water quality monitoring sites were noted as being limited. Notes that the proportional change in sediment load required to meet visual clarity targets in Te Awarua-o-Porirua was estimated using data from three sites. States that one monitoring site can not yield data that is representative of all water bodies in a catchment. For example, the Mākara Stream at Kennels monitoring site is used to determine water quality for 7203 ha and Mangaroa River at Te Marua is used to determine water quality for 10,370 ha. Notes the 'MFE Guidance for Implementing the NPS-FM Sediment Requirements' comments that within the modelling process, a small error in input data can result in a substantial error in outputs and that there are errors in load estimations from monitored water quality and flow data particularly, when it's restricted to monthly grab samples. Notes that MFE's advice is to improve the current level of sediment monitoring and to collect flow data concurrently at sediment monitoring sites. Also cites Whaitua-Te-Whanganui-a-Tara-Water-Quality-and-Ecology-Scenario-Assessment a 2020 by	Requests council improves the quality and quantity of their monitoring data to inform the dSedNet modelling before any changes to policies and rules in the NRP are made.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Aquanet which was part of the information considered by the Te Awarua-o-Porirua whitua committee and the uncertainties in the information available. Notes that clause 1.6(2)(b) of the NPS-FM requires councils to take all practicable steps to reduce uncertainty and clause 1.6(1) requires councils to use, if practicable, complete and scientifically robust data. Concerned the data used to model the sediment load reductions is neither complete nor scientifically robust and is inadequate to underpin significant shifts in policy that have severe consequences for rural landowners. References the Whaitua-Te-Whanganui-a-Tara-Water-Quality-and-Ecology-Scenario-Assessment as evidence of the uncertainties in the information available on the effectiveness of erosion control measures to reduce sediment loads and modelling of this completed. Contends that the data used to model the sediment load reductions is neither complete nor scientifically robust (contrary to clauses 1.6(1) and 1.6(2)(b) of the NPS-FM) and is inadequate to underpin significant policy shifts that have severe consequences for rural landowners.			
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.967	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.014	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		Opposes Method M42 as there is no sound evidence that there is any issue with nutrient losses from small farms, or that the recommended policies and rules are necessary. Considers registration requirements and assessments of N loss will create an administrative burden for small property holders and the Council. Considers any consent requirements resulting from a failure to comply with assessment requirement will have associated costs for landowners and burden for the Council, for little or no environmental benefit. Notes the quality of data from the annual assessments may be low, and have limited use, without a full range of relevant input data and interpretation. Considers N loss management to be unnecessary as nitrogen is not a significant problem in the region's freshwater bodies. Notes the Council's own attribute state baselines show that river and stream surface water bodies are almost all within the NOF 'A' Band for nitrate and ammonia toxicity under the NPS-FM with a small number of sites in the 'B' Band and lakes in the 'B' and 'C' Bands. States there are no freshwater bodies in rural areas with attribute states in the 'D' or 'E' Bands for nitrogen-related attributes. Opposes the requirement for all small farms between 4ha and 20 ha to register with the Council, and to prepare an annual nitrogen risk loss assessment. Considers these requirements provide no environmental benefit, are an unnecessary burden for small block owners, and provide little or no meaningful data for the Council.	Delete		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.970	Forest & Bird	6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.					points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S193.015	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - rural	Amend		Considers the generally low N concentrations throughout the rural areas of the two whaitua are partly due to the type of farming completed in the catchments (mixed sheep and beef farms that are not intensively farmed). Considers these properties typically have a lower N footprint than other types of farming and the risk of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) polluting waterways is very low. Notes the s32 report articulates that hill country farms in the two whaitua apply little if any nitrogen and overall, stocking rates are very low. Questions why the proposed policies are to manage N loss reductions by land retirement and destocking (as a response to the need to reduce sediment loss), even though monitoring shows that river and stream surface water bodies are almost all within the NOF 'A' Band for nitrate toxicity and ammonia toxicity.	Not Stated		No recommendation
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.971	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S193.019	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Concerned the term FEP is being used interchangeably with the nationally mandated FWFP. Recommends references to FEPs in the proposed NRP are amended to FWFPs for consistency, and to avoid 'double-up' (two separate plans being required for the one property) and confusion. Supports the use of FWFPs to identify and manage on-farm risk to freshwater contamination. Opposes the dates for FEPs in Tables 8.6 and 9.5 for the following reasons: a. FWFPs are not required to be prepared by these dates as part of the national roll-out. Doubts the dates will be achievable. b. The highest priority for freshwater improvement should be urban catchments with a specific focus on improving E. coli.	Remove Tables 8.6 and 9.5 from the proposed NRP. Amend the timing for the nationally mandated FWFPs to be as determined in the national roll-out timeline.  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.006	Horticulture New Zealand	General comments	General comments - rural		Support in part	HortNZ supports the use of FWFPs to identify and manage on-farm risk to freshwater contamination in line with national direction.	Allow in part	Replace use of the term "farm environment plan" or FEP throughout the plan with "freshwater farm plan" or FWFP for consistency and to avoid multiple plans being required for a single property. Amend the timing for the nationally mandated FWFPs to be as determined in the national roll-out timeline.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.975	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S193.023	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Oppose		Considers the methodology is not fit for purpose	Delete definition  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.979	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.117	Meridian Energy Limited	2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)		Support	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy of the mapping and its relevance for Meridian's existing wind farms West Wind and Mill Creek;	Allow	Allow S193.023.	Accept
S193.024	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)	Oppose		Considers the methodology is not fit for purpose	Delete definition  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.980	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.120	Meridian Energy Limited	2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)		Support	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy of the mapping and its relevance for Meridian's existing wind farms West Wind and Mill Creek;	Allow	Allow S193.024.	Accept
S193.027	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			2 Interpretation	Stocking rate	Amend		Considered to be consistent with farm practice	Amend 'highest at any time' to read 'average'  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.983	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Stocking rate		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S193.033	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			4 Policies	Policy P70: Minimising effects of rural land use activities.	Not Stated		Considers Policy P70 is relevant to all whitua	Retain for all whitua  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.014	Horticulture New Zealand	4 Policies	Policy P70: Minimising effects of rural land use activities.		Support	Policy P70 is relevant to all whitua and should be retained.	Allow	Allow. Retain Policy 70 for all whitua.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.989	Forest & Bird	4 Policies	Policy P70: Minimising effects of rural land use activities.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's	Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										submission points and specific relief.	
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.138	Meridian Energy Limited	4 Policies	Policy P70: Minimising effects of rural land use activities.		Support	Meridian agrees Policy P70 remains relevant for all Whaitua;	Allow	Allow S193.033.	Reject
S193.034	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			4 Policies	Policy P74: Avoiding an increase in adverse effects of rural land use activities and associated diffuse discharges of contaminants.	Not Stated		Considers Policy P74 is relevant to all whaitua	Retain for all whaitua  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.015	Horticulture New Zealand	4 Policies	Policy P74: Avoiding an increase in adverse effects of rural land use activities and associated diffuse discharges of contaminants.		Support	Policy P74 is relevant to all whaitua and should be retained.	Allow	Allow. Retain Policy 74 for all whaitua.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.990	Forest & Bird	4 Policies	Policy P74: Avoiding an increase in adverse effects of rural land use activities and associated diffuse discharges of contaminants.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.054	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		References general comments on sediment and farming. Seeks a more pro-active and evidence based catchment approach for making progress.	Delete proposed text and add text directing Council to work in partnership with primary sector organisations and landowners to support an integrated catchment management approach including collection of baseline biophysical and ecological data at catchment scale, development of Freshwater Action Plans at catchment scale, preparation of Catchment Context, Challenges and Risks documents as set out in the national Freshwater Farm Plan Regulations, and directing Council assistance with riparian planting, erosion and sediment control for 100% of farms in rural catchments by x date, eg, 2030 (similar to that provided for in NRP Method M12)  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.026	Horticulture New Zealand	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	An integrated management approach is needed to target mitigations to make the specific improvements needed based on the state of the overall catchment.	Allow in part	Make any consequential amendments(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought. Direct Council assistance with appropriate on-farm mitigations for 100% of farms in	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										rural catchments by x date, eg, 2030. Also direct Council to invest in catchment-scale mitigation options.	
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1010	Forest & Bird	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S193.081	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Amend for consistency with WIP recommendations	Amend chapeau to add 'sediment';  Amend a) to direct collection of robust baseline state data in all rural catchments (delete proposed text);  Amend b) to direct groundtruthing and identification of priority catchments for improvement (delete proposed text);  Amend c) and d) to direct promoting and supporting strategic riparian and hill-slope planting (delete proposed text)  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1037	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.082	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Oppose		Addressed by relief sought on Policy P21; Periphyton has not been identified as an issue requiring nitrogen controls. Data from the few sites monitored by Council (2021/22 river monitoring report) show no sites below national bottom lines in this whaitua.	Delete P22; or alternatively delete proposed text and amend to direct that Council undertake monitoring of periphyton as directed by NOF (requirement introduced in 2014) at SOE monitoring sites and also at catchment sites (location to be determined through the Freshwater Action Plan process).  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1038	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.083	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in	Oppose		Addressed by relief sought on P21; the erosion risk methodology is uncertain and hasn't been ground-truthed; and Council cannot require revegetation by regulation	Delete P23  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.						
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1039	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.084	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Oppose		Considers farm plans are already covered by national regulation	Delete P24  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.044	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Timelines for farm plans are already managed by national regulation.	Allow	Allow. Delete WH.P24.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1040	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.085	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Considers the provision is disproportionate to the reality of rural land use in the whaitua	Delete P25  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1041	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.086	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Considers no evidence has been presented on the extent to which stock access in the low stocking rate farms in those catchments are contributing to bank erosion and reduced clarity	Amend to direct groundtruthing of bank erosion in the Makara and Mangaroa catchments, investigation of natural sources related to clarity (eg, Mangaroa/peat) and identification of prioritised locations/reaches for supporting riparian planting;  Delete text as notified  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1042	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					access to small rivers.			with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S193.087	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		Amend to be consistent with relief sought on the objectives	Amend to read promoting and supporting; Delete proposed text from "where nutrient reductions...."  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1043	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.103	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Insufficient evidence that this is effective and efficient	Delete R26  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1059	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.104	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Amend to be consistent with relief sought for national Freshwater Farm Plans	Delete R27  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1060	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.105	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.	Oppose		Considers timeframes will be set in the national rollout	Delete Table 8.6  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1061	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					plans for part Freshwater Management Units.			with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).		stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S193.106	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Consistent with WFF relief sought on policies	Delete R28  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1062	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S193.107	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Consistent with WFF relief sought on policies	Delete R29  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1063	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.108	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Amend for consistency with relief sought on objectives seeking relevant data for relevant catchments	Delete R30  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1064	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.301	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support in part	Meridian opposes the requirement in Condition (b) of Rule WH.R27 and Schedule 36 that at least 50% of the area of highest and high erosion risk land must be re-vegetated in permanent woody vegetation where this will conflict with the operational and functional needs of existing lawfully established wind farms. Meridian seeks an exemption from these re-vegetation requirements for lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities and opposes the Rule WH.R30 requirement for discretionary activity consent for non-compliance with this requirement;	Allow in part	Allow S193.108 by excluding from Rule WH.R30 farmland comprised within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms.	Accept
S193.109	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use	Oppose		Considers rule is disproportionate to any real evaluation of existing and future rural land use	Delete R31		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
				Whanganui-a-Tara	– discretionary activity.				Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.055	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	This rule as notified would make crop rotation impossible, which is an essential horticultural management practice for soil health and reducing disease pressure.	Allow	Delete WH.R31.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1065	Forest & Bird	8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.110	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Oppose		Considers rule is disproportionate to any real evaluation of existing and future rural land use	Delete R32 Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1066	Forest & Bird	8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.306	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Meridian agrees the rule is disproportionate;	Allow	Allow S193.110.	Reject
S193.131	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Waitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Amend for consistency with WIP recommendations	Amend chapeau to add sediment; Amend a) to direct collection of robust baseline state data in all rural catchments (delete proposed text); Amend b) to direct groundtruthing and identification of priority catchments for improvement (delete proposed text); Amend c) and d) to direct promoting and supporting strategic riparian and hill-slope planting (delete proposed text) Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1087	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Waitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.132	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Waitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse	Oppose		Addressed by relief sought on Policy P21; Periphyton has not been identified as an issue requiring nitrogen controls. Data from the few sites monitored by Council (2021/22 river monitoring report) show no sites below national bottom lines in this waitua.	Delete P21 Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.						
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1088	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.133	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Addressed by relief sought on P21; the erosion risk methodology is uncertain and hasn't been ground-truthed; and Council cannot require revegetation by regulation	Delete P22  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1089	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.316	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support in part	Meridian opposes any requirement to re-vegetate with woody vegetation any land within its West Wind and Mill Creek wind farms because this may conflict with or become an obstacle to the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of its generation activities, contrary to the objective and policies of the NPS-REG;	Allow in part	Allow S193.133 in part by deleting the reference in clause (3) to re-vegetation with woody vegetation or amend the reference to clarify that it does not apply to land used for renewable electricity generation or only 'where practicable'.	Accept
S193.134	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Oppose		Considers farm plans are already covered by national regulation	Delete P23  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.067	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Timelines for farm plans are already managed by national regulation.	Allow	Allow. Delete P.P23.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1090	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.135	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Considers the provision is disproportionate to the reality of rural land use in the whaitua	Delete P24		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.069	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Support	This policy is overly onerous and could prevent crop rotation, an essential practice for soil health and preventing pests and disease.	Allow	Delete Policy P.P24.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1091	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.136	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		Amend to be consistent with relief sought on the objectives	Amend to read promoting and supporting; Delete proposed text from "where nutrient reductions...."		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1092	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.152	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Insufficient evidence that this is effective and efficient	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1108	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.153	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Amend to be consistent with relief sought for national Freshwater Farm Plans	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1109	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S193.154	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.	Oppose		Consistent with WFF relief sought on policies	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1110	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.155	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Consistent with WFF relief sought on policies	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1111	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.156	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Considers rule is disproportionate to any real evaluation of existing and future rural land use	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.077	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	This rule as notified would make crop rotation impossible, which is an essential horticultural management practice for soil health and reducing disease pressure.	Allow	Delete P.R28	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1112	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.157	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Oppose		Considers rule is disproportionate to any real evaluation of existing and future rural land use	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1113	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S193.183	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Oppose		References to general comments regarding Sediment from land disturbances (earthworks and vegetation clearance).	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1139	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S193.184	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		References to general comments regarding Sediment from land disturbances (earthworks and vegetation clearance).	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS1.079	Horticulture New Zealand	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support	This is unnecessary duplication of national freshwater farm plan requirements.	Allow	Delete Schedule 36.	Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1140	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.446	Meridian Energy Limited	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support in part	Meridian considers the Schedule 36B and 36E requirements for re-vegetation with woody vegetation has the potential to conflict with the functional and operational needs of its lawfully established wind farms and seeks an exemption from the requirement, either in Schedule 36B and 36E or in the relevant rules;	Allow in part	Allow S193.184 by deleting the requirement for 50% re-vegetation with woody vegetation or, as alternative relief, provide an exemption from the re-vegetation requirements in Schedule 36B and 36E for farm land within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities.	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S193.185	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			12 Schedules	A Certification requirements under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.	Oppose		References to general comments regarding Sediment from land disturbances (earthworks and vegetation clearance).	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1141	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	A Certification requirements under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S193.186	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			12 Schedules	B Management objectives.	Oppose		References to general comments regarding Sediment from land disturbances (earthworks and vegetation clearance).	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1142	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	B Management objectives.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S193.187	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.	Oppose		References to general comments regarding Sediment from land disturbances (earthworks and vegetation clearance).	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1143	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S193.188	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.	Oppose		References to general comments regarding Sediment from land disturbances (earthworks and vegetation clearance).	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1144	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S193.190	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.	Oppose		References to general comments regarding Sediment from land disturbances (earthworks and vegetation clearance).	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Reject
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1146	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S193.191	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.	Oppose		References to general comments regarding Sediment from land disturbances (earthworks and vegetation clearance).	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1147	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S193.195	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Oppose		Considers the methodology is not fit for purpose	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1151	Forest & Bird	13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S193.198	Wairarapa Federated Farmers (S193)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Oppose		Considers the methodology is not fit for purpose	Delete  Make any consequential amendment(s) necessary to give effect to the relief sought.		Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS23.1154	Forest & Bird	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
	Wairarapa Federated Farmers	FS47.450	Meridian Energy Limited	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support in part	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy and relevance of the map for its existing Mill Creek wind farm;	Allow in part	Allow S193.198	Accept
S194.002	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			General comments	General comments - rural	Neutral		Broadly supports framework for rural land use activities noting that it generally aligns with the regenerative farming practices undertaken and supported by submitter.	Seeks the following:  -Ensure the provisions relating to unplanned greenfield development do not relate to development occurring in the rural environment, including the Rural Lifestyle Zone. -Amend activity status of WH.R22 from prohibited to non-complying. -Any consequential changes or alternative		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		
S194.005	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Neutral		Notes any further restrictive changes to these provisions could negatively impact the day-to-day operations of Mangaroa Farms Ltd, and accordingly the submitter seeks to remain involved in any process that could see these provisions altered.	Retention of notified provisions, or active involvement of submitter in relation to any changes that would result in a more restrictive framework in relation to rural land use activities and the associated works of Mangaroa Farms. Any consequential changes or alternative relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		Accept in part
S194.006	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Neutral		Notes any further restrictive changes to these provisions could negatively impact the day-to-day operations of Mangaroa Farms Ltd, and accordingly the submitter seeks to remain involved in any process that could see these provisions altered.	Retention of notified provisions, or active involvement of submitter in relation to any changes that would result in a more restrictive framework in relation to rural land use activities and the associated works of Mangaroa Farms. Any consequential changes or alternative relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		Accept in part
S194.007	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Neutral		Notes any further restrictive changes to these provisions could negatively impact the day-to-day operations of Mangaroa Farms Ltd, and accordingly the submitter seeks to remain involved in any process that could see these provisions altered.	Retention of notified provisions, or active involvement of submitter in relation to any changes that would result in a more restrictive framework in relation to rural land use activities and the associated works of Mangaroa Farms. Any consequential changes or alternative relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		Accept in part
S194.008	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Neutral		Notes any further restrictive changes to these provisions could negatively impact the day-to-day operations of Mangaroa Farms Ltd, and accordingly the submitter seeks to remain involved in any process that could see these provisions altered.	Retention of notified provisions, or active involvement of submitter in relation to any changes that would result in a more restrictive framework in relation to rural land use activities and the associated works of Mangaroa Farms. Any consequential changes or alternative relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		Accept in part
S194.009	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Neutral		Notes any further restrictive changes to these provisions could negatively impact the day-to-day operations of Mangaroa Farms Ltd, and accordingly the submitter seeks to remain involved in any process that could see these provisions altered.	Retention of notified provisions, or active involvement of submitter in relation to any changes that would result in a more restrictive framework in relation to rural land use activities and the associated works of Mangaroa Farms. Any consequential changes or alternative relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		Accept in part
S194.010	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Neutral		Notes any further restrictive changes to these provisions could negatively impact the day-to-day operations of Mangaroa Farms Ltd, and accordingly the submitter seeks to remain involved in any process that could see these provisions altered.	Retention of notified provisions, or active involvement of submitter in relation to any changes that would result in a more restrictive framework in relation to rural land use activities and the associated works of Mangaroa Farms. Any consequential changes or alternative relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		Accept in part
S194.011	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Neutral		Notes any further restrictive changes to these provisions could negatively impact the day-to-day operations of Mangaroa Farms Ltd, and accordingly the submitter seeks to remain involved in any process that could see these provisions altered.	Retention of notified provisions, or active involvement of submitter in relation to any changes that would result in a more restrictive framework in relation to rural land use activities and the associated works of Mangaroa Farms. Any consequential changes or alternative		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		
S194.022	Urban Edge Planning Group on behalf of Mangaroa Farms Ltd (S194)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Neutral		Notes any further restrictive changes to these provisions could negatively impact the day-to-day operations of Mangaroa Farms Ltd, and accordingly the submitter seeks to remain involved in any process that could see these provisions altered.	Retention of notified provisions, or active involvement of submitter in relation to any changes that would result in a more restrictive framework in relation to rural land use activities and the associated works of Mangaroa Farms. Any consequential changes or alternative relief required to achieve the intended outcomes sought within this submission.		Accept in part
S196.003	Sera Moran (S196)			6 Other methods	6.17 Small farm property registration	Oppose		Concerned with requirement for small farms to be registered and to provide complex information. Considers large animals/livestock welfare should remain under MPI not GWRC.	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC.		Accept
S199.002	Pikarere Farm Limited (S199)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Not Stated		Considers Farm Environment Plans should be specific to the property and practical and simple to prepare, and practical to implement, including in relation to costs. Considers nitrogen loss assessment and assessment tool should be practical and easy to work. Assessment of risks relating to farming activities and stream bank erosion calculations, assessment of slips and hill slope run-off should also be practical. Erosion risk treatment plans should be practical and take account of normal and proper farming operations.	Not stated		No recommendation
S2.011	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd (S2)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Oppose		Opposes the mapping associated with these definitions, and in particular the "high erosion risk land (woody vegetation) " which is referenced in rules. The submitter demonstrates how the mapping is applied to their site in the raw submission Concerned the mapping is too high level and has not been substantiated. States that it is unclear how this mapping has been based, or whether it has been trothed. For example, the mapping of "Highest erosion risk land (Woody vegetation) " includes many small areas of identified land that are incohesive (the size of each individual square identified in the maps is 5m by 5m). The submitter questions the value of regulating small, incohesive areas of woody vegetation, given that the controlled activity threshold for vegetation clearance is 200m2. To ensure that the maps (and the rules for vegetation removal) are efficient to administer and effective at achieving their intended outcome, the submitter considers that the maps should be amended to only identify cohesive areas of woody vegetation, and remove incohesive or isolated areas. For consistency with the rules, isolated areas smaller than 200m2 should be removed from the maps. The submitter appreciates that this approach seeks to nuance the existing definition of 'erosion-prone land' in the operative plan which simply is defined by the slope of the land. However, the submitter considers that until GWRC has undertaken a robust vegetation and land instability mapping exercise, the former approach should be retained. Opposes notifying these definitions as part of the Freshwater Planning Process as the definition and associated rules relate to soil conservation and not freshwater. The submitter also notes that this would be inconsistent with the approach taken to the overarching objective and policy (derived from S2 of	Update all the mapping (pasture, woody vegetation and plantation forestry) with accurate and evidence-based mapping, or delete definitions and retain existing definition of "erosion prone land " as shown below: Erosion prone land The pre-existing slope of the land exceeds 20 degrees.  Should the definitions be retained, the submitter seeks that those definitions are subject to the Part 1 Schedule 1 Process and not the Freshwater Planning Process.		Accept in part but reject insofar as the point relates to the definitions relating to erosion prone land being subject to the P1S1 process

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								the RMA) of the Proposed Change 1 Regional Policy Statement, which have been confirmed by GWRC officers as subject to the Schedule 1 Process.			
	Horokiwi Quarries Ltd	FS47.115	Meridian Energy Limited	2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)		Support in part	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy of the mapping and its relevance for Meridian's existing wind farms West Wind and Mill Creek;	Allow in part	Allow S2.011.	Accept in part but reject insofar as the point relates to the definitions relating to erosion prone land being subject to the P1S1 process
S202.001	Graeme Iain Shellard , Sarah Elizabeth Shellard, Cameron Anthony Shellard, Finlay David ShellardGraeme Shellard (S202)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		(refer to raw submission for screenshot of question and answer from consultation) Considers the logic applied by the Council is fundamentally flawed and demonstrates a bias. Considers that there should be a representation of other land uses, and their expected contribution – including forestry and wildlife – on Colletts Stream catchment. Notes there are more wild deer, pigs, possums and potentially goats than farmed cattle, pigs and sheep.Considers it untrue that because lifestyle blocks have better pasture, they have higher stock levels per hectare.Considers the plan is targeting lifestyle blocks based on an inference, an unvalidated assumption and the exclusion of other causes of the problem.Considers the lack of information will incur significant regretful spend.	Withdraw PC1. Develop and implement improvements through community-based support / education supported by measurements and reporting		Reject
S203.003	Peter Thomson (S203)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Notes the majority of rural landscape is bush and plantation forest and the vast majority of the water catchment in Upper Hutt is publicly owned.Notes the Section 32 report (Section 6.9 Sources of nitrogen and other contaminants) notes that stocking rates are low, even for the classes of land grazed and that absolute stock numbers are low.Notes presence of wild animals, deer and wild pigs in the region and on GWRC and DOC land, considers the animals likely to cause contamination of fresh water will be dominated by wild deer and pigs on GRWC's own land.Considers that GWRC do not have good practices for fresh water management on their land and suggests the impact of livestock is not material in respect to the quality of the fresh water in Upper Hutt.	Remove stocking rates specifically for Amendments to Chapter 8 – Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Accept
S204.001	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			General comments	General comments - overall	Amend		The submitter generally supports the intent of the amendments in PC1 but does have concerns that PC1 does not acknowledge the importance of rural and primary agriculture activities. Submitter also opposes parts of PC1 as it does not:(a) promote sustainable management of physical resources, including enabling people and communities (including the greater Wellington farming community), to provide for their health and safety, and their social, economic and cultural well-being;(b) promote the efficient use and development of physical resources;(c) ensure consistency with good resource management practise; or(d) adequately manage adverse effects on the environment.	Willowbank requests amendments to PC1 to give effect to the concerns raised in this submission.		Accept in part
S204.003	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Support		Supports management practices to minimise diffuse discharges into waterways, reduce erosion and exclude stock from water bodies.	Seeks clarification on how diffuse discharges will be measured at an individual property level.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S204.004	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		It is not always possible to establish woody vegetation on pasture due to differing land qualities such as soil type, soil depth, and exposed ridgelines. Policy P.P22(c)(i) should focus on addressing erosion risk in an achievable and appropriate manner, which may lead to site-specific solutions, rather than requiring a “one size fits all” approach. As a consequence, Willowbank also seeks:(i) Amendment to Policy P.P2(g) to either delete “with woody vegetation” or revising to include: “with woody vegetation where practicable to do so”.(ii) Amendment to Policy P.P20.3 by including “where practicable” after “woody vegetation”.(iii) Amendment to Schedule 33: C1(c)(v) by including “where practicable” after “woody vegetation”.(iv) Amendment to Schedule 36: E.1 by incorporating a “reasonably practicable” element to the establishment of permanent woody vegetation.	Amendment to Policy P.P20.3 by including “where practicable” after “woody vegetation”.		Accept in part
S204.005	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Amend		Lack of clarity around poor management practices and how they are determined which creates uncertainty for farm owners.	Amend Policy P.P21(c)(ii) by deleting words “and by the phasing out of any poor management practices”		Accept
	Willowbank Trustee Limited	FS1.064	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	It is unclear what is meant by poor management practices in contrast to good management practices, which are defined in the plan.	Allow	Allow, amend Policy P.P21(c)(ii) by deleting words “and by the phasing out of any poor management practices”	Accept
S204.006	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		It is not always possible to establish woody vegetation on pasture due to differing land qualities such as soil type, soil depth, and exposed ridgelines. Policy P.P22(c)(i) should focus on addressing erosion risk in an achievable and appropriate manner, which may lead to site-specific solutions, rather than requiring a “one size fits all” approach.	Amend Policy P.P21(c)(i) by deleting words: permanent woody vegetation cover of at least 50% of any erosion risk land (pasture) that is in pasture on a farm within 10 years, and ...		Accept
	Willowbank Trustee Limited	FS47.317	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support in part	Meridian notes that land and soil qualities are not the only restriction on the practicability of establishing woody vegetation. Meridian opposes any requirement to re-vegetate with woody vegetation any land within its Mill Creek wind farm because this may conflict with or become an obstacle to the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of its generation activities, contrary to the objective and policies of the NPS-REG;	Allow in part	Allow S204.006 in part by deleting the reference to re-vegetation with woody vegetation or amend the reference to clarify that it does not apply to land used for renewable electricity generation or only ‘where practicable’.	Accept
S204.007	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Threshold of land subject to change increased to 20ha to provide more flexibility.Change of rural land should be a restricted discretionary activity as effects can be easily identified in NRP.	Amend Rule P.R28 to be consistent with Policy P.P24 by restricting discretion to the discharge of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment or Escherichia coli into waterways		Accept in part
S204.009	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment	Oppose		Opposes Farm Environment Plan requirements due to significant costs imposed	Not Stated		No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.						
S204.010	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Amend		It is not always possible to establish woody vegetation on pasture due to differing land qualities such as soil type, soil depth, and exposed ridgelines. Policy P.P22(c)(i) should focus on addressing erosion risk in an achievable and appropriate manner, which may lead to site-specific solutions, rather than requiring a “one size fits all” approach. As a consequence, Willowbank also seeks: (i) Amendment to Policy P.P2(g) to either delete “with woody vegetation” or revising to include: “with woody vegetation where practicable to do so”. (ii) Amendment to Policy P.P20.3 by including “where practicable” after “woody vegetation”. (iii) Amendment to Schedule 33: C1(c)(v) by including “where practicable” after “woody vegetation”. (iv) Amendment to Schedule 36: E.1 by incorporating a “reasonably practicable” element to the establishment of permanent woody vegetation.	Amend Schedule 36: E.1 by incorporating a “reasonably practicable” element to the establishment of permanent woody vegetation.		Accept in part
	Willowbank Trustee Limited	FS47.447	Meridian Energy Limited	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support in part	Meridian considers the requirement for re-vegetation with woody vegetation has the potential to conflict with the functional and operational needs of its lawfully established wind farms and that this is another of the practicalities that Schedule 36 should address. Meridian seeks an exemption from the requirement, either in Schedule 36B and 36E or in the relevant rules;	Allow in part	Allow S204.010 and S204.011 by deleting the requirement for 50% re-vegetation with woody vegetation or, as alternative relief, provide an exemption from the re-vegetation requirements in Schedule 36B and 36E for farm land within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities.	Accept in part
S204.011	Willowbank Trustee Limited (S204)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		Opposes the requirement that highest risk erosion land be revegetated up to 50% by December 2033 due to practicalities identifying non-contiguous erosion areas and non-risk fenced off areas as well as difficulties establishing vegetation and whether the “one rule solution” achieves the outcomes sought.	Not Stated		No recommendation
	Willowbank Trustee Limited	FS47.448	Meridian Energy Limited	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support in part	Meridian considers the requirement for re-vegetation with woody vegetation has the potential to conflict with the functional and operational needs of its lawfully established wind farms and that this is another of the practicalities that Schedule 36 should address. Meridian seeks an exemption from the requirement, either in Schedule 36B and 36E or in the relevant rules;	Allow in part	Allow S204.010 and S204.011 by deleting the requirement for 50% re-vegetation with woody vegetation or, as alternative relief, provide an exemption from the re-vegetation requirements in Schedule 36B and	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										36E for farm land within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities.	
S205.002	Kelly & Lewis Few-Mackay (S205)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Not Stated		Considers data is insufficient to identify origination of contamination and PC1 requires registered farms to collect the data for GWRC and at no cost to them. Considers readings in Upper Hutt reaches are excellent and fed by the rural rivers. Establishing contamination present in the lower reaches is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa. Concerned GWRC is trying to solve a problem that does not exist.	Amend: Focus on urban source issues rather than contamination problems from farming.		Reject
S205.003	Kelly & Lewis Few-Mackay (S205)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Amend		Notes there are a number of references to small rivers, less than 1 metre wide but concerned there is a open-definition for the minimum small river size.	Amend: Clarify definitions which influence other regulations.		Reject
S205.004	Kelly & Lewis Few-Mackay (S205)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers the section 32 report establishes that none of the measures aimed at the Mangaroa Valley and Akatarawa Valley farming community in section 6.9 are justified. Considers the proposed measures will achieve little at an unquantified cost.	Withdraw all measures targeted at the Upper Hutt farming/lifestyle block community.		Reject
S205.005	Kelly & Lewis Few-Mackay (S205)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Not Stated		Concerned the registration process requires landowners to provide complex data such as average stocking rates, calculating effective grazing areas, map property boundaries and showing waterbodies where stock exclusion fencing is required under new rules. Considers whilst it seems to be a simple task, it assumes all landowners have the information readily available.	Amend: Adopt suitable systems and support to collate and assist the information required.		Reject
S205.006	Kelly & Lewis Few-Mackay (S205)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Not Stated		Concerned there is no data to suggest sediment is coming from farming activities and no data has been collected to understand the activities on lifestyle blocks. Concerned GWRC is making assumptions that all sediment is the result of human activity. Considers strong probability that human activity can contribute to the sediment load but important to consider proportion arises from natural erosion processes. Considers GWRC must take into account all factors within the catchments.	Defer PC1 until relevant data is collected.		Reject
S205.007	Kelly & Lewis Few-Mackay (S205)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Not Stated		Notes the definition of livestock only references cattle, farmed deer and farmed pigs. Considers any other stock are exempt from all rules.	Amend: Confirm the rules are exclusive to these animals.		Accept
S206.018	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			General comments	General comments - maps	Amend		Supports a nuanced approach to high erosion risk land, wherein the PC1 definitions differentiate between vegetation types. However, concerned with the accuracy and quality of the mapping referenced in the definitions.	Review mapping, or remove and the current approach relied on until robust mapping is undertaken.		Accept in part
	Winstone Aggregates	FS50.177	New Zealand Carbon Farming Group ('NZCF')	General comments	General comments - maps		Support	NZCF generally supports the submission and similarly is concerned that the rationale for the mapping is not clearly set out or responsive to topographic and land ownership considerations. NZCF seeks that Maps 92 and 95 replaced with the erosion susceptibility classification in the NESPF.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S206.022	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			General comments	General comments - fresh water	Oppose		Concerned that several provisions are subject to the Freshwater Planning Process (FPP) where freshwater is only a peripheral issue to which the provision relates. Considers this an inappropriate use of the FPP, giving rise to jurisdictional problems such as restricted appeal rights. Considers improper allocation	Review the scope of FPP versus Schedule 1 processes. Only provisions where freshwater is the primary issue to be subject to the FPP; remaining provisions allocated to Schedule 1.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								results in delays and costs, and is exacerbated by the restrictive activity statuses proposed.			
	Winstone Aggregates	FS25.018	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	General comments	General comments - fresh water		Support	Request represents good planning practice and has legal merit	Allow	Review PC1 - Only provisions where freshwater is the primary issue to be subject to FPP - remaining provisions allocated to Schedule 1 process	Reject
S206.026	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Oppose		Opposes the mapping associated with the definition. Considers the mapping too high level and unsubstantiated. Seeks for the existing approach (including the existing definition of "erosion prone land ") to be retained until a robust vegetation and land stability mapping exercise is undertaken. Opposes the definition as being subject to the Freshwater Planning Process, and considers the definition and associated rules relate to soil conservation rather than freshwater. Considers the approach inconsistent with RPS Proposed Change 1, which is subject to the Schedule 1 Process.	Update mapping with accurate and evidence-based mapping, or delete definition and retain existing NRP definition:  Erosion prone land The pre-existing slope of the land exceeds 20 degrees.  Should the definition be retained, seek it be subject to the Part 1 Schedule 1 Process and not the Freshwater Planning Process.		Accept in part
	Winstone Aggregates	FS47.118	Meridian Energy Limited	2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)		Support	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy of the mapping and its relevance for Meridian's existing wind farms West Wind and Mill Creek;	Allow	Allow S206.026.	Accept in part
S206.044	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Amend		Seeks amendment to clarify that the direction relates to primary production and not other rural land use. Considers the policy would apply to other land use activities in the rural environment, including quarrying. Suggests the term "primary production" is used to better reflect the direction.	Amend policy as follows:  Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change Manage the actual and potential adverse effects of changing land use from low to higher intensity primary production rural land use by: (a) controlling rural land use change that is greater than 4ha and associated diffuse discharge where there is a risk the diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or Escherichia coli may increase, and (b) only granting resource consent for such a change in land use when, in accordance with Policy P75, the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and Escherichia coli of the more intensive activity is demonstrated to be the same or less than the activities being replaced.		Accept
	Winstone Aggregates	FS10.38	Enviro NZ Services Ltd (Enviro NZ)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	As per submission point	Allow	All	Accept
S206.045	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Considers shading streams is the most accessible and practicable method of reducing periphyton. Notes the use of "promoting" rather than "requiring" continues to enable other methods.	Retain as notified		Accept
S206.072	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Amend		Seeks amendment to clarify that the direction relates to primary production and not other rural land use. Considers the policy would apply to other land use activities in the rural environment, including quarrying. Suggests the term "primary production" is used to better reflect the direction.	Amend policy as follows:  Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change Manage the actual and potential adverse effects of changing land use from low to higher intensity primary production rural land use by: (a) controlling rural land use change that is		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									greater than 4ha and associated diffuse discharge where there is a risk the diffuse discharges of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment or Escherichia coli may increase, and (b) only granting resource consent for such a change in land use when, in accordance with Policy P75, the diffuse discharge of nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and Escherichia coli of the more intensive activity is demonstrated to be the same or less than the activities being replaced.		
S206.073	Winstone Aggregates (S206)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Considers shading streams is the most accessible and practicable method of reducing periphyton. Notes the use of "promoting" rather than "requiring" continues to enable other methods.	Retain as notified		Accept
S210.019	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust. (S210)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Supports Clause (a) that proposes to investigate financial support and rates relief options for accelerating retirement/revegetation of pastoral and plantation (commercial) forestry land uses. The submitter notes as currently written, PC1 brings in changes that prohibits intended future use (residential) and prevents continuation of the existing use of production forestry for parts of the submitters site. The submitter considers as a result of the introduction of PC1 provisions, their land will have little value and rates relief/financial support is appropriate, however they do note that in order for relief to be effective, relief is also necessary from District Council rates.	Retain M44 as notified or update to include reference to investigating the extension of rates relief to District Council rates.		Accept in part
S212.005	Heather Phillips (S212)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Concern that pest animals and wild animals are not to be counted as stock units, despite grazing on local land and causing erosion damage. Concern that pests animals are not dealt with in plan change.	Not stated		No recommendation
S212.006	Heather Phillips (S212)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Concern about lack of definition for river bed.	Add definition of river bed		Reject
S213.006	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S213.022	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Support		Supports (including financially) reduction in pollution from urban land use and infrastructure. Considers importance and fairness that pollution from rural landuse also contributes, supported by GWRC, through the actions outlined in these policies.	Retain Policies 21 to 26 as notified		Accept in part
S213.023	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		Supports progressive shading of streams as part of riparian retirement, planting, bank and streambed protection, not just where nutrient management is insufficient to achieve periphyton TASs.	Remove the qualifier so shading is promoted everywhere.		Reject
S213.025	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Questions why FEPs or Korokoro Stream part FMU is not required by 2025. Suggests farms of more than 20ha are few with only one in the area impacting downstream water quality. Considers rationale for 2026 date is unclear and sees it as GW giving more time to prepare a FEP whilst requiring private farms to move faster.	Move Korokoro Stream into the 30 December 2025 tranche.		Reject
S213.031	Pareraho Forest Trust (S213)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Supports mapping and subsequent policies. Notes the mapping underscores importance for freshwater outcomes.	Retain as notified		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Pareraho Forest Trust	FS47.451	Meridian Energy Limited	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy and relevance of the map for its existing Mill Creek wind farm;	Disallow	Disallow S213.031 and delete the map.	Reject
S214.004	Megan Persico (S214)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		Considers farms under 20 hectares is a hobby and livestock welfare falls under MPI not GWRC.	Amend so that small farm registration only applies to farms greater than 20 hectares.		Accept in part
S218.002	Tim Moody (S218)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		Opposes the inclusion of farms between 4-20 due to the requirements placed on small lifestyle block owners and considers the proposed changes make the land incapable of reasonable use.	Exclude lifestyle blocks of circa 4 hectares, with the minimum inclusion size being 10 hectares.  Ensure PC1 does not make land incapable of reasonable use.		Accept in part
S22.021	Lynn Cadenhead (S22)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S222.020	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Include reference to "wetlands" in the chapter.		Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.201	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.176	Forest & Bird	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.912	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.042	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.223	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.198	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.934	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.043	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Enable controls on smaller rural properties even if they are not intensively farmed.		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS1.042	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	The Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 only require freshwater farm plans for horticultural land use on 5 ha or more of land. Requiring farm environment plans for smaller properties would be out of step with national direction.	Disallow	Disallow.	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.224	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.199	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.935	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S222.044	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.225	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.200	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					activities on land with high risk of erosion.					Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.936	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S222.045	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Amend to include deposited sediment.		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.226	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.201	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.937	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S222.046	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Support		To give effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.227	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.202	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.938	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")		access to small rivers.			retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.047	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Consider requiring progressive shading, not just promoting.		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.228	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.203	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.939	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.066	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM and comply with RMA.	Amend list to include "annual nitrogen fertiliser use, the annual stocking rate, and the winter stocking rate is provided to Wellington Regional Council annually."		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.247	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.222	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.958	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.067	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.248	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.223	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.959	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.068	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.249	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.224	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird’s submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.960	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.069	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.250	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.225	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.961	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.070	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.251	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.226	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.962	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS47.303	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose in part	Meridian opposes the requirement in Condition (b) of Rule WH.R27 and Schedule 36 that at least 50% of the area of highest and high erosion risk land must be re-vegetated in permanent woody vegetation where this will conflict with the operational and functional needs of existing lawfully established wind farms. Meridian seeks an exemption from these re-vegetation requirements for lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities and opposes the Rule WH.R30 requirement for discretionary activity consent for non-compliance with this requirement;	Disallow in part	Disallow S222.070 and exclude from Rule WH.R30 farmland comprised within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms.	No recommendation
S222.071	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.252	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.227	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.963	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.072	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.253	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.228	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.964	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.086	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.267	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.242	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.978	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.087	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Enable controls on smaller rural properties even if they are not intensively farmed		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS1.065	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	The Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 only require freshwater farm plans for horticultural land use on 5 ha or more of land. Requiring farm environment plans for smaller properties would be out of step with national direction.	Disallow	Disallow	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.268	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.243	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.979	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.088	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.269	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.244	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.980	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.089	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Amend to include deposited sediment		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.270	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.245	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.981	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.090	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM.	Consider requiring progressive shading, not just promoting		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.271	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.246	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.982	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.108	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		To give effect to NPSFM and comply with RMA.	Amend list to include "annual nitrogen fertiliser use, the annual stocking rate, and the winter stocking rate is provided to Wellington Regional Council annually."		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.289	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.264	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.1000	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought	Allow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.109	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.290	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.265	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.1001	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.110	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.291	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.266	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.1002	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S222.111	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.292	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.267	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.1003	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S222.112	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Support		Gives effect to NPSFM.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.293	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.268	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.1004	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S222.145	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Amend		Considers the provision of fertiliser information to be critical in ensuring council are aware of pressures on a catchment and can set appropriate limits on resource use. This will also complement the reporting of stocking rates.	Include a requirement to report nitrogen fertiliser use.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.326	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.301	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.1037	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S222.146	Environmental Defence Society Inc. (S222)			12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.	Amend		Considers setbacks are required to ensure waterbodies are protected from contaminants and to ensure flood flows do not wash away fencing.	Amend to outline setback distance as a requirement, and to require revegetation of margins (with council support).		Reject
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS9.327	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS23.302	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
	Environmental Defence Society Inc.	FS27.1038	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S224.003	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Amend		Considers the cost of implementing the proposed changes on farms will be very high and will significantly impact farm viability as financial implications fall directly to individual landowners in rural communities. Considers the Plan Change lacks the flexibility to stage work.Considers the proposed changes will significantly devalue properties.	Remove PC1's regulatory approach. or provide a range of targeted support mechanisms to recognise the cost of implementation and to compensate for the ongoing loss of potential farm income.		Reject
	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd	FS47.021	Meridian Energy Limited	General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact		Support in part	Meridian is concerned that proposed PC1 has been promulgated without consultation with all providers of regionally significant infrastructure and without proper consideration of the particular operational and functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure, including Meridian's lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms. Proposed PC1 raises potentially significant adverse operational impacts for regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation activities, that conflict with the National Policy Statements for Renewable Electricity Generation and Electricity Generation. Proposed PC1 also overrides or upends, without reasonable cause, provisions in the operative NRP for regionally significant infrastructure that were settled by	Allow in part	Allow the submission points and withdraw PC1 or, as alternative relief, make the amendments to PC1 detailed in the following further submission points (or such further or other relief as will achieve the outcome sought by the submission points).	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								agreement (including the agreement of GWRC) only recently through mediation of appeals on the NRP. Meridian considers that the particular issues of conflict raised in the following submission are capable of resolution by providing appropriate exclusions or exemptions for regionally significant infrastructure (and particularly for lawfully established existing regionally significant infrastructure). These exclusion or exemption provisions are necessary in order for the region's urban and rural communities to function effectively and efficiently, and to enable achievement of the nation's objectives relating to adaptation to climate change. These objectives include enabling increased electricity generation from renewable sources;			
S224.004	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			General comments	General comments - overall	Amend		Considers there is not sufficient information to know where water quality is a problem are and therefore how to effectively target work and PC1 proposes broad rules across multiple catchments instead of seeking to target interventions for the best outcomes. Notes there is only one water quality monitoring site across Makara and Ohariu's full 15,000 hectares and it only relates to the 8,000 hectare Makara Stream catchment. Considers smaller streams located on Terawhiti have good water quality but stringent land use rules will still apply. Considers the proposed regulatory implications are wide-reaching, create social and financial cost, and risk not achieving the outcomes efficiently. Considers solutions are best achieved on-farm but that streams cross property boundaries an therefore must be part of a catchment-wide approach.	Take a farm-scale and catchment-scale approach, rather than whaitua-wide or across a "Freshwater Management Unit		Reject
	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd	FS47.022	Meridian Energy Limited	General comments	General comments - overall		Support in part	Meridian is concerned that proposed PC1 has been promulgated without consultation with all providers of regionally significant infrastructure and without proper consideration of the particular operational and functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure, including Meridian's lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms. Proposed PC1 raises potentially significant adverse operational impacts for regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation activities, that conflict with the National Policy Statements for Renewable Electricity Generation and Electricity Generation. Proposed PC1 also overrides or upends, without reasonable cause, provisions in the operative NRP for regionally significant infrastructure that were settled by agreement (including the agreement of GWRC) only recently through mediation of appeals on the NRP. Meridian considers that the particular issues of conflict raised in the following submission are capable of resolution by providing appropriate exclusions or exemptions for regionally significant infrastructure (and particularly for lawfully established existing regionally significant infrastructure). These exclusion or exemption provisions are necessary in order for the region's urban and rural communities to function effectively and efficiently, and to enable achievement of the nation's objectives relating to adaptation to climate change. These objectives include enabling increased electricity generation from renewable sources;	Allow in part	Allow the submission points and withdraw PC1 or, as alternative relief, make the amendments to PC1 detailed in the following further submission points (or such further or other relief as will achieve the outcome sought by the submission points).	Reject
S224.006	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the	Support		Supports financial support and rates relief for land retirement. Seeks for compensation for large-scale	Prioritise this work prior to implementing new rules.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					health of rural waterbodies.			land retirement be included. Supports the farm-scale approach proposed.	Integrate farm-scale approach into PC1's sediment and erosion control policies and rules.		
S224.007	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Considers the lack of local water quality monitoring data means GWRC has had to make assumptions based on modelling, which are not fit for purpose. Notes the lack of data also makes it difficult to see where the water quality is and what solutions to implement on farm.	Increase GWRC support for additional water quality monitoring activities in Mākara and Ohariu, including community-led.		Reject
S224.008	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Considers that the sources of E. coli must be known for each catchment to be addressed appropriately.	Add "Identification of sources of e-coli specific to individual catchments".		Reject
S224.009	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Notes a lack of consistency with WH.P22 (nitrogen) and WH.P23 (sediment). Considers work to reduce E-coli levels should only target areas where e-coli is shown to be an issue and there is not currently sufficient monitoring data to determine the levels and sources of e-coli across the multiple catchments. Considers it inappropriate to extrapolate the results of one monitoring site. Seeks landowner farm-scale monitoring be provided for – including feedback loops to monitor the impact of actions.	Add "Incorporate ecoli reduction in catchment context and farm plans, based on monitored data"		Reject
S224.010	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Considers PC1 mapping does not correspond well with ground-trothed information on erosion from landowners. Concerned about both the accuracy of the modelled scenarios and considers it is not fit for purpose in Mākara/Ohariu. Concerns the policy includes generic assumptions on the source of sediment and that the policy focuses on hill country erosion as a source of sediment and not streambank erosion in high flow events – anecdotally a much higher contributor to sediment loss. Supports revegetation of vulnerable areas of farms but suggests there are multiple options for revegetation sites that best work within the farm system. Considers the area forced into retirement will be much bigger than the red areas mapped due to the need to aggregate areas and work with the landscape to locate sensible fencelines.	Identify sediment sources by using a farm-scale assessment of sediment sources rather than the erosion-risk mapping. Refocus this section on identifying "sediment sources" rather than erosion risk land/pasture.		Accept in part
S224.011	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		There are likely more sources of erosion than from hillsides. Emphasised the role of other existing management techniques such as low stocking rates and good pasture cover.	Refocus from "erosion risk" to "sediment management".		Accept in part
S224.012	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Concerned about the timeframe for transitioning to woody vegetation and how long it will take for vegetation to establish given conditions at this location. Expressed concern about cost of maintaining woody vegetation and potential for growth of pest plants. Mentioned potential conflict between revegetation and nearby windfarms. Considers that the modelling is inaccurate and that retirement of farmland should not be required where there are no erosion issues.	Remove blanket approach and rely on the bespoke actions and timeframes that will be identified through farm-scale assessment, including via the audited Freshwater Farm Plans.		Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd	FS47.171	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Meridian opposes a requirement for re-vegetation with woody vegetation in close proximity to wind turbines where the vegetation could impede wind flow and could become an obstacle to the maintenance, repair and upgrading of lawfully- established wind farms. Meridian agrees that farm-scale assessment should be undertaken, including of mitigation measures to ensure they do not conflict with existing lawfully established activities such as wind farms;	Allow	Allow S224.012 by deleting clause ( c ) (i).	Accept
S224.013	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Support revegetating streams in theory but does not support this blanket rule due to the number of small streams, the costs, and the impracticality of fencing large swathes of land particularly with intersecting gullies that are flood zones, Considers farm-scale analysis of risk and solutions is critical – rather than blanket restrictions. Considers there is risk to animal welfare if livestock do not have access to streams for drinking water, due to standard risks of reticulated water supply infrastructure functioning well in hill country paddocks.	Make consistent with the associated Rule regarding reduced access where practical rather than restricted access.  Replace “restrict” with “reduce through non-regulatory means ”.  Amend the policy wording to match the heading about river size.		Accept in part
S224.014	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		Recognises the value of riparian planting of natives and poplar/willows for shade where practical but notes planting to date has not been 100% successful due to the climate and wind conditions on the property.	Amend to “where economically practical to do so”		Reject
S224.015	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers that additional farm plan work is unnecessary when there is an existing similar process under national regulation.	Ensure that the details of this rule are consistent with the content and timeframes for Freshwater Farm Plans.		Reject
	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd	FS1.053	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support	This farm plan requirement should not create any duplication with Freshwater Farm Plans.	Allow	Allow.	Reject
S224.016	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Recognises the value of riparian planting of natives and poplar/willows for shade where practical but notes planting to date has not been 100% successful due to the climate and wind conditions on the property.	Remove since this can be instead incorporate into certified/audited Freshwater Farm Plans as catchment context.		Reject
S224.017	Terawhiti Farming Co Ltd (S224)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Recognises the value of riparian planting of natives and poplar/willows for shade where practical but notes planting to date has not been 100% successful due to the climate and wind conditions on the property.	Remove since this can be instead incorporate into certified/audited Freshwater Farm Plans as catchment context.		Reject
S225.012	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Not stated	Delete unnecessary requirements for rural properties, particularly smaller properties (between 4-20 ha);		Accept
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.840	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S225.019	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Amend		Not stated	Amend proposed definition of a 'drain' that would result in all drains being considered 'modified streams';		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS11.009	GILLIES GROUP MANAGEMENT LTD	General comments	General comments - definitions		Support	Support the need to amend the proposed definition of a 'drain' that would result in all drains being considered 'modified streams'	Allow	Support submission point in full	Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS30.009	Pukerua Holdings Limited	General comments	General comments - definitions		Support	Support the need to amend the proposed definition of a 'drain' that would result in all drains being considered 'modified streams'	Allow	Support submission point in full	Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.847	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - definitions		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS28.124	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	General comments	General comments - definitions		Not stated	Changes proposed will improve clarity of the provisions.	Not stated	Not stated	No recommendation
S225.034	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			2 Interpretation	Erosion risk treatment plan	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.862	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Erosion risk treatment plan		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.036	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Amend		Support as mapped areas are consistent with areas identified as high slope in Council's Proposed Plan Change 47.	Seek consistency with District Council hazard mapping.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.864	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S225.037	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)	Amend		Support as mapped areas are consistent with areas identified as high slope in Council's Proposed Plan Change 47.	Seek consistency with District Council hazard mapping.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.865	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	High erosion risk land (pasture)		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S225.047	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			2 Interpretation	Stock unit	Amend		Concerned no consistent stock unit numbers used across New Zealand. Notes importance that numbers selected have a clear basis relating to the region that justifies differences to numbers used elsewhere e.g., the Waikato Region. Considers it easier for land owners and managers if stock units were simplified to recognise these numbers will change as stock ages. Concerns it is more of an issue for smaller properties, which are likely to have more stock variability.	Seek justification for rationalisation of stock unit numbers to make this easier for landowners.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.875	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Stock unit		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.055	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		Concerns with unknown registration process, noting it will be too onerous for owners of small lifestyle blocks undertaking this level of assessment with limited time and financial resources.	Delete this method in its entirety and for provisions in this plan change to relate solely to farms over 20ha.		Accept
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS1.025	Horticulture New Zealand	6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support	This is an onerous requirement for small properties.	Allow in part	Delete this method.	Accept
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.883	Forest & Bird	6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.083	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Concerned this is a substantial change for livestock farmers and horticulture and will result in need for them to change practices significantly at great cost in a time when they are suffering from a cost of living crisis and New Zealand is struggling with a food security issue. Notes need to be supported by funding and guidance.	Seek clarity on how this will be implemented and funded, and the support that will be provided to achieve this.		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS1.040	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	The method of capping nitrogen discharges from individual properties is not supported. Capping discharges on every property is not a targeted approach and may adversely affect local fruit and vegetable production, which is of great importance to the local community and beneficial for regional food security.	Allow in part	Amend WH.P21 (a) as follows, "capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges from individual rural properties in accordance with WH.P22, WH.P23 and WH.P24...". Delete WH.P21 (a).	Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.911	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Escherichia coli from farming activities.					with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	
S225.084	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Oppose		Considers it unclear what is meant by “smaller rural properties”, questions if intended is to be captured by thresholds under (c)? Note it appears to duplicate much of policy WH.P21 and WH.P23, see comments on WH.P21.	Delete this policy and combine with policy WH.P21 and provide clarity on what is meant by ‘smaller farms’.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.912	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S225.085	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Considers policy is going to incur significant costs for landowners and could mean retirement of large areas of land which will reduce productive capacity on site with consequential economic effects. Refer to previous comments on cost of living and food security.	Retain largely as notified, with particular emphasis on clause (d) to support implementation for landowners, including funding and guidance to assist them through the transition.		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.913	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.086	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Amend		Policy is supported in principle but considers given the large number of landowners in the district, this is overly ambitious, particularly if plan change is not operative by then, which is entirely possible given timeframe over which the operative NRP took to develop. Considers use of and/or is inappropriate.	Seek that extended timeframes for implementation are considered and that the policy is amended to read: Farm environment plans required in accordance with Policy WH.P22 and Policy WH.P23 shall be provided according to a phased timetable that prioritises those part Freshwater Management Units where Table 8.4 shows that suspended fine sediment has a baseline state of D and/or where dissolved inorganic nitrogen is shown as being in need of improvement, and so that, in all cases, farm environment plans are prepared and certified by 30 June 202732.		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.914	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										submission points and specific relief.	
S225.087	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Considers this overly onerous on small properties and considers other policies will apply that already impose significant costs to landowners and regional ratepayers to fund this work for a marginal level of improvement above other mandatory requirements proposed in this plan change. Numerous smaller properties have limited production occurring on them and the registration process set out in Schedule 35 appears particularly onerous for smaller properties.	Delete this policy and associated provisions, such as Schedule 35, and focus on larger properties where more meaningful outcomes can be achieved.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS1.045	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	This policy is overly onerous and could prevent crop rotation, an essential practice for soil health and preventing pests and disease.	Allow	Delete this policy and associated provisions.	Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.915	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S225.088	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Supports intent to exclude livestock to streams where water quality is poor. Considers stock exclusions should be flexible enough to not require fencing in steep areas where stock are not anticipated to go. Seeks acknowledgment that pest species including deer, pigs and goats are a substantial issue in these areas – particularly in Mangaroa valley, these pest species have flourished. Considers the most practicable options should be considered for exclusion of access to these streams.	Seek acknowledgement of pest species contribution to e.coli in these areas and management of these pests within the regional parks and forests which surround the Mangaroa River catchment.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.916	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S225.089	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.917	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.110	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares –	Oppose		Opposed to additional burden this will place on small landowners. Notes a different threshold throughout PC1 where properties over 5 ha are identified rather than 4 ha. Considers many of these smaller properties have limited production occurring on them and registration process set out in Schedule 35 appears to be particularly onerous for smaller properties.	Delete rule and associated provisions, such as Schedule 35, and focus on larger properties where more can be achieved.		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					permitted activity.						
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.938	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.111	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.939	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.112	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Concerned this could potentially burden landowners, date could also give people no time especially if the NRP Plan Change 1 takes a while to go through the process. Seeks acknowledgment that Pest species including deer, pigs and goats that are a substantial issue in these areas – particularly in the Mangaroa valley. Consider that the most practicable options should be considered for the exclusion of access to these streams.	Seek acknowledgment that pest species including deer, pigs and goats are a substantial issue in areas – particularly in Mangaroa Valley.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.940	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S225.113	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Amend		Supports intent but concerned timeframe identified is unrealistic given this goes beyond regulations in the NESFW, and due to costs it is unrealistic to ask landowners to go that fast, especially given the focus on current NES requirements first and streams may be in steep areas which are harder to fence. Seeks acknowledgment pest species including deer, pigs and goats are a substantial issue in these areas – particularly in Mangaroa valley. Seeks this provision is supported by pest management on GW land to prevent pest species entering landowners properties.	Seek timeframe amended to end of 2026 so relevant landowners are able to understand it prior to being required to comply with rule.  Seek flexibility on how stock exclusion is managed.  Seek provision supported by pest management on GW land to prevent pest species entering land owners properties.		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.941	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S225.114	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Amend		Concern related to affordability and achievability of provisions are expressed in relation to policies which are related to this rule.	Seek that consultation is undertaken affected landowners, and timeframes are realistic and achievable within resource constraints of communities.		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.942	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.115	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Amend		Concern related to affordability and achievability of provisions are expressed in relation to policies which are related to this rule.	Seek plan change process is paused and direct consultation is undertaken with affected landowners, and timeframes are realistic and achievable within resource constraints of communities.		Reject
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.943	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S225.116	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Amend		Submitter refers to other rules which default into this non-complying rule.	Seek rule is reviewed and any consequential amendments made in relation to concerns raised in submission, in respect of other inter-related provisions.		Accept in part
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.944	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.124	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Oppose		Concerned about increased burden on landowners. Considers Section 32 analysis does not assess if this, and the associated provision framework, including WH.R26 is the most efficient and appropriate way to achieve purpose of act. Instead, this specific requirement is assessed as an options package alongside other unrelated provisions.	Remove the requirement for small farm registration and address relief sought in rule WH.R26		Accept
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.952	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.125	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in	Amend		Supports intent of Schedule 36 but is concerned timeframe is unachievable, particularly as this could involve slower techniques, since slopes can be difficult to access and very costly to plant and manage. Notes requirement is going to incur significant costs for landowners and could mean	Seek timeframes are achievable.  Seek support for implementation for landowners, including funding and guidance to assist them through transition.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.			retirement of large areas of land that will reduce the productive capacity on site with consequential economic effects.			
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.953	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S225.127	Upper Hutt City Council (S225)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Oppose		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS11.027	GILLIES GROUP MANAGEMENT LTD	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Agrees that the erosion prone maps need to be amended to align with district council hazard mapping.	Allow	Support submission point in full	No recommendation
	Upper Hutt City Council	FS23.955	Forest & Bird	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S229.003	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Amend		Considers the cost of implementing the proposed changes on farms will be very high and will significantly impact farm viability as financial implications fall directly to individual landowners in rural communities. Considers the Plan Change lacks the flexibility to stage work. Considers the proposed changes will significantly devalue properties.	Remove PC1's regulatory approach. or provide a range of targeted support mechanisms to recognise the cost of implementation and to compensate for the ongoing loss of potential farm income.		Reject
	Te Kamaru Station Ltd	FS47.026	Meridian Energy Limited	General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact		Support in part	Meridian is concerned that proposed PC1 has been promulgated without consultation with all providers of regionally significant infrastructure and without proper consideration of the particular operational and functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure, including Meridian's lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms. Proposed PC1 raises potentially significant adverse operational impacts for regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation activities, that conflict with the National Policy Statements for Renewable Electricity Generation and Electricity Generation. Proposed PC1 also overrides or upends, without reasonable cause, provisions in the operative NRP for regionally significant infrastructure that were settled by agreement (including the agreement of GWRC) only recently through mediation of appeals on the NRP.	Allow in part	Allow the submission points and withdraw PC1 or, as alternative relief, make the amendments to PC1 detailed in the following further submission points (or such further or other relief as will achieve the outcome sought by the submission points).	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Meridian considers that the particular issues of conflict raised in the following submission are capable of resolution by providing appropriate exclusions or exemptions for regionally significant infrastructure (and particularly for lawfully established existing regionally significant infrastructure). These exclusion or exemption provisions are necessary in order for the region's urban and rural communities to function effectively and efficiently, and to enable achievement of the nation's objectives relating to adaptation to climate change. These objectives include enabling increased electricity generation from renewable sources;			
S229.004	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			General comments	General comments - overall	Amend		Considers there is not sufficient information to know where water quality is a problem are and therefore how to effectively target work and PC1 proposes broad rules across multiple catchments instead of seeking to target interventions for the best outcomes. Notes there is only one water quality monitoring site across Makara and Ohariu's full 15,000 hectares and it only relates to the 8,000 hectare Makara Stream catchment. Considers smaller streams located on Terawhiti have good water quality but stringent land use rules will still apply. Considers the proposed regulatory implications are wide-reaching, create social and financial cost, and risk not achieving the outcomes efficiently. Considers solutions are best achieved on-farm but that streams cross property boundaries an therefore must be part of a catchment-wide approach.	Take a farm-scale and catchment-scale approach, rather than whitua-wide or across a "Freshwater Management Unit		Reject
	Te Kamaru Station Ltd	FS47.027	Meridian Energy Limited	General comments	General comments - overall		Support in part	Meridian is concerned that proposed PC1 has been promulgated without consultation with all providers of regionally significant infrastructure and without proper consideration of the particular operational and functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure, including Meridian's lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms. Proposed PC1 raises potentially significant adverse operational impacts for regionally significant infrastructure, including renewable electricity generation activities, that conflict with the National Policy Statements for Renewable Electricity Generation and Electricity Generation. Proposed PC1 also overrides or upends, without reasonable cause, provisions in the operative NRP for regionally significant infrastructure that were settled by agreement (including the agreement of GWRC) only recently through mediation of appeals on the NRP. Meridian considers that the particular issues of conflict raised in the following submission are capable of resolution by providing appropriate exclusions or exemptions for regionally significant infrastructure (and particularly for lawfully established existing regionally significant infrastructure). These exclusion or exemption provisions are necessary in order for the region's urban and rural communities to function effectively and efficiently, and to enable achievement of the nation's objectives relating to adaptation to climate change. These objectives include enabling increased electricity generation from renewable sources;	Allow in part	Allow the submission points and withdraw PC1 or, as alternative relief, make the amendments to PC1 detailed in the following further submission points (or such further or other relief as will achieve the outcome sought by the submission points).	Reject
S229.006	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Is pleased to see that a range of financial support options for land retirement are proposed, including rates relief and would like to see this also include compensation if large-scale land retirement	Prioritise this work prior to implementing new rules. Integrate farm-scale approach into PC1's sediment and erosion control policies and rules.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								progresses. Also pleased to see the farm-scale approach promoted.			
S229.007	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Considers the lack of local water quality monitoring data means GWRC has had to make assumptions based on modelling, which are not fit for purpose. Notes the lack of data also makes it difficult to see where the water quality is and what solutions to implement on farm.	Increase GWRC support for additional water quality monitoring activities in Mākara and Ohariu, including community-led.		Reject
S229.008	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Considers that the sources of E. coli must be known for each catchment to be addressed appropriately.	Add “Identification of sources of e-coli specific to individual catchments”.		Reject
S229.009	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Notes a lack of consistency with WH.P22 (nitrogen) and WH.P23 (sediment). Considers work to reduce E-coli levels should only target areas where e-coli is shown to be an issue and there is not currently sufficient monitoring data to determine the levels and sources of e-coli across the multiple catchments. Considers it inappropriate to extrapolate the results of one monitoring site. Seeks landowner farm-scale monitoring be provided for – including feedback loops to monitor the impact of actions.	Add “Incorporate e-coli reduction in catchment context and farm plans, based on monitored data” – to allow a farm-scale approach as per nitrogen and sediment.		Reject
S229.010	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Considers PC1 mapping does not correspond well with ground-truthed information on erosion from landowners. Concerned about both the accuracy of the modelled scenarios and considers it is not fit for purpose in Mākara/Ohariu. Concerns the policy includes generic assumptions on the source of sediment and that the policy focuses on hill country erosion as a source of sediment and not streambank erosion in high flow events – anecdotally a much higher contributor to sediment loss. Supports revegetation of vulnerable areas of farms but suggests there are multiple options for revegetation sites that best work within the farm system. Considers the area forced into retirement will be much bigger than the red areas mapped due to the need to aggregate areas and work with the landscape to locate sensible fencelines.	Identify sediment sources by using a farm-scale assessment of sediment sources rather than the erosion-risk mapping. Refocus this section on identifying “sediment sources” rather than erosion risk land/pasture.		Accept in part
S229.011	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		There are likely more sources of erosion than from hillsides. Emphasised the role of other existing management techniques such as low stocking rates and good pasture cover.	Refocus from “erosion risk” to “sediment management”.		Accept in part
S229.012	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Clause (c) Concerned this provision will financially cripple many farms given the large area, timeframes and requirement to retire the land. Using poplars and willows (alongside grazing) is unlikely to be successful on submitter's steepest areas given the high-wind nature of the landscape and based on trial work to date. Therefore fencing and retirement will be the only tool available. Native planting will not be affordable on this scale and natural reversion will take a very long time to establish, including a significant period through gorse, creating a seed source within	Remove blanket approach and rely on the bespoke actions and timeframes that will be identified through farm-scale assessment, including via the audited Freshwater Farm Plans.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								farms. The provision's requirement to "maintain" the woody vegetation will be unviable, given the large-scale land retirement and reduced farm income from less productive land and high fencing costs incurred. Another challenge to revegetation is working alongside Meridian's wind farms (crossing six of the submitter's farms) where afforestation needs to be designed to not impede wind flow.'			
	Te Kamaru Station Ltd	FS47.172	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Meridian opposes a requirement for re-vegetation with woody vegetation in close proximity to wind turbines where the vegetation could impede wind flow and could become an obstacle to the maintenance, repair and upgrading of lawfully- established wind farms;	Allow	Allow S229.012 by deleting clause ( c ) (i).	Accept in part
S229.013	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Support revegetating streams in theory but does not support this blanket rule due to the number of small streams, the costs, and the impracticality of fencing large swathes of land particularly with intersecting gullies that are flood zones, Considers farm-scale analysis of risk and solutions is critical – rather than blanket restrictions. Considers there is risk to animal welfare if livestock do not have access to streams for drinking water, due to standard risks of reticulated water supply infrastructure functioning well in hill country paddocks.	Make consistent with the associated Rule regarding reduced access where practical rather than restricted access.  Replace "restrict" with "reduce through non-regulatory means".  Amend the policy wording to match the heading about river size.		Accept in part
S229.014	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		Recognises the value of riparian planting of natives and poplar/willows for shade where practical but notes planting to date has not been 100% successful due to the climate and wind conditions on the property.	Amend to "where economically practical to do so"		Reject
S229.015	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers that additional farm plan work is unnecessary when there is an existing similar process under national regulation.	Ensure that the details of this rule are consistent with the content and timing for Freshwater Farm Plans		Reject
S229.016	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Recognises the value of riparian planting of natives and poplar/willows for shade where practical but notes planting to date has not been 100% successful due to the climate and wind conditions on the property.	Remove since this can be instead incorporate into certified/audited Freshwater Farm Plans as catchment context.		Reject
S229.017	Te Kamaru Station Ltd (S229)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Recognises the value of riparian planting of natives and poplar/willows for shade where practical but notes planting to date has not been 100% successful due to the climate and wind conditions on the property.	Remove since this can be instead incorporate into certified/audited Freshwater Farm Plans as catchment context.		Reject
S231.004	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Not Stated		Concerned cost implications on farms as a result of proposed changes will be very high and will significantly impact farm viability. Notes unlike PC1 changes that impact urban areas, the financial implications fall directly to individual landowners in rural communities. Considers PC1 does not give flexibility to stage work, unlike three waters work where many costs are dispersed through rates increases / council debt over time. Submitter expects the proposed changes will significantly devalue properties given high cost of implementation and reduction in farm incomes. Seeks that council first and foremost remove PC1's regulatory approach	Seeks removal of PC1's regulatory approach.  If this does not occur, then expects council to provide a range of targeted support mechanisms to recognise the cost of implementation and to compensate for the ongoing loss of potential farm income.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								proposed. If this does not occur, then we expect council to provide a range of targeted support mechanisms to recognise the cost of implementation and to compensate for the ongoing loss of potential farm income.			
S231.005	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Submitter notes insufficient information to know where water quality is a problem and notes no real data to show the source of these contaminants (both activity and location) or the natural state. Concerned about use of one water quality monitoring site for both the Ohariu and Makara catchments. Considers PC1 addresses the lack of local water quality information by bluntly proposing broad rules across multiple catchments instead of seeking to target interventions for the best outcomes. Considers the proposed regulatory implications are wide-reaching, create huge social and financial cost and risk not achieving the outcomes efficiently.	Seeks GWRC take a farm-scale and catchment-scale approach, rather than whaitua-wide or across a “Freshwater Management Unit” to acknowledge that solutions are best achieved on-farm but that streams cross property boundaries and therefore must be part of a catchment-wide approach.		Reject
S231.007	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Supports range of financial support options for land retirement (including rates relief) and the farm-scale approach being promoted.	Seeks farm scale approach is better integrated into PC1’s sediment and erosion control policies and rules and seeks financial compensation if large-scale land retirement progresses.		Accept in part
S231.008	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Considers lack of local water quality monitoring data means GWRC has had to make assumptions based on modelling, which submitter considers are not fit for purpose. Notes lack of data also makes it difficult for submitter to see where the water quality is and what solutions are required.	GWRC provide more support for additional water quality monitoring activities in Makara and Ohariu, including community-led		Reject
S231.009	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Notes lack of consistency with WH.P22 and WH.P23 and notes not enough sufficient monitoring data to determine the levels and sources of e-coli across the multiple catchments. Considers it inappropriate to extrapolate results of one monitoring site across all of Mākara and Ohariu, given differences in catchments/sub-catchment. Considers local water quality studies need to be carried out and the option for landowner farm-scale monitoring provided for – including feedback loops to monitor the impact of actions.	Add wording: “Incorporate e-coli reduction in catchment context and farm plans, based on monitored data” – to allow a farm-scale approach as per nitrogen and sediment.		Reject
S231.010	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Concerned about accuracy of the modelled scenarios and mapping, particularly with soil types. Considers modelling coarse and not fit for purpose in Mākara/Ohariu. Concerned that policy focuses on hill country erosion as a source of sediment and not streambank erosion in high flow events – anecdotally a much higher contributor to sediment loss. Supports revegetation of vulnerable areas of farms in order to reduce flood flows and streambank erosion – but notes there are multiple options for revegetation sites that best work within the farm system. Considers areas forced into retirement will be larger than anticipated due to need to aggregate areas and work with the landscape to locate sensible fencelines.	Identify sediment sources by using a farm-scale assessment of sediment sources rather than the erosion-risk mapping in PC1.  Refocus section on identifying “sediment sources” rather than erosion risk land/pasture.		Accept in part
S231.011	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Considers sources of sediment are likely broader than erosion on hillsides. Notes this will help acknowledge other existing sediment management techniques such as low stocking rates and good pasture cover.	Refocus from “erosion risk” to “sediment management”.		Accept in part
S231.012	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te	Policy WH.P23:	Oppose		Notes provision will financially cripple many farms given large area, timeframes and requirement to retire	Remove blanket approach and rely on bespoke actions and timeframes identified		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
				Whanganui-a-Tara	Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.			land. Concerns with requirements to revegetate land within short timeframes.Considers woody vegetation will need to be natural reversion and is unlikely to be successful and fencing and retirement will be the only tool available.Notes the provision's requirement to "maintain" the woody vegetation will be unviable, given the large-scale land retirement and reduced farm income from less productive land and high fencing costs incurred. Notes additional challenges with the wind farms and revegetation needing not to impede wind flows.The policy relies on modelling that submitter considers is inaccurate. Concerns it makes no sense to retire farmland where there is no erosion issue.	through farm-scale assessment, including via the audited Freshwater Farm Plans.		
	Te Marama Ltd	FS47.173	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Meridian opposes a requirement for re-vegetation with woody vegetation in close proximity to wind turbines where the vegetation could impede wind flow and could become an obstacle to the maintenance, repair and upgrading of lawfully- established wind farms;	Allow	Allow S231.012 by deleting clause ( c ) (i).	Accept in part
S231.013	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Supports revegetating streams in theory but does not support the blanket rule.Notes preference to Farm-scale analysis of risk and solutions rather than blanket restrictions. Notes risk to increased animal welfare issues if livestock do not have access to streams for drinking water, due to standard risks of reticulated water supply infrastructure functioning well in hill country paddocks. A farm-scale approach needs to be supported to help deliver solutions such as sediment retention / stockwater ponds and for policy to be consistent with the associated Rule regarding reduced access where practical rather than restricted access.	Replace "restrict" with "reduce through non-regulatory means".  Amend the policy wording to match the heading about river size.		Accept in part
S231.014	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Notes value of riparian planting of natives and poplar/willows for shade where practical but notes concerns about success due to potential issues with climate and wind conditions.	Amend to "where economically practical to do so"		Reject
S231.015	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers this a double-up as existing processes are already in play under national regulation.	Ensure details of this rule are consistent with content and timing for Freshwater Farm Plans		Reject
S231.016	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Supports revegetating streams in theory but does not support the blanket rule.Notes preference to Farm-scale analysis of risk and solutions rather than blanket restrictions. Notes risk to increased animal welfare issues if livestock do not have access to streams for drinking water, due to standard risks of reticulated water supply infrastructure functioning well in hill country paddocks. A farm-scale approach needs to be supported to help deliver solutions such as sediment retention / stockwater ponds and for policy to be consistent with the associated Rule regarding reduced access where practical rather than restricted access.	Remove rule as this can be instead incorporate into certified/audited Freshwater Farm Plans as catchment context.		Reject
S231.017	Te Marama Ltd (S231)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river –	Oppose		Supports revegetating streams in theory but does not support the blanket rule.Notes preference to Farm-scale analysis of risk and solutions rather than blanket restrictions. Notes risk to increased animal welfare	Remove rule as this can be instead incorporate into certified/audited Freshwater Farm Plans as catchment context.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					permitted activity.			issues if livestock do not have access to streams for drinking water, due to standard risks of reticulated water supply infrastructure functioning well in hill country paddocks. A farm-scale approach needs to be supported to help deliver solutions such as sediment retention / stockwater ponds and for policy to be consistent with the associated Rule regarding reduced access where practical rather than restricted access.			
S234.006	David and Pauline Innes (S234)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Objects to the stock number limitation as not appropriate for a rural area. Considers the limitations on stock do not seem to take adequate account of the differences in the effect on waterways of different stock types.	Not stated		No recommendation
S234.007	David and Pauline Innes (S234)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Concerned the effects of pest species on publicly owned land have not been taken into account sufficiently. Considers that GWRC, DOC and HCC need to undertake more pest control on public land and that private landowners should not be restricted because of the effects of pest animals on poorly managed public land.	Not stated		No recommendation
S238.005	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			2 Interpretation	Nitrogen discharge risk	Amend		Notes definition is incorrectly worded.	Amend as follows: The quantitative assessment of nitrogen loss risk as determined using a recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool		Reject
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.308	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Nitrogen discharge risk		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S238.006	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool	Amend		Notes definition is incorrectly worded.	Amend as follows: The tool that provides a quantitative assessment of risk of diffuse nitrogen discharge from rural land that has been approved for use as a recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool by the Wellington Regional Council.		Reject
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.309	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S238.008	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Amend		Notes that consequential amendment is required as a result of changes to Schedule	Amend definition as follows: A programme prepared in compliance with Schedule 36A (farm environment plan - additional Small stream riparian programme)		Reject
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.311	Forest & Bird	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										submission points and specific relief.	
S238.019	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers rules should relates to effective area used rather than parcel size	Amend as follows: "Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 and 20 hectares of land - permitted activity The use of land on a property of 4 hectares or more and less than 20 hectares of land on a property for:" (d) the property is registered with the Wellington Regional Council in accordance with Schedule 35 (farm registration) by 1 August 30 October 2025, and (e) from 30 October 2025 the nitrogen discharge risk... (or other suitable date)		Reject
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS1.051	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support in part	Rules should relate to the effective area used rather than parcel size.	Allow in part	Amend Rule WH.R26 as follows: "Farming activities on a property of between 4 and 20 hectares of land - permitted activity The use of land on a property of 4 hectares or more and less than 20 hectares for..."	Reject
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.322	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S238.020	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers wording is not clear when certification of the FEP is required.	Amend as follows: (c) within six months of the a farm environment plan being supplied to council a farm environment plan certifier certifies in writing that...." Or make such other amendment as necessary to ensure that date by which certification is required is clear and that the Wellington Regional Council is advised of, and supplied with, the final certified version of the FEP		Accept
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS1.052	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	The Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 call for an 18-month transition period from when freshwater farm plans are phased in for the region to when they must be submitted to a certifier. It is then the obligation of the certifier to send the certification to the council.	Disallow	Disallow. Amend as follows: a farm environment plan in respect of the land and associated land use is supplied to a farm environment plan certifier Wellington Regional Council by within 18 months after the date set out in Table 8.6 for the part Freshwater Management Unit in which the farm is located, and...	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										(c) a farm environment plan certifier certifies in writing that: (i) the farm environment plan supplied to the Wellington Regional Council has been prepared in accordance with, and meets the requirements of Schedule Z (farm environment plan) and the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023, Schedule 36 (farm environment plan - additional), or (ii) where the farm environment plan is certified under section 217G of Part 9A of the RMA, that the farm environment plan meets the requirements of condition (b), and...	
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.323	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S238.021	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers provisions are unclear and inferred an FEP was required for all properties which was not the intent	Delete clauses (b) and (c) and replace with the following: (b) a small stream riparian programme is prepared in accordance with Schedule 36A (Small Stream Riparian Plan) and, (c) if a farm environment plan for the property is required by any rule in this plan, included in that farm environment plan; and (d) if condition (c) applies, a farm environment plan certifier certifies in writing that, in addition to the requirements of Schedule Z (farm environment plans) and Schedule 36 (farm environment plans - additional matters), the farm environment plan meets the requirements of Schedule 36A (Small Stream Riparian Programme), and (e) If not included within a farm environment plan, the small stream riparian programme has been certified as meeting the requirements of Schedule 36A (Small Stream Riparian Plan) by a person approved by the Wellington Regional Council.		Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.324	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S238.022	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Amend		Notes reference to 'change' in land use is incorrect	Amend Rule WH.30 (b) as follows: if the most recent Wellington Regional Council monitoring record at the time the application is lodged demonstrates that the concentration of Escherichia coli, for the relevant catchment exceeds the target attribute state at any monitoring site within the relevant part Freshwater Management Unit set out in Table 8.4, the land use change is not to pastoral land use.		Accept
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.325	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS47.302	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Meridian opposes the requirement in Condition (b) of Rule WH.R27 and Schedule 36 that at least 50% of the area of highest and high erosion risk land must be re-vegetated in permanent woody vegetation where this will conflict with the operational and functional needs of existing lawfully established wind farms. Meridian seeks an exemption from these re-vegetation requirements for lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities and opposes the Rule WH.R30 requirement for discretionary activity consent for non-compliance with this requirement;	Disallow	Disallow S238.022 and exclude from Rule WH.R30 farmland comprised within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms.	Reject
S238.031	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		Notes rule should relate to effective area used rather than parcel size, and dates in this provision should be consistent	Amend as follows: "Rule P.R25: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares of land- permitted activity The use of land on a property of 4 hectares or more and less than 20 hectares of land on a property for:" (d) the property is registered with the Wellington Regional Council in accordance with Schedule 35 (farm registration) by 1 August 30 October 2025, and (e) from 30 October 2025 the nitrogen discharge risk... (or other suitable date)		Reject
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS1.074	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support in part	Rules should relate to the effective area used rather than parcel size.	Allow in part	Amend Rule P.R25 as follows: "Farming activities on a property of between 4 and 20 hectares of land - permitted activity The use of land on a property of 4 hectares or more and	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										less than 20 hectares for...	
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.334	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S238.032	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers wording is not clear when certification of the FEP is required.	Amend as follows: (c) within six months of the farm environment plan being supplied to council a farm environment plan certifier certifies in writing that...." Or make such other amendment as necessary to ensure that date by which certification is required is clear and that the Wellington Regional council is advised of, and supplied with, the final certified version of the FEP.		Accept
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS1.075	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	The Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 call for an 18-month transition period from when freshwater farm plans are phased in for the region to when they must be submitted to a certifier. It is then the obligation of the certifier to send the certification to the council.	Disallow	Disallow. Amend as follows: a farm environment plan in respect of the land and associated land use is supplied to a farm environment plan certifier Wellington Regional Council by within 18 months after the date set out in Table 8.6 for the part Freshwater Management Unit in which the farm is located, and... (c) a farm environment plan certifier certifies in writing that: (i) the farm environment plan supplied to the Wellington Regional Council has been prepared in accordance with, and meets the requirements of Schedule Z (farm environmentplan) and the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023, Schedule 36 (farm environment plan - additional), or (ii) where the farm environment plan is certified under	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										section 217G of Part 9A of the RMA, that the farm environment plan meets the requirements of condition (b), and...	
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.335	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S238.033	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.	Amend		Considers dates may be premature given likely FW-FP roll-out and there is a need to ensure dates and requirements of NRP align with those gazetted for Freshwater Farm Plans under national regulations.	Delete Table 9.5 and replace reference in Rule P.R26 (a) to "the date specified in Table 9.5 for the part Freshwater Management Unit where the land is located" with the specific date of 1 December 2027 or such other date may be specified in the NZ Gazette as the date on which Freshwater Farm Plans must be submitted for certification on Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua. Or, in the alternative, make whatever amendments to the rule as may be necessary to ensure alignment between the timing of provision of FEPs and any FW-FPs as may be required by national regulations.		Accept in part
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.336	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S238.034	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Amend		Notes the reference to change in land use is incorrect.	Amend Rule P.R.27 (b) as follows:  (b) if the most recent Wellington Regional Council monitoring record at the time the application is lodged demonstrates that the concentration of Escherichia coli, for the relevant catchment exceeds the target attribute state at any monitoring site within the relevant part Freshwater Management Unit set out in Table 9.2, the use of land under Rule P.R26 is not changed to pastoral land use.		Accept
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.337	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S238.035	Greater Wellington			12 Schedules	A Certification requirements under the	Amend		Notes this part omits to mention the Small Stream Riparian Programme now required under Schedule 36A	Insert new 2 (da) as follows: The requirements in relation to a small		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Regional Council (S238)				Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.				streams riparian programme set out in Schedule 36A, and		
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.338	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	A Certification requirements under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S238.036	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.	Amend		Considers small stream riparian programme needs to apply to 4-20 hectare properties and therefore should exist independent of an FEP	Amend Part F of Schedule 36 as follows: F Small stream riparian programme A farm environment plan for a farm in the Makara or Mangaroa catchment must include a small stream riparian programme that contains the following the matters set out in Schedule 36A.  Delete the balance of Part F		Reject
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.339	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S238.037	Greater Wellington Regional Council (S238)			12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.	Amend		Considers small stream riparian programme needs to apply to 4-20 hectare properties and therefore should exist independent of an FEP	Add a new Schedule 36A as follows: Schedule 36A: Small stream riparian programme A small stream riparian programme for a property or farm in the Makara or Mangaroa catchments must contain the following: 1. An assessment of the risk of cattle, farmed, deer or farmed pigs accessing rivers that are less than 1m wide and the associated risk of stream bed erosion, direct deposition of animal excreta and disturbance of beds. 2. An assessment of the: (a) options and feasibility of those options, for excluding cattle, farmed deer and farmed pigs from small rivers where the risks are assessed as high, and (b) Any adverse effects of establishing permanent fencing and whether these effects outweigh the benefits of permanent fencing. 3. Where fencing is not practicable, or the adverse effect of fencing outweigh the benefits, the measures to be taken to minimise the necessity or propensity for cattle, farmed deer or farmed pigs to access rivers (including provision of reticulated drinking water and stock shelter/shading. 4. Where the exclusion of cattle, farmed deer and farmed pigs is not achievable, a riparian revegetation programme is to be		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									implemented as an offset measure for unavoidable effects.		
	Greater Wellington Regional Council	FS23.340	Forest & Bird	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Support	Submission points will help clarify plan provisions.	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S240.022	Porirua City Council (S240)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Supports in principle but considers method lacks detail in terms of timing and methodology.	Amend method to include timeframes and methodology for all actions.		Reject
	Porirua City Council	FS9.119	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S240.051	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Supports reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities, however consider this policy can be deleted as it unnecessarily cross references other policies.	Delete Policy P.P20		Reject
	Porirua City Council	FS1.063	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	The method of capping nitrogen discharges from individual properties is not supported. Capping discharges on every property is not a targeted approach and may adversely affect local fruit and vegetable production, which is of great importance to the local community and beneficial for regional food security. HortNZ agrees that this policy unnecessarily cross references other policies.	Allow in part	Delete Policy P.P20 or Amend WH.P21 (a) as follows, "capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges from individual rural properties in accordance with WH.P22, WH.P23 and WH.P24..."	Reject
	Porirua City Council	FS9.148	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S240.052	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Support		Supports reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.149	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					farming activities.						
S240.053	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Supports reducing hill country erosion to reduce sediment loads into waterways. Considers planting of native species should be encouraged where these can provide suitable stabilisation for erosion prone land, this would also assist improving biodiversity values within the catchment.	Amend policy as follows:  Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion Reduce discharges of sediment from farming activities on high erosion risk land and highest erosion risk land by: (a) identifying highest erosion risk land (pasture) and high erosion risk land (pasture), and (b) requiring that farm environment plans prepared for farms with highest erosion risk land (pasture) and/or high erosion risk land (pasture) include an erosion risk treatment plan, and (c) ensuring erosion risk treatment plans: (i) deliver permanent woody vegetation cover on at least 50% of highest risk erosion land (pasture) that is in pasture on a farm within 10 years and appropriate erosion control treatment for the remaining highest risk erosion land (pasture) and high erosion risk land (pasture) that is in pasture on the farm, and (ii) identify and respond to risks of sediment loss on high erosion risk land (pasture) associated with grazing livestock, earthworks or vegetation clearance, by using effective erosion control treatment, and (iii) encouraging planting of native species where these can provide suitable stabilisation for erosion prone land, and (d) Wellington Regional Council providing support to landowners to implement erosion risk treatment plans.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.150	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S240.054	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Support		Supports phased timetable for implementing farm plans.	Retain as notified.		Accept
	Porirua City Council	FS9.151	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S240.055	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Support		Supports restricting land use change to those that maintain or reduce diffuse discharges.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.152	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S240.056	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Supports progressive shading of streams to improve habitats.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Porirua City Council	FS9.153	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S240.083	Porirua City Council (S240)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Support		Generally supports reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities. Considers associated rules regulating nitrogen discharges from smaller properties will create a regulatory burden for landowners. Greater Wellington needs to ensure that resources dedicated to this process do not come at the expense of other programmes that may have a greater impact on water quality elsewhere in the catchment.	Not stated		No recommendation
	Porirua City Council	FS9.180	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
S245.035	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation (S245)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Supports the intent of the policy but it needs to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23.	Amend policies to be consistent with NZCPS Policy 23		Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS23.497	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Submission points will help maintain, protect, and restore indigenous biodiversity and waterways throughout Wellington and are consistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Allow	Support the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are inconsistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
	Tama Potaka, Minister of Conservation	FS39.139	Wellington Water Ltd	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	These parts are beyond the scope of PC1. Should it be determined that they are within the scope of PC1, WWL is opposed to them, as it supports the provisions as notified.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S248.014	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections (S248)			2 Interpretation	Highest erosion risk land (pasture)	Neutral		Notes their submission on the provisions and maps that relate to this definition.	Retain as notified (noting the submission points on the maps and provision).		Accept in part
S248.084	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections (S248)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Parts of the Rimutaka Prison site are located near land that is mapped as Highest erosion risk land (Woody vegetation), (Pasture), and (Plantation forestry) in Maps 93, 94, and 95. Notes the mapping of Highest erosion risk land (Woody vegetation), (Pasture), and (Plantation forestry) includes many small areas of identified land that are incohesive (the	Amend Maps 93, 94, and 95 and the associated GIS map layer, to only identify cohesive areas of "Highest erosion risk land (Woody vegetation), (Pasture), and (Plantation Forestry)".		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								size of each individual square identified in the maps is 5m by 5m). Submitter questions the value of regulating small, incohesive areas of woody vegetation, pasture, and plantation forestry. Considers to ensure the maps are efficient to administer and effective at achieving their intended outcome, the maps should be amended to only identify cohesive areas of woody vegetation, pasture, and plantation forestry, and remove incohesive or isolated areas.			
S249.008	Isabella Cawthorn (S249)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Request having a reference to the guidelines for Beneficial Use of Organic Materials on Productive Land (due next year) as a minimum	Provide a reference to the guidelines for Beneficial Use of Organic Materials on Productive Land as a minimum		Reject
S250.003	John and Jacqueline Diggins (S250)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Cites GWRC Environment Court cases. Is concerned GWRC is trying to introduce rules, and methods to classify all streams, drains, ditches and ephemeral flows as rivers and that this is not consistent with the court rulings or judges findings.	A clear definition of what constitutes a natural waterway needs to be confirmed before PC1 is approved.		Reject
S254.023	Best Farm Ltd, Lincolnshire Farm Ltd, Hunters Hill Ltd & Stebbings Farmlands Ltd (S254)			13 Maps	Map 97: Mangaroa catchment.	Oppose		Objects to the inclusion of this map as they consider it has far reaching implications and is based on a desktop assessment of what is probably LIDAR data and aerial photographs which is unreliable and unsuitable for a regional plan.	Delete the map		Accept
S255.076	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers P.R245repeats WH.R26 and as such is unnecessary.	Combine into one rule.		Reject
S255.077	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers P.R26 repeats WH.R27 and should be deleted.	Combine into one rule.		Reject
S255.078	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Amend		Considers P.R27 repeats WH.R30 and should be deleted.	Combine into one rule.		Reject
S255.079	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Amend		Considers P.R28 repeats WH.R31 and should be deleted.	Combine into one rule.		Reject
S255.080	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Amend		Considers P.R29 repeats WH.R32 and should be deleted.	Combine into one rule.		Reject
S255.116	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept in part



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S255.119	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept in part
S255.122	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 96: Mākara catchment.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Accept
S255.123	Woodridge Holdings Ltd (S255)			13 Maps	Map 97: Mangaroa catchment.	Amend		Considers maps are basic and do not allow you to zoom into to a large enough scale to see exactly where boundaries are relative to property boundaries.	Provide TA District Plan style online maps.		Reject
S257.072	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Neutral		Generally supports the identification of land where it is subject to a proposed planning framework that seeks to manage land-uses upon identified High and Highest Erosion Risk Land, but considers the maps are not readily understood at the site-based level.Considers that a definition for 'High and Highest Erosion Risk Land' is more appropriate to capture those areas of land subject to the corresponding rules rather than high level maps.	Delete maps and provide a definition for 'High and Highest Erosion Risk Land' to more accurately capture such sites which are then subject to the associated rules.		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS28.104	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Support subject to definitions being clear.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S257.075	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities (S257)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Neutral		Generally supports the identification of land where it is subject to a proposed planning framework that seeks to manage land-uses upon identified High and Highest Erosion Risk Land, but considers the maps are not readily understood at the site-based level.Considers that a definition for 'High and Highest Erosion Risk Land' is more appropriate to capture those areas of land subject to the corresponding rules rather than high level maps.	Delete maps and provide a definition for 'High and Highest Erosion Risk Land' to more accurately capture such sites which are then subject to the associated rules. Any further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in this submission.		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS28.107	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support	Support subject to definitions being clear.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	FS47.452	Meridian Energy Limited	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Support in part	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy and relevance of the map for its existing Mill Creek wind farm;	Allow in part	Allow S257.075	Accept
S26.002	Christine Stanley (S26)			General comments	General comments - maps	Amend		Concerns with map quality and ability to identify properties sites and marks on individual properties.	Provide better quality maps.		Accept in part
S26.006	Christine Stanley (S26)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Concerned about how titles which are part of rotational grazing or regenerative farming will be interpreted when completing the registration forms for farms smaller than 4 hectares	Not stated		No recommendation
S26.008	Christine Stanley (S26)			General comments	General comments - maps	Amend		Concerns with map quality and ability to identify properties	Amend Maps		Accept in part
S26.011	Christine Stanley (S26)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Support M44 in principle but seeks timeframes and methodology. Suggests method should provide for engagement with small landowners by a certain date.	Amend Method M44		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S26.018	Christine Stanley (S26)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Does not allow for individual property uses	Not stated		No recommendation
S261.008	Forest & Bird (S261)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Notes the current provision for a “recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool” allows a tool to be used to fulfil the policies in the plan by a process outside Schedule 1, enabling council to approve any tool provided it is “quantitative” and assesses risk of nitrogen discharge. Questions the lawfulness of delegation, as no other criteria or processes are provided for approval. Considers it critical that tools account for biophysical factors and relate to the actual discharge or environmental effects of the discharge. Considers any “recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool” must be subject to wider public scrutiny before being included in the plan.	Consult on any recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool before including in the plan		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS1.004	Horticulture New Zealand	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose	HortNZ believes that it is appropriate for GWRC to approve a “recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool” outside of a Schedule 1 process, although we would support criteria for the tool being in the plan.	Disallow	Disallow.	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.335	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS27.627	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - overall		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS45.026	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose in part	Whilst Kāinga Ora agree that any changes to critical documents should be consulted on, Kāinga Ora opposes any such documents that require changes to be made at a regular/short term interval to be included within the Regional Plan. Any changes would then require a Schedule 1 plan process.	Disallow in part	Notes the current provision for a "recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool" allows a tool to be used to fulfil the policies in the plan by a process outside Schedule 1, enabling council to approve any tool provided it is "quantitative" and assesses risk of nitrogen discharge. Questions the lawfulness of delegation, as no other criteria or processes are provided for approval. Considers it critical that tools account for biophysical factors and relate to the actual discharge or environmental effects of the discharge. Considers any "recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool" must be subject to wider public scrutiny before being included in the plan.  Consult on any recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool before including in the plan.	Accept
S261.010	Forest & Bird (S261)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Considers PC1 essentially provides for farming as a permitted activity provided there is a farm plan. Notes the requirements for farm plans may be confusing for plan users due to being spread across PC1 Schedule 36 and the existing NRP and suggests this could be improved. Notes additional regulation can be imposed beyond farm plans. Considers it critical to regulate land use to manage cumulative effects, noting existing challenges with contaminants in the Porirua whaitua. Considers Council should be able to decline resource consent for farming activity where it is not confident the effects will be appropriately managed by a farm plan, and that a stronger activity status is required. Considers permitted activity status is only appropriate if oversight is not needed on the content of farm plans, and when they can be written by farm advisers where there is certainty the adverse effects of farms will result in the desired environmental outcome. Concerned this may not be possible in the Porirua Whaitua.	Consider improvements for distribution of requirements for farm plans in PC1 provisions. Amend to provide a stronger activity for farming activities to allow Council to decline resource consent for farming activity where it is not confident the effects will be appropriately managed by a farm plan.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS1.005	Horticulture New Zealand	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose	HortNZ contends that a permitted activity status for farming or horticulture with a farm plan is appropriate, particularly to give regard to the NPS-HPL and	Disallow	Disallow. Do not amend to change permitted activity	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prioritise land-based primary production, including supporting activities, on highly productive land.		status for farming activities with a farm plan.	
	Forest & Bird	FS9.337	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.629	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - overall		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS12.5	Diane Strugnell	General comments	General comments - overall		Oppose	Farming is a permitted activity within both local and regional councils and the effect of removing this activity status and to require resource consents would have a significant (and detrimental) effect on the rural community. The rural community, within not just Porirua but the wider Wellington region, plays a significant role in protecting and promoting positive environmental outcomes and this should be incentivised not made more difficult by the introduction of consenting processes over and above those required for specific purposes e.g. significant earthworks	Disallow	The submission point as a whole	Accept
S261.011	Forest & Bird (S261)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Not Stated		Considers farm plan 'critical source area' management and small stream stock exclusion provisions need to be strengthened as to protect ephemeral water courses.	Strengthen farm plan 'critical source area' management and small stream stock exclusion provisions to protect ephemeral water courses.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.338	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.630	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS12.7	Diane Strugnell	General comments	General comments - water bodies		Support in part	The regulatory approach that has been taken is focused on the highest erosion risk land while not acknowledging that critical source areas can be significant sources of sediment that require management within farm plans	Allow in part	The inclusion of critical source areas as a source of sediment that requires management	Reject
S261.013	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Annual stocking rate	Support		Supports giving effect to NPS-FM provisions.	Retain as notified		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.340	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Annual stocking rate		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.632	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Annual stocking rate		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.017	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Intensive grazing	Amend		Seeks for the meaning set out in Regulation 4 of the Resource Management (Stock Exclusion) Regulations 2020 be set out in full for ease of use, consistent with PC1’s treatment of the definition of “threatened species”.	Amend as follows: Has the same meaning as set out in Regulation 3 4 of the Resource Management (Stock Exclusion) Regulations 2020: Meaning: (a) break feeding; or (b) grazing on annual forage crops; or (c ) grazing on pasture that has been irrigated with water in the previous 12 months  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.344	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Intensive grazing		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.636	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Intensive grazing		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.019	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Nitrogen discharge risk	Oppose		Considers there must be consideration of biophysical factors influencing nitrogen loss, and the sensitivity of the receiving environment to that nitrogen loss.	Amend as follows: The quantitative assessment of nitrogen loss risk as determined using a recognised risk assessment tool that addresses biophysical factors influencing nitrogen loss, and the sensitivity of the receiving environment to that nitrogen loss.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.346	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Nitrogen discharge risk		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.638	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Nitrogen discharge risk		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.020	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool	Oppose		Notes contention with the efficacy of nitrogen risk assessment tools. Considers there a gap from the lack of reference to a widely acceptable tool. Considers it inappropriate to delegate councils the ability to approve a tool, noting that a plan change will be required to include such a tool.	Amend as follows: The tool that provides a quantitative assessment of risk of diffuse nitrogen discharge from rural land that has been included in the plan using a plan change or variation has been approved for use as a recognised risk assessment tool by the Wellington Regional Council.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS1.010	Horticulture New Zealand	2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool		Oppose	HortNZ believes that it is appropriate for GWRC to approve a "recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool" outside of a Schedule 1 process, although we support inclusion of criteria for the tool in the plan. New tools may be developed, so flexibility is needed to leave room for innovation.	Disallow	Disallow.	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.347	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.639	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS31.009	Wellington International Airport Limited	2 Interpretation	Recognised Nitrogen Risk Assessment Tool		Oppose in part	While WIAL supports the intent of the submission that PC1 should give effect to the NPSFM, it is imperative to ensure that in achieving this outcome, all elements of the NPSFM are appropriately recognised and provided for, including the specific policy approach for specified infrastructure.	Disallow in part	Amend as follows: The health and wellbeing of Te Whanganui-a-Tara's groundwater, rivers, ephemeral watercourses, and natural wetlands and their margins are on a trajectory of measurable improvement towards wai ora, such that by 2030 2040: Include reference to natural form and character in clause (a) and refer to ecosystem health i.e.: "(a) water quality, habitats, natural form and character... are at a level where the state of aquatic life ecosystem health is meaningfully improved..." Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.	Accept
S261.022	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Sacrifice paddocks	Not Stated		Seeks for the meaning set out in Regulation 3 of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020 be set out in full for ease of use, consistent with PC1's treatment of the definition of "threatened species".	Amend as follows: means an area on which— (a) cattle are repeatedly, but temporarily, contained (typically during extended periods of wet weather); and (b) the resulting damage caused to the soil by pugging is so severe as to require resowing with pasture species Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.349	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Sacrifice paddocks		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.641	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Sacrifice paddocks		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.023	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Stocking rate	Support		Supports giving effect to NPS-FM provisions.	Retain as notified		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.350	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Stocking rate		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.642	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Stocking rate		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.024	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Stock unit	Support		Supports giving effect to NPS-FM provisions.	Retain as notified		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS9.351	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Stock unit		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.643	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Stock unit		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.026	Forest & Bird (S261)			2 Interpretation	Winter Stocking rate	Support		Supports giving effect to NPS-FM provisions.	Retain as notified		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.353	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	2 Interpretation	Winter Stocking rate		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.645	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Winter Stocking rate		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.047	Forest & Bird (S261)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Notes the RMA definition of "waterbody" does not capture estuaries or harbours. Considers reference to wetlands necessary to give effect to Policy 6 and section 3.22 of the NPSFM.	Amend as follows: Wellington Regional Council, working with primary sector organisations, will undertake a programme(s) to support the health of waterbodies and coastal water, including rivers, streams, wetlands, estuaries and harbours, impacted by rural activities, including to:  Add new clause: (e) investigate options, including financial support and rates relief options, education, advice, and provision of plants, to encourage and enable wetland restoration  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.374	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.666	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.082	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Considers ephemeral watercourses and estuaries should be referred in clause (d), as they can support high ecological values.	Amend as follows: "(d) excluding stock from water bodies, ephemeral watercourses, and the coastal marine area as a limit on land use,"  Retain balance of policy.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.409	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.701	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.083	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Oppose		Considers the policy only directs reduction of discharges on large properties and horticultural properties, which risks not capturing discharges that cumulatively are significant. Notes the efficacy of the policy is contingent on an adequate nitrogen risk assessment tool, and this will be unlawfully delegated to Council to approve per its definition. Supports the remaining policy direction.	Amend to provide council scope to require reductions in discharges from smaller land parcels.  See relief sought for definition of "recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool".  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS1.043	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose in part	HortNZ agrees that the effects of cumulative discharges should be evaluated at the FMU or sub-catchment scale. The answer is not to restrict individual smaller, non-intensive horticultural land uses but instead to determine targeted mitigations based on catchment-wide contaminants.	Disallow	Do not amend to require reductions in discharges from smaller land parcels.	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.410	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.702	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.084	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Supports providing a mechanism to reduce sediment loads, therefore protecting rivers and receiving environments from the adverse effects of sediment.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.411	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.703	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.085	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Amend		Considers deposited sediment is also an important measure of sediment movement through catchments, and of ecological consequence for native species.	Amend to include deposited sediment: "prioritises those part Freshwater Management Units where Table 8.4 shows that suspended fine sediment or deposited fine sediment has a baseline state of D and/or where dissolved inorganic nitrogen is shown as being in need of improvement"		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.412	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.704	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.086	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural	Support		Supports giving effect to NPSFM	Retain as notified.		Accept in part



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					land use change.						
	Forest & Bird	FS9.413	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.705	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S261.087	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Support		Supports additional direction to ensure water quality outcomes are met, however considers it should be more specific and applied more widely to manage e coli and sediment in all FMUs and part FMUs.	Include detail in the policy on where stock should be restricted from and by how much (e.g., 5m setback), and the frequency.  Extend application of policy to all FMUs and part FMUs.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.414	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.706	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")		access to small rivers.			Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.088	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		Considers stream shade restoration can improve water quality and habitat beyond meeting periphyton targets e.g. temperature, food provision and leaf litter provision. Considers stronger direction on stream shading is justified.	Amend as follows: Contribute to the achievement of aquatic ecosystem health by promoting requiring the progressive shading of streams where nutrient reductions alone will be insufficient to achieve periphyton target attribute states.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.415	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.707	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.119	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers information on land use pressures is critical to ensure appropriate management of inputs, setting limits on resource use, and assessing effectiveness of the plan.	Amend to include "(e2) annual nitrogen fertiliser use, the annual stocking rate, and the winter stocking rate is provided to Wellington Regional Council annually"		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.446	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.738	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.120	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers information on farm inputs is required to ensure council has information on pressures in the catchment	Amend rule to require the reporting of N fertiliser and stocking rate regularly. Include additional conditions that will ensure drinking water, etc. is protected, should relief sought for Schedule 36 not be granted.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.447	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.739	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.121	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.	Support		Supports meeting NPSFM outcomes and limiting delay in implementation.	Retain as notified		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.448	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.740	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S261.122	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Support		Supports meeting NPSFM outcomes, including limiting sediment and E. coli pollution.	Retain as notified		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS9.449	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS27.741	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.123	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Support		Supports meeting NPSFM outcomes, including limiting sediment and E. coli pollution.	Retain as notified		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.450	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS27.742	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.124	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Support		Supports giving effect to NPSFM and RMA	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.451	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.743	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS47.304	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose in part	Meridian opposes the requirement in Condition (b) of Rule WH.R27 and Schedule 36 that at least 50% of the area of highest and high erosion risk land must be re-vegetated in permanent woody vegetation where this will conflict with the operational and functional needs of existing lawfully established wind farms. Meridian seeks an exemption from these re-vegetation requirements for lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities and opposes the Rule WH.R30 requirement for discretionary activity consent for non-compliance with this requirement;	Disallow in part	Disallow S261.124 and exclude from Rule WH.R30 farmland comprised within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms.	Reject
S261.125	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Considers a change of land use could lead to increase in contaminants, which is contrary to plan policies. Considers this may lead to decline in water quality, contrary to NPSM direction for over-allocation.	Reclassify as a non-complying activity.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS1.054	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	This rule will make crop rotation near impossible, an essential practice for soil health and managing pests and diseases. Making the rule more restrictive will essentially prohibit crop rotation and land use change to horticulture, a low emissions land use.	Disallow	Disallow.	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.452	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.744	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Allow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.126	Forest & Bird (S261)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Support		Supports meeting NPSFM outcomes	Retain as notified		Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.453	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.745	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS47.307	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Rule WH.R32 is disproportionate;	Disallow	Disallow S261.126.	Reject
S261.162	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Considers ephemeral watercourses and estuaries should be referred in clause (d), as they can support high ecological values.	Amend as follows: "(4) excluding stock from water bodies, ephemeral watercourses, and the coastal marine area as a limit on land use,"  Retain balance of policy.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.489	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.781	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.163	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and	Amend		Considers the policy only directs reduction of discharges on large properties and horticultural properties, which risks not capturing discharges that	Amend to provide council scope to require reductions in discharges from smaller land parcels.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
				Porirua Whaitua	reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.			cumulatively are significant. Notes the efficacy of the policy is contingent on an adequate nitrogen risk assessment tool, which is to be unlawfully delegated to Council to approve per its definition. Supports the remaining policy direction.	See relief sought for definition of "recognised nitrogen risk assessment tool".  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		
	Forest & Bird	FS1.066	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose in part	HortNZ agrees that the effects of cumulative discharges should be evaluated at the FMU or sub-catchment scale. The answer is not to restrict individual smaller, non-intensive horticultural land uses but instead to determine targeted mitigations based on catchment-wide contaminants.	Disallow	Do not amend to require reductions in discharges from smaller land parcels.	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.490	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.782	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S261.164	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Support		Supports providing a mechanism to reduce sediment loads, therefore protecting rivers and receiving environments from the adverse effects of sediment.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.491	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS27.783	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S261.165	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Amend		Considers deposited sediment is also an important measure of sediment movement through catchments, and of ecological consequence for native species.	Amend to include deposited sediment: "prioritises those part Freshwater Management Units where Table 9.2 shows that suspended fine sediment or deposited fine sediment has a baseline state of D and/or where dissolved inorganic nitrogen is shown as being in need of improvement"		Reject
									Any further consequential or alternative relief		

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		
	Forest & Bird	FS9.492	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.784	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P23: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept
S261.166	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Support		Supports giving effect to NPSFM.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.493	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.785	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.167	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.	Amend		Considers stream shade restoration can improve water quality and habitat beyond meeting periphyton targets e.g. temperature, food provision and leaf litter provision. Considers stronger direction on stream shading is justified.	Amend as follows: Contribute to the achievement of aquatic ecosystem health by promoting requiring the progressive shading of streams where nutrient reductions alone will be insufficient to achieve periphyton target attribute states.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.494	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.786	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.196	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers information on land use pressures is critical to ensure appropriate management of inputs, setting limits on resource use, and assessing effectiveness of the plan.	Amend to include "(e2) annual nitrogen fertiliser use, the annual stocking rate, and the winter stocking rate is provided to Wellington Regional Council annually"		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.523	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.815	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.197	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers information on farm inputs is required to ensure council has information on pressures in the catchment.	Amend rule to require the reporting of N fertiliser and stocking rate regularly. Include additional conditions that will ensure drinking water, etc. is protected, should relief sought for Schedule 36 not be granted.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.524	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.816	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.198	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part	Support		Supports meeting NPSFM outcomes and limiting delay in implementation.	Retain as notified		Accept in part



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Freshwater Management Units.						
	Forest & Bird	FS9.525	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.817	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Table 9.5: Phase in of farm environment plans for Part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S261.199	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Support		Supports giving effect to NPSFM and RMA	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.526	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.818	Manor Park and Haywards	9 Te Awarua-o-	Rule P.R27: The use of		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	Porirua Whaitua	land for farming activities – discretionary activity.			degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.200	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Considers a change of land use could lead to increase in contaminants, which is contrary to plan policies. Considers this may lead to decline in water quality, contrary to NPSM direction for over-allocation.	Reclassify as a non-complying activity.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS1.076	Horticulture New Zealand	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	This rule will make crop rotation near impossible, an essential practice for soil health and managing pests and diseases. Making the rule more restrictive will essentially prohibit crop rotation and land use change to horticulture, a low emissions land use.	Disallow	Disallow.	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.527	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.819	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.201	Forest & Bird (S261)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Support		Supports meeting NPSFM outcomes.	Retain as notified		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.528	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.820	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R29: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.242	Forest & Bird (S261)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Amend		Considers provision of fertiliser information is critical to ensure council is aware of pressures on catchment and can set appropriate limits on resource use, and complements reporting of stocking rates	Include requirement to report nitrogen fertiliser use.  Retain balance of schedule.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.569	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.861	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.243	Forest & Bird (S261)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te	Amend		Considers amendments are needed to ensure effects are managed.	Not stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.						
	Forest & Bird	FS9.570	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.862	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S261.244	Forest & Bird (S261)			12 Schedules	B Management objectives.	Amend		Considers amendments are needed to ensure effects are managed.	Define 'revegetation' so that it means 'woody vegetation' or 'indigenous woody vegetation'.  Define 'not reasonably practicable' by setting out the circumstances or considerations that would make revegetation 'not reasonably practicable'. If the 'not reasonably practicable' exemption is used, the certifier should assess the soil erosion control measures using an accepted methodology		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									(not just estimating it).  Include not increasing nitrogen loss risk above the baselines in C(1).  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		
	Forest & Bird	FS9.571	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	B Management objectives.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.863	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	B Management objectives.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.245	Forest & Bird (S261)			12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.	Amend		Considers councils need to collect information on inputs as pressures in catchments	Include requirements of annual reporting of stocking rates and fertiliser use.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.572	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.864	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	12 Schedules	C Content of a farm environment plan.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports	Allow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")					retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.246	Forest & Bird (S261)			12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.	Neutral		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS9.573	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	No recommendation
	Forest & Bird	FS27.865	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	D Risk assessment and mitigation to address risk.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.248	Forest & Bird (S261)			12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.	Amend		Not stated	Include clear, enforceable goals.  Provisions for critical source areas to apply across the farm and not only on high erosion risk land.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.575	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.867	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Allow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.249	Forest & Bird (S261)			12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.	Amend		Considers setbacks are required to ensure waterbodies are protected from contaminants and to ensure flood flows do not wash away fencing. Considers additional requirements are needed to ensure effects are managed.	Amend to outline setback distance as a requirement, and to require revegetation of margins (with council support)  Include clear, enforceable goals.  Include criteria for how to assess risk of erosion, deposition and damage to the stream bed.  Include criteria for when fencing is required, when it is not practicable, and how alternative measures to fencing to minimise stock access to water will be assessed.  Any further consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary and appropriate to address concerns.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.576	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS27.868	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	F Small stream riparian programme.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Reject
S261.267	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.						
	Forest & Bird	FS9.594	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.886	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S261.270	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Forest & Bird	FS9.597	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.889	Manor Park and Haywards	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")		high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.			degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
	Forest & Bird	FS47.453	Meridian Energy Limited	13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.		Oppose	Meridian is concerned about the accuracy and relevance of the map for its existing Mill Creek wind farm;	Disallow	Disallow S261.270 and delete the map.	Reject
S261.273	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 96: Mākara catchment.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Accept
	Forest & Bird	FS9.600	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 96: Mākara catchment.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS27.892	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	13 Maps	Map 96: Mākara catchment.		Support	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is	Allow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S261.274	Forest & Bird (S261)			13 Maps	Map 97: Mangaroa catchment.	Support		Considers maps assist with plan interpretation.	Retain as notified.		Reject
	Forest & Bird	FS9.601	New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (NZFFA)	13 Maps	Map 97: Mangaroa catchment.		Oppose	Not stated	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S273.003	Robert Pavis-Hall, Gaynor Rowswell, Katie Norman, Megan Norman (S273)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Queries whether animals that are not cattle, farmed deer and farmed pigs are exempt from PC1. Questions how wild deer, pigs and goats will be managed.	Confirm rules related to other animals (outside of cattle, farmed deer and farmed pigs). Control pest species being pushed from GWRC land to private land property.		Accept
S273.004	Robert Pavis-Hall, Gaynor Rowswell, Katie Norman, Megan Norman (S273)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Holds concerns surrounding the lack of evidence that waterway contamination comes from farming activities and that it falls to landowners to test and prove the opposite. Suggests urbanised areas and major roads should be looked at first	Attribute contamination levels to urbanised areas rather than farming activities and act accordingly.		Reject
S273.005	Robert Pavis-Hall, Gaynor Rowswell, Katie Norman, Megan Norman (S273)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Concerned about the open-ended definition for a minimum and lack of guidance on how/where to measure.	Withdraw all measures against the Upper Hutt 'farming' community, and heed its own report.		Reject
S273.009	Robert Pavis-Hall, Gaynor Rowswell, Katie Norman, Megan Norman (S273)			6 Other methods	6.17 Small farm property registration	Oppose		Does not consider small farms an accurate description of the majority of 4 ha blocks that may have little or no pasture. Feel GWRC have taken the concept of farms to the extreme.	Delete the requirement for “Farms” of 50ha or less to register with GWRC.		Accept
S276.006	Jody Louise Sinclair, Joshua William Lowry, Anne Friedarika Sinclair & Tracey Lynn Browne (S276)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Considers GWRC do not know where sediment originates from and are guessing that it comes from farming activity and making the assumption that all sediment in rivers is the result of human activity. Considers it is important to take into account that a proportion arises from natural erosion processes and that it's important to form a complete picture of all factors within the catchments, both natural and man made.Considers within each of the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments GWRC should establish at least 3 monitoring points and accrue a significant data base to be able to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Within each of the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, establish at least 3 monitoring points and accrue a significant data base to be able to identify the source of any quality reduction. Defer any further action on PC1 pending the gathering of an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S276.008	Jody Louise Sinclair, Joshua William Lowry, Anne Friedarika Sinclair & Tracey Lynn Browne (S276)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Considers there is insufficient data to identify the point of origin of any contamination and PC1 requires registered farms to collect the data for GWRC at no cost to GWRC. Considers there are indicators from primary contact sites along the Hutt River that paint a clear picture and suggests this establishes that whatever contamination is present in the lower reaches is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa. Suggests a disproportionate amount of effort in to trying to solve a problem that does not exist.	Move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on the more complex issues of urban sources.		Reject
S276.009	Jody Louise Sinclair, Joshua William Lowry, Anne Friedarika Sinclair & Tracey Lynn Browne (S276)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Notes there are a number of references to small rivers, less than 1 metre wide but nowhere within the PC1 states what the minimum size is. Considers it unacceptable to have an open-ended definition for a minimum.	Clarify the definition upon which other regulations rely eg. Stock exclusion and fencing rules. Provide a clear minimum width for small rivers		Reject
S276.010	Jody Louise Sinclair, Joshua William Lowry, Anne Friedarika Sinclair & Tracey Lynn Browne (S276)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Considers section 6.9 of the Section 32 report – (Sources of nitrogen and other contaminants) establishes that none of the measures aimed at the Mangaroa Valley and Akatarawa Valley farming community are justified. Considers the proposed measures will achieve little at an unquantified cost.	Withdraw all measures targeted at the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
S276.012	Jody Louise Sinclair, Joshua William Lowry, Anne Friedarika Sinclair & Tracey Lynn Browne (S276)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Considers the proposed stocking unit is unreasonable and the allocation of SU/HA is too low, especially compared to other regions.	Remove the proposed stocking unit rate and allocation from the plan.		Accept
S276.013	Jody Louise Sinclair, Joshua William Lowry, Anne Friedarika Sinclair & Tracey Lynn Browne (S276)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P28: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from plantation forestry.	Amend		Notes the only animals referenced are cattle, farmed deer and farmed pigs. Suggests the community takes this to mean all other animals are exempt from the rules.	Confirm that the rules are exclusive to these animals.		Accept
S276.014	Jody Louise Sinclair, Joshua William Lowry, Anne Friedarika Sinclair & Tracey Lynn Browne (S276)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Oppose		Concerned small farms registration will be too extensive and complex for lay people and many landowners will not have the information required or know how to collate the data required. Also concern surrounding the lack of developed systems from GWRC to record the information.	Remove the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating regulations.		Accept
S277.002	Craig Innes (S277)			General comments	General comments - maps	Oppose		Concerned the map system used in Change 1 is not fit for purpose, and streams that have been individually listed in the schedule were merged together in the GIS data. Considers identifying streams by coordinates is an inappropriate level of identification, and each stream should have been shown on a map and identified with reference to features that the reader could identify. Considers the document is difficult to read and is not fit for purpose. Concerned the connections between the policies and the geographic areas are inadequate.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S277.005	Craig Innes (S277)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Objects to the stock number limitation as not appropriate for a rural area. Considers the limitations on stock do not seem to take adequate account of the differences in the effect on waterways of different stock types.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S277.006	Craig Innes (S277)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Concerned the effects of pest species on publicly owned land have not been taken into account sufficiently. Considers that GWRC, DOC and HCC	Not stated.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								need to undertake more pest control on public land and that private landowners should not be restricted because of the effects of pest animals on poorly managed public land.			
S278.002	Alex Pfeffer (S278)			General comments	General comments - target attribute states	Not Stated		Considers restrictive regulations should be applied only when attributes have exceeded acceptable levels and these have been attributed to farming.Considers showing the Mangaroa River as representative of rural streams in Table 8.4 is disingenuous as the river lies in farmland with a high density of lifestyle blocks.Concern that Inorganic Nitrogen regulations are too pre-emptive and inequitable in application.Considers requiring pastoral farms of 4 to 20 Ha with winter stocking units than 12/Ha to undertake nitrogen risk assessments annually should not be imposed unless IN levels are shown to be approaching unacceptable levels over time, but even then additional information is needed.Suggests farms should not be trapped at levels of nitrogen discharge risk arbitrarily at the time of registration. Considers a more equitable method would be to set a maximum allowable nitrogen risk level and alter this up or down as necessary based on acceptable catchment IN levels being challenged.Suggests data is inadequate and needs to be addressed to enable good decision making.	Not Stated.		Accept in part
S281.003	Kirsty Gill (S281)			General comments	General comments - water quality improvements	Oppose		Opposes stock exclusion from waterways.	Not Stated.		No recommendation
S281.004	Kirsty Gill (S281)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Concerned the GWRC has erroneously identified land as "erosion prone". Considers it unacceptable for GWRC to require landowners to retire land without compensation.	Not Stated.		No recommendation
S286.016	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Supports in principle, but notes this method is not timebound unlike action plans.	Amend method to include timeframes.		Reject
	Taranaki Whānui	FS2.013	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	Support in principle the inclusion of timeframes for clarity.	Allow	Supporting the health of urban waterbodies Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Reject
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.016	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.		Support	To support the outcomes Taranaki Whānui's are seeking in this submission as Mana Whenua.	Allow	Allow the amendment.	Reject
S286.052	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Supports reducing diffuse discharges from farming activities, but notes clause (a) just refers to other policies and can be deleted.	Amend policy.  Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities. Reduction in diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities shall be achieved by:  (a) capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges from individual rural properties in accordance with WH.P22, WH.P23 and WH.P24, and (b) applying target attributes states as limits on rural land use change and intensification, and (c) progressively establishing and maintaining woody vegetation on highest erosion risk land as a limit on land use in accordance with WH.P28, and		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									(d) excluding stock from water bodies as a limit on land use in accordance with Policy WH.P26, and (e) supporting good management practice.		
	Taranaki Whānui	FS1.041	Horticulture New Zealand	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	HortNZ supports integrated catchment management, where mitigations are targeted to the most effective places to reduce the worst contaminants, not a blanket approach to capping discharges.	Allow	Delete WH.P21 (a).	Reject
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.052	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Reject
S286.053	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.053	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.054	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Supports in principle, but notes planting of indigenous species should be encouraged where possible.	Amend policy as follows:  Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion  Reduce discharges of sediment from farming activities on high erosion risk land and highest erosion risk land by:  (a) identifying highest erosion risk land (pasture) and high erosion risk land (pasture), and (b) requiring that farm environment plans prepared for farms with highest erosion risk land (pasture) and/or high erosion risk land (pasture) include an erosion risk treatment plan, and (c) ensuring erosion risk treatment plans: (i) deliver permanent woody vegetation cover on at least 50% of highest risk erosion land (pasture) that is in pasture on a farm		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									within 10 years and appropriate erosion control treatment for the remaining highest risk erosion land (pasture) and high erosion risk land (pasture) that is in pasture on the farm, and (ii) identify and respond to risks of sediment loss on high erosion risk land (pasture) associated with grazing livestock, earthworks or vegetation clearance, by using effective erosion control treatment, and (iii) encouraging planting of indigenous species where these can provide suitable stabilisation for erosion prone land, and (d) Wellington Regional Council providing support to landowners to implement erosion risk treatment plans.		
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.054	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.055	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.055	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.056	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.056	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.057	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.057	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.058	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Support in principle.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.058	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.090	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Support		Support in principle	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.090	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.091	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Support		Support in principle	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.091	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.092	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.	Support		Support in principle	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.092	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Table 8.6: Phase-in of farm environment plans for part Freshwater Management Units.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.093	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Support		Support in principle	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.093	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S286.094	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Support		Support in principle	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.094	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.095	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Support		Support in principle	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.095	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS47.305	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose in part	Meridian opposes the requirement in Condition (b) of Rule WH.R27 and Schedule 36 that at least 50% of the area of highest and high erosion risk land must be re-vegetated in permanent woody vegetation where this will conflict with the operational and functional needs of existing lawfully established wind farms. Meridian seeks an exemption from these re-vegetation requirements for lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities and opposes the Rule WH.R30 requirement for discretionary activity consent for non-compliance with this requirement;	Disallow in part	Disallow S286.095 and exclude from Rule WH.R30 farmland comprised within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation wind farms.	Accept
S286.096	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Support		Support in principle	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.096	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
S286.097	Taranaki Whānui (S286)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.	Support		Support in principle	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS24.097	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Support	We support the entirety of the submission in relation to Chapter 8 and support Taranaki Whānui's right to self-determination as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Allow	Retain provisions as notified or allow amendments as per the submission from Taranaki Whānui.	Accept in part
	Taranaki Whānui	FS47.308	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R32: Farming activities – non-complying activity.		Oppose	Rule WH.R32 is disproportionate;	Disallow	Disallow S286.097.	Reject
S287.006	M. Garcia (S287)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Considers GWRC do not know where sediment originates from and are guessing that it comes from farming activity and making the assumption that all	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								sediment in rivers is the result of human activity. Considers it is important to take into account that a proportion arises from natural erosion processes and that it's important to form a complete picture of all factors within the catchments, both natural and man made. Considers within each of the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments GWRC should establish at least 3 monitoring points and accrue a significant data base to be able to identify the source of any quality reduction.			
S287.007	M. Garcia (S287)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Notes that the only animals referenced are cattle, farmed deer and farmed pigs and in the absence of any other stock being mentioned, considers that all such other animals are exempt from all rules.	Confirm that the rules are exclusive to these animals.		Accept
S287.008	M. Garcia (S287)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Notes that land owners are required to furnish a complex range of data including average stocking rates, calculate effective grazing areas, map the property boundaries, show waterbodies where stock exclusion is required, show the location of fences relative to the waterbodies and calculations relating to Nitrogen emitting from the property. Considers there will be very few in the community who will have the level of expertise required to gather and present the range of data required or produce accurate maps. Notes GWRC have not yet produced the systems necessary to record the information. Concerned that resource consent application takes time, costs money and is beyond the technical abilities of most individuals and there is no guarantee that it will be approved or it may contain onerous conditions.	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC staff members have the authority to commit GWRC to a course of action which may be at variance to the letter of the drafted regulations.		Accept
S287.010	M. Garcia (S287)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Considers there is insufficient data to identify the point of origin of any contamination and PC1 requires registered farms to collect the data for GWRC at no cost to GWRC. Considers there are indicators from primary contact sites along the Hutt River that paint a clear picture and suggests this establishes that whatever contamination is present in the lower reaches is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa. Suggests a disproportionate amount of effort in to trying to solve a problem that does not exist.	Move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on the more complex issues of urban sources.		Reject
S287.011	M. Garcia (S287)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Notes there are a number of references to small rivers, less than 1 metre wide but nowhere within the PC1 states what the minimum size is. Considers it unacceptable to have an open-ended definition for a minimum.	Clarify the definition upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules. Provide a clear minimum width for small rivers		Reject
S287.012	M. Garcia (S287)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Considers section 6.9 of the Section 32 report – (Sources of nitrogen and other contaminants) establishes that none of the measures aimed at the Mangaroa Valley and Akatarawa Valley farming community are justified. Considers the proposed measures will achieve little at an unquantified cost.	Withdraw all measures targeted at the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
S288.016	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			General comments	General comments - plantation forestry	Not Stated		Notes the rates relief set out in Method M44 are likely to be miniscule to zero, given the land will have no commercial value upon implementation of PC1. Considers advice should be free given the public interest being served. Concerned there is no meaningful certainty or long-term commitment, given the short-term nature of local body priorities.	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.040	Forest & Bird	General comments	General comments - plantation forestry		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										submission points and specific relief.	
S288.052	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Seeks clarification on what woody vegetation can be and for options to be provided.	Make provision for indigenous and exotic permanent forest, subject to controls to provide for better alternative income opportunities for farmers.		Accept
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.076	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Reject
S288.053	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.077	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S288.054	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.078	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S288.055	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.079	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S288.075	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		Notes that unlike forestry there is no discharge limit. Notes methods focus on higher erosion land, but ignore that significant sediment generation arises from stock pugging on gentle soils. Considers GWRC data is sparse, however gives insight to possible alignment of sedimentation with national trends, noting that streams with poor TAS included reaches with long exposure to adjacent pastoral and lifestyle farming on gentle terrain. Considers there is inconsistency between land uses and data, and that temporal matters are not taken into account. Considers contaminant generation from a farm is permanent and relative to natural levels elevated, whereas forest land use is elevated during harvesting and roading but rapidly returns to near baseline.	Review data and rewrite with an objective for consistency in an effects-based rule response.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.099	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S288.076	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Notes that unlike forestry there is no discharge limit. Notes methods focus on higher erosion land, but ignore that significant sediment generation arises from stock pugging on gentle soils. Considers GWRC data is sparse, however gives insight to possible alignment of sedimentation with national trends, noting that streams with poor TAS included reaches with long exposure to adjacent pastoral and lifestyle farming on gentle terrain. Considers there is inconsistency between land uses and data, and that temporal matters are not taken into account. Considers contaminant generation from a farm is permanent and relative to natural levels elevated, whereas forest land use is elevated during harvesting and roading but rapidly returns to near baseline.	Review data and rewrite with an objective for consistency in an effects-based rule response.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.100	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S288.077	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers there will be inadequate protection, noting farm plans provide for management options but may not achieve objectives. Considers there will be inadequate protection, noting farm plans provide for management options but may not achieve objectives. Considers there is a disparity in regulatory approach, noting setback requirements for forestry on all perennial streams with discharge limits. Considers poor TAS can be attributed to source exposure to lowland pastoral agriculture.	Revisit and align to effects driven approach		Accept in part
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.101	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
										submission points and specific relief.	
S288.078	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Amend		Considers there will be inadequate protection, noting farm plans provide for management options but may not achieve objectives. Considers there will be inadequate protection, noting farm plans provide for management options but may not achieve objectives. Considers there is a disparity in regulatory approach, noting setback requirements for forestry on all perennial streams with discharge limits. Considers poor TAS can be attributed to source exposure to lowland pastoral agriculture.	Revisit and align to effects driven approach		Accept in part
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.102	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S288.079	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Support		Considers the rule provides for continuation of current activities.	Change and align with objectives of PC1. Allow continuation of activities where permitted standards can not be met, provided river TAS is already compliant. Consider rule sets for activities in TAS compliant streams; OR Adopt an effects-driven approach that is agnostic between land use.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.103	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S288.080	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Considers the rule contradicts objectives not only to maintain but "improve" water quality. Considers the rule could enable approval of contaminants from land uses up to or beyond TAS thresholds, with little discretion available to assess the margin, or decline in freeboard for a TAS margin. Considers there is conflict with the intent to "improve WQ", and inconsistency with rules that constrain existing activities when TAS targets are already met.	Amend to ensure consistency and neutrality between landuse activities.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.104	Forest & Bird	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R31: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S288.096	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Not stated	Amend to provide alternatives. Clarify option for permanent woody vegetation to include exotic tree species, with conditions to provide for alternate economic returns from permanent species.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.120	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S288.097	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.121	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S288.098	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.122	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P25: Promoting stream shading.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	No recommendation
S288.118	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Notes there is no discharge limit as there is for forestry. Notes methods focus on higher erosion land, but ignore that significant sediment generation arises from stock pugging on gentle soils. Considers GWRC data is sparse, however gives insight to possible alignment of sedimentation with national trends, noting that streams with poor TAS included reaches with long exposure to adjacent pastoral and lifestyle farming on gentle terrain. Considers there is inconsistency between land uses and data, and that temporal matters are not taken into account. Considers contaminant generation from a farm is permanent and relative to natural levels elevated, whereas forest land use is elevated during harvesting and roading but rapidly returns to near baseline.	Review data and rewrite with an objective for consistency in an effects-based rule response.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.142	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R25: Farming activities on properties of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept in part
S288.119	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land –	Oppose		Notes there is no discharge limit as there is for forestry. Notes methods focus on higher erosion land, but ignore that significant sediment generation arises from stock pugging on gentle soils. Considers GWRC data is sparse, however gives insight to possible	Review data and rewrite with an objective for consistency in an effects-based rule response.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					permitted activity.			alignment of sedimentation with national trends, noting that streams with poor TAS included reaches with long exposure to adjacent pastoral and lifestyle farming on gentle terrain. Considers there is inconsistency between land uses and data, and that temporal matters are not taken into account. Considers contaminant generation from a farm is permanent and relative to natural levels elevated, whereas forest land use is elevated during harvesting and roading but rapidly returns to near baseline.			
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.143	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S288.120	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Considers the rule contradicts objectives not only to maintain but "improve" water quality. Considers the rule could enable approval of contaminants from land uses up to or beyond TAS thresholds, with little discretion available to assess the margin, or decline in freeboard for a TAS margin. Considers there is conflict with the intent to "improve WQ", and inconsistency with rules that constrain existing activities when TAS targets are already met.	Amend to ensure consistency and neutrality between landuse activities.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.144	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R28: Change of rural land use – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S288.123	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd (S288)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Effectively provides for continuation of current activities subject to efforts to meet good practice even if unable to meet the permitted activity standards so long as NoF TAS attributes already met in sub-catchment.	Change and align with objectives of PC1. Accept when good practice permitted standards cannot be met and allow continuation of activity provided river TAS already compliant. Highlight discrepancy in approach to different land use. GWRC data indicating NoF/TAS being met in some catchments where forestry activity undertaken for years with limited likelihood of future increases in intensity or expansion.		Reject
	China Forest Group Company New Zealand Ltd	FS23.147	Forest & Bird	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.		Oppose	Submission points would likely result in the further loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of waterways throughout Wellington and be inconsistent with higher order documents, including the NPS-FM, the NPS-IB, the NZCPS, and the RMA (including s6).	Disallow	Oppose the whole of the submission and all relief sought unless otherwise stated or where points are consistent with Forest & Bird's submission points and specific relief.	Accept
S29.008	Neil Deans (S29)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Not stated	Retain as notified		Accept in part
S3.006	Dougal Morrison (S3)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers the proposed erosion classification is unhelpful. Concerned the classification does not express the absolute risk, but rather the risk relative to all other agricultural land. Considers it better to use the ESC classification in the NES-CF.	Not stated.		No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Dougal Morrison	FS50.035	New Zealand Carbon Farming Group ('NZCF')	General comments	General comments - rural		Support	NZCF supports the submission for the reasons given in NZCF's primary submission.	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S32.002	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Oppose		Comments relating to land use intensity are as follows: Considers the rules only apply for practical purposes to Upper Hutt District as there is almost no farmland in the catchment within Hutt City. Concerned that rural land subdivided to a size of 4ha to 4.2 ha will be caught by the 4-ha threshold where as remaining larger properties greater than 20 ha are within the threshold (RMA217D) where farm plans are mandated under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 and Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020. Considers the provisions step beyond the mandate given by National Direction and represent a burden on landowners of properties between 4 and 20 ha. Outlines the Section 32 analysis as acknowledging there is no evidence that these blocks, are adversely impacting on water quality. Identifies changing rural land use practices (transition from grazing on hilly areas and dairy farming on the flats to rural lifestyle farming) have resulted in lower stocking density, less fertiliser application (on a sub catchment basis), riparian planting and progressive reforestation of the hillier areas. Views the rules as unnecessary as the land use changes the provisions of the plan encourage are already occurring. Considers nitrogen, E coli and sediment from farming practices are not the problem as water quality has not improved despite land use changes occurring.	Not Stated		No recommendation
S32.003	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Oppose		Comments relating to stocking rates are as follows: Considers Regional Councils should be promoting the use of highly productive land for primary production as outlined in Section 6(11) of the Natural and Built Environment Act 2023 and the NPSHPL (Objective and Policies 1, 2 and 4) with freshwater management. Outlines land in smaller rural properties in the Awa Kairangi catchment has been identified as having a Land Use Capability of 3, which supports stocking rates of above 12 Stock Units/Ha with minimal fertiliser. States District Plan Rules already require Discretionary Activity Resource consent for intensive animal farming (Operative UHDP rule RPROZ-MC-2, Plan Change 50 rule RPROZ18). Concerned the requirements for registration and monitoring are too onerous for non-commercial farms and will result in the underuse of farming capacity to avoid expenses. Considers the imposition of these rules to be contrary to the NPSHPL and not meeting the Council's obligation under RMA s66(1)(ea) as there is a lack of evidence showing current stocking rates of small farms are directly causing adverse effects on water quality.	Not Stated		No recommendation
S32.004	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P22: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Oppose		Comments relating to land use intensity are as follows: Considers the rules only apply for practical purposes to Upper Hutt District as there is almost no farmland in the catchment within Hutt City. Concerned rural land subdivided to a size of 4ha to 4.2 ha will be caught by the 4-ha threshold where as remaining larger properties greater than 20 ha are within the threshold (RMA217D) where farm plans are mandated under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 and	Not Stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020.Considers the provisions step beyond the mandate given by National Direction and represent a burden on landowners of properties between 4 and 20 ha . Outlines the Section 32 analysis as acknowledging there is no evidence that these blocks, are adversely impacting on water quality.Identifies changing rural land use practices (transition from grazing on hilly areas and dairy farming on the flats to rural lifestyle farming) have resulted in lower stocking density, less fertiliser application (on a sub catchment basis), riparian planting and progressive reforestation of the hillier areas. Views the rules as unnecessary as the land use changes the provisions of the plan encourage are already occurring. Considers nitrogen, E coli and sediment from farming practices are not the problem as water quality has not improved despite land use changes occurring.			
S32.005	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Comments relating to steeper land are as follows:Considers economic changes, government policy, district council subdivision rules and greater environmental awareness have resulted in the revegetation of previously grazed high and highest erosion risk land in the Awa Kairangi catchment. Outlines that an assessment of the LUCAS New Zealand map shows that less than 1% of the erosion prone land used for productive purposes is used for grazing whilst the remainder is used for plantation forestry. Considers almost all of the highest erosion risk and over 80% of the high erosion risk land is located on blocks of greater than 20 ha which are required to prepare farm plans under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.Outlines that smaller blocks identified as “74-Grassland with woody biomass” in the LUCAS land use map are protected by District Council vegetation clearance rules. Considers it appropriate that these district plan rules prevail.Submits that the sedimentation risks from grazing of erosion risk land, in this catchment are, de minimis in comparison to plantation forestry, almost entirely from grazing on blocks of greater than 20ha and adequately managed by the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023.Considers vegetation clearance rules are contradictory to the District Planning rules and that vegetation rules are more appropriately addressed in district plans.	Not Stated		No recommendation
S32.006	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Oppose		Comments relating to land use farm plans are as follows:Concerned PC1 requirements such as documentation, mapping, evidentiary, certification and auditing will burden rural landowners. Considers that documentary requirements will involve direct and Council recovery costs.Documentary requirements identified include:Erosion Risk Treatment PlansErosion Sediment and Management PlansFarm Environment PlansFarm RegistrationsFreshwater Farm PlansSmall Farm Registrations Small Stream Riparian Programmes. Considers that whilst part of the requirement is imposed by national direction, the names, requirements and application do not align with National Direction. Considers there to be no analysis of the costs of implementing the regime and states that the Section 32 analysis makes it clear that the effectiveness of the regime in achieving environmental outcomes has not been	Requests the Council review the list of planning, documentation, and certification requirements using the requirements in National Direction as a baseline. Considers that additional requirements should be justified through scientific evidence that proves they will achieve the environmental improvements sought in the plan and an analysis demonstrating that they are the best practical way of achieving those outcomes.  Requests council removes the documentary requirements unless they are directly mandated by National Directions and do not directly duplicate National Environmental Standards requirements.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								established.Considers there to be no reasonable basis for council to impose the farm planning regime on the rural community.	Requests council reviews the specific additional requirements to ensure that they are necessary, can be demonstrated to be effective, and are the most efficient way to achieve the stated purpose. States once this review is complete, the council can introduce new requirements, by variation or plan change.		
S32.007	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Comments relating to stocking rates are as follows:Considers Regional Councils should be promoting the use of highly productive land for primary production as outlined in Section 6(11) of the Natural and Built Environment Act 2023 and the NPSHPL (Objective and Policies 1, 2 and 4) with freshwater management. Outlines that land in smaller rural properties in the Awa Kairangi catchment has been identified as having a Land Use Capability of 3, which supports stocking rates of above 12 Stock Units/Ha with minimal fertiliser.States that District Plan Rules already require Discretionary Activity Resource consent for intensive animal farming (Operative UHDP rule RPROZ-MC-2, Plan Change 50 rule RPROZ18).Concerned the requirements for registration and monitoring are too onerous for non-commercial farms and will result in the underuse of farming capacity to avoid expenses. Considers the imposition of these rules to be contrary to the NPSHPL and not meeting the Council's obligation under RMA s66(1)(ea) as there is a lack of evidence showing current stocking rates of small farms are directly causing adverse effects on water quality.	Delete Policy WH.P25 or Change the area threshold for Policy WH.P25 from 4 ha to 10 ha.		Reject
S32.008	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P25: Managing rural land use change.	Oppose		Comments relating to land use intensity are as follows:Considers the rules only apply for practical purposes to Upper Hutt District as there is almost no farmland in the catchment within Hutt City.Concerned that rural land subdivided to a size of 4ha to 4.2 ha will be caught by the 4-ha threshold where as remaining larger properties greater than 20 ha are within the threshold (RMA217D) where farm plans are mandated under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 and Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020.Considers the provisions step beyond the mandate given by National Direction and represent a burden on landowners of properties between 4 and 20 ha . Outlines the Section 32 analysis as acknowledging there is no evidence that these blocks, are adversely impacting on water quality.Identifies changing rural land use practices (transition from grazing on hilly areas and dairy farming on the flats to rural lifestyle farming) have resulted in lower stocking density, less fertiliser application (on a sub catchment basis), riparian planting and progressive reforestation of the hillier areas. Views the rules as unnecessary as the land use changes the provisions of the plan encourage are already occurring. Considers nitrogen, E coli and sediment from farming practices are not the problem as water quality has not improved despite land use changes occurring.	Delete Policy WH.P25 or change the area threshold for Policy WH.P25 from 4 ha to 10 ha.		Reject
S32.012	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and	Oppose		Comments relating to steeper land are as follows:Considers economic changes, government policy, district council subdivision rules and greater environmental awareness have resulted in the revegetation of previously grazed high and highest erosion risk land in the Awa Kairangi catchment.	Either delete Rule WH.R26 or amend Rule WH.R26(b) to read:  pastoral land use on an area greater than 4 total effective hectares of highest erosion		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					20 hectares – permitted activity.			<p>Outlines that an assessment of the LUCAS New Zealand map shows that less than 1% of the erosion prone land used for productive purposes is used for grazing whilst the remainder is used for plantation forestry. Considers almost all of the highest erosion risk and over 80% of the high erosion risk land is located on blocks of greater than 20 ha which are required to prepare farm plans under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023. Outlines that smaller blocks identified as “74- Grassland with woody biomass” in the LUCAS land use map are protected by District Council vegetation clearance rules. Considers it appropriate that these district plan rules prevail. Submits that the sedimentation risks from grazing of erosion risk land, in this catchment are, de minimis in comparison to plantation forestry, almost entirely from grazing on blocks of greater than 20ha and adequately managed by the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023. Considers vegetation clearance rules are contradictory to the District Planning rules and that vegetation rules are more appropriately addressed in district plans. Considers economic changes, government policy, district council subdivision rules and greater environmental awareness have resulted in the revegetation of previously grazed high and highest erosion risk land in the Awa Kairangi catchment. Outlines that an assessment of the LUCAS New Zealand map shows that less than 1% of the erosion prone land used for productive purposes is used for grazing whilst the remainder is used for plantation forestry. Considers almost all of the highest erosion risk and over 80% of the high erosion risk land is located on blocks of greater than 20 ha which are required to prepare farm plans under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023. Outlines that smaller blocks identified as “74- Grassland with woody biomass” in the LUCAS land use map are protected by District Council vegetation clearance rules. Considers it appropriate that these district plan rules prevail. Submits that the sedimentation risks from grazing of erosion risk land, in this catchment are: 1. De minimis in comparison to plantation forestry. 2. Almost entirely from grazing on blocks of greater than 20ha. 3. Adequately managed by the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023. Considers vegetation clearance rules are contradictory to the District Planning rules and that vegetation rules are more appropriately addressed in district plans.</p>	risk land (pasture) and/or high erosion risk land (pasture),		
S32.013	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		<p>Comments relating to stocking rates are as follows: Considers Regional Councils should be promoting the use of highly productive land for primary production as outlined in Section 6(11) of the Natural and Built Environment Act 2023 and the NPSHPL (Objective and Policies 1, 2 and 4) with freshwater management. Outlines that land in smaller rural properties in the Awa Kairangi catchment has been identified as having a Land Use Capability of 3, which supports stocking rates of above 12 Stock Units/Ha with minimal fertiliser. States that District Plan Rules already require Discretionary Activity Resource consent for intensive animal farming (Operative UHDP rule RPROZ-MC-2, Plan Change 50 rule RPROZ18). Concerned the requirements for registration and monitoring are too onerous for non-</p>	Either, delete Rule WH.R26, change the area threshold for Rule WH.R26 from 4 ha to 10 ha or delete clause (a) from Rule WH.R26 where it applies to Highly Productive Land.		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								commercial farms and will result in the underuse of farming capacity to avoid expenses. Considers the imposition of these rules to be contrary to the NPSHPL and not meeting the Council's obligation under RMA s66(1)(ea) as there is a lack of evidence showing current stocking rates of small farms are directly causing adverse effects on water quality.			
S32.014	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Oppose		Comments relating to land use intensity are as follows: Considers the rules only apply for practical purposes to Upper Hutt District as there is almost no farmland in the catchment within Hutt City. Concerned that rural land subdivided to a size of 4ha to 4.2 ha will be caught by the 4-ha threshold where as remaining larger properties greater than 20 ha are within the threshold (RMA217D) where farm plans are mandated under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 and Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020. Considers the provisions step beyond the mandate given by National Direction and represent a burden on landowners of properties between 4 and 20 ha. Outlines the Section 32 analysis as acknowledging there is no evidence that these blocks, are adversely impacting on water quality. Identifies changing rural land use practices (transition from grazing on hilly areas and dairy farming on the flats to rural lifestyle farming) have resulted in lower stocking density, less fertiliser application (on a sub catchment basis), riparian planting and progressive reforestation of the hillier areas. Views the rules as unnecessary as the land use changes the provisions of the plan encourage are already occurring. Considers nitrogen, E coli and sediment from farming practices are not the problem as water quality has not improved despite land use changes occurring.	Either, delete Rule WH.R26 or change the area threshold for Rule WH.R26 from 4 ha to 10 ha.		Accept
S32.015	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Oppose		Comments relating to land use intensity are as follows: Considers the rules only apply for practical purposes to Upper Hutt District as there is almost no farmland in the catchment within Hutt City. Concerned that rural land subdivided to a size of 4ha to 4.2 ha will be caught by the 4-ha threshold where as remaining larger properties greater than 20 ha are within the threshold (RMA217D) where farm plans are mandated under the Resource Management (Freshwater Farm Plans) Regulations 2023 and Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Freshwater) Regulations 2020. Considers the provisions step beyond the mandate given by National Direction and represent a burden on landowners of properties between 4 and 20 ha. Outlines the Section 32 analysis as acknowledging there is no evidence that these blocks, are adversely impacting on water quality. Identifies changing rural land use practices (transition from grazing on hilly areas and dairy farming on the flats to rural lifestyle farming) have resulted in lower stocking density, less fertiliser application (on a sub catchment basis), riparian planting and progressive reforestation of the hillier areas. Views the rules as unnecessary as the land use changes the provisions of the plan encourage are already occurring. Considers nitrogen, E coli and sediment from farming practices are not the problem as water quality has not improved despite land use changes occurring.	Not Stated		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S32.016	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Comments relating to small rivers are as follows: Concerned these provisions will apply to almost all rural properties in the Mangaroa Catchment as overland flow can be interpreted as meeting the definition of river under the RMA. Considers it unreasonable and impractical to impose the proposed provisions on all stocking rates and slopes, particularly non-intensively farmed beef cattle on slopes greater than 10 degrees. Considers it impractical and unnecessary to exclude stock from intermittently flowing areas, and that doing so to imposes a burden on landowners.	Recommends following the provisions of the SRE and exempt non intensive beef cattle from the small stream provisions  Either Provide a definition of “small river” that makes it clear that the provisions only apply to permanently flowing water bodies or map the waterbodies that the provisions apply to so as to exclude ephemeral streams and overland flows.		Reject
S32.017	Ian Stewart (S32)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Comments relating to small rivers are as follows: Concerned these provisions will apply to almost all rural properties in the Mangaroa Catchment as overland flow can be interpreted as meeting the definition of river under the RMA. Considers it unreasonable and impractical to impose the proposed provisions on all stocking rates and slopes, particularly non-intensively farmed beef cattle on slopes greater than 10 degrees. Considers it impractical and unnecessary to exclude stock from intermittently flowing areas, and that doing so to imposes a burden on landowners.	Follow the provisions of the Stock Exclusion Regulations and exempt non intensive beef cattle from the small stream provisions, and either provide a definition of “small river” that makes it clear that the provisions only apply to permanently flowing water bodies or map the waterbodies that the provisions apply to so as to exclude ephemeral streams and overland flows.		Reject
S32.018	Ian Stewart (S32)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Oppose		Comments relating to stocking rates are as follows: Considers Regional Councils should be promoting the use of highly productive land for primary production as outlined in Section 6(11) of the Natural and Built Environment Act 2023 and the NPSHPL (Objective and Policies 1, 2 and 4) with freshwater management. Outlines that land in smaller rural properties in the Awa Kairangi catchment has been identified as having a Land Use Capability of 3, which supports stocking rates of above 12 Stock Units/Ha with minimal fertiliser. States that District Plan Rules already require Discretionary Activity Resource consent for intensive animal farming (Operative UHDP rule RPROZ-MC-2, Plan Change 50 rule RPROZ18). Concerned the requirements for registration and monitoring are too onerous for non-commercial farms and will result in the underuse of farming capacity to avoid expenses. Considers the imposition of these rules to be contrary to the NPSHPL and not meeting the Council's obligation under RMA s66(1)(ea) as there is a lack of evidence showing current stocking rates of small farms are directly causing adverse effects on water quality.	Not Stated		No recommendation
S32.019	Ian Stewart (S32)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Oppose		Comments relating to land use farm plans are as follows: Concerned PC1 requirements such as documentation, mapping, evidentiary, certification and auditing will burden rural landowners. Considers that documentary requirements will involve direct and Council recovery costs. Documentary requirements identified include: Erosion Risk Treatment Plans Erosion Sediment and Management Plans Farm Environment Plans Farm Registrations Freshwater Farm Plans Small Farm Registrations Small Stream Riparian Programmes. Considers that whilst part of the requirement is imposed by national direction, the names, requirements and application do not align with National Direction. Considers there to be no analysis of the costs of implementing the regime and states that the Section 32 analysis makes it clear that the effectiveness of the regime in achieving environmental outcomes has not been established. Considers there to be no reasonable	Requests the Council review the list of planning, documentation, and certification requirements using the requirements in National Direction as a baseline. Considers that additional requirements should be justified through on the basis of actual scientific evidence that the proposals will achieve the environmental improvements sought in the plan and a robust analysis demonstrating that they are the best practical way of achieving those outcomes.  Requests council removes the documentary requirements unless they are directly mandated by National Directions and do not directly duplicate National Environmental Standards requirements.  Requests council reviews the specific		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								basis for council to impose the farm planning regime on the rural community.	additional requirements to ensure that they are necessary, can be demonstrated to be effective, and are the most efficient way to achieve the stated purpose. States once this review is complete, the council can introduce new requirements, by variation or plan change.		
S32.020	Ian Stewart (S32)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		Comments relating to land use farm plans are as follows: Concerned PC1 requirements such as documentation, mapping, evidentiary, certification and auditing will burden rural landowners. Considers that documentary requirements will involve direct and Council recovery costs. Documentary requirements identified include: Erosion Risk Treatment Plans Erosion Sediment and Management Plans Farm Environment Plans Farm Registrations Freshwater Farm Plans Small Farm Registrations Small Stream Riparian Programmes. Considers that whilst part of the requirement is imposed by national direction, the names, requirements and application do not align with National Direction. Considers there to be no analysis of the costs of implementing the regime and states that the Section 32 analysis makes it clear that the effectiveness of the regime in achieving environmental outcomes has not been established. Considers there to be no reasonable basis for council to impose the farm planning regime on the rural community.	Requests the Council review the list of planning, documentation, and certification requirements using the requirements in National Direction as a baseline. Considers that additional requirements should be justified through on the basis of actual scientific evidence that the proposals will achieve the environmental improvements sought in the plan and a robust analysis demonstrating that they are the best practical way of achieving those outcomes.  Requests council removes the documentary requirements unless they are directly mandated by National Directions and do not directly duplicate National Environmental Standards requirements.  Requests council reviews the specific additional requirements to ensure that they are necessary, can be demonstrated to be effective, and are the most efficient way to achieve the stated purpose. States once this review is complete, the council can introduce new requirements, by variation or plan change.		Accept in part
S36.003	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Not Stated		Considers the identified risk is relative and does not address the objective risk of sediment reaching water bodies. Considers the maps should not be used as a criterion to prohibit plantation forestry.	Not stated		No recommendation
S36.004	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			13 Maps	Map 93: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Whanganui-a-Tara.	Not Stated		Considers the identified risk is relative and does not address the objective risk of sediment reaching water bodies. Considers the maps should not be used as a criterion to prohibit plantation forestry.	Not stated		No recommendation
S36.020	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			General comments	General comments - maps	Not Stated		Concerned the maps (based on mapping by Easton) identifying the highest risk erodible land for pastoral, woody vegetation and plantation forestry land are based on an assumption that bare land after clear-felling will have a risk of erosion similar to pasture and there is a significant window of vulnerability after harvest. Considers this risk is overstated as roots and minor debris armour the slope for a period and there are ways of mitigation erosion risk after harvest. Notes forestry land is only in a more vulnerable state (after harvest) about 10% of the time and should be regarded as if it was permanent woody vegetation, not pasture or bare soil. Considers the mapped erosion risk is relative rather than absolute, and does not account for underlying geology/lithology, roadworks, soil disturbance and forestry related activities as a potential source of sediment. Notes the mapping uses a 5m resolution, which is higher than the NES-CF and much of the highest risk erosion	Commission a technical review of the mapping by Easton et al.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								prone areas identified by Easton are so large that, had they qualified as Red Zone, the NES-CF/ESC resolution would have picked them up. Notes the C factor identified for the maps, and disagrees that pasture is only twice as susceptible to erosion as woody vegetation and that otherwise undisturbed bare earth (with or without roots) should not be 10 times worse than pasture. Considers the Risk of Erosion model is not nearly as sophisticated as that used to calculate Erosion Susceptibility Classes (ESC) for the NES-CF. References earlier mapping (2012) that considered the risk of pastureland slipping into water bodies. References Stats NZ Highly Erodible Land maps. Notes several researchers who state that shallow landslides often do not reach waterbodies and most of the material is retained on site as talus, particularly on sites with woody vegetation. Considers the mapping contracted to Easton et al did not consider the risk of sediment actually getting into waterbodies. Concerned the identified land parcels do not take into account the underlying lithology and Land Use Class Categories as is done for Erosion Susceptibility Classification used by NES-CF, which is intended to reflect an absolute risk of erosion. Considers the approach used by Easton et al, and data produced should be subjected to expert technical review.			
	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association	FS25.002	Guildford Timber Company Limited, Silverstream Forest Limited and the Goodwin Estate Trust.	General comments	General comments - maps		Support	Submission is consistent with GTC's own submission and the concern regarding the accuracy of the Maps showing high risk erodible land	Allow	Commission a technical review of the mapping of highest risk erodible land	Accept in part
	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association	FS50.167	New Zealand Carbon Farming Group ('NZCF')	General comments	General comments - maps		Support	NZCF generally supports the submission and similarly is concerned that the rationale for the mapping is not clearly set out or responsive to topographic and land ownership considerations. NZCF seeks that Maps 92 and 95 are replaced with the erosion susceptibility classification in the NESPF.	Allow	Not stated	Accept in part
S36.035	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Not stated	Not stated		No recommendation
S36.039	Wellington Branch of New Zealand Farm Forestry Association (S36)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Considers the clarity test for Mangaroa is affected by stream from a major peat swamp.	Alter the TAS.  Move the water monitoring site to above the confluence with Black Stream or reset TAS value; and/or remove mention of Mangaroa River.		Accept
S39.001	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Concerned about animal welfare if livestock cannot access streams for drinking water. Refer to comments against Policy WH.P26.	Delete provision.		Reject
S39.006	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Considers the perceived problems are not clearly articulated or supported and that solutions are best achieved by bespoke on-farm and by individual property solutions. Concerned that small streams cross multiple property boundaries, suggesting that a better approach for implementing and monitoring is required.	Not stated.		No recommendation



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S39.007	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			General comments	General comments - overall	Not Stated		Concerned of required financial and time costs for implementing the proposed changes and the significant impact on the viability of their enterprise. Concerned many people will be non-compliant with the proposed changes in a short timeframe and will therefore face prosecution. Concerned the proposed time to transition between current land use and implementing the proposed changes is unrealistic and does not account for significant financial implications, required changes in the farm systems and potential changes in land use.Considers PC1 measures assume worst-case scenario in water quality and do not account for any historical improvements carried out. Considers cost of implementing proposed changes will significantly affect farming enterprise, assuming requirement of farm plan and 'expert' verification will be high financially and in time allocated.Concerned PCI does not allow flexibility to prioritise or progressively stage work over time.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S39.010	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			General comments	General comments - maps	Not Stated		Questions the accuracy of mapping for PC1 mapping which does not correspond to submitters experience. Concerned with accuracy of soil type analysis, and suggests modelling is not fit for purpose.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S39.011	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Would like compensation added to financial support available if large-scale land retirement progresses.	Prioritise financial options prior to implementing new rules.		Reject
S39.012	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Lack of data has led to assumptions and modelling which are not fit for purpose which makes it difficult to understand water quality and work out what solutions are needed.Considers wider contaminant sources across Makara and Ohariu are speculative and there is little acknowledgement of flooding and associated construction and remedial works along Takarau Gorge or the increasing number of houses being built and the potential for erosion and increases in sedimentation from these activities.	Increase GWRC support for additional water quality monitoring in Mākara and Ohariu, including community-led monitoring.		Reject
S39.013	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Concern that the source of high e-coli levels in Makara Stream is unknown and that sources need to be understood in order for them to be addressed. Notes some parts of the catchment and stream outside of the catchment will not have an e-coli issue.	Add: Identification of sources of e-coli specific to individual catchments.		Reject
S39.014	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Feels this leads to inconsistency across WH.P22 and WH.P23. Thinks work to reduce e-coli levels should be targeted where e-coli is shown to be an issue and that there is no sufficient monitoring data to determine levels and sources of e-coli across multiple catchments. Concerned with the reliance of one monitoring site across the Makara and Ohariu catchments given differences in catchments/sub-catchments and would like to see local water quality studies and option for landowner farm-scale monitoring.	Add: Incorporate e-coli reduction in catchment context and farm plans, based on monitored data.		Reject
S39.015	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on	Amend		Concerned about costs and timeframes for revegetation, noting that removal of vegetation occurred over generations yet revegetation is required within short timeframe.Cites own experience with trials and concern fencing and retirement of land will be only tools available given challenges with revegetation projects in this area due to conditions (high winds).Considers about the accuracy of the	a.) Identify sediment sources by farm-scale assessment of sediment sources rather than erosion-risk mapping in PC1. Refocus on identifying “sediment sources” rather than erosion risk land/pasture. b.)Refocus from “erosion risk” to “sediment management. c). Remove revegetation and instead rely on		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					land with high risk of erosion.			modelled scenarios and that it might not include accurate analysis of soil types, and considers the modelling is coarse and not fit for purpose in Mākara/Ohariu. Concerned this policy includes generic assumptions on the source of sediment because it focuses on hill country erosion as a source and not streambank erosion in high flow events. Supports revegetation in vulnerable areas to reduce flood flows but is concerned that areas forced into retirement will be larger than the red areas mapped due to the need to aggregate areas and locate sensible fencelines. Suggests GWRC should allow for a more accurate assessment of risk by using individual farm assessments to assess sediment sources.	bespoke actions and timeframes identified through farm-scale assessment, including via the audited Freshwater Farm Plans.		
	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm	FS47.168	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support in part	Meridian also has concerns about the accuracy (or inaccuracy) of the mapping a farm scale and about the impact of the rules associated with the mapping. Meridian agrees that farm-scale assessment should be undertaken, including of mitigation measures to ensure they do not conflict with existing lawfully established activities such as wind farms;	Allow in part	Allow S39.015 and s39.017 in part by deleting clause ( c ) (i).	Accept
S39.016	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Considers sediment sources are broader than erosion on hillsides. Considers this helps to acknowledge other existing sediment management techniques.	Refocus from “erosion risk” to “sediment management”.		Accept in part
S39.017	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Concerned about the costs and timeframes for revegetation and the requirement to retire land. Considers the removal of vegetation occurred over generations yet revegetation is now required within short timeframes. Notes woody vegetation” will likely need to be natural reversion since using poplars and willows (alongside grazing) is unlikely to be successful on these steepest areas given the high-wind nature of our landscape Therefore fencing and retirement will be the only tool available. Considers the areas has unique challenges due to high winds and native planting will not be available on this scale. Concerned the provisions' requirement to maintain woody vegetation is unviable due to large-scale land retirement and reduced farm income because of the reduction in productive land and high fencing costs. Concerned of revegetation projects alongside Meridian's wind farms because afforestation needs to be designed to not impede wind flow.	Remove this blanket approach and instead rely on the bespoke actions and timeframes that will be identified through farm-scale assessment, including via the audited Freshwater Farm Plans.		Accept
	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm	FS47.169	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Waitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support in part	Meridian also has concerns about the accuracy (or inaccuracy) of the mapping a farm scale and about the impact of the rules associated with the mapping. Meridian agrees that farm-scale assessment should be undertaken, including of mitigation measures to ensure they do not conflict with existing lawfully established activities such as wind farms;	Allow in part	Allow S39.015 and s39.017 in part by deleting clause ( c ) (i).	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S39.018	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Policy needs to be consistent with associated rule regarding reduced access not restricted access.Supports revegetating streams but notes costs and practicalities of fencing some areas.Wants to see farm scale analysis rather than blanket restrictions.Concerned about animal welfare if livestock cannot access streams for drinking water.	Replace "restrict" with "reduce". Amend policy wording to match heading about river size.		Accept
S39.019	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Concerned about doubling up on farm plan requirements when existing processes already in place under national regulation. Supports riparian planting for shade. Notes local community has began planting which helps streambank stabilisation.	Ensure details of this rule are consistent with the content and timing for Freshwater Farm Plans.		Reject
S39.020	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Concerned of doubling up on farm plan work due to the existing process under the national regulation.	Ensure that the details of this rule are consistent with the content and timing for Freshwater Farm Plans		Reject
S39.022	Fenaughty Partnership - Riu Huna Farm (S39)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Concerned about animal welfare if livestock cannot access streams for drinking water.Refer to comments against Policy WH.P26.	Delete provision.		Accept in part
S4.001	Melanie Rattray (S4)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Support		Considers limiting herd sizes and protecting rivers is a basic first step.	Retain as notified (inferred)		Reject
S42.001	Maryanne Gill (S42)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Concerned the submitter's farm is in jeopardy from PC1 policies. Considers restrictions are excessive and go beyond GWRC's mandates to "clean up" waterways. Concerned legal battles will occur due to deprivation of property rights from PC1. Notes the stress and pressure on farmers is already disproportionately high.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S42.002	Maryanne Gill (S42)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Considers the plan severely restricts farmers and farming practices and is the reason why so many people are continually "giving up " farming. Considers Makara is already an example of this. Concerned of difficulty to meet regulations relating to farming and land use. Concerned animals cant get water because of alleged "stream contamination.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S42.004	Maryanne Gill (S42)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Stock exclusion from waterways: Considers there is no evidence for stock exclusion from waterways in the south Makara stream.Notes the submitter already voluntarily undertakes riparian planting and water tests, which show no results of e-coli or nitrogen leaching.Concerned GWRC will eventually change cattle exclusion to include sheep and horses which will affect the submitters Horse Park business, and that having to pipe water to over 30 paddocks would be uneconomical and against animal welfare codes. Concerns that strong enforcement of stock exclusion throughout Makara and Ohariu Valley has been recommended without sufficient evidence.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S42.005	Maryanne Gill (S42)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Silt contamination: Considers GWRC do not know the source of silt contamination, but blame farmers and exclude stock from water courses. Notes the makara river floods and considers silt falls off the sides of the streams during flooding. Considers riparian planting may help reduce the amount of silt, but nature cannot be stopped and rivers will always have silt contamination, but this is not caused by farming.	Not stated.		No recommendation

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S42.006	Maryanne Gill (S42)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Concerned with the models used relating to erosion prone land and considers local history proves erosion problems have not been an issue from farming this Region. Considers eroded soil is caused by feral goats and wild pigs from the Council owned Mountain bike park and cause more damage then grazing sheep and cattle.Considers GWRC should buy out/compensate all farmers/landowners for land retirement.Questions why native replanting is required, after it was compulsory to replace pine plantings with pines previously.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S42.007	Maryanne Gill (S42)			General comments	General comments - rural	Oppose		Concerned with the limited areas which will be allowed to be grazed/used after PC1 due to the government direction on SNA areas and the "erosion prone" land identified in PC1 which must be retired or fenced and planted in natives and the lower slopes "stock grazing exclusion zones".	Not stated.		No recommendation
S44.002	Sue Hawkins (S44)			2 Interpretation	Sacrifice paddocks	Oppose		Concerned about the financial implications of sacrificing paddocks and the practicalities of fencing off rivers due to the nature of the land. The area has been involved in revegetation projects and pest control activities. Fencing off the river will be impractical due to the nature of the slopes, and previous flood damage has caused loss of structures, causing more damage downstream. Could meet council description of Clean Green Belt descriptive.	No decision requested but seeks an independent review of the provision.		No recommendation
S44.003	Sue Hawkins (S44)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Oppose		Change to "manage livestock access with temporary fencing where practical. Given that some of the area could be flood prone.	Amend Policy WH.P26 as follows... Restrict livestock to small rivers		Accept in part
S5.005	Diane Strugnell (S5)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Not Stated		Considers any programmes implemented need to support rural landowners in various ways as identified. Concerned that the direct benefits associated with actions specified within the plan change are predominantly benefit for others where the cost are largely borne by the landowner.Considers the provision of appropriate support helps to redress this imbalance.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
S5.007	Diane Strugnell (S5)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P20: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Oppose		Considers it is unclear how these attributes will be measured at a individual property level and how woody vegetation on high erosion risk land will change these. Notes across FMUs, many attribute states are within natural occurring limits.	Delete or amend the policy to reflect the attribute states and the actions that will retain or improve these states.		Reject
S5.008	Diane Strugnell (S5)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Amend		Considers the NRP provides a definition of good management practice. Considers the "phased out" statement is unnecessary because adoption of good practices will replace "poor management practices".	Amend: (ii) the nitrogen discharge risk is minimised by the adoption of good management practices, and by the phasing out of any poor management practices, and		Accept
S5.009	Diane Strugnell (S5)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on	Amend		Concerned erosion risk is thought to be associated with landslides rather than more subtle sediment loss.	Amend: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high sediment loss risk of erosion		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S5.010	Diane Strugnell (S5)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	land with high risk of erosion. Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Considers the mapping needs to be accurate at farm scale to identify areas of high risk for sediment loss. Suggests the methods chosen to address sediment loss need to be suited to individual farms. Considers alternative methods need to be available and supported. Considers the effectiveness in reducing sediment loss should be linked to reaching attribute states instead of specific time frames. Considers rectifying the degradation of should involve measurable outcomes in freshwater health rather than a timeline to one treatment method that may not deliver.	Amend: Reduce discharges of sediment from farming activities on high and highest erosion risk land by: (a) identifying highest erosion risk land (pasture) and high erosion risk land (pasture) used for pastoral farming, and (b) requiring that farm environment plans prepared for farms with highest erosion risk land (pasture) and/or highest erosion risk land (pasture) include an erosion risk treatment plan, and (c) ensuring erosion risk treatment plans: (i) deliver permanent woody vegetation cover on at least 50% of any highest erosion risk land (pasture) that is in pasture on a farm within 10 years, and appropriate treatment for the highest erosion risk land (pasture) that is in pasture on the farm, and (ii) identify and respond to risks of sediment loss on high erosion risk land (pasture) associated with grazing livestock, earthworks or vegetation clearance, by using effective erosion control treatment by 30 June 2040, and (d) Wellington Regional Council providing support to landowners to implement erosion risk treatment plans.		Accept
	Diane Strugnell	FS47.314	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	Meridian opposes any requirement to re-vegetate with woody vegetation any land within its Mill Creek wind farm because this may conflict with or become an obstacle to the continued operation, maintenance and upgrading of its generation activities, contrary to the objective and policies of the NPS- REG;	Allow	Allow S5.010 by deleting the reference in clause (3) to re-vegetation with woody vegetation or amend the reference to clarify that it does not apply to land used for renewable electricity generation or only 'where practicable'.	Accept
S5.011	Diane Strugnell (S5)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P24: Managing rural land use change.	Amend		Questions whether subdivision into small blocks is a land use change. Notes the S32 report states the tendency for higher stocking rates on smaller blocks and questions if this will become a perverse outcome.	Amend to consider if there are "perverse outcomes" when managing rural land use change.		Reject
S5.012	Diane Strugnell (S5)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Not Stated		Considers the small number of farms within the Whaitua contribute diversity, landscape and amenity values to Wellington area. Considers it important that farming in the whaitua has continued support.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
	Diane Strugnell	FS47.442	Meridian Energy Limited	9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R26: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.		Oppose in part	Meridian opposes the requirement for re-vegetation of at least 50% of identified high erosion risk and highest erosion risk land in Schedule 36 Parts B and E that is imposed through Rule P.R26 (b);	Disallow in part	Allow S5.012 only to the extent consistent with Meridian's requested relief on Schedule 36 (Parts B and E not applying to lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities).	Accept in part
S5.016	Diane Strugnell (S5)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in	Amend		Concerned Table D1 does not provide same variation in method for addressing sediment loss. Considers various risk factors are not taken into account by the single solution and may be better addressed through other methods. Considers there are other issues to be considered when looking at how sediment loss might	Amend: In addition to the management objectives described in Part B of Schedule Z, the farm environment plan must demonstrate that the appropriate and practicable erosion control treatment measures are adopted to address		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.			be managed including reliability of mapping, practicalities of addressing highest erosion risk land areas, inclusion of land of lesser risk due to fencing, establishing outcomes wanted and whether one rule solution will meet the outcomes or lead to perverse outcomes, are issues related to managing sediment loss which need to be considered.	the identified sediment loss risks will result in the revegetation of highest erosion risk land (pasture), and treatment to address erosion risks on other land including high erosion risk land (pasture), with at least 50% of highest erosion risk land (pasture), being revegetated by 30 December 2033, and the remaining highest risk erosion land (pasture) being revegetated by 30 December 2040, unless this is not reasonably practicable, and a certifier certifies that alternative erosion control treatment over the balance of the property will result in the same a level of soil loss avoidance and that these are measurable at a farm-scale and consistent with achieving the target attribute states for the part FMU.		
	Diane Strugnell	FS47.444	Meridian Energy Limited	12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.		Support in part	Meridian considers the Schedule 36B and 36E requirements for re-vegetation with woody vegetation has the potential to conflict with the functional and operational needs of its lawfully established wind farms and seeks an exemption from the requirement, either in Schedule 36B and 36E or in the relevant rules;	Allow in part	Allow S5.016 by providing an exemption from the re-vegetation requirements in Schedule 36B and 36E for farm land within or associated with lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities.	Accept
S5.017	Diane Strugnell (S5)			12 Schedules	E Erosion Risk Treatment Plan.	Amend		Considers the flexibility in solutions should be equal for both high and highest erosion risk land. Considers the difference should be higher level outcomes expected to meet attribute states within the treatment measures implemented and/or implementation of more measures to meet expected outcomes.	Amend: Remove Section 1) A programme to ensure that 50% of the total area of any highest erosion risk land (pasture) on the property is in permanent woody vegetation within 10 years of the farm environment plan being certified, where permanent woody vegetation: (a) can reasonably be expected to reach canopy cover of at least 80% per hectare within 10 years of being established, and (b) is not plantation forestry, and (c) subject to meeting (a) and (b) above, may include appropriate planted species or species that may naturally regenerate.  2. A programme of mitigations to ensure that the management of sediment loss from highest and high erosion risk land (pasture) meets the following management goals.  3. A programme of mitigations to ensure that the management of sediment loss from highest and high erosion risk land (pasture) meets the following management goals: (a) Goal 1 – The effects of stock grazing on sediment loss are minimised by managing grazing density and stock types/weights (particularly during winter months) to reflect the increased risk on highest and high erosion risk land (pasture). (b) Goal 2 – The risk of sediment loss from critical source areas is minimised through identification of these areas, management of vegetation in and around these areas, stock grazing practices, and location and use of farm infrastructure. (c) Goal 3 – Land has appropriate soil		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
									<p>conservation treatment to provide effective erosion control.</p> <p>(d) Goal 4 – The risk of sediment loss as a result of any earthworks permitted by the regional plan is minimised, including by compliance with Rules WH.R22/P.R20.</p> <p>(e) Goal 5 – The risk of sediment loss as a result of any vegetation clearance is not increased from associated land surface disturbance, and appropriate vegetation is established on the area as soon as practicable following any vegetation clearance.</p> <p>4. A description of how the benefits of erosion control treatments will be maintained over time including by: (a) Restricting stock access to ensure effective establishment and protection of the woody vegetation required by 1 above or other mitigations implemented in accordance with 2 above, and (b) Implementing an animal and/or plant pest management programme.</p>		
S5.018	Diane Strugnell (S5)			13 Maps	Map 90: Highest and high erosion risk land (Pasture) – Te Awarua-o-Porirua.	Oppose		Concerned the map doesn't take into account other sediment transport risk factors. Thinks the info in the map doesn't provide any meaningful relationship to actions to address sediment loss on highest erosion risk land.	Delete the map.		Accept in part
S50.001	John Carrad (S50)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P21: Capping, minimising and reducing diffuse discharges of nitrogen from farming activities.	Amend		For clauses (a) and (b), nitrogen doesn't come from animals, it is supplied to soil by legumes or fertiliser. Nitrogen leaching must be accounted for in a scientifically robust manner.	Upgrade nitrogen leaching accounting method.		Accept in part
S50.002	John Carrad (S50)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Policy P.P22: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Oppose		Concerns regarding property and economic consequences due to requirements of schedule 36. Considers High and highest erosion risk maps are overstated and inaccurate.	Not stated		No recommendation
S50.003	John Carrad (S50)			12 Schedules	Schedule 36: Additional requirements for Farm Environment Plans in Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Oppose		Concerns regarding property and economic consequences due to requirements of schedule 36. Considers High and highest erosion risk maps are overstated and inaccurate.	Not stated		No recommendation
S51.006	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Not Stated		Supports financial support and rates relief for land retirement. Seeks for compensation for large-scale land retirement be included. Supports the farm-scale approach proposed.	Prioritise implementation of M44 prior to implementing new rules.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S51.007	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Considers the modelling and assumptions informing PC1 are not fit for purpose and the lack of real data makes it difficult to identify issues and work out what solutions are required.	GWRC to provide support for additional water quality monitoring in Makara and Ohariu catchments		Reject
S51.008	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		States the sources of E. coli must be known for each catchment to be addressed appropriately. Notes inconsistency with WH.P22 and WH.P23 and considers that work to reduce E. coli should only be targeted in areas where it is shown to be an issue. Considers it is inappropriate to extrapolate the results of one monitoring site across all of Makara and Ohariu. Considers local water quality studies are necessary, and seeks an option to undertake landowner-led, farm-scale monitoring.	Add"Identification of sources of e-coli specific to individual catchments".Add"Incorporate ecoli reduction in catchment context and farm plans, based on monitored data"		Reject
S51.009	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Regarding WH.P23(a), submitter expressed concern with the accuracy of the modelling and its appropriateness for the assessment of sediment loss risk from individual farms. Concerned with generic assumptions on sources of sediment. Concerned with a focus on hill country erosion rather than streambank erosion during rainfall events. Supports revegetation of vulnerable areas, however highlights that there are multiple options that work best within farm systems. Considers that due to farming practices, that more land will need to be retired than indicated in PC1.Regarding WH.P23(b), submitter emphasises the same comments made on WH.P23(a), particularly that there are likely more sources of erosion than from hillsides. Emphasised the role of other existing management techniques. Opposes WH.P23(c); Concerned about the timeframe for transitioning to woody vegetation and how long it will take for vegetation to establish given conditions at this location. Expressed concern about cost of maintaining woody vegetation and potential for growth of pest plants. Mentioned potential conflict between revegetation and nearby windfarms. Considers the modelling is inaccurate and that retirement of farmland should not be required where there are no erosion issues.	Refocus (a) to identify sediment sources rather than erosion risk  Amend (b) to focus on erosion risk rather than sediment management  Remove (c) and rely on actions and timeframes identified through farm-scale assessment such as Freshwater Farm Plans		Accept in part
	Mākara and Ohariu large farms	FS47.170	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support in part	Meridian opposes a requirement for re-vegetation with woody vegetation in close proximity to wind turbines where the vegetation could impede wind flow and could become an obstacle to the maintenance, repair and upgrading of lawfully- established wind farms. Meridian agrees that farm-scale assessment should be undertaken, including of mitigation measures to ensure they do not conflict with existing lawfully established activities such as wind farms;	Allow in part	Allow S51.009 in part by deleting clause ( c ) (i).	Accept
S51.010	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Supports stream revegetation, however considers it costly and impractical within hilly landscapes. Notes potential for animal welfare issues if livestock cannot access streams for drinking water. Considers that a farm-scale approach is appropriate, rather than blanket restrictions. Seeks the policy to refer to reduced rather than restricted access.	[Inferred]  Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers In addition to national stock exclusion regulations and the region-wide stock access requirements of Rule R98, Rule R99 or Rule R100 in this Plan, restrict reduce through non-regulatory means livestock access to a small river in the Mākara Stream and Mangaroa River catchments where the baseline state for the relevant part Freshwater Management Unit is below the national bottom line for visual clarity		Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S51.011	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Not Stated		Supports stream shading, noting that planting for shade will also contribute to stream bank stabilisation.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
S51.012	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20 hectares or more of land – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers that additional farm plan work is unnecessary when there are existing similar process under national regulation.	Ensure that the details of this rule are consistent with the content and timeframes for Freshwater Farm Plans.		Reject
S51.013	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Supports stream revegetation, however considers it costly and impractical within hilly landscapes. Notes potential for animal welfare issues if livestock cannot access streams for drinking water. Considers that a farm-scale approach is appropriate, rather than blanket restrictions. Submitter also refers to comments regarding WH.P29.	Delete provision		Accept in part
S51.014	Mākara and Ohariu large farms (S51)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R29: Livestock access to a small river – discretionary activity.	Oppose		Supports stream revegetation, however considers it costly and impractical within hilly landscapes. Notes potential for animal welfare issues if livestock cannot access streams for drinking water. Considers that a farm-scale approach is appropriate, rather than blanket restrictions. Submitter also refers to comments regarding WH.P28.	Delete provision		Accept in part
S53.001	Bob Curry (S53)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Amend		Considers that the winter stocking limits are arbitrary and that the limitation of stock limits would affect their farming ventures, where existing stocking rates of 20-30 stock units per hectare have not had adverse effects on the land, groundwater, or adjacent waterways.	Limit the area of land requiring the submission of a Farm Plan to areas greater than 20 ha. Limit the stocking rate threshold for needing resource consent to 24 stock units per hectares of effective grazing areas on flat, fertile, productive land. Await the review of national policy statements before implementing changes to the Natural Resources Plan.		Reject
S55.003	Annette Cairns (S55)			General comments	General comments - maps	Not Stated		Questions the validity of the mapping techniques used to determine erosion prone land.	Not Stated		No recommendation
	Annette Cairns	FS50.003	New Zealand Carbon Farming Group ('NZCF')	General comments	General comments - maps		Support	NZCF generally supports the submission and similarly is concerned that the rationale for the mapping is not clearly set out. NZCF seeks that Maps 92 and 95 are replaced with the erosion susceptibility classification in the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Commercial Forestry) Regulations 2017 ("NESCFC").	Allow	Not stated	No recommendation
S57.001	Sally Kean (S57)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Considers the proposed provisions for lifestyle blocks are not suitable for properties under 10-20 hectares.	Not Stated		No recommendation
S57.002	Sally Kean (S57)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Believes that requirements to fence off waterways will increase fire risk as a result of uncontrolled shrub and grass growth.	Not Stated		No recommendation
S58.002	David and Carolyn Gratton (S58)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Not Stated		Believes PC1 will make it difficult to support family economic well being or make reasonable use of the land citing section 85 of the RMA.	Clarify requirements imposed on land adjacent to high/highest risk land (pasture) or remove provisions from PC1.		Accept in part
S58.005	David and Carolyn Gratton (S58)			General comments	General comments - economic cost/impact	Oppose		Believes small farms of less than 10ha should be exempt from PC1 provisions and the need to provide erosion management plans. If required, management plans should be simple to avoid consultants needing to be hired. Suggests lack of clarity on requirements for land that is not high/highest erosion risk.	Exempt small farms of less than 10ha from regulations requiring farm management/erosion risk management requirements to be prepared by farm consultants. Clarify requirements imposed on land adjacent to high/highest risk land (pasture) or remove provisions from PC1.		Accept
S58.006	David and Carolyn Gratton (S58)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property	Oppose		Concerns with the additional cost of small farm registration	Remove requirement for small farm registration		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.						
S59.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker (S59)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker	FS27.1255	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S59.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker (S59)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker	FS27.1258	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
S59.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker (S59)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker	FS27.1259	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S59.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker (S59)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker	FS27.1260	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S59.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker (S59)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker	FS27.1261	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S59.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker (S59)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob AnkerRobert Anker	FS27.1262	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S60.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell (S60)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell	FS27.1333	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S60.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell (S60)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell	FS27.1336	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S60.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell (S60)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell	FS27.1337	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S60.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell (S60)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell	FS27.1338	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S60.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell (S60)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell	FS27.1339	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								“planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S60.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell (S60)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Darren Pettengell	FS27.1340	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S61.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble (S61)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble	FS27.1502	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S61.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble (S61)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble	FS27.1505	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S61.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble (S61)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble	FS27.1506	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S61.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble (S61)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble	FS27.1507	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S61.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble (S61)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble	FS27.1508	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S61.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble (S61)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lenard Drabble	FS27.1509	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S62.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson (S62)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson	FS27.1398	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S62.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson (S62)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson	FS27.1401	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
S62.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Thompson (S62)							rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson	FS27.1402	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S62.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson (S62)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson	FS27.1403	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S62.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson (S62)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson	FS27.1404	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S62.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson (S62)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jacqui Thompson	FS27.1405	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S63.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes (S63)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes	FS27.1307	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S63.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes (S63)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes	FS27.1310	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S63.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes (S63)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes	FS27.1311	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S63.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes (S63)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes	FS27.1312	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S63.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river –	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Ritchie Forbes (S63)				permitted activity.						
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes	FS27.1313	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S63.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes (S63)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Callum Graeme Ritchie Forbes	FS27.1314	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S64.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson (S64)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson	FS27.1515	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought	Disallow	Not stated	Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S64.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson (S64)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson	FS27.1518	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
S64.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson (S64)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson	FS27.1519	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S64.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson (S64)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson	FS27.1520	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S64.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson (S64)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson	FS27.1521	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S64.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson (S64)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Linda Forbes Williamson	FS27.1522	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S65.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes (S65)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								established to identify the source of any quality reduction.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes	FS27.1593	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S65.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes (S65)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes	FS27.1596	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S65.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes (S65)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes	FS27.1597	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S65.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes (S65)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes	FS27.1598	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S65.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes (S65)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities -	FS27.1599	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river –		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Rhiannon Forbes		Incorporate ("MPHRCI")		permitted activity.			Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S65.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes (S65)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Rhiannon Forbes	FS27.1600	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Disallow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S66.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey (S66)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey	FS27.1463	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S66.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey (S66)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey	FS27.1466	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
S66.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey (S66)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey	FS27.1467	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S66.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey (S66)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey	FS27.1468	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S66.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey (S66)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey	FS27.1469	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S66.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities -			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey (S66)							data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jon-Luke Clarke Harvey	FS27.1470	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S67.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson (S67)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson	FS27.1567	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S67.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson (S67)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson	FS27.1570	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S67.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson (S67)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson	FS27.1571	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S67.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson (S67)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson	FS27.1572	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S67.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson (S67)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson	FS27.1573	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Disallow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S67.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson (S67)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Thompson	FS27.1574	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly,	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S68.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson (S68)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson	FS27.1359	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S68.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson (S68)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson	FS27.1362	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S68.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson (S68)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson	FS27.1363	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S68.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson (S68)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson	FS27.1364	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S68.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson (S68)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson	FS27.1365	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S68.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson (S68)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Gail Thomson	FS27.1366	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S69.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle (S69)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle	FS27.1619	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S69.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle (S69)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle	FS27.1622	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S69.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle (S69)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle	FS27.1623	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S69.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle (S69)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle	FS27.1624	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S69.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle (S69)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle	FS27.1625	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S69.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle (S69)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Susan Patricia Boyle	FS27.1626	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S70.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle (S70)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle	FS27.1450	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S70.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle (S70)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle	FS27.1453	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S70.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle (S70)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle	FS27.1454	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S70.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle (S70)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle	FS27.1455	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S70.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle (S70)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle	FS27.1456	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S70.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle (S70)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - John Peter Boyle	FS27.1457	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S71.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig (S71)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig	FS27.1281	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S71.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig (S71)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig	FS27.1284	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S71.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig (S71)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig	FS27.1285	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S71.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig (S71)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig	FS27.1286	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S71.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig (S71)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig	FS27.1287	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S71.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig (S71)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Brendon Allen Greig	FS27.1288	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S72.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig (S72)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig	FS27.1268	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S72.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig (S72)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig	FS27.1271	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S72.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig (S72)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig	FS27.1272	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S72.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig (S72)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig	FS27.1273	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S72.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig (S72)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig	FS27.1274	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S72.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig (S72)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Angela Marie Greig	FS27.1275	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								□□planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S73.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales (S73)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales	FS27.1580	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly,	Disallow	Not stated	Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S73.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales (S73)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales	FS27.1583	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept in part
S73.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales (S73)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales	FS27.1584	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")					Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S73.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales (S73)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales	FS27.1585	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S73.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales (S73)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales	FS27.1586	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S73.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales (S73)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Philip Eales	FS27.1587	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S74.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales (S74)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales	FS27.1632	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")					Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S74.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales (S74)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales	FS27.1635	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S74.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales (S74)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales	FS27.1636	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S74.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities -			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Teresa Eales (S74)							stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales	FS27.1637	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S74.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales (S74)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales	FS27.1638	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S74.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales (S74)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Teresa Eales	FS27.1639	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Disallow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S75.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy (S75)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy	FS27.1528	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S75.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities -			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Lynn Marion Bialy (S75)										
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy	FS27.1531	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S75.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy (S75)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy	FS27.1532	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S75.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy (S75)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy	FS27.1533	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S75.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy (S75)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy	FS27.1534	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S75.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy (S75)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Lynn Marion Bialy	FS27.1535	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S76.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy (S76)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy	FS27.1606	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S76.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy (S76)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy	FS27.1609	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S76.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy (S76)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy	FS27.1610	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S76.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy (S76)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy	FS27.1611	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S76.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy (S76)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy	FS27.1612	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Disallow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S76.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy (S76)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Richard Charles Bialy	FS27.1613	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S77.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready (S77)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready	FS27.1437	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S77.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready (S77)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready	FS27.1440	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")					retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S77.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready (S77)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready	FS27.1441	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S77.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready (S77)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready	FS27.1442	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S77.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready (S77)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready	FS27.1443	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S77.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready (S77)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - JoAnn McCready	FS27.1444	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S78.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry (S78)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry	FS27.1242	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S78.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry (S78)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry	FS27.1245	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S78.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry (S78)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry	FS27.1246	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S78.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry (S78)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry	FS27.1247	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that	Disallow	Not stated	Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S78.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry (S78)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry	FS27.1248	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S78.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry (S78)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob Curry	FS27.1249	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S79.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan (S79)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan	FS27.1229	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S79.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan (S79)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan	FS27.1232	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S79.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan (S79)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan	FS27.1233	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly,	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S79.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan (S79)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan	FS27.1234	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S79.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan (S79)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river –	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					permitted activity.						
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan	FS27.1235	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S79.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan (S79)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bob McLellan	FS27.1236	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S80.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles (S80)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles	FS27.1294	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S80.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles (S80)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles	FS27.1297	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S80.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles (S80)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles	FS27.1298	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S80.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles (S80)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles	FS27.1299	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S80.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles (S80)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles	FS27.1300	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S80.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles (S80)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Bridget M Myles	FS27.1301	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S81.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCreedy (S81)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								established to identify the source of any quality reduction.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCready	FS27.1346	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S81.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCready (S81)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCready	FS27.1349	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S81.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCready (S81)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCready	FS27.1350	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S81.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCreedy (S81)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCreedy	FS27.1351	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S81.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCreedy (S81)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities -	FS27.1352	Manor Park and Haywards Residents	8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	David McCreedy		Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	Whanganui-a-Tara	small river – permitted activity.			and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S81.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCreedy (S81)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to these drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - David McCreedy	FS27.1353	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S82.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald (S82)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald	FS27.1541	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity	Disallow	Not stated	Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S82.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald (S82)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald	FS27.1544	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S82.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald (S82)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald	FS27.1545	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S82.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald (S82)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald	FS27.1546	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S82.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald (S82)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald	FS27.1547	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S82.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald (S82)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Meaghan Fitzgerald	FS27.1548	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S83.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash (S83)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash	FS27.1489	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
			Incorporate ("MPHRCI")					Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S83.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash (S83)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash	FS27.1492	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S83.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash (S83)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash	FS27.1493	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S83.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities -			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Kevin Nash (S83)							stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash	FS27.1494	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S83.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash (S83)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash	FS27.1495	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S83.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash (S83)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to these drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Kevin Nash	FS27.1496	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Disallow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S84.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash (S84)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash	FS27.1476	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S84.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities -			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Karen Nash (S84)										
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash	FS27.1479	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S84.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash (S84)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash	FS27.1480	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S84.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash (S84)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash	FS27.1481	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S84.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash (S84)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash	FS27.1482	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S84.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash (S84)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Karen Nash	FS27.1483	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S86.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow (S86)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow	FS27.1411	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S86.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow (S86)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow	FS27.1414	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S86.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow (S86)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow	FS27.1415	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S86.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow (S86)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow	FS27.1416	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S86.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow (S86)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow	FS27.1417	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource	Disallow	Not stated	Reject



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S86.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow (S86)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Jennifer Sparrow	FS27.1418	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S87.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro (S87)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro	FS27.1385	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S87.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro (S87)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro	FS27.1388	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S87.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro (S87)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro	FS27.1389	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S87.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro (S87)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro	FS27.1390	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S87.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro (S87)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro	FS27.1391	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S87.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro (S87)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Grant Munro	FS27.1392	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S88.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro (S88)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro	FS27.1320	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S88.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro (S88)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro	FS27.1323	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S88.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro (S88)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro	FS27.1324	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S88.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro (S88)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro	FS27.1325	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes	Disallow	Not stated	Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S88.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro (S88)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro	FS27.1326	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S88.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro (S88)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Colleen Munro	FS27.1327	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S89.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson (S89)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson	FS27.1424	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S89.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson (S89)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson	FS27.1427	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S89.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson (S89)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson	FS27.1428	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S89.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson (S89)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson	FS27.1429	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S89.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson (S89)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson	FS27.1430	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S89.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson (S89)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to the drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Joan Elizabeth Hutson	FS27.1431	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								“planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S9.004	Louise Askin (S9)			General comments	General comments - overall	Amend		Considers PC1 should provide catchment context by incorporating whaitua-wide policies and prioritisation tools (e.g. mapping) as non-regulatory support to inform farm plans. Suggests this will result in robust (certified and audited) FWFP but will ensure farms can identify actual issues and solutions for unique landscapes and avoid regulatory “by-catch” from broad rules. Notes the Government’s recent changes to the NPS-FM and timeframes for implementation may change the effectiveness of this WIP recommendation.	Not Stated.		Accept in part
	Louise Askin	FS12.3	Diane Strugnell	General comments	General comments - overall		Support	Agree that PC1 should provide catchment context to inform farm plans ensuring farms identify actual issues and solutions and avoid regulatory “by-catch” from broad rules.	Allow	Submission point as a whole	Accept
S9.008	Louise Askin (S9)			6 Other methods	Method M42: Small farm property registration within Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara and Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua.	Amend		Considers it is unclear why this is listed as a key method when the design of associated policies and rules appear to have low impact. Considers this will have high compliance costs with low outcomes.	Clarify the scope and purpose of this method and either strengthen or remove.  Oppose if there is no appropriate benefit from this process shown.		Accept
S9.009	Louise Askin (S9)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendations 10, 11, 13, 14, 15 and 36. Considers the lack of water quality monitoring data and information on contaminant sources is a key limitation on the communities’ ability to effect change in Mākara/Ohariu. Concerned support for catchment groups (urban and rural) is lacking in PC1. Considers PC1 needs to support community development of local catchment context and not rely on WIP or FWAP.	Expand list to include other important non-regulatory measures proposed in the WIP, including support for catchment groups, additional water quality monitoring programmes, provision of local information/data, development of “catchment context, challenges and values”.		Accept in part
S9.010	Louise Askin (S9)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Supports new focus on small rural properties as they may have different information channels compared to farms. Concerned GWRC has progressed the development of regulatory tools (PC1) but not additional non-regulatory tools as proposed in WIP. Concerned this has lost a communication opportunity during PC1 consultation stage, as GWRC could have presented communities with both regulatory tools and non-regulatory support, opposed to just the new rules.	Supports. Add “in partnership with community” in the description.  Progress implementation with haste.		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
S9.015	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendation 15. Concerned the source of high e-coli levels in Mākara Stream is unknown and there are several potential sources. Considers the sources and levels need to be known for each catchment to be addressed effectively.	Add: "Identification of sources of e-coli specific to individual catchments".		Reject
S9.016	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P21: Managing diffuse discharges of nutrients and Escherichia coli from farming activities.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendation 33. Considers work to reduce E-coli levels should only target areas where e-coli is shown to be an issue and there is not currently sufficient monitoring data to determine the levels and sources of e-coli across the multiple catchments. Considers it inappropriate to extrapolate the results of one monitoring site. Seeks landowner farm-scale monitoring be provided for – including feedback loops to monitor the impact of actions.	Add: "Incorporate e-coli reduction in catchment context and farm environment plans, based on monitored data" – to allow a farm-scale approach as already proposed for nitrogen and sediment.		Accept in part
S9.017	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendations 33 and 36. Considers "woody vegetation" is only one option for land treatment and is a challenge to establish in exposed Mākara/Ohariu areas. Notes Meridian Energy does not allow revegetation with plants over 1m on many ridgelines across several of the largest local farms due to their disruption of wind flow. Considers the provision's requirement to maintain the woody vegetation will be unviable due to large-scale land retirement and reduced farm income from reduced production and high fencing costs incurred. Considers working alongside Meridian's windfarm an additional challenge where afforestation needs to be designed to no impede wind flow.	Opposes (c). Use erosion/sediment risk treatment plans to identify the most appropriate methods and timeframes for managing sediment loss on each unique site.		Accept
	Louise Askin	FS47.165	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support	A requirement to establish woody vegetation within existing lawfully established wind farms has the potential to disrupt wind flows, has the potential to impede the maintenance, repair and upgrading of established wind farms and conflicts with the objectives and policies of the NPS-REG;	Allow	Allow S9.017 and delete clause ( c ) (i).	Accept
S9.018	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendation 36. Considers given the area's geology, the land mapped as the top 10% of unvegetated land at risk of erosion captures areas where erosion risk is not high. Concerned map applied at property-scale creates significant cost to land-owners. Considers on-farm actions need to be based on farm-scale assessment of erosion risks. Notes this is common practice in NZ and GWRC's existing erosion control programmed in Wairarapa. Notes regional mapping is used in other regions to prioritise landowner engagement and farm investment in land treatment and considers it is rarely used to regulate land treatment in regions where erosion risk is extreme. Concerned this policy assumes erosion from steep land is the key source of sediment but anecdotally streambank erosion from high flood flows is a key contributor of sediment in Mākara Stream catchment. Concerned retirement area will be much larger than mapped polygons due to need to aggregate areas and work with the landscapes to locate sensible fence lines.	Remove section (a) or modify to say "identifying highest erosion risk land (pasture)... at a farm-scale."  Amend to focus on identifying "sediment sources" rather than solely erosion risk.		Accept in part



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Louise Askin	FS47.166	Meridian Energy Limited	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.		Support in part	Consideration of erosion risk from rural land should be based on farm-scale assessment and should include consideration of all of the land use activities present and the impact of mitigation measures on those. For example, the deleterious impact of requiring re-vegetation with woody vegetation on the operation of lawfully established wind farms;	Allow in part	Allow S9.018 and replace reference to the mapping of 'highest erosion risk land (pasture)' and 'high erosion risk land (pasture)' with reference to identifying highest erosion risk at a farm scale.	Accept
S9.019	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendation 36.Supports sediment/erosion risk treatment plans based on farm-scale assessment not whaitua-scale mapping. Considers the sources of sediment are likely broader than hillside erosion in the Mākara and Ohariu catchments. Considers focus should be on broader topic of "sediment" to acknowledge the role of other existing sediment sources and management techniques such as low stocking rates and good pasture cover.	Refocus (b) from “erosion risk treatment plan” to “erosion and sediment risk treatment plan”.		Accept in part
S9.020	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P24: Phasing of farm environment plans.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendation 34.Seeks phasing time is timed to best integrate with national roll out of FWFP so farmers are not duplicating efforts.	Revise the date for FEPs to be prepared and certified if this is inconsistent with the FWFP roll out.		Accept in part
S9.021	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendation 34. Considers this policy be made consistent with the associated rule (or broader intent) regarding reduced access rather than restricted access. Considers fencing tool is limited in Mākara and Ohariu as areas are incredibly hilly and notes a good proportion of Mākara and Ohariu's large streams won't be covered in national stock exclusion regulations.Considers need to focus on actual risk from stock access to rivers in low intensity farms, regarding frequency of livestock access and actual impact on stream banks and water quality.	Replace “restrict” with “reduce through non-regulatory means”. Shift the focus on non-regulatory drivers, as per the WIP recommendation.  Amend the wording to clarify what size river is covered in this policy – and ensure that the title and policy wording are consistent.		Accept in part
S9.022	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P27: Promoting stream shading.	Support		Considers policy can be enacted through native reversion, native planting or poplar/willow pole planting.	Retain as notified.		Accept in part
S9.026	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R26: Farming activities on a property of between 4 hectares and 20 hectares – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers provision has strong focus on nitrogen management, whereas WIP notes small properties may also contribute to e-coli levels. Considers a need to focus the work on catchment issues for small properties. Supports the use of "stock units" instead of livestock to determine farming intensity as considers it takes into account diversity of livestock species on smaller properties. Concerned there is not good rationale for farm registration, particularly if nitrogen monitoring does not require reporting. Notes PC1 wording does not include requirement for any form of livestock exclusion from waterways other than national rules. Considers the smaller properties should have the same level of stock exclusion requirements, even if not through a full FEP.Considers the approach to determining what properties the provision applies to, is inconsistent with larger farms and should be based on effective grazing area.	Include assessment of e-coli risk.  Remove farm registration requirement – limited benefit.  Clarify 4-20ha based on “effective grazing area” or similar.		Accept in part
S9.027	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te	Rule WH.R27: Farming activities on 20	Amend		Considers any farm environment plan work above the national regulations can contribute to FWFP as catchment context. Submitter recommends if rule is	Ensure that the details of this rule are consistent with the content and timeframes for Freshwater Farm Plans.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
				Whanganui-a-Tara	hectares or more of land – permitted activity.			retained, that these two plans/programmes are designed to inform FWFP.Considers this provision is disproportionate to the treatment of larger streams. Considers in Mākara/Ohariu, a minor proportion of larger streams will require livestock exclusion under national regulations due to difficult topography. Suggests small streams should be part of a farm's assessment of waterway health and contaminant sources instead, oppose to a standalone programme. Considers this is relevant given the low farm stocking rates.	Remove the requirement for a Small Stream Riparian Programme.  Retain inclusion of an erosion/sediment risk treatment plan –as detail to inform the FWFP.		
S9.028	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendation 33. Notes WIP recommends farm plans incorporate more streams rather than just MfE's "low slope" map (regardless of size) but does not propose a regulatory approach. References submitter's comments against Policy WH. P26.	Remove (b) since farm environment plans can pick up planning for all streams and non-regulatory measures can support on-farm work.		Accept in part
S9.029	Louise Askin (S9)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R30: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Amend		Seeks implementation of WIP recommendation 15.Considers there aren't enough water quality monitoring sites to make this provision useful or fair. Considers limitations on farming should only be placed on properties where nitrogen is a shown problem and not across whole FMU.	Adjust the scale at which this is applied – from FMU-scale to small catchments/farm – to allow for local differences in stream contaminant levels to be assessed.		Reject
S90.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson (S90)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be established to identify the source of any quality reduction.	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson	FS27.1554	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S90.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson (S90)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson	FS27.1557	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S90.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson (S90)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson	FS27.1558	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S90.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson (S90)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson	FS27.1559	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as ‘planned urban’. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S90.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson (S90)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson	FS27.1560	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate (“MPHRCI”)	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that “planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the ‘planned / existing urban area’”. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S90.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson (S90)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Peter Jeffery Hutson	FS27.1561	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Reject
S91.006	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard (S91)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Considers there to be no data on water quality gathered within the Mangaroa catchment and the Akatarawa catchment. States that the headwaters of the catchments are located 20km from the monitoring points. Considers there to be a lack of understanding on how and where contaminants are entering water and that GWRC is assuming the source of contamination is farming activities/human activity. Considers GWRC need to understand where and how sediment and contaminants are entering water bodies. Recommended the Mangaroa and Akatarawa catchments, at least 3 monitoring points should be	Defer any further action on PC1 pending gathering an effective database.		Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								established to identify the source of any quality reduction.			
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard	FS27.1372	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S91.009	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard (S91)			2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme	Not Stated		Questions what the minimum width of a small streams is.	Clarify the definition of small rivers upon which other regulations rely e.g. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard	FS27.1375	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	2 Interpretation	Small stream riparian programme		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert	Disallow	Not stated	Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S91.010	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard (S91)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks	Not Stated		Considers available data identifying the origin of contaminants in water to be insufficient. Considers for the Hutt River, indicators from primary contact sites indicate that contamination is not originating from the farming communities of Akatarawa and Mangaroa but rather downstream of Taita Gorge. States a disproportionate amount of effort has been put into trying to solve a problem that does not exist. Also states that as the farming communities of Upper Hutt have moved away from dairy farming, prior problems have been resolved.	Requests GWRC move away from attributing contamination problems to farming and re-focus on urban sources.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard	FS27.1376	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	8.2.4 Rural land use and earthworks		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'. This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or	Disallow	Not stated	Accept



Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S91.011	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard (S91)			General comments	General comments - rural	Not Stated		Questions the justification for measures targeting Mangaroa Valley, Akatarawa Valley, and other farming communities due to a lack of supporting evidence. States that the s32 report identified that stocking rates and stock numbers are low, amounts of nitrogen fertiliser used are low, there is a low opportunity to reduce nitrogen discharges, and recommendations that gorse fixes nitrogen leaching are incorrect. Also states that there is no evidence to support statements that on-site wastewater systems can be a source of nitrogen losses. Gorse fixes nitrogen and has been found to leach as much nitrogen as a dairy farm. Highlights that proposed measures will have substantial costs and may result in minimal water quality improvements.	Withdraw measures in PC1 targeted towards the Upper Hutt farming community.		Reject
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard	FS27.1377	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	General comments	General comments - rural		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.	Disallow	Not stated	Accept
S91.012	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard (S91)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Amend		Considers animals, excluding cattle deer and pigs, to be exempt from Rule WH.R28.	Request confirmation that cattle, deer, and pigs are exempt from Rule WH.R28		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities -	FS27.1378	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community	8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river –		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
	Graeme Shellard		Incorporate ("MPHRCI")		permitted activity.			Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing urban area'". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S91.013	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard (S91)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Not Stated		Concerned the level of information required to register small farms is complex and farm owners may not have the expertise to produce the data required and GWRC does not have the systems to receive the data. Also concerned about the costs and uncertainty associated with resource consent requirements	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Require GWRC to have the necessary systems and applications in place prior to promulgating a regulation that will not function appropriately without those systems. Confirm whether GWRC have the authority to commit to a course of action which may be at variance to thee drafted regulations.		Accept
	Upper Hutt Rural Communities - Graeme Shellard	FS27.1379	Manor Park and Haywards Residents Community Incorporate ("MPHRCI")	12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.		Oppose	Our natural environment should be protected or improved where it is degraded or risks being degraded, especially our remaining native bush areas and all streams and rivers in the Dry Creek Catchment and surrounding area. MPHRCI supports retention of provisions (and plan amendments) that will help achieve this outcome, and opposes provisions that will not help achieve or will frustrate this outcome. 30 Benmore Street had, until very recently, relatively high natural character and ecological values, open space values, and rural amenity values. Recent substantial vegetation clearance and earthworks activities on the site have resulted in significant adverse environmental effects which should be remedied and mitigated. There is substantial community objection to this land being rezoned from rural to urban. MPHRCI does not agree with Waste Management New Zealand Limited that "planning for the site to be used for a resource recovery park is well advanced, with several expert assessments undertaken that demonstrate the use is suitable and environmental effects and as such it should be considered part of the 'planned / existing	Disallow	Not stated	Reject

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								urban area". This is a disingenuous and arrogant statement to make. There is considerable community concern about, and opposition to, 30 Benmore Street being considered as a potentially appropriate site for urban development, let alone being considered appropriate for industrial and waste management land uses. MPHRCI does not agree with the relief sought by those submitters seeking to facilitate the rezoning of 30 Benmore Street to an urban zone. Similarly, MPHRCI does not agree with the submitters reasoning. 30 Benmore Street is rural land zoned for rural purposes and in no way should it be considered as urban or as 'planned urban'. A prohibited activity status to prevent urban land uses on this site, or discharges to water from activities on this site, is appropriate.			
S92.004	Callum Forbes (S92)			General comments	General comments - water bodies	Oppose		Expressed concern that the minimum size for "small rivers" is not defined.	Amend definitions which relate to other regulations		Reject
S92.005	Callum Forbes (S92)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Oppose		Does not support the prescribed data collection requirements, on the basis that it is too complex for laypeople to record, and that systems to receive the data have not yet been established. Refers to the examples of Rules R106 and R107; considers that Rule R106 would require resource consent for the clearance of any tree for firewood as a renewable energy activity; and Rule R107 would require resource consent for earthworks for the burying of deceased livestock.	Delete the requirement for farms of 4 ha to register with GWRC. Implement systems that are necessary to promulgate regulations. Confirm if GWRC staff have the authority to deviate from the regulations as drafted.		Accept
S94.002	Jo McCready (S94)			General comments	General comments - maps	Oppose		Considers the maps provided within PC1 difficult to decipher at property level. Concerned with difficulty for landowners to determine how they might be affected.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S94.006	Jo McCready (S94)			General comments	General comments - overall	Oppose		Considers overall emphasis within PC1 is on regulatory methods and "requirements" on landowners rather than incentives to engage best practice. Considers better outcomes would be achieved weighted in accordance with Recommendations 58, 59, 60, 61 and 64 of Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua Implementation Programme. Considers focusing on resourcing positive supports and actions rather than enforcements.	Not stated.		No recommendation
S94.008	Jo McCready (S94)			General comments	General comments - definitions	Amend		Notes within the document there are a number of references to small rivers, less than 1 metre wide. Notes there is nowhere within the documents that states what the minimum size is and considers it unacceptable to have an open-ended definition for a minimum.	Amend: Clarify the definition upon which other regulations rely eg. Stock exclusion and fencing rules.		Reject
S94.009	Jo McCready (S94)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Not Stated		Considers other stock not mentioned are exempt from all rules.	Confirm the rules are exclusive to these animals.		Accept
S94.013	Jo McCready (S94)			9 Te Awarua-o-Porirua Whaitua	Rule P.R27: The use of land for farming activities – discretionary activity.	Amend		Considers where monitoring sites are not defined, concentration should be demonstrated at property level to determine if it exceeds the target attribute state. Considers permitted changes in land use should be allowed if the properties' activities do not contribute to increasing concentrations.	Amend a) and b) to allow for an individual property scale response.		Reject
S94.014	Jo McCready (S94)			12 Schedules	Schedule 35: Small farm registration.	Oppose		Notes landowners have to provide complex range of data including average stocking rates, perform calculations relating to Nitrogen emitting from the property and are required to calculate effective	Delete this requirement		Accept

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
								grazing areas, map the property boundaries and show waterbodies where stock exclusion is required under new rules and to show the location of fences relative to the waterbodies. Notes there will be few in the community who will have the level of expertise required to perform the complex mathematical calculations to collate the raft of data required or produce accurate maps, especially given the undulating nature of the terrain. Notes GWRC have not produced the systems necessary to record the information. Notes a resource consent application takes time, costs money and is beyond the technical abilities of most individuals. There is no guarantee it will be approved and if it is, it may contain onerous conditions.			
S95.001	sharyn hume (S95)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Support		Supports range of financial support options for land retirement but would like to see compensation included for large-scale land retirement. Seeks that a farm-scale approach be better integrated into sediment and erosion control policies and rules.	Prioritise this work prior to implementing new rules.		Reject
S95.002	sharyn hume (S95)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Considers modelling assumptions are not fit for purpose, and lack of local water quality monitoring data makes it hard to see where the water quality issue is and what solutions to implement.	Include increased GWRC support for additional water quality monitoring activities in Mākara and Ohariu, including community led.		Reject
S95.003	sharyn hume (S95)			6 Other methods	Method M44: Supporting the health of rural waterbodies.	Amend		Lack of consistency with WH.P22 (nitrogen) and WH.P23 (sediment). Thinks works to reduce e-coli levels should only target areas where e-coli is shown to be an issue, and there is not enough data to determine the levels and sources of e-coli across the area's multiple catchments. Local water quality studies need to be carried out and the option for landowner-led, farm-scale monitoring provided for, including monitoring of the impact from actions taken.	Add "Incorporate e-coli reduction in catchment context and farm plans, based on monitored data"		Accept in part
S95.004	sharyn hume (S95)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Concern about accuracy of mapping and modelling, considers modelling is not fit for purpose in Makara/Ohariu. Considers the policy needs to allow for a farm-scale assessment of sediment sources. Concern about PC1 focus on hill country erosion rather than streambank erosion in high flows which is anecdotally a greater contributor to sediment losses. Does not support revegetation of vulnerable areas of farmland – but notes there are options for revegetation sites that best work within the farm system. Areas forced into retirement will be much bigger than the mapped areas due to the need to aggregate areas and work with the landscape to locate fencelines.	Identify sediment sources by using a farm-scale assessment rather than the erosion-risk mapping proposed. Refocus this section on identifying "sediment sources" rather than solely erosion risk.		Accept in part
S95.005	sharyn hume (S95)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on land with high risk of erosion.	Amend		Wants to see broader focus on sources of sediment rather than just erosion on hillsides.	Refocus from "erosion risk" to "sediment management".		Accept
S95.006	sharyn hume (S95)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P23: Achieving reductions in sediment discharges from farming activities on	Oppose		Concern the provision will financially impact farms due to the timeframes and requirement to retire land from grazing. Concern that some woody vegetation will not be successful on steepest areas and fencing and retiring land will be the only tool available. Considers native planting will not be affordable on this scale, and it will be unviable to maintain woody vegetation given the large-scale land retirement and reduced farm income from reduced production and	Remove this blanket approach and instead rely on the bespoke actions and timeframes that will be identified through farm-scale assessment, including through audited Freshwater Farm Plans.		Accept in part

Original submission point (SP)	Original submitter	Further submission point (FS)	Further submitter	Plan section	Provision	SP position	FS position	Reasons	Decision requested	FS decision sought	Officer recommendation
					land with high risk of erosion.			high fencing costs.Considers modelling is inaccurate and farmland with no actual erosion issue should not need to be retired.			
S95.007	sharyn hume (S95)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Policy WH.P26: Managing livestock access to small rivers.	Amend		Make this policy consistent with the associated rule regarding reduced access rather than restricted access. Concerned about high cost and practicalities of fencing streams in some areas.	Replace “restrict” with “reduce through non-regulatory means”. Amend the policy wording to match the heading scope about river size.		Accept in part
S95.008	sharyn hume (S95)			8 Whaitua Te Whanganui-a-Tara	Rule WH.R28: Livestock access to a small river – permitted activity.	Oppose		Concerns about livestock access to streams for drinking water due to risk around reticulated water supply infrastructure functioning well in hill country paddocks. Considers a farm-scale approach would help identify solutions, including ponds for stockwater and sediment retention.	Delete policy since this can instead be incorporated into certified/audited Freshwater Farm Plans.		Reject