HUTT RIVER FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT PLAN

phase 1: HUTT RIVER FLOOD CONTROL SCHEME REVIEW

Topic No. 6

HUTT RIVER

CHANNEL MANAGEMENT

85

PROTECTION WORKS

July 1991

[Printed September 1994]

Publication number: WRC/RI-T-94/50

G & E WILLIAMS CONSULTANTS LTD

SOUTH MANAKAU ROAD R.D.1 OTAKI (06) 3626684

HUTT RIVER FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT PLAN

CHANNEL MANAGEMENT & PROTECTION WORKS STUDY

SUMMARY

1 INTRODUCTION

This Channel Management Study has been undertaken as part of the review of the Hutt River Flood Control Scheme. The aims of the study were to determine the existing river works and draw up a database of the existing conditions; assess past and current management practices and protection works; evaluate the effectiveness of the river management; put appropriate design channels, forward commenting on alternative management approaches; and provide guidance on the management of gravel extraction. The findings of the River Characteristics and Sedimentation studies have been important in assessing the effectiveness of past practices and works, and the nature of the river responses.

2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

2.1 Existing Works

The existing protection works along the Hutt River have been identified from walk over field inspections and aerial photography, and are shown on 34 plans at a scale of 1:2500. These plans are given in the attached Volume of Plans and Database, and should be referred to, as the report only gives a commentary on what the plans show.

There is a diversity of works along the river, including rock and rubble linings, permeable timber groynes, rock and concrete block groynes and willow vegetation strengthened with cabled rail fences. Different techniques have been applied over time, with roading authorities being involved as well as the river management authority. While there is often a lack of consistency along reaches of the river, the works in place do give rise to a degree of protection that is generally related to protection requirements.

2.2 Database

A classification system for river management that has both descriptive and status or ranking factors has been developed. The classification categories cover river regime factors, and channel and bank condition factors.

A database has then been set up using cross-section identifiers, with two data listings, a 'Channel Listing' that stores the river regime and channel condition factors, and a 'Bank Listing' that stores the bank condition factors. An overall status factor has been determined from a weighting of the various status factors. All status factors are given in terms of a ranking from 1 to 5, in 0.5 increments. For all factors the best condition is given a rank of 1 and the worst a rank of 5, so that factors can be combined and the resulting ranking retains the same relative order. The overall status is an indication of the relative likelihood of the berms being eroded away, and thus the threat to the flood defences. Along the Hutt River the overall status rankings are quite variable, but are within the range of 1 to 3.5.

Selective inquiries can be carried out to extract combinations of data over specified ranges.

3 PAST MANAGEMENT

3.1 Lower Valley Scheme

There are few engineering records of past works and management practises, and an assessment of river responses and the effectiveness of works is hindered by this lack of records. The management approach of the Hutt River Board was one of constant repair, replacement, extension and repositioning of works, along with a management of the channel bed through gravel extraction operations.

The lower reaches were enlarged and deepened up to the end of Upstream of Melling the river conditions were the 1930's. significantly different, and the management aim was to develop a narrow gently curving channel. This was done through an approach of progressive confinement, with a continual loss and reinstatement of works being an inherent part of the management approach. The gravel extraction was aimed at straightening the channel and continually removing the sharp flow cross-overs that form within a narrow active The extraction also gave rise to a degradation of channel. the channel bed, and this entrenchment of the channel is considered to be crucial to the degree of straightening and narrowing that has been achieved along the Hutt River.

The management approach taken from the 1950's to the early 1970's, and the river response in terms of damage to works and erosion, is not well known due to the lack of records.

Since the 1970's different channel management approaches have been used, with various bank protection measures. Rubble and rock linings have been constructed along the lower reaches, and various concrete block works have been used. In recent years substantial willow vegetation buffers have been established along the river channel.

3.2 Upper Valley

In the Upper Valley the imposition of a narrow gently curving channel took place much later (in the 1950's), and was carried out more rapidly. The State Highway has been constructed over what had been active channel, and is generally very close to the river channel, and river management has been greatly influenced by roading

ii

authorities. A different approach has thus been taken with the narrow channel being fixed by the heavier and more rigid bank protection of rock linings.

3.3 The Straight Narrow Channel

The Hutt River has been greatly modified over the last 100 years, and the river response will now be very different to what it was. Flood waters are now contained within a narrow and more entrenched channel that has little curvature, and channel breakouts and large erosion embayments cannot occur as readily as they have in the past. In a narrow straight channel, lateral erosion instead takes place over long lengths but with little width, and leaves high banks of alluvial gravel. Willow vegetation and permeable groyne works are less effective in restraining erosion, while the rate of erosion will progressively increase if the curvature of the eroding bank is allowed to increase.

The river regime has probably been significantly altered by the channel development and the response of the river. There is now a progressive decline in the size of the bed material from Birchville downstream, and the bank material is now more easily transported than the bed material. The greywacke baserock has been exposed in many places upstream of Taita Gorge, and the uneven outcropping of this hard material affects the natural channel formation processes.

4 MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS

Rivers are naturally highly dynamic and mobile, and river management approaches that take account of their natural variability will generally be more cost effective in the longer term. Constant losses and the continual repair and replacement of river works does not then in itself indicate a lack of effectiveness. There are many trade offs in river management - between overall approaches, in the balance of different types of works, in the strength and layout of works, and in the programming of maintenance. None of these trade-offs can be properly quantified, and an assessment of effectiveness necessarily involves a qualitative weighing of the evidence, and a reliance on engineering judgement.

River management also has many aims apart from flood mitigation. The channel cross-blading and channel edge vegetation works have impacts on the aquatic environment of the river and riverine landscape, and affect recreational uses of the river area.

Although seriously threatened, the flood defences have not so far been breached. Medium to large flood events have occurred since the inception of scheme works, but the largest flood of 1939 is less than the presently accepted design standard. In recent years only medium flood events (of about a 10 year return period) have occurred. The security given by protection works, as well as river management flexibility, depends very much on the width of the berms (from channel edge to flood defences). There is a wide variation in berm widths, but only a few places where there is virtually no berm - in the lower reaches and at Gemstone Drive. Any river management approach must consider the effects of the relatively frequent small and medium flood event, as well as the protection requirements to withstand a large design flood.

Vegetation and groyne works carried out in the Upper Valley as part of the channel development have suffered severe damage, although they have not been subjected to floods in excess of a 10 year return period. Where rock linings have been constructed more recently, some non-conformity between the channel form and position of the linings has already developed, yet only small floods have occurred since their construction.

The artificial reworking of the channel bed by cross-blading has been used to take out the sharp cross-overs that are naturally set up by the river on the recession of floods. This work can only have a temporary effect, as the natural reworking of the flood flows reinstates the sharp crossovers. Cross-blading thus has to be done repeatedly to maintain a less distorted channel shape. It does, though, reduce the river attack to the channel banks, and it can be used as a partial substitute for the continual repairs and/or strengthening of bank protection measures.

A narrow channel does not have to be maintained by heavy bank protection works (such as rock linings) that fix the channel edge. Where there is sufficient berm width a more flexible boundary can be maintained by vegetation strengthened with permeable structures and/or groynes (either permeable or solid). However, the high banks of the entrenched channel means that groyne works are more difficult to construct and more easily undermined, while vegetation is more difficult to establish and less effective.

5 PROTECTION WORKS

5.1 Scour

General scour depths have been calculated from channel and flow characteristics using two empirically derived formulae, and a general scouring of the channel bed of around 1.5 to 3m should be expected along the Hutt River. Channel constriction affects scour depths, and additional local scouring takes place around significant obstructions, such as debris heaps, bridge piers and groyne heads.

5.2 Rock Works

The size of rock for rock linings or snub groynes has also been determined from two empirically derived formulae. The calculated flow velocities given by the hydraulic modelling have been used, although they are unusually high, and large sized rock is required upstream of Kennedy-Good Bridge.

The dimensions of a rock lining have been determined for a lining that is well founded and has an adequate layer of

large enough rock to require little topping up. The lining dimensions are based on the medium rock size, and for a lining with a $1\frac{1}{2}$:1 batter slope, the recommended medium size varies from 0.4m downstream of Ewen Bridge, to around 1.5m upstream of Kennedy-Good Bridge.

5.3 Permeable Groynes

Permeable groynes have been used along the Hutt River, but the narrowness of the channel and height of the banks restricts their usefulness. They can be subjected to deep scouring at their head, and are relatively easily outflanked by bank erosion. Their effectiveness could, however, be improved by changing the way they are positioned along the channel, their spacing and angle from the bank. The outer (river) end piles should be driven to sufficient depth to provide support with the groyne head scouring that will occur.

Cabled rail fences can be used to retard and redirect flood flows from newly planted areas, however such fences have been subject to considerable damage, even in minor flood events. Where active bank erosion has exposed the fences to direct attack and undermining, the fence ends should either be removed or strengthened to form a groyne.

5.4 Vegetation Buffers

Willows are especially effective for vegetation buffer zones, but the entrenchment of the Hutt River into alluvial gravels means that there is now only a narrow area along the channel edge where they can be easily established. Bands of willows have been established along the river over a long period of time, but a substantially wider and more continuous buffer has been established over recent years. Other species with different site tolerances, but similar layering and root development characteristics, should be considered, and some alternative species [such as poplars, alders and robinias] have been suggested.

A more systematic assessment of vegetative methods, using different species, and with various combinations of fences and groynes, would be worth undertaking.

5.5 Other Works

There are many other types of works, while linings and groynes can be made of various materials. A variety of different measures have been used along the Hutt River, with variable success. Consideration should be given to nonstandard techniques, depending on specific site conditions.

6 DESIGN CHANNELS

6.1 Minimum Channel

A minimum design channel has been drawn up from the river mouth to Maoribank, based on a natural threshold of motion meander pattern, and this channel is shown as an overlay onto 1:5000 aerial photographic plans (see Volume of Plans and Database). The channel fits well within the existing channel, and a realignment of the existing channel is required at only one place - at Ewen Bridge.

6.2 Flow Dominant Channel

A wider design channel has been drawn up for the same river reach and presented in the same way, using the flow dominant meander pattern. In general this channel could fit within the existing channel and berm area, but would require a substantial excavation of the berms and a re-establishment of bank protection works.

The state highway, that has been positioned close to the existing channel, would encroach into the berm area of this design channel. Below the Pomare Bridge there is some encroachment opposite Taita, while from Silverstream to Moonshine Bridge the highway would be completely within the defined berm, and would come close to the main channel in places.

The main channel itself would only extend beyond the existing flood defences along the lower reaches, with a major extension at Ewen Bridge, and lesser extensions at Melling and Croft Grove. The wider channel would allow natural channel processes to take place with less disruption, and lighter and less rigid bank protection measures could be used.

6.3 Management Alternatives

The minimum design channel could be fixed by continuous rock linings, but heavy linings that have a large bulk compared to the channel would be required. Benching above the linings would also be necessary because of the height of the banks. Where there are wide berms a different approach could be applied using lighter measures and relying on continual maintenance and repair. In this case vegetation buffer zones would be maintained using willows and other species, and strengthened by groyne works, with eroded areas being reclaimed and replanted. Cross-blading of the channel bed would reduce the amount of bank protection work that is required, and could be cost effective.

There are significant differences in the present condition of the river, and different management approaches should be assessed on a reach by reach basis.

The channel as it exists, or modified in accordance with the minimum design channel, could be managed in different ways to accommodate a large flood with a peak flow of around 2000 m3/s. However, if the design standard was raised to a much larger flood, with a peak flow of 3000 or 4000 m3/s, the viability of such a narrow channel would have to be questioned. Floods with peak flows of this magnitude are likely to so overwhelmed the channel with severely turbulent flood waters that its ability to pass the flood flows without the flood defences being threatened must be doubtful,

regardless of the management approach taken. If the wider design channel was implemented and effective protection put in place, then the passing of these much larger floods, with little risk of a breaching of the flood defences, may become a feasible proposition.

7 GRAVEL MANAGEMENT

The transport of gravel bed material down the Hutt River takes place at a relatively slow rate. Although deeper scouring and more asymmetric channel forms can develop in the existing narrow channel, the rate of bed material transport may have declined due to the protection given to the banks and an armouring of the bed. There is probably no longer a balance of bed material transport along the Hutt River, and channel degradation is likely to continue due to the response of the river to prevailing conditions, even if there was no further gravel extraction. In spite of artificial channel enlargement and floodwater confinement along the lower reaches, the transport of bed material declines below the major change of grade, and material would naturally accumulate at the month.

Gravel extraction at the mouth should continue at its present rate of 50000 m3 per year, with extraction upstream of the mouth not exceeding 25000 m3 per year, and preferably concentrated between Melling and Kennedy-Good Bridge. Bed level changes should be monitored through repeat surveys of the channel cross-sections, and extraction effects reassessed following each repeat survey.

8 RECOMMENDATIONS

To improve future assessments a few recommendations on monitoring, record keeping and the assessment of techniques are put forward.

* Periodic inspections should be carried out, and a yearly summary of works prepared as part of annual planning.

* A flood report should be prepared after every major flood event.

* The database and plans of works should be amended as necessary and kept up to date.

* An assessment of different protection techniques should be undertaken, with careful documentation and continual monitoring

July 1991

G J Williams

Water & Soil Engineer

CONTENTS

		Page
1	Introduction	1
2	Existing Works 2.1 Data Collection 2.2 Plans 2.3 Type of Works 2.4 Consistency of Works	2 2 2 5
3	Database 3.1 Classification 3.2 Hutt River Database	7 8
4	Past Management 4.1 Records 4.2 River Response 4.2.1 Deforestation	26 27
	4.2.2 Lower Valley Scheme 4.2.3 The Straight Narrow Channel 4.2.4 Since the 1950's 4.2.5 Since the Regional Water Board	28 29 30 30
5	Management Effectiveness 5.1 General 5.2 Flood Containment 5.3 Hutt River Board Approach 5.4 Upper Valley 5.5 Cross-Blading 5.6 Bank Protection	32 33 33 34 35 36
6	Protection Works 6.1 Scour 6.2 Rock Works 6.3 Groynes 6.4 Vegetation Buffers 6.5 Other Measures	38 44 50 51 53
7	Design Channels 7.1 General 7.2 Minimum Channel 7.3 Wider Channel 7.4 Management Alternatives	54 54 57 58
8	Gravel Management	74
9	Conclusion	77
10	Recommendations	79
_		

Appendix A - Database Classification Appendix B - Empirical Scour Formulae Appendix C - Empirical Formulae for Rip-rap

LIST OF TABLES

Number Rage Overall Status Erosion Resistance Status Inquiry Reports Scour Depths - Central Channel - 2 year Flood Flow Scour Depths - Central Channel - 10 year Flood Flow Scour Depths - Central Channel - 100 year Flood Flow Scour Depths - Whole Channel - 100 year Flood Flow Rip-Rap Material - Rock Linings Rip-Rap Material - Rock Groynes Rip-Rap Material - Rock Linings - Average Velocities Design Channels

LIST OF FIGURES

Fixed Channel Works - Upstream of Silverstream3Rock Linings4Minimum Design Channel - Differing Radius5Minimum Design Channel - Cross-Section6Channel Banks7	Overall Status	1	14
Rock Linings4Minimum Design Channel - Differing Radius5Minimum Design Channel - Cross-Section6Channel Banks7	Erosion Resistance Status	2	20
Minimum Design Channel - Differing Radius55Minimum Design Channel - Cross-Section66Channel Banks76	Fixed Channel Works - Upstream of Silverstream	3	37
Minimum Design Channel - Cross-Section 6 6 Channel Banks 7 6		4	49
Channel Banks 7 6	Minimum Design Channel - Differing Radius	5	56
• 1	Minimum Design Channel - Cross-Section	6	60
Wider Design CHannel - Cross-Sections 8	Channel Banks	7	64
	Wider Design CHannel - Cross-Sections	8	73

HUTT RIVER FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT PLAN

CHANNEL MANAGEMENT & PROTECTION WORKS STUDY

1 INTRODUCTION

This Channel Management Study has been undertaken as part of the review of the Hutt River Flood Control Scheme. The objective of the study was to assess past and current management practices and protection works used in the Hutt River, to determine their appropriateness and effectiveness. The findings of the River Characteristics and Sedimentation studies have been important in assessing the effectiveness of past practices and works, and the nature of the river responses and the way in which the river channel has developed over time. The report³ on these studies should be read in conjunction with this report.

Information on past practices and works was obtained from the Hutt River History report² and a dossier of flood damage reports, while aerial photography taken at various times since 1936 has provided some information on the works that have been undertaken.

A brief for the study was completed in December 1990, and the study was commissioned in March 1991. The study covered the Hutt River from the mouth to the Te Marua recorder site, and during March walk over field inspections were carried out along both banks. These inspections were carried out with Brendan Paul of the Wellington Regional Council. A river channel classification system covering the river regime and channel and bank conditions was developed, with status rankings determined for a variety of factors. A computer database was then set up by Sam Barnes on the Wellington Regional Council computer, to store this information in a manner that maximised data inquiry capabilities.

Discussions have been held with Wellington Regional Council staff, in particular John Easther, Colin Munn and Brendan Paul, on the effectiveness of past works and management practices, and the appropriateness of different types of river works and practices.

This report first describes the existing conditions, with the present extent of vegetation along the river, and the various types of protection works along the channel edges, shown on a set of plans. The computer database that stores information on the river conditions and protection works in a formal and well ordered manner, is then described. The remainder of the report covers the findings of the investigations into channel management practices on the Hutt River, and presents two alternative design channels.

The plans of the existing works and design channels are in a separate A3 volume, along with a full print out of the database [see Volume of Plans & Database]. This volume also contains a set of photographs of protection works along the Hutt River, and the cross-section location plans of the WRC.

2 EXISTING WORKS

2.1 Data Collection

The field inspections were used to determine the type and extent of existing works. The extent of rock and rubble linings and other structural works, such as groynes and cabled rail fences, was determined as far as possible from the visual inspections of a walk over survey. Isolated concrete blocks and lines of blocks were noted in places. Many such blocks undoubtedly exist under vegetation, and buried in the banks and bed of the river, that would not have been seen during the field inspections,

The general nature of the vegetation along the edge of the river channel was noted during the field inspections, with an emphasis on the presence of willows and poplars. The present extent of vegetation is clearly shown on colour aerial photography taken in November 1990, and the type of vegetation can also be determined to some degree from this photography.

2.2 Plans

The existing works along the Hutt River from the mouth to Te Marua are shown on a set of 34 plans of A3 size (see Volume of Plans and Database). The plans are at a scale of 1:2500, and can be overlaid on the aerial photographic plans of the Council. The plans show the visible structural works as noted during field inspections, including any isolated concrete blocks, piles and outlet structures that were seen. All known works of recent years were identified, and all the works described in the project reports of the History report² - that are still present - could be identified in the field.

The tree vegetation is shown as 'young' and 'mature' to give some indication of age, and to identify the more recent plantings of willows. Where there are stopbanks, the tree vegetation has been shown from the channel edge to the stopbank toe. Elsewhere only the important edge vegetation has been shown.

Where rock outcrops in the channel, or could be seen within the low flow channel, this has been indicated on the plans. Where the banks are formed within the greywacke baserock this is also shown on the plans. In many cases the rock does not extend the full height of the bank, but is covered by a layer of alluvial gravel. This gravel layer is very thick in places, particularly upstream of Birchville, and there are some near vertical high banks of gravel over rock.

The plans thus show the relevant features for river channel management.

2.3 Type of Works

A brief description of the different types of works along the Hutt River is given here, starting from the lower reaches and going upstream, but reference should be made to the plans for details. Reference should also be made to the History report for information on the works that have been constructed along the river.

In the lower reaches below Melling long lengths of bank are lined with rubble and rock [see sheets 1 to 4 of Existing Works plans]. Much of the rock lining work was constructed during the last decade. There are also some remains of the timber groynes, that were used extensively along the lower reaches from the commencement of the initial scheme. Immediately below Ava Bridge (in front of Sladden Park) a set of 4 groynes were reconstructed in the mid 1980's as part of a bank stabilisation trial. Above Ewen Bridge rock groynes and a rock-lining were constructed in 1990, as a temporary strengthening measure, pending a more extensive channel reconstruction. The concrete block groynes around the outer bank of the bend upstream of Ewen Bridge were constructed in the 1960's when a lot of concrete block work was undertaken [see sheet 4].

Around Melling Bridge a lot of structural works (permeable timber and solid gabion groynes) were constructed in the past, but these works are no longer visible, and there is now a band of willows along the banks of the river [see sheets 5 Around Kennedy-Good Bridge willows have, in recent & 6]. years, been planted on beaches along the inner channel side of existing willows, with cabled rail fence strengthening [see sheets 7 & 8]. As the beaches moved with channel migration, further planting was undertaken, to give a more or less continuous band of fenced plantings upstream and downstream of the bridge. This approach gives rise to a narrowing of the channel, and when the planted areas became subjected to direct attack, as channel migration continues, they have been eroded away and the fences progressively scoured out and destroyed.

There are two reaches with extensive sets of concrete block groynes along the right bank. They have been placed where the river flows close to the state highway opposite Taita [see sheets 10 & 11]. This concrete block work was constructed as protection works along an imposed channel edge as part of a re-construction of the state highway in the 1960's. The new highway was partly constructed over areas of active channel, and initially the concrete blocks were laid down in lines out from the bank, with some additional blocks at the landward end, and cabled together. Since then the channel has degraded, and although some recabling and regrouping repairs were carried out, the blocks are now no longer cabled and are in partly displaced groups, with some blocks well out from the bank in the deep channels that have formed beside the block work.

Along the left bank at Pomare Bridge structural works have been built and destroyed many times over the years. The flood damage reports up to 1950 nearly all contain some mention of repairs or replacements of both timber and solid gabion groynes and weirs at this site. From more recent records and aerial photography since 1960, it is known that further timber groynes and concrete block groynes have been constructed and destroyed since 1950. Later timber groynes

have been outflanked and partly destroyed, and after repairs, strengthening and extensions further damage has occurred. In 1990 four substantial rock groynes were constructed. Some of the timber groynes remain in place downstream [see sheet 13].

Along the reach between the Kennedy-Good and Pomare bridges a substantial amount of willow planting has been undertaken in recent years, particularly along the left side berm. Concrete block work undertaken in the 1980's along this reach is also visible in a number of places. Some of the block work is within a well vegetated bank, and some of it is along bare banks that are being actively eroded.

Concrete block work was undertaken beside the Manor Park Golf Course and in front of Stokes Valley in the 1960's. Further concrete block work was carried out in front of Stokes Valley in 1980 as part of the upgrading of the Stokes Valley Stream outlet, with some repairs after the May 1981 flood. These concrete blocks are still largely in place, with some remedial works having been carried out [see sheet 14].

In the Upper Valley approximately 5 kilometres of rock lining work has been constructed in recent years as part of state highway construction works [see sheets 17 to 24]. From to Moonshine Bridge there are alternating Silverstream lengths of rock lining and willow bands with cabled rail This work has been undertaken to a design meander fences. pattern, with the rock along the outer banks. Channel migration has occurred, however, and there is no longer a complete conformity between the rock linings and the channel position, in spite of some extensions of the rock linings in a downstream direction. Baserock has also been exposed in the channel, and the outcropping rock the bed of is influencing the channel meandering, with very sharp low flow cross-overs being generated.

Between Moonshine Bridge and the Whakatikei confluence the channel is now well contained by exposed baserock [see sheets 21 & 22]. Above the Whakatikei some permeable groyne work has been used along both banks, as well as rock linings along the left bank, beside the state highway. The groynes have suffered severe damage in places, with some groynes being completely removed. Around the Totara Park Bridge bands of willows strengthened by cabled rail fences have been established. Much of this work was put in place when the Upper Hutt by-pass was constructed.

The willows along the left bank have established well, but there have been large losses among the plantings along the right bank which have been on higher round. In spite of replanting, there are still large areas without well established willows.

At Maoribank two large solid groynes of concrete blocks and gabion mattresses were constructed on the left bank after the May 1981 flood. The incomplete groynes were damaged by a flood the following year. Deep scour holes formed in front of the groynes, and in later floods the gabion mattress bases were rolled back and the blocks moved. As part of the bypass highway construction the groynes were removed, and there is now a solid concrete wall downstream of the rock bluff at Maoribank, with a heavy permeable groyne and concrete blocks in front [see sheet 27].

From 1985 a bank lining consisting of two rows of rails with stone filled netting between and tied willow poles was constructed from Haukaretu to Harcourt Park. The willows have established well and this lining is in a sound condition [see sheets 27 & 28].

In front of the Gemstone Drive stopbank a mattress of tied concrete blocks was constructed on the channel bed, with a geotextile covering and willow planting above, in 1983-84. The willows have become established, and although the concrete blocks were placed on a gravel bed, there has been no underscouring or displacement of the blocks [see sheet 29].

The concrete block groynes and lining constructed immediately downstream of the Mangaroa confluence, in association with a highway realignment in 1980-81, have been underscoured, and are now partly held up by the cabling. A rock lining was apparently laid below the concrete block work, but there is now no sign of this rock, and baserock can be seen in the channel in front of the block work [see sheet 32].

The cabled concrete blocks and tyres constructed by the Te Marua Golf Club have been partly undermined, and the cabling is holding the construction together. Concrete blocks have been used at a number of places along the Golf Course reach of the river, and although most of the visible works remain intact there has been some undermining and displacement of the blocks [see sheets 32 to 34].

2.4 Consistency of Works

There is a diversity of bank protection works along the river. Different techniques have been used at different times, and the approach taken has been influenced by roading authorities as well as the river management authority. The intensity of bank protection works has varied in response to differing interests as well as the degree of river attack. Thus the works now in place differ according to the techniques being used at the time the works were first constructed, and the involvement of roading authorities.

Highways have been constructed over what had been active river channel, and for long reaches of the river highways are very close to the river edge. Where there are stopbanks there is a wide variation in berm widths, and some of these berm areas have significant recreational development in the form of playing fields and golf courses. Thus the strength of the bank protection measures should vary in relationship to the berm width and proximity of the stopbanks, or high value assets.

In spite of the different techniques that have been used, the existing works do give rise to a degree of protection that has a definite correspondence to protection requirements. Rock linings and concrete block groyne works are in about the

right place for highway protection given the present channel position. Rock work has strengthened reaches at Pomare Bridge and Croft Grove, and improved security at Ewen Bridge - where the banks are subject to more severe attack. Earlier rubble lining work at Alicetown has strengthened a particularly vulnerable length of stopbank. However, some works have been project driven, such as the strengthening in front of the Stokes Valley and Okoutu Stream outlets, or in response to specific requirements, such as the Haukaretu to Harcourt Park works. Many bank strengthening works have also been carried out as flood damage repairs in response to specific erosion events.

Up to about 1950 a consistent approach involving constant maintenance was implemented along the Lower Valley reach (of the Hutt River Board district) but virtually all the works put in place under this management approach are now either redundant or are no longer in existence. Since then heavier protection measures have been used, but they have been used more intermittently and in response to specific requirements (of protection or reinstatement).

In summary, the differences in approach and in techniques used have given rise to existing works that include many different types, and that often lack consistency along a reach. There is still though, some overall appropriateness to the degree of protection given by many of the works (see Sections 4 & 5)

3 DATABASE

3.1 Classification

A classification system for river channels that has both descriptive and status or ranking factors has been developed. The classification categories cover river regime factors, and channel and bank condition factors.

In Appendix A all the database factors are described in the order in which they are loaded (and formatted) in the database, and all the symbols used are defined.

The database is set up with the key identifier factor being a cross-section number. The location is thus given in terms of cross-section number and associated profile distance, with the cross-sections listed in ascending order. All the database information is then related to a cross-section. For the Hutt River 303 cross-sections were used from cross-section 30 to 3050, with the cross-sections being numbered in the upstream direction (see Location Plans).

The database is stored in two listings, a 'Channel Listing' that stores the river regime and channel condition factors, and a 'Bank Listing' that stores the bank condition factors, with the left and right bank information given consecutively for each cross-section in turn. The first listing is made up of 24 columns of information, and the second of 20. Some of the columns have numeric values only, while for some of the descriptive factors priority rankings are possible, with the available symbols being listed down the relevant column in priority order.

The river regime factors include the basic river characteristics of dominant flood flow, channel slope and bed material size, and the database calculates natural meander widths and dominant flow power from the values of these characteristics. A meander uniformity factor is included, and the database calculates river regime status factors from the regime information that has been entered into the database.

Descriptive factors for channel conditions cover shape, bed material and vegetation of the channel. The channel status factor of channel form is determined from the channel shape, and that of channel clearance from the channel vegetation.

Descriptive factors for bank conditions cover the height of the bank, and the width and material of the berm There are type plus description categories for edge linings, groynes, vegetation strengthening and berm vegetation; and type plus width categories for edge vegetation. Bank status factors are then determined for the edge strength and berm resistance, from the type and nature of the edge and berms. A further channel position status factor ranks the bank in terms of the existing channel position.

An overall status is determined from the weighted average of all the status factors, the weightings being specific to the river. It then gives a relative ranking, based on a qualitative assessment, of the likelihood of the eroding away of the berm under existing conditions. Where flood defences exist this is equivalent to the likelihood of flooding due to eroding away of the flood defences.

All the status factors are given in terms of a ranking from 1 to 5, in 0.5 increments. For all factors the best condition is given a rank of 1 and the worst a rank of 5, so that factors can be combined and the resulting ranking retains the same relative order.

The way in which the status factors are determined is given in Appendix A, with a representative range being given where the rankings are determined in a qualitative way.

3.2 Hutt River Database

The whole database for the Hutt River from the mouth to Te Marua, as determined in this study, is given as a complete listing in cross-section number order (see Volume of Plans & Database). There is a mass of data in this database - over 17500 possible entries excluding the cross-section identifiers. Some of these entries are, though, calculated within the database.

The overall status is calculated according to the applied weightings from the qualitatively determined status factors, and the resulting rankings can be extracted as a selected listing from the database - called an inquiry report. Other combinations of status factors can be selectively listed, while all sorts of inquiries of cross-sections with given status factors can be carried out. Inquiries can also be carried out on the descriptive factors, and in fact any combination of factors.

The ranking of the overall status factor as determined for the Hutt River varies between 1 and 3.5. The lack of higher rankings arises because the Hutt River has a relatively uniform clear channel, and the river regime and channel status factors have relatively low rankings. The rankings are also quite variable, with very few reaches having consistent rankings. This demonstrates a lack of consistency along the river, with the combination of the important factors - as determined in the database - not generating a reach by reach consistency. Some real differences in the degree of protection that is provided along reaches is, however, reflected in general differences in ranking.

A full listing of the overall status for both the left and right banks is given in Table 1, where the cross-sections in each rank number are listed in ascending order. The rankings are plotted for each bank, side by side, on Figure 1.

The edge strength and berm resistance are the important factors as far as resistance to erosion is concerned leaving out differences in erosive power - and a full listing of these two factors combined together (and called the erosion resistance status) is given in Table 2, in the same format as Table 1. The rankings for this combined factor have also been plotted for each bank on Figure 2. This combined factor is generally higher and more variable, with the ranking ranging from 1.0 to 5.0.

To show the sort of inquiries that can be carried out on the database, Table 3 gives the results of a few inquiries.

Table 3-A gives all the places (cross-sections) where: there are rock linings [RO]; there is vegetation strengthened by rail and cable fences [RF]; and where the ratio of actual channel width to the 'threshold of motion meander' width is close to 1 [W/Wt between 0.9 and 1.1]. In each case the cross-sections are listed in ascending order.

Table 3-B gives all places (cross-sections) where there is mature willows [M] of a width of 15 metres or more. In this case the cross-sections are listed (in ascending order) for each increment of width.

	The second second second second	ALL ST/		1						Contractor of the local division of the	RALL ST			TABL	.E 1
	LE	EFT BAN	IK							R	IGHT BA	NK			
5 4.5	4 3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1
	900	100 210	30 40		1240					210				1000	
	930	300 310	50 60	170	2400					710	250	60		1810	
	950	330 340	70	190	2420					730	280	80	190	1830 1840	
	1030	370	90	600	2440					780	300	100	360	1900 1910	
	1050	390 400	120	1450	2460					870	330	120	1020	1940 2430	
	1100	650	140	1510	2480					890	400	140	1320	2440	
	1140 1150	660 670	200 220	1630	2500					940 950	420	200	1540	2450 2460	
	1340 1690	680 690	230 240	1800						960 970	470	270		2470 2480	
	2140	700	250 260		2630 2870					980 990	480 490	340		2500 2550	
	2160	720	270		3010 3020					1090	540 550			2560 2570	
	2180	740	290 320		3050					1390 1530	560 570		1950 1960	2650 2660	
	2540 2550	800 810	350 360	2360						1600 1650		450	1970	2720	
	2620	820 830	410 420	2380						1660 1700	630	510	2270	2740 2840	
		840	430	2570						1710		530	2400	2910	
		860	450	2720						1730	670	620	2420	2930	
		870 880	460 470	2860						1740	690	1240	2490 2510	2940 2970	
		890 920	480 490	3030						1760	740	1270	2750 2830	2980 3030	
		960 970	500 510							2150 2230	760 770	1300	2900 2950	3050	
		990 1000	520 530							2240 2250	800	1330	2960 2990		
		1010 1020	550 560							2320 2330	810 820	1860	3000 3005		
		1060 1080	570 580							2340 2350	830 840	1870	3010 3020		
		1110	590 610							2360	850 900	2060			
		1160	620							2390	910	2290			
		1180	640							2540	930	2680			
		1190 1270	760 770							2880 2890	1040 1050	2700			
		1280 1300	780 790								1060 1070	2760			
		1310 1320	1070								1080 1100				
		1330 1350	1200 1210								1110			· · · · · ·	
		1360 1370	1220 1230	-							1130 1140				
		1380 1390	1260 1290								1150 1160	2850			
		1400	1410 1420								1170 1180				
		1670	1430								1190				
		1700	1480								1210				
		1710	1490 1500								1220 1250				
		1730 1740	1530 1540								1280 1340				
		1770 1780	1550 1560								1350 1360			inter provincies es	
		1790 1830	1570 1580								1370 1400				
		1840 1850	1590 1600								1410 1420				
		1860 1870	1610 1620								1430 1440				
		1880	1650 1750								1450 1460				
		1930	1760								1470				
		1960	1940								1500				
		1980	2290								1510 1520				
		1990 2000	2300 2310								1560 1570				
		2010 2020	2320 2330								1580 1590				
		2030 2040	2340 2350								1610 1620				
		2050 2060	2390 2580								1630 1640				
		2070 2080	2670 2680								1670 1680				
		2090 2100	2690 2700								1690 1980				
		2110 2120	2790 2800								1990				
		2130	2810								2010				
		2220	2820								2030				
		2250	2880								2040 2050			and an an art of	
		2590 2600	2900 2910								2070 2080				
		2610 2640	2920 2930								2090 2100				
		2650 2660	2940 2950								2110 2120				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2730 2740	2970 2980								2130 2140				
		2770 2780	2990 3000								2160 2170				
		2830	3005								2190				
		2030									2200				
											2210				
											2310 2520				
											2530 2580				
											2590 2600				
											2610 2620				
											2630 2640				
											2870				

		ERC	SION R	ESISTA	NCE STA	TUS				1	ERO	SION R	ESISTA	NCE STA	TUS	TABL	.E 2
			L	EFT BA	NK							RI	GHT BA	NK			
	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	1	5	4.5	4	3.5	3	2.5	2	1.5	
2620	100	50					2720		210	110	80	60 70	30	1780	1320	1790 1890	
	310	110							410	250	100	120				1920	
	340	120					3030		1740	280	260	140	130		1950	1930	-
	370	140					3040		1770	290	270	170	150		1960	1940	
	380	210						2410	2320	300	340	230	160		2260	2420	
	390	270	220	530	770	1580		2420	2330	310	370	320	180		2270	2660	
	940	280	230			1590		2430		330	380	610	190		2280	2720	
	950	290	240	550	1260	1600		2440		390	430	620	200		2290	2750	
	1050	320	250	560	1430	1630		2450		400	440	630	350		2300	2830	
	1100	330				1640		2460		420	450	650	360		2400	2840	
	1130	350				1650		2470		480	460	660	1040		2410	2970	
	1140	360	440			1800		2480		580	470	670	1050		2490		
	1150	400	450			1810		2490		720	490	680	1060		2950		
-	2140	410	460					2500		730	500	690	1070		2960		
-	2150	420	470			1900		2510		750	510	900	1080		2990		
	2230	490	480			2290		2520		780	520	1100	1230		3000		
	2240	650	500			2300		2530		860	530	1130	1240		3005		
	2540	660	670			2360		2630		870	540	1140	1250		3010		
-	2550	890	680			2370		2750		880	550	1150	1260		3020		
	2560	900	690			2380		2870		890	560	1160	1270				
	2590	910	700			2570		2880		940	570	1170	1290				
	2640	920	710			2710		3010		980	590	1180	1300				
	2760	930	720					3050		990	600	1190	1310				
		960	730							1090	640	1200	1330				
		970	740							1380	700	1210	1860				
		980	750						I	1390	710	1220	1870				
		990	870							1430	740	1280	1880				
		1010	880							1440	760	1340	1980				
		1020	1000						I	1450	770	1350	1990				
		1030	1070							1460	790	1360	2030				
		1040	1080							1470	800	1370	2040				
		1060	1170							1520	810	1610	2050				
		1110	1180							1530	820	1690	2670				
		1120	1290							1640	830	2000	2680				
		1190	1350							1660	850	2010	2690				
		1270	1300							1730	910	2020	2700 2710				
		1280	1370	2010						1750	920	2080	2760				
		1300	1410					,		1760	930	2120	2770				
		1310	1660							2150	950	2120	2780				-
		1320	1680							2340	960	2140	2790				
		1330	1690	2680						2350	970	2160	2,30				
		1340	1700	2690						2360	1110	2170					
		1390	1710							2370	1120	2180					
		1400	1730							2380	1400	2190					
		1670	1740							2390	1410	2200					
		2050	1770	2850						2540	1420	2860					
		2060	1780	2910						2600	1480	2870					
		2110	1790	2920						2620	1500	2880					
		2120	1830	2930							1510	2890					
		2130	1840	2940							1560						
1		2160	1850	2950							1570						
		2170	1860	2990							1580						
		2180	1870	3000							1590						
		2190	1880	3005			and the second se				1600				And the second		
		2200	1910								1620						
		2210	1920								1630						
		2220	1930								1670						
		2580	1940								1680						
		2600	1950								1700						
		2610	1960								1710						
		2650	1970								1720						2.6.5
		2660	1980								2090						
		2730	1990								2100						
		2740	2000								2110						
		2770	2030								2210						
			2040								2220						
			2070								2230						
1			2080								2240						
			2090								2250						
-			2100								2310						
	1		2350								2520						
			23501												1		
			2530								2530						
			2670								2530 2580						
			2670								2530						

DATABASE - REPORT INQUIRIES

TABLE 3-A

EDGE LINI	NG OF RO	VEGETATION STRE	NGTHENING OF RF	W	/Wt RATIO	0.9 - 1.	1
LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT	RIGHT	XS	W/Wt	XS	W/Wt
110	1400	620	590	2090	0.91	2260	1.0
120	1410	630	600	2100	0.91	2280	1.0
130	1420	640	610	2110	0.91	2080	1.0
140	1480	650	620	2950	0.93	2120	1.0
150	1490	660	630	2970	0.93	2130	1.0
160	1500	670	650	2980	0.93	2210	1.0
170	1550	680	660	140	0.96	2220	1.0
180	1560	690	670	350	0.97	2230	1.0
190	1570	880	680	380	0.97	280	1.0
200	1610	1360	690	160	0.98	290	1.0
320	1620	1370	1280	250	0.98	300	1.0
330	1630	1380	1290	270	0.98	320	1.0
1430	1670	1590	1300	410	0.99	150	1.0
1440	1680	1600	1430	420	0.99	180	1.0
1450	1690	1680	1440	450	0.99	210	1.0
1460		1730	1450	480	0.99	220	1.09
1500		1740	1460	540	1.00	240	1.09
1510		2050	1470	550	1.00		
1520		2060	1510	560	1.00		
1530		2070	1520	570	1.00		
1580		2080	1530	770	1.00		
1590		2090	1580	780	1.00		
1600		2100	1590	810	1.00		
1630		2110	1600	990	1.00		
1640		2120	1640	1000	1.00		
1650		2130	1650	1050	1.00		
1660		2140	1660	1060	1.00		- NAME AND THE OWNER AND
1680		2150	1700	1070	1.00		
1690		2160	1710	1110	1.00		19-17-20
1700		2170	1720	1120	1.00		
1710		2180	1980	1130	1.00		
1720		2190	1990	1140	1.00		
1750		2200	2050		1.00		
1760		2210	2060		1.00		
1960 1970		2220	2070 2080	1290	1.00		
1970		2230 2240	2080	1400	1.00		
1980		2240	2120	1410 1440	1.00		
2010		2250	2130	1440			
2010		2280	2800	1470	1.00		
2020		2800	2800	1480	1.00		
2030		2810	2810	1490	1.00		
20401			2020				
		2820		1510	1.00		a di tanananana a
		2960		1750	1.00		
			а.	1760	1.00		
				1770	1.00		
				1780	1.00		
				1840	1.00		energia da como
				1910	1.00		
				2860	1.00		

	DATABA	SE - REPORT	INQUIRIES	TABLE 3-B
Г	EDGE VEG	ETATION WITH	H M AND WI	DTH > 15M
	LE	FT	RI	GHT
	XS	WIDTH	XS	WIDTH
	410	15	440	15
-	420 550	15	460	15
	670	15	630	15
	680	15	780	15
╟─	690 700	15	800 860	15
	1360	15	870	15
-	1400	15	900	15
	1610 1620	15	1100	15
	1720	15	1380	15
	2590 480	15 20	1730 1760	15
	530	- 20	2030	15
	610	20	2110	15
	620 660	20	2610 390	15
	730	20	450	20
	850	20	700	20
-	980	20	710	20
	990 1110	20	730 750	20
	1140	20	1090	20
	1340	20	2850	20
╟	1350 1370	20	810 890	25
	1380	20	950	25
	1410	20	960	25
-	1420 1430	. 20	2100 370	25
E	1470	20	650	30
	1480	20	660	30
	1490 1500	20	670 680	30
	2740	20	760	30
	2840	20	770	30
	2940 580	20 25	880 940	30
	830	25	1110	30
	840	25	1370	30
	860 1030	25 25	2540	30
	2920	25		
-	500	30		
	510 520	30 30		
	540	30		
	590	30		
	600 650	30 30		
	810	30		
	1130	30		
	1270 2600	30 30		
	2610	30	s.	
	2810	30		
	2930 2950	30 30		
	450	40		
	470	40		
	630	40		
	640	40	1	



























4 PAST MANAGEMENT

4.1 Records

Management of the Hutt River in accordance with a defined engineering approach started at the beginning of this century, after the formation of the Hutt River Board and the initiation of a scheme of works. The River Board district only went as far upstream as Boulcott at first, and the initial stopbanking only went this far. However, in 1910 the district was extended to Silverstream, and a programme of river works was then maintained over the whole of the Lower Valley reach of the river. The Upper Valley was not brought into the Board district until 1956.

There are some engineering records of the activities of the River Board, but much less than might be expected given the long period of river management, and the importance of this management to an increasingly urbanised area. There are some old plans of channel straightening and enlargement proposals along the lower reaches of the river below Ewen Bridge, and a few plans of the many timber and gabion groynes built by the Board over a long period of time. The river management undertaken by the Board was guided by a long term objective of achieving an "ultimate controlled channel" that had a very gently curving alignment. There are plans of this channel, which had a width of only 30 m above Ewen Bridge, and 40 to 50m downstream of the bridge. There is also a plan of a similar proposed "ultimate channel" for the Upper Valley, prepared in 1938 when an extension of the Board district upstream of Silverstream was proposed.

There are no substantial engineering reports of the Board's activities, and the available engineering comment is mainly restricted to monthly meeting reports and flood damage reports prepared for the Board meetings. This lack of records is partly due to the nature of river management, and the emphasis on continual maintenance rather than capital expenditure by the Board. River works are not designed in a quantitative manner according to a comprehensive analytical framework, and until computers became available there was little in the way of quantitative analysis to be recorded.

The river management of the Board involved mainly a programme of timber and gabion groyne or retard construction and maintenance, the continual establishment of bands of willows, the removal of the large logs and debris that accumulated in the river channel (including the removal of forest remnants as they were exposed by channel degradation) and the supervision of gravel extraction from the river channel. The river works were funded from extraction royalties and rates, and there was a remarkably constant expenditure on works. Even major flood events did not seem to have a great effect on works expenditure. There were, then, no major works that would require capital funding and might warrant specific design and engineering comment.

Up to the 1950's the Board's activities were guided by just two engineers, Laing-Meason up to 1924 as a consultant to the Board, and then H Sladden as the Board engineer. There was
then a consistency in river management over a long period of time, and because of this a reasonable idea of the management approach can be obtained, in spite of the lack of records.

A relatively detailed engineering report was prepared on proposals for the Upper Valley in 1953 by the Public Works Department, with accompanying plans of a proposed control channel and stopbanks. These proposals were not implemented, but the Upper Valley was soon incorporated into the River Board district.

Unfortunately there are virtually no engineering records from the 1950's until the River Board was incorporated into the Wellington Regional Water Board in 1972. During this period there was a substantial increase in gravel extraction, with major straightening and confining of the river channel in the Upper Valley. The first major channel realignment upstream of Silverstream was constructed in the later 1960's. In the Lower Valley around the Kennedy-Good Bridge area the channel was further confined to its present position, while upstream of Belmont substantial bank protection works were undertaken as part of state highway improvements. At the same time there was further channel degradation.

There were some major floods (of around a 10 year return period) during this period - in 1955, 1962, 1965 and 1966. But we know virtually nothing about the details of the works undertaken or the response of the river in these flood events.

Extensive river works have been carried out in the Upper Valley in recent years in association with state highway improvements. There was a definite design base to these works, and construction drawings were prepared.

For the more recent rock work carried out in the Lower Valley construction drawings were prepared, and in some cases the design basis has been recorded. The main maintenance activity in recent years has been willow planting, and like most maintenance the aims and extent of the activity have not been formally recorded.

The History report², prepared as part of the scheme review, documents the available records and summarises the information contained in these records. It also has plans of the river channel taken from aerial photography of 1936, 1951, 1967 and 1974 overlaid on aerial photography of 1985 for the Lower Valley and 1988 for the Upper Valley. This report and the original aerial photography have been a prime source of information for this study.

4.2 River Response

4.2.1 Deforestation

The Hutt River has been greatly modified over the last 100 years, and the way in which the river responds now will be different to the responses of the natural river. At the time of European colonisation there was a vegetation cover of native forest, and the Hutt River had only a normal channel

entrenchment within the alluvial material of the Upper and Lower Valley. The shallow channel would have been able to move around within the floodplain, through the bank erosion and deposition processes that occur during flood events, with new channels forming across the flood plain from channel break outs. The dense vegetation and large trees of the forest cover would, though, have resisted this movement.

The records of flood events last century emphasise the mobility of the river channel, with extensive gravel deposition being formed on the recession of flood flows. There were also large accumulations of debris, which included very big logs. The large amount of debris can be attributed to the logging activity on the floodplain and in the catchment, and the destruction of much of the forest cover. The building up and bursting of log jams during intense storm significantly events may have affected the runoff characteristics of the catchment and tributary waterways. The release of stored water by such bursting during the most intense period of rainfall (that can occur near the end of the storm event) may have increased the peak flow of the floods.

The accumulations of gravel along the river channel were also blamed on the removal of the forest cover in reports about these flood events. However, the people concerned probably did not appreciate the natural mobility and gravel transporting capacity of the river. The Hutt River catchment did not suffer from a lot of induced erosion due to the removal of the forest cover, as occurred elsewhere in New The catchment consists mainly Zealand. of relatively competent greywacke, and over much of the catchment there was never a total removal of the forest cover. The removal of forest from the flood plain would, however, have the increased the intensity of the bank erosion and deposition activity of the river, as the loss of forest vegetation increased the erodibility of the banks.

4.2.2 Lower Valley Scheme

In the lower reaches the river channel was straightened and enlarged as part of the first scheme, with the banks being protected by substantial permeable timber groynes constructed at intervals along the bank. At the same time stopbanks and flood defence walls confined flood flows to this enlarged channel. There was then some complementarity between the channel enlargement and the confinement of flood flows. There seems to have been a reasonably well established policy of on-going maintenance, with the groynes being repaired as required. Channel capacity continued to increase to the time of the 1939 flood, and the flood reports of H Sladden, the River Board engineer, indicate that serious erosion that would threaten the flood defences did not occur in the lower reaches below Ewen Bridge.

Around Melling Bridge there was serious erosion, and this reach was considered to be a difficult one to manage until the Melling Cut was put through. Upstream of Melling the river channel was significantly different, and changed from flood to flood, and river works had to be constantly repaired or replaced. The river works used by the Board included sets of short gabion groynes or of longer permeable timber groynes, long solid groynes across secondary channels or channel bays and gabion weirs to block off back channels, as well as bands of willows along the channel edges. These works were often damaged or destroyed in flood events, while the successful deflection of the river from one place could set up a sharp cross-over that would cause erosion problems further downstream. The flood reports of the River Board indicate that erosion of the banks could be substantial. For instance in the December 1939 flood event, an erosion bay up to 20 m wide formed along a 100-120 m length, and a 60-80 m length of established willows and poplars was removed leaving a 4 m high bare bank. The planting of willows and cabling down of willow trees was used to establish protective bands of willows, and these works could be completely removed or covered in gravel during minor (2 year return period or even lesser) flood events.

Extraction of the gravel bed material played a very important part in the management of the Hutt River. Large gravel beaches could form on the recession of flood flows, with sharp low flow channel cross-overs between the deposits. These sharp cross-overs, or channel sets, would deflect flood flows into the bank, and cause direct flow attack to the banks. Gravel extraction was used to remove these deposits, and reduce the sharpness of the low flow channel. Substantial channel cuts were also carried out to straighten the river.

4.2.3 The Straight Narrow Channel

The gravel extraction and river works were then used together to maintain a much straighter and narrower channel. The river responded by forming tightly curving low flow channels with associated high beaches. This is the first phase of the development of a more curved meandering channel, and if the initial erosion is not checked an increasingly curved river bend would form. The constant reinstatement of bank protection works and removal of beach deposits was, therefore, fundamental to the successful maintenance of a narrow gently curving channel.

The width of bank erosion would generally remain quite small when the channel has little curvature. However once a definite curvature develops, river attack is concentrated against the outer bank and substantial erosion can take place very quickly. The development of one bend then directs the flood flows across to the opposite side downstream, increasing the pressures for a similar downstream development.

While the aim of the gravel extraction may have been channel bed management, it also gave rise to channel deepening. The gravel bed material of the Hutt River is transported at a relatively slow rate, and in the Lower Valley (from Melling Bridge upstream) channel degradation has occurred from at least 1944 onwards. As the channel management worked the river into a narrower and straighter channel, the channel was deepened. This increased the flood flow capacity of the channel, and reduced the ability of the river to break out, increasing the amount of material that had to be eroded away for a given lateral movement of the channel.

The flood hydrographs of the Hutt River are quite sharp, and high flows are not sustained for long periods of time. This restricts the amount of erosion that can occur in any one flood event. To contain erosion and prevent breakouts, protection works need to remain intact, or at least still function, for short periods only. The protection level can then be reinstated by repair or remedial works carried out after the flood event.

4.2.4 Since the 1950's

The management approach taken since the 1950's, and the river response in terms of damage to works and erosion is not well known due to the lack of records.

For the Lower Valley reach between Melling and Taita, the river channel shown in the 1951 aerial photography is approximately along the alignment of the present channel (unlike that of 1936) but is substantially wider.

In the 1967 photography the channel is in nearly the same position as the present channel, but is still somewhat wider from below Kennedy-Good Bridge to opposite Fraser Park. In the 1974 photography the channel is virtually the same in plan position as the present channel throughout the Lower Valley. Over this period of time the channel had been progressively degrading.

Very substantial changes to the river channel were being imposed along the Upper Valley reach over this period (from the 1950's to early 1970's) and this is clearly shown in the aerial photography. Again the lack of records seriously hinders any assessment of the works carried out and the river response. Gravel extraction was directed to the Upper Valley between 1968 and 1972, and in this case extraction was used for major channel development - to give a straighter and more Cross-blading of the channel, entrenched channel. which involves the reforming of the channel bed to eliminate the high beaches and sharp cross-overs that form within the imposed channel, probably began during this period. Gravel then not extraction was so closely associated with elimination of the sharp cross-overs.

Substantial degradation has occurred in the Upper Valley since the first channel survey of 1950, and there has been the same general changes in the Upper Valley as occurred earlier in the Lower Valley. The channel degradation has not, however, been as great, and the greywacke baserock has been exposed in the channel in places along the Upper Valley reach (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study report³).

4.2.5 Since the Regional Water Board

Flood damage reports have been prepared for significant flood events since the mid 1970's, and during the major (about 10 year return period) flood events of the early 1980's. Long

lengths of bank erosion occurred throughout the river (below Birchville). This bank erosion extended from around 100 m up to 400 m, but was generally only a metre or two wide. Erosion occurred downstream of Ewen Bridge in a similar way to that upstream of the bridge, but by then there was no longer a continual series of groynes, although some still remained. The channel had only a slight curvature throughout the Lower and Upper Valleys, but the banks were bare of tree vegetation over long lengths.

Rubble and rock linings were constructed along the lower reaches, and substantial lengths of bank in the Upper Valley were also lined with rock. Where the outer banks have been lined with rock in the Upper Valley, the low flow channel has partly moved off the rock linings. In places exposed baserock is now influencing channel migration, and this is giving rise to even sharper cross-overs.

Willow planting has also been carried out, with the bands of willows being wider than previously, and strengthened by cabled rail fences in many places. The response to these works can not yet be properly assessed as there have not been any major floods since their construction. Erosion of the fenced plantings has occurred during small floods, when they came under attack, in spite of the continual cross-blading work that has been carried out.

The river channel is now very much straighter and deeper than it was. Baserock has been exposed in the Upper Valley and there is a marked decline in the size of the bed material from Totara Park, with a rapid decline below Ewen Bridge. The berm material is, in contrast, quite uniform and of smaller size. The channel banks are generally very high for a gravel river, especially in the Lower Valley, and the bank material is more easily transported than the channel bed The relativity between the erodibility of the material. channel banks and transportability of the channel material is an important determinant of river regime. bed This relativity may have been significantly altered since river management commenced (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study report³).

5 MANAGEMENT EFFECTIVENESS

5.1 General

In assessing the effectiveness of river management it is important to bear in mind that flood damage is an inherent part of most river management approaches. River works are seldom intended to remain intact through all flood events up to some design standard. Only when very heavy engineering works are used is any failure unlikely, and even then costly maintenance can still be required.

Rivers are naturally highly dynamic and mobile, and river management approaches that take account of their natural variability will generally be more cost effective in the longer term. Constant losses and the continual repair and replacement of river works does not then in itself indicate a lack of effectiveness. There are many trade offs in river management - between overall approaches, in the balance of different types of works, in the strength and layout of works, and in the programming of maintenance. None of these trade-offs can be properly quantified, and an assessment of effectiveness necessarily involves a qualitative weighing of the evidence, and a reliance on engineering judgement.

Whenever river management is undertaken the effects of the small and medium sized floods, that occur with relative frequency, must be considered, as well as the effects of the large flood events that may be around the design standard. In what way are the effects of the more frequent floods going to be accommodated, so that the in-place protection is sufficient to withstand the effects of a large flood without a failure of the flood defences themselves? How much redundancy should there be in the protection works to facilitate repairs and to insure against the non-repair of works prior to a design event?

When the design standard is a large flood event, such as a 100 year return period flood, the design conditions are likely to involve two large flood events in quick succession. Large floods on the Hutt River have occurred in pairs - in January and September 1858, March and August 1893, June and November 1898, and on a lesser scale in November 1930 and April 1931, and August and December 1939. Large floods have occurred in pairs on many other rivers in New Zealand, and the occurrence of more than one large flood within a short period of time appears to be a general condition in New Zealand.

The security provided by a given set of river works and channel management practices very much depends on the berm width - from the channel edge to the flood defences (stopbanks or walls). The amount of damage and losses that can be allowed within any given management approach also depends very much on the berm width. Where there is a substantial berm there is much more flexibility and a wider range of river management approaches can be considered.

5.2 Flood Containment

The flood defences of the initial scheme have not been breached by river attack, although erosion up to the stopbanks has occurred downstream of Melling Bridge, upstream of Ewen Bridge and at the bridge itself, by Alicetown and at Croft Grove. In the Lower Valley upstream of Melling, farm land was affected by erosion, gravel deposition and flooding, and roads have been washed out, but urban areas have not been seriously affected since the commencement of river management under the (second) Hutt River Board.

Reasonably large floods have occurred since river management commenced, with the largest in 1939 (estimated peak flow of 1600 m3/s, and high flood levels sustained for about 10 hours) and the next largest in 1931 (estimated peak flow of 1400 m3/s, with relatively high levels sustained for about a week). Medium sized flood events have occurred in 1913, 1915, 1924, 1948, 1955, 1962, 1965, 1966, 1980, 1981, and 1982. The river management that has been undertaken, over a long period of time in the Lower Valley has, therefore, been tested (if not to the present design standard) and during this period there has been no extensive flooding from overflows or break outs. [See the History report², with the estimated flows of recorded floods since 1840 given in Chapter 8 on River Hydrology.]

5.3 Hutt River Board Approach

Upstream of Melling the management approach of the Hutt River Board was one of containment by means of river works that were continually being repaired, replaced, extended or repositioned, and through managed extraction of the gravel bed material. Even quite small floods could overwhelm the protection works, but over time the river channel was moved towards a defined channel alignment, and with many set-backs was slowly reduced in width. The river channel in the 1951 photography is markedly different to that of the 1936 photography, and between these two sets of photographs the same management approach was applied, and the large 1939 flood event occurred.

The continual repair and adaption of the river works to changing river channel conditions was undoubtedly important to the effectiveness of the river management. When works were lost they were not necessarily re-established to the same layout as before, on the contrary the layout was adapted to the then prevailing conditions, with the alignment of the 'ultimate control channel' acting as the overall guide. By management aim the nature of the works would become redundant. When back channels or parts of the active channel were successfully blocked off, the works used to achieve this would no longer be exposed to river attack, and new works would be necessary to further contain the active channel.

However, the channel deepening that was generated by the gravel extraction was probably crucial to the degree of straightening and narrowing that has been achieved along the Hutt River. Back channels and other active channel areas could become inaccessible to the river simply through height

In the Lower Valley above Melling the channel differences. degradation probably took place slowly at first, but even by the time of the 1939 flood it is obvious (from the records of flooding or lack of flooding) that many areas that had been prone to flooding could no longer be reached by floodwaters. For instance, overflows at Taita to the Waiwhetu Stream did not take place during the 1939 flood event, and further downstream the flooding was also of a relatively restricted extent compared to the flooding that occurred during the flood events of the 19th century. The really pronounced narrowing, though, took place after 1950, when the amount of gravel being extracted had substantially increased, and continuing channel degradation occurred. Above Kennedy-Good Bridge average channel bed levels have dropped from 3.5 to 5.5 m since 1944, and from 1.5 to 3 m since 1964.

5.4 Upper Valley

In the Upper Valley the channel straightening and narrowing was carried out over a much shorter period of time, with gravel extraction being used to carry out more substantial cuts and give rise to a much quicker repositioning of the active channel. Along the Upper Valley average bed levels have dropped around 2 to 3 m since 1950.

Severe erosion and damage to protection works has occurred in the Upper Valley, although floods in excess of a 10 year return period event have not occurred since the large scale channel realignment and narrowing was carried out. The first Silverstream cut was also unsuccessful, with bank protection works not being fully maintained, and the river breaking back into its old channel.

With the more rapid establishment of a narrow straightened channel in the Upper Valley the accompanying channel degradation has been less pronounced than in the Lower Valley, while the closeness of the baserock to the surface has had a restricting effect on channel deepening. Once a design 'control channel' had been established, heavier bank protection works can be constructed along the channel edges. No further imposed repositioning of the channel is intended, so protection works no longer become redundant, while the aim of the protection works becomes one of fixing the channel Thus when the state highway was constructed beside edges. the Hutt River along the Upper Valley, there was a change in approach towards heavier bank protection works. Rock linings were used to fix the channel edge, and between Silverstream and Moonshine Bridge these works were positioned according to a design meander pattern.

The approach taken between Silverstream and Moonshine Bridge involved alternating rock linings according to a specified meander pattern. The rock linings were placed along the outer banks of the design meander, with a band of willows strengthened by cabled rail fences between the rock linings along the inner banks. The design, which was undertaken by the Ministry of Works, then assumed not only the fixing of the channel, but the fixing of the meander pattern within that channel. A strictly uniform design has not, however, been imposed. There is some variation in the width of the channel, and the rock linings do not overlap consistently according to a design meander. On the left bank in particular the works have been modified on account of existing vegetation, and in some places these modifications were a requirement of the Wellington Regional Council. On Figure 3 the actual channel with the rock linings and willow bands as constructed is shown for a reach of these works, along with a consistently designed channel for the same reach.

The channel meander has not stayed in the same place along this reach, and on Figure 3 the channel position in the 1988 aerial photography is shown. Since then further downstream migration has taken place, with the outer side of the channel bends being taken further downstream and into the lengths of The channel cross-overs have also become willow bands. sharper. The rock linings are thus no longer in the most effective position, and during flood rises are subjected to very direct attack. At present the channel cross-overs still take place within the rock linings, and in most places a considerable downstream migration is necessary before the cross-overs would direct attack against the much lighter willow protection. However, following a major flood event mobilised the whole of the channel bed, a quite that different meander pattern could be set up that was quite unrelated to the rock lining pattern.

5.5 Cross-Blading

The artificial reworking of the channel bed by cross-blading has been used to take out the sharp cross-overs that are naturally set up by the river on the recession of floods. This work can only have a temporary effect, taking out the channel set that influences the pattern of flood flows in the next flood event. Then the natural reworking of the flood flows reinstates the sharp cross-overs. Cross-blading thus has to be done repeatedly to maintain a less distorted channel shape.

Higher flood flows have a relatively straight natural form, and bank erosion and damage to protection banks from these flows can be greatly reduced by maintaining a relatively straight channel. However the overall response from the flow variations of flood hydrographs and the intermittent nature of floods of varying size is one of variable channel curvature and continual changes in channel form.

For cross-blading to be effective, the straighter and less distorted channel shape must, then, be maintained through all flood event reworking, and this channel shape be present when a large flood occurs. The cross-blading does mean that the river attack to the channel banks is less severe, and the erosion that does occur is likely to be less intrusive - a long narrow strip being removed, rather than a large embayment being eroded out. It is, then, a partial substitute for continual repairs and/or strengthening of bank protection works. There is, in fact, a trade off between the channel management of cross-blading and/or directed gravel extraction, and the type and strength of bank protection works that are used.

Unlike gravel extraction, though, cross-blading does not result in a loss of gravel bed material. It does not, then, have the channel degradation effects of extraction.

5.6 Bank Protection

A narrow channel does not have to be maintained by heavy bank protection works (such as rock linings) that fix the channel edge. Where there is sufficient berm width a more flexible boundary can be maintained by vegetation strengthened with permeable structures and/or groynes (either permeable or solid). The channel edge works are then reinstated after damage, or established to reinstate the channel edge after bank erosion, to a generally defined channel position. The width and position of the channel remains the same, but the channel edge is less rigidly maintained, and in major flood events substantial erosion of the berms could occur.

However, where the channel has become deeper, with floods even up to a large size being contained in the channel with little or no berm flow, this more flexible approach to channel management becomes less viable. The channel edges are more well defined by the entrenchment, and the channel boundary is less easily varied by the river. Channel migration is then slower, at least initially. Vegetation and light structural measures will, though, be attacked and undermined, and are difficult to maintain. Groyne works are more difficult to construct, and more prone to undermining. Tree vegetation is more difficult to establish and less effective in restraining bank erosion. On the berms the groundwater levels are deeper, and the root mass is relatively high compared to channel bed levels and scour depths.

Maintaining a relatively straight and deep channel with vegetation and light structural measures may then be as expensive as rock linings. Instead of the high installation or capital cost of the rock works, there is a high on-going maintenance cost.

There are obviously different possible combinations of bank protection measures, and alternatives have to be evaluated site by site. It is important, though, to appreciate the differences in both capital and maintenance cost when comparing alternatives.

While the Hutt River has become more entrenched throughout its upper valley reaches, lower and the degree of entrenchment does vary. Along the river there is also a wide variation in berm width. There are substantial berms along many reaches, with recreational parks, playing fields and golf courses giving rise to a wide separation between the river channel and urban areas. In fact in only a few places is there virtually no separation - Croft Grove, Alicetown, Ewen Bridge area and Gemstone Drive. There are long lengths were the state highway is very close to the river, but a lower level of protection against erosion may be appropriate for the highway compared to urban flood defences.

WILLOWS strengthened with cabled RAIL FENCES S.H. 2 0 COLORIZATION COLORIZATION ROCK LINING EXISTING CHANNEL WORKS (taken from 1988 aerial photography) S. H. 2 000 00 000 000 000 000 CONSTRUCTOR ST ROCK LINING willows strengthened with cabled RAIL FENCES CONSISTENT CHANNEL WORKS



Scale 1:5000

FIXED CHANNEL WORKS UPSTREAM OF SILVERSTREAM

6 PROTECTION WORKS

6.1 Scour

The energy available from the variable flow regime is expended on the transporting of material down the river. This transportation takes place through a continual picking up and depositing of material, with both bank erosion and accumulation and bed scouring and reforming taking place. The depth of scouring is particularly important to the determination of effective protection works. The general scour that recurs during flood events can be estimated by using empirically derived formulae. In this study two formulae have been used to assess scour depths, as given in Appendix B.

The required channel and flow characteristics (of width, depth, area, velocity and flow) have been obtained from the hydraulic modelling of specific flood flows, carried out by the Wellington Regional Council. In this modelling the cross-sections were taken fixed bed surveyed as a representation of the river channel, and the flow conditions for a steady flow were calculated by an iteration process. There is then some variability in the calculated flow depths velocities due to the calculation method of and the modelling, while in reality the scouring that takes place will give rise to an adjustment in flow depths and velocities to give more consistent flow conditions.

The general scour depth (as assessed in this study) is the maximum depth of the bed of the river below water level that may occur at some time during a flood event, with a given peak flow, due to the variations in bed levels that arise from the normal processes of bed material transportation. The area of the bed that is deeply scoured is usually quite small and can vary from flood to flood depending on the particular flow pattern of each event. Where there is a relative constriction in the river channel, for instance where bridge abutments extend into the channel, a general scouring of the bed can take place over a considerable length of the channel. A general lowering of the whole bed of the river by scouring can, though, only take place along the lowest reach of the river, where bed material can be exported through the river mouth to a large receiving body of water.

A scouring out of the lower reaches from about Ewen Bridge appears to have occurred in 1955, with very deep channel bed lowering being recorded at Ava Bridge. A medium sized flood event occurred in 1955, but why such a large amount of bed scouring should have occurred during this flood with only a gradual recovery in bed levels is not known. There is little information available about this flood event. The water level recorder plots do, however, show that a series of four floods of progressively larger flow peaks occurred one day after the other from 17 to 20 February. This sequence of flood events may have caused a progressive lowering of the bed of the river along its lower (tidal) reach because there was no time for a recovery and settling down of the bed material between the events. An additional deepening of the bed can occur around significant obstructions within the river channel, such as groyne heads and bridge piers. Then a local scouring must be added to any general scour to obtain the total possible lowering of the river bed.

Judgement must be exercised in deciding what general scour depths to take for design purposes, given the variation in calculated depths of the different formulae applied in different ways, and using parameter values derived from hydraulic modelling. The interaction of the scouring itself on the parameter values must be considered, and whether the variation in scour depths calculated at different crosssections reflects a likely real variation in scouring along the channel, or just a range of possible scour depths, must be decided.

General scour depths have been calculated for a selected set of cross-sections from the hydraulic modelling of estimated 2, 10 and 100 year return period flood flows. The results using the central channel flow only are given in Tables 4 to 6. The NZ Railways formula uses a flood level rise factor and this formula is not applicable to tidal reaches. Along the lower reaches there is a rapid reduction in the size of the bed material, and the Maza & Echavarria formula gives an indication of the increase in general scour depths that could take place due to this size reduction.

General scour depths have also been calculated using the whole channel flow, and the results for the estimated 100 year return period flood flows are given in Table 7. In this case the two formula give very different results, with the NZ Railways formula giving quite unrealistic scour depths in places, while the Maza & Echavarria results would suggest that there was no effective scour. Both formulae apply to well defined channels, and the shallow berm flows along the Hutt River give rise to inappropriate parameter values. In the case of the Maza & Echavarria formula the unit discharge parameter becomes inappropriate, while in the NZ Railways formula the adjustment for channel asymmetry has an extreme effect.

The scour depths given by the two formula using the central channel flow are reasonably consistent and can be taken as an indication of likely scour depths. The net scour depth (or bed lowering) given by the Maza & Echavarria formula increases in a progressive manner with increasing flood flow. In the case of the NZ Railways formula, while the scour depths increase, the net scour is more variable and does not necessarily increase with greater flood flows. The scour depths given by the NZ Railways formula are, though, generally somewhat greater.

Interestingly, if the medium size of the bed material is taken as 0.02 m, which is about the medium size of the berm material, and thus possibly of the original bed material (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study), then the scour depths given by the Maza & Echavarria formula are about the same as those of the NZ Railways formula. Would the

39

				:	HUTT RIV	ER - SCOU	R DEPTHS				TABLE 4
						(m)					
					2 YE	AR FLOOD	FLOW				
				-	CEN	TRAL CHAN	NEL				
SECTION	FLOW	WIDTH	AREA	MAX. DEPTH	MEAN DEPTH	RISE	MATERIAL	N.Z. R	AILWAYS	MAZA & EQ	CHAVARRIA
XS	Q	Ŵ	А	D	Dm	R	d50	Ds	Ds-D	Ds	Ds-D
40	760	170	450	3.80	2.75	1.00	0.0002	1.3	-2.5	6.2	2.4
110	760	160	495	3.90	3.20	1.20	0.0020	1.2	-2.7	4.0	0.1
200	740	120	350	5.10	2.90	1.90	0.0100	3.3	-1.8	5.5	0.4
320	760	85	300	4.85	3.80	3.30	0.0200	4.4	-0.5	4.8	-0.1
440	720	65	285	6.10	4.50	4.40	0.0200	4.7	-1.4	6.0	-0.1
470	715	65	275	5.25	4.65	4.40	0.0200	4.6	-0.7	5.0	-0.3
550	750	80	290	4.80	3.75	3.75	0.0300	4.8	0.0	4.7	-0.1
690	760	140	295	3.30	2.50	2.55	0.0300	6.1	2.8	3.1	-0.2
770	660	95	255	3.60		3.25	0.0400	5.5	1.9	3.1	-0.5
850	750	115	245	2.85		2.20	0.0400	5.2	2.4	3.6	0.7
920	740	85	250	4.30		2.95	0.0500	5.3	1.0	Televisia and a second s	-0.1
990	760	80	205	3.30		2.70	0.0500	5.7	2.4		0.7
1070	755	80	255	3.55		3.35	0.0500	4.6	1.1	3.4	-0.1
1150	760	75	225	3.95		3.15	0.0600	5.5	1.6	and the second	0.2
1230	755	60	210	4.15	3.85	3.70	0.0600	5.4	1.2		0.3
1300	755	80	235	3.70		3.20	0.0600	5.4	1.7		0.1
1460	735	80	230	3.10	2.65	2.80	0.0700	4.3	1.2		0.6
1520	750	85	250	4.30	3.05	3.00	0.0700	5.4	1.1	4.3	0.0
1600	725	85	240	3.50		2.65	0.0700	4.4	0.9	3.5	0.0
1740	755	85	200	2.95		2.50	0.0800	5.7	2.8		1.0
1820	760	75	245	4.50		3.70	0.0800	5.9	1.4		-0.3
1880	725	80	240	3.70		3.05	0.0800	4.8	1.1	3.6	-0.1
1980	665	120	260	4.40		2.50	0.0900	6.9	2.5	3.6	-0.8
2040	670	115	265	4.40		2.70	0.0900	6.7	2.3	3.5	-0.9
2110	665	75	215	3.85		2.90	0.1000	5.0	1.2	3.5	-0.4
2180	630	90	185	2.65		2.10	0.1000	5.1	2.4	and the second se	0.5
2340	670	65	200	3.70		3.40	0.1000	5.3	1.6		-0.2
2420	670	50	205	8.20		4.45	0.1000	7.2	-1.0		-1.0
2500	670	55	245	6.65		4.40	0.1000	4.9	-1.7	5.3	-1.3
2590	430	45	130	3.35		2.85	0.1000	4.1	0.8		0.2
2700	445	45	135	3.90	and a lot to be a descent of the state of th	3.00	0.1000	4.5	0.6		0.2
2800	370	95	180	2.85		1.90	0.1000	3.7	0.9	2.2	-0.7
2860	380	65	130	3.95	2.30	2.35	0.1000	6.4	2.4	3.6	-0.4
2930	320	40	110	4.05		2.45	0.1000	3.8	-0.3		-0.4
3050	365	30	80	4.05	2.85	2.85	0.1000	6.0	2.0	5.3	1.2

					HUTT RIV	ER - SCOU	R DEPTHS				TABLE 5
						(m)					
					10 Y	EAR FLOOD	FLOW				
					CEN	TRAL CHAN	NEL				
SECTION	FLOW	WIDTH	AREA	MAX. DEPTH	MEAN DEPTH	RISE	MATERIAL	N.Z. R	AILWAYS	MAZA & EQ	CHAVARRIA
XS	Q	W	A	D	Dm	R	d50	Ds	Ds-D	Ds	Ds-D
40	1270	170	515	4.20	2.60	0.85	0.0002	1.5	-2.7	10.9	6.7
110	1270	160	590	4.50	3.65	1.65	0.0020	2.0	-2.5	6.1	1.6
200	1195	120	455	5.95	3.75	2.75	0.0100	4.3	-1.7	7.2	1.3
320	1250	85	385	5.85	4.75	4.25	0.0200	5.4	-0.4	6.8	1.0
440	1135	65	370	7.35	5.80	5.70	0.0200	5.5	-1.9	8.0	0.7
470	1150	65	355	6.50	5.95	5.70	0.0200	5.6	-0.9	7.0	0.5
550	1130	80	400	6.15	5.15	5.15	0.0300	5.2	-0.9	6.0	-0.1
690	1255	150	420	4.20	3.40	3.45	0.0300	7.6	3.4	4.1	-0.1
770	965	95	340	4.45	4.10	4.10	0.0400	5.7	1.2	4.0	-0.4
850	1225	115	335	3.65	2.90	3.00	0.0400	6.2	2.5	4.9	1.2
920	1210	85	330	5.25	4.25	3.95	0.0500	6.4	1.1	5.8	0.5
990	1265	80	260	4.00	3.55	3.45	0.0500	7.3	3.3	5.7	1.7
1070	1235	80	350	5.20	4.70	4.55	0.0500	5.9	0.7	5.5	0.3
1150	1250	75	300	4.95	4.30	4.15	0.0600	6.6	1.7		1.0
1230	1250	60	280	5.30	5.00	4.85	0.0600	6.5	1.2	6.5	1.2
1300	1240	80	320	4.80	4.30	4.30	0.0600	6.5	1.7	5.4	0.6
1460	1125	80	315	4.15	3.75	3.90	0.0700	5.1	1.0		0.7
1520	1145	85	340	5.35	4.10	4.05	0.0700	6.0	0.6		0.2
1600	1150	85	305	4.25	3.75	3.45	0.0700	5.5	1.3	and the second	0.6
1740	1255	85	245	3.45	2.75	3.00	0.0800	7.2	3.7	5.6	2.2
1820	1200	75	355	5.95	5.05	5.20	0.0800	6.3	0.4		-0.3
1880	1085	80	320	4.75	4.20	4.10	0.0800	5.5	0.8		0.0
1980	1155	120	350	5.15	3.25	3.25	0.0900	7.9	2.7	and second start and the second se	-0.2
2040	1150	115	365	5.25	3.50	3.55	0.0900	7.4	2.1	4.9	-0.4
2110	1140	75	270	4.55	3.90	3.60	0.1000	6.4	1.8		0.6
2180	1055	90	260	3.40	2.85	2.90	0.1000	5.8	2.4		0.9
2340	1155	80	280	4.90	4.70	4.65	0.1000	9.0	4.1	The second s	-0.5
2420	1160	55	285	7.00	6.10	6.00	0.1000	7.6	0.6		-0.4
2500	1150	55	350	8.60		6.40	0.1000	5.9	-2.7		-1.3
2590	- 735	45	195	4.80	4.35	4.30	0.1000	4.9	0.1		0.4
2700	760	45	185	5.05	4.20	4.20	0.1000	5.7	0.6		0.7
2800	560	95	240	3.50	and the second	2.70	0.1000	4.5	1.0		-0.9
2860	530	65	160	4.35	and a second	2.75	0.1000	6.5	2.2		0.0
2930	425	40	115	4.10		2.85	0.1000	5.0	0.9		0.0
3050	600	30	105	4.95	3.75	3.75	0.1000	7.3	2.3	7.2	2.3

					HUTT RIV	ER - SCOU	R DEPTHS				TABLE 6
						(m)					
					100 Y	EAR FLOOD	FLOW				
					CEN	TRAL CHAN	NEL				
SECTION	FLOW	WIDTH	AREA	MAX. DEPTH	MEAN DEPTH	RISE	MATERIAL	N.Z. R	AILWAYS	MAZA & EG	CHAVARRIA
XS	Q	Ŵ	A	D	Dm	R	d50	Ds	Ds-D	Ds	Ds-D
40	1900	165	395	3.45	2.45	0.70	0.0002	2.5	-1.0	13.3	9.8
110	1890	160	650	4.85	4.15	2.15	0.0020	3.0	-1.8	7.8	3.0
200	1660	120	555	6.80	4.60	3.60	0.0100	5.1	-1.7	8.7	1.9
320	1840	85	455	6.70	5.65	5.15	0.0200	6.7	0.0	8.9	2.2
440	1560	65	450	8.60	7.00	6.90	0.0200	6.1	-2.5	10.0	1.4
470	1490	65	435	7.85	7.25	7.00	0.0200	6.1	-1.8	8.5	0.7
550	1320	80	515	7.60	6.55	6.55	0.0300	5.0	-2.6	6.6	-1.0
690	1840	150	480	5.10	4.30	4.35	0.0300	10.8	5.7	5.4	0.3
770	1300	95	435	5.45	5.10	5.10	0.0400	5.9	0.5	5.0	-0.4
850	1770	115	430	4.50	3.75	3.85	0.0400	7.0	2.5	6.2	1.7
920	1770	85	405	6.15	5.15	4.85	0.0500	7.5	1.3	7.5	1.4
990	1870	80	315	4.70	4.20	4.10	0.0500	8.5	3.8	7.7	3.0
1070	1800	80	450	6.40	5.95	5.80	0.0500	6.7	0.3	7.2	0.8
1150	1720	75	385	6.15	5.45	5.30	0.0600	7.2	1.1	7.5	1.3
1230	1740	60	350	6.50	6.20	6.05	0.0600	7.3	0.8	8.3	1.8
1300	1810	80	390	5.70	5.20	5.20	0.0600	7.6	1.9	7.2	1.5
1460	1490	80	395	5.15	4.70	4.85	0.0700	5.5	0.4	6.0	0.9
1520	1510	85	430	6.35	5.10	5.05	0.0700	6.2	-0.2	6.6	0.2
1600	1620	85	375	5.00	4.45	4.15	0.0700	6.1	1.1	6.3	1.3
1740	1780	85	345	4.65	3.95	4.20	0.0800	7.6	3.0	6.9	2.3
1820	1640	75	435	4.50	6.15	6.30	0.0800	5.2	0.7	4.5	0.0
1880	1500	80	380	5.45	4.95	4.85	0.0800	6.3	0.8	5.9	0.5
1980	1740	120	435	5.85	3.95	3.95	0.0900	8.8	3.0	and an and the second se	0.6
2040	1670	115	445	5.95	4.20	4.25	0.0900	8.3	2.3		0.2
2110	1650	75	320	5.20	4.55	4.25	0.1000	7.5	2.3	6.8	1.6
2180	1560	90	330	4.15	3.60	3.65	0.1000	6.7	2.6	and an Andrew Street in the Andrew Street	1.5
2340	1700	80	370	6.10	5.90	5.85	0.1000	9.5	3.4	5.9	-0.2
2420	1760	55	365	8.40	7.50	7.40	0.1000	8.5	0.1	8.9	0.5
2500	1700	55	460	10.55	8.60	8.35	0.1000	6.6	-4.0	9.5	-1.3
2590	1090	45	280	6.65	6.20	6.15	0.1000	5.3	-1.3	6.8	0.2
2700	1180	45	215	5.65	4.80	4.80	0.1000	7.0	1.4	8.0	2.3
2800	725	95	375	4.90	4.05	3.95	0.1000	3.8	-1.1	3.1	-1.8
2860	855	65	190	4.85	3.15	3.20	0.1000	8.0	3.2	6.1	1.2
2930	605	40	155	5.10	4.05	3.55	0.1000	4.9	-0.2	5.5	0.4
3050	885	30	135			4.65	0.1000	7.9	2.0	9.4	3.5

					HUTT RIV	ER - SCOU	R DEPTHS				TABLE 7
						(m)					
					100 Y	EAR FLOOD	FLOW				-
			×		WH	OLE CHANN	EL				
SECTION	FLOW	WIDTH	AREA	MAX. DEPTH	MEAN DEPTH	RISE	MATERIAL	N.Z. F	RAILWAYS	MAZA & E	CHAVARRIA
XS	Q	W	A	D	Dm	R	d50	Ds	Ds-D	Ds	Ds-D
40	1900	165	395	3.45	2.45	0.70	0.0002	2.5	-1.0	13.3	9.8
110	1900	170	655	4.85	4.15	2.15	0.0020	3.3	-1.5	7.5	2.7
200	1900	260	775	6.80	4.60	3.60	0.0100	8.9	2.1	5.3	-1.5
320	1900	105	495	6.70	5.65	5.15	0.0200	8.1	1.4	7.7	1.0
440	1900	150	650	8.60	7.00	6.90	0.0200	12.9	4.3	6.1	-2.5
470	1900	265	895	7.85	7.25	7.00	0.0200	14.2	6.4	3.4	-4.4
550	1900	500	1135	7.60	6.55	6.55	0.0300	16.4	8.8	2.1	-5.5
690	1900	210	605	5.10	4.30	4.35	0.0300	11.8	6.7	4.2	-0.9
770	1900	255	810	5.45	5.10	5.10	0.0400	9.6	4.2	3.1	-2.3
850	1900	165	500	4.50	3.75	3.85	0.0400	9.7	5.2	and the second	0.4
920	1900	130	475	6.15	5.15	4.85	0.0500	11.3	5.2	The later has a second state to the later and a local second state and the later and t	-0.4
990	1900	110	340	4.70	4.20	4.10	0.0500	12.5	7.8	6.1	1.4
1070	1900	170	580	6.40	5.95	5.80	0.0500	14.1	7.7	4.2	-2.2
1150	1900	255	550	6.15	5.45	5.30	0.0600	25.2	19.0		-3.1
1230	1900	255	510	6.50	6.20	6.05	0.0600	35.1	28.6	and the second	-3.6
1300	1900	205	490	5.70	5.20	5.20	0.0600	23.0	17.3		-2.1
1460	1900	290	725	5.15	4.70	4.85	0.0700	13.0	7.9		-2.5
1520	1900	300	785	6.35	5.10	5.05	0.0700	13.7	7.3	2.9	-3.4
1600	1900	230	595	5.00	4.45	4.15	0.0700	12.8	7.8	and the second se	-1.7
1740	1900	205	445	4.65	3.95	4.20	0.0800	20.0	15.4		-1.0
1820	1900	215	675	4.50	6.15	6.30	0.0800	12.7	8.2	2.2	-2.3
1880	1900	245	685	5.45	4.95	4.85	0.0800	12.6	7.1		
1980	1760	160	465	5.85	3.95	3.95	0.0900	12.5	6.6		-0.7
2040	1760	215	525	5.95	4.20	4.25	0.0900	16.6	10.6		-2.0
2110	1760	205	415	5.20	4.55	4.25	0.1000	23.8	18.6		-2.0
2180	1760	160	420	4.15	3.60	3.65	and the second se	11.4	A Distance of the second s		a second s
2340	1760	125	410		and an other sectors and a sector way, a fill so by a first of the sector of the secto	5.85		16.5	10.4		
2420	1760	55	365	8.40	and the second distance of the local distance of the second distance	7.40	0.1000	8.5			0.5
2500	1760	125	550		8.60	8.35	0.1000	18.0			
2590	1220	80	390	and the second	6.20	6.15	0.1000	7.4			I CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O
2700	1220	90	250			4.80		16.5	10.9		
2800	920	355	855		the state of the second s	3.95		4.4	-0.5		
2860	920	230	340	and the second	3.15	3.20		15.8	10.9	2.4	
2930	920	205	455			3.55	and the still are such in the second s	8.4			
3050	920	40	160	5.90	4.65	4.65	0.1000	9.1	3.2	7.8	1.9

different empirical formulae give more consistent results when applied to an unmodified natural river channel?

Upstream of the river mouth a lowering of the bed due to general scour of around 1.5 to 3 m should be expected. The calculated scour depths should not be taken as applicable to a specific cross-section, instead the range of values should be used as an indication of likely scour, the variations being more due to the particularities of the hydraulic modelling than real differences in scour potential.

6.2 Rock Works

The size of rock required for rock linings or snub groynes can be determined from empirically derived formulae, and in this study two such formulae have been used, as given in Appendix C. These formulae use an effective velocity as the main parameter and this velocity has been determined from the main channel mean velocity given by the hydraulic modelling, multiplied by a velocity factor to give an effective flow velocity against the rock work. The following factors are a general guide:

- 1.25 for straight reaches
- 1.5 for bends
- 2.0 for groyne heads

The resulting rock sizes using the flow velocities and depth of a 100 year return period flood flow as determined at a selected set of cross-sections is given in Tables 8 and 9. The sizes have been calculated for batter slopes of 1.5:1 and 2:1, and using a velocity factor of 1.5 for rock linings and 2.0 for groynes.

The rock size is sensitive to the mean velocity value, and the velocities determined by the hydraulic modelling are unusually high. But the high velocities occur at many crosssections and are not just isolated values. The Hutt River channel is now very different to its natural channel, and flood flows are contained within a much narrower and more entrenched channel. It may be, then, that higher than usual velocities can be generated within this channel.

There appears to have been a sorting of the channel bed material, with the present channel having an unusual downstream reduction in the size of its bed material. Α downstream sorting of the channel bed material, that leaves a more heavily armoured bed, could occur as a response to higher flood flow velocities. As flow velocities increased, and bed stresses increased, the 'stripping out' of smaller bed material of downstream sorting could have been excentuated. Thus the possible changes in bed material and higher than usual calculated flow velocities are mutually supportive.

The high calculated flow velocities of the hydraulic modelling should, therefore, be used in sizing rock material, but average velocities along a reach should be used rather

			a										
Г		Т			u	UTT RIVER	- DTD-DA	P MATERIAL				1	TABLE 8
-							OCK LINING	and the second					IADLE 0
-	2						EAR FLOOD						
F													
F	SITE	MEAN	VELOCITY	EFFECTIVE	DEPTH	WALLIN	GFORD		CALTE	ORNIA HIGH	VAYS PRACTI	[SE	
F	XS	VELOCITY	FACTOR	VELOCITY		2:1	1.5:1		2:1 BATTERS			.5:1 BATTERS	5
F		Vm (m/s)		Ve (m/s)	D (m)	D50 (m)	D50 (m)	W33 (kg)	spheric D33		W33 (kg)	spheric D33	
	110	2.90	1.3	3.77	4.85	0.24	0.28	59	0.35	0.28	92		0.33
Γ	200	3.00	1.5	4.50	6.80	0.34	0.40	170	0.50	0.40	266		0.46
Γ	320	4.05	1.5	6.08	6.70	0.85	0.99	1030	0.91	0.73	1609	1.05	0.85
	440	3.50	1.5	5.25	8.60	0.48	0.56	429	0.68	0.55	670		0.63
	470	3.40	1.5	5.10	7.85	0.46	0.54	361	0.64	0.51	563		0.60
L	550	2.55	1.5	3.83	7.60	0.20	0.23	64	0.36	0.29	100		0.34
	690	3.35	1.5	5.03	5.10	0.55	0.64	330	0.62	0.50	515		0.58
L	770	3.00	1.5	4.50	5.45	0.38	0.44	170	0.50	0.40	266		0.46
-	850	4.15	1.5	6.23	4.50	1.11	1.30	1193	0.95	0.77	1862		0.89
ŀ	920	4.35	1.5	6.53	6.15	1.09	1.28	1582	1.04	0.84	2470		0.98
-	990	5.95	1.5	8.93	4.70	3.20	3.74	10361	1.95	1.58	16173		1.83
45	1070 1150	4.00	1.5	6.00	6.40	0.83	0.97	956	0.88	0.71	1493		0.83
1	1230	4.45	1.5	7.43	6.15 6.50	1.17 1.57	1.37	1813 3435	1.09	0.88	2830 5362		1.02
-	1300	4.95	1.5	6.90	5.70	1.34	1.83	2212	1.35	0.94	3453		1.27
	1460	3.75	1.5	5.63	5.15	0.77	0.89	649	0.78	0.63	1014		0.73
F	1520	3.55	1.5	5.33	6.35	0.59	0.68	467	0.70	0.56	730		0.65
F	1600	4.35	1.5	6.53	5.00	1.21	1.42	1582	1.04	0.84	2470		0.98
	1740	5.15	1.5	7.73	4.65	2.09	2.44	4357	1.46	1.18	6801		1.37
	1820	3.75	1.5	5.63	4.50	0.82	0.96	649	0.78	0.63	1014		0.73
	1880	3.95	1.5	5.93	5.45	0.87	1.01	887	0.86	0.69	1384		
	1980	4.00	1.5	6.00	5.85	0.87	1.02	956		0.71	1493		0.83
	2040	3.75	1.5	5.63	5.95	0.71	0.83	649	0.78	0.63	1014	0.90	0.73
	2110	5.15	1.5	7.73	5.20	1.97	2.30	4357	1.46	1.18	6801	. 1.70	1.37
	2180	4.75	1.5	7.13	4.15	1.73	2.02	2682	1.25	1.00	4187	1.45	1.17
	2340	4.60	1.5	6.90	6.10	1.30	1.52	2212	1.17	0.94	3453	1.36	1.09
	2420	4.85	1.5		8.40	1.30		3039					
	2500	3.70	1.5	5.55	10.55	0.51		599			935		
L	2590	3.90	1.5	5.85	6.65	0.76		822		0.68			
	2700	5.50	1.5	8.25	5.65	2.31		6464		1.35			
	2800	1.95	1.5	2.93	4.55		the second s	13		0.17	20		0.20
	2860	4.50	1.5	6.75	4.70	and the second sec		1939		0.90	The Advertise of the second	the second se	
	2930	3.95	1.5		4.45		and the second	887					
L	3050	6.65	1.5	9.98	5.90	3.99	4.65	20194	2.44	1.97	31523	2.83	2.28

				H	Contractory of the second s		P MATERIAL					TABLE 9
					RC	OCK GROYNE	IS					
5					100 Y	EAR FLOOD	FLOW					r.
					- and evaluate we also							
SITE	MEAN	VELOCITY	EFFECTIVE	DEPTH	WALLIN	IGFORD		CALIF	ORNIA HIGHW	VAYS PRACT	ISE	
XS	VELOCITY	FACTOR	VELOCITY		2:1	1.5:1		2:1 BATTERS		1	.5:1 BATTERS	5
	Vm (m/s)		Ve (m/s)	D (m)	D50 (m)	D50 (m)	W33 (kg)	spheric D33	cubic D33	W33 (kg)	spheric D33	cubic D3
11	and the second	2.0	5.80	4.85	0.87	1.01	780	0.83	0.67	1218	0.96	0.
20		2.0	6.00	6.80	0.81	0.94	956	0.88	0.71	1493	1.02	0.
32		2.0		6.70	2.00	2.34	5790	1.61	1.30	9038	1.87	1.
44		2.0	7.00	8.60	1.14	1.33	2412	1.20	0.97	3765	1.39	1.
47	0 3.40	2.0	6.80	7.85	1.10	1.28	2027	1.13	0.92	3164	1.32	1.
55	0 2.55	2.0	5.10	7.60	0.47	0.55	361	0.64	0.51	563	0.74	0.
69		2.0		5.10	1.30	1.52	1854	1.10	0.89	2895	1.28	1.
77		2.0		5.45	0.90	1.05	956	0.88	0.71	1493		0.
85	and the second	2.0	8.30	4.50	2.63	3.07	6702	1.69	1.36	10462	1.96	1.
92		2.0	8.70	6.15	2.59	3.02	8889	1.86	1.50	13876	2.15	1.
99		2.0		4.70	7.59	8.85	58215	3.47	2.80	90872	4.03	3.
107		2.0		6.40	1.98	2.31	5374	1.57	1.27	8389		1.
115		2.0		6.15	2.78	3.24	10188	1.94	1.57	15903	and the second	1.
123		2.0		6.50	3.72	4.34	19300	2.40	1.94	30127	2.79	2.
130		2.0		5.70	3.18	3.72	12430	2.08	1.67	19403		1.
146		2.0		5.15	1.82	2.12	3649	1.38	1.11	5695		1.
152		2.0		6.35	1.39	1.62	2626	1.24	1.00	4099		1.
160		2.0		5.00	2.88	3.35	8889	1.86	1.50	13876	and the second	1.
174		2.0		4.65	4.95	5.77	24478	2.60	2.10	38210		2.
182		2.0		4.50	1.94	2.27	3649	1.38	1.11	5695		1.
188	0 3.95	2.0	7.90	5.45	2.06	2.41	4983	1.53	1.24	7779	1.78	1.
198		2.0		5.85	2.07	2.41	5374	1.57	1.27	8389		1.
204		2.0		5.95	1.69	1.97	3649	1.38	1.11	5695		1.
211		2.0		5.20	4.68	5.46	24478	2.60	2.10	38210		2.
218	CONTRACTOR CONTRA	2.0		4.15	4.11	4.79	15069	2.21	1.79	23523	and a second	2.
234		2.0		6.10	3.08	3.59	12430	2.08	1.67	19403		1.
242	0 4.85	2.0	9.70	8.40	3.07	3.59	17076	2.31	1.86	26655	2.68	2.
250		2.0		10.55	1.22	1.42	3366	1.34	1.08	5255		1.
259	0 3.90	2.0	7.80	6.65	1.80	2.10	4617	1.49	1.20	7206	1.73	1.
270	0 5.50	2.0	11.00	5.65	5.47	6.38	36317	2.97	2.39	56690	3.44	2.
280	0 1.95	2.0	3.90	4.55	0.27	0.32	72	0.37	0.30	113		0.
286	0 4.50	2.0	9.00	4.70	3.28	3.83	10895	1.99	1.60	17006		1.
293	0 3.95	2.0	at a second to the	4.45	2.28	2.66	4983	1.53	1.24	7779		1.
305		2.0		5.90	9.46		113465	4.34	3.50	177117		4.

than the velocity calculated at a specific cross-section. In Table 10 the rock sizes are given for a 1.5 velocity factor using average reach velocities.

The stability of the rock material depends on the degree of turbulence of the flood flow as well as its velocity, but this influence is not directly taken into account in the Thus the rock size taken for design purposes again formulae. depends on judgement, this time relating to the degree of turbulence implicit in the derivation of the formulae and the functioning of rock works in practice. The difference between the equivalent spheric and cubic dimensions for a given weight as calculated by the California formula can be used as a turbulence factor. Large sized rocks tend to have an average dimension (the average of the overall rock width as measured in three directions) that is closer to the cubic dimension, and where the flow is not too turbulent the design rock size can be taken as the equivalent cubic dimension. Conversely where there is more turbulence the equivalent spheric dimension can be used, and this will give rise to a heavier rock than the calculated weight - as the actual rocks will be more cubic in shape, and thus for a given average dimension, heavier than a nominal sphere - thereby providing an additional weight margin for turbulence.

Taking account of the results from both formulae, the medium size of rock for linings should then be as follows:

	$1\frac{1}{2}$:1	2:1	
Downstream of Ewen Bridge	0.40	0.35	Grading A
Ewen to Kennedy-Good Bridge	0.65	0.55	Grading B
Kennedy-Good to Moonshine Bridge	1.40	1.20	Grading C
Moonshine to Totara Park Bridge	0.95	0.80	Grading B-C
Totara Park to Mangaroa Confluence	1.50	1.30	Grading C

The width of the lining should be 2 to 3 times the medium size dimension, depending on the degree of security required. Rock linings can be constructed with sufficient depth and bulk to cope with normal scour without much movement and hence topping up, or they can be constructed as lighter linings from the existing bed level and topped up as the rock settles, with additional follow on topping up. Either way the amount of rock tends to be about the same, just the proportion of initial rock to topping up being different.

If the more capital intensive lining is constructed, then a toe with a thickness and width about the same as the lining thickness should be constructed at the bottom of the lining, with the lining extending down to about the depth of general scour. The top of the lining should generally be at around the normal bankfull height of the 2 year return period flood level. In the case of the Hutt River a toe that started at the normal bed level would extend to about the general scour depth for the thicknesses of linings that are required. Localised scour against the rock would extend scouring below

Г					Н	UTT RIVER	- RIP-RA	P MATERIAL					TABLE 10
Γ						RC	OCK LINING	S					
						100 Y	EAR FLOOD	FLOW					ř.
						AVERA	GE VELOCI	TIES					
Γ	SITE	MEAN	VELOCITY	EFFECTIVE	DEPTH	WALLIN	GFORD		CALIF	ORNIA HIGH	WAYS PRACTI	SE	
	XS	VELOCITY	FACTOR	VELOCITY		2:1	1.5:1		2:1 BATTERS		1	.5:1 BATTERS	
F		Vm (m/s)		Ve (m/s)	D (m)	D50 (m)	D50 (m)	W33 (kg)	spheric D33			spheric D33	
a second	110	3.00	1.3		4.85	0.26	0.31	72	0.37	0.30	113	0.43	0.35
	200	3.00	1.5	4.50	6.80	0.34	0.40	170	0.50	0.40	266	0.58	0.46
Γ	320	4.00	1.5	6.00	6.70	0.81	0.95	956	0.88	0.71	1493	1.02	0.83
Γ	440	3.50	1.5	5.25	8.60	0.48	0.56	429	0.68	0.55	670	0.78	0.63
Γ	470	3.50	1.5	5.25	7.85	0.50	0.59	429	0.68	0.55	670	0.78	0.63
	550	3.00	1.5	4.50	7.60	0.32	0.38	170	0.50	0.40	266	0.58	0.46
	690	3.50	1.5	5.25	5.10	0.63	0.73	429	0.68	0.55	670		0.63
	770	3.50	1.5	5.25	5.45	0.61	0.71	429	0.68	0.55	670		0.63
	850	4.50	1.5	6.75	4.50	1.42	1.65	1939	1.12	0.90	3027	1.30	1.05
	920	4.50	1.5	6.75	6.15	1.21	1.41	1939	1.12	0.90	3027	1.30	1.05
	990	4.50	1.5	6.75	4.70	1.39	1.62	1939	1.12	0.90	3027	1.30	1.05
	1070	4.00	1.5	6.00	6.40	0.83	0.97	956	0.88	0.71	1493		0.83
48	1150	4.50	1.5	6.75	6.15	1.21	1.41	1939	1.12	0.90	3027	1.30	1.05
	1230	4.50	1.5	6.75	6.50	1.18	1.37	1939	1.12	0.90	3027	1.30	1.05
	1300	4.50	1.5		5.70	1.26	1.47	1939	1.12	0.90	3027		
	1460	4.00	1.5	6.00	5.15	0.93	1.08	956	0.88	0.71	1493		0.83
	1520	4.00	1.5	6.00	6.35	0.84	0.98	956	0.88	0.71	1493		0.83
	1600	4.50	1.5	6.75	5.00	1.34	1.57	1939	1.12	0.90	3027		1.05
	1740	4.50	1.5		4.65	1.39	1.62	1939	1.12	0.90	3027		1.05
	1820	3.75	1.5		4.50	0.82	0.96	649	0.78	0.63	1014		0.73
	1880	3.75	1.5	5.63	5.45	0.74	0.87	649	0.78	0.63	1014	the state of the s	0.73
	1980	3.75	1.5		5.85	0.72	0.84	649	0.78	0.63	1014	the second s	0.73
	2040	4.00	1.5		5.95	0.86	1.01	956	0.88	0.71	1493		0.83
L	2110	4.75	1.5		5.20	1.55	1.81	2682	1.25	1.00	4187		1.17
	2180	4.75	1.5		4.15	1.73	2.02	2682	1.25	1.00	4187		1.17
L	2340	4.75	1.5		6.10	1.43	1.67	2682	1.25	1.00	4187		1.17
	2420	4.75	1.5		8.40	1.22	1.42	2682	1.25	1.00	4187		1.1
	2500	4.75	1.5	contraction of the second seco	10.55	1.09	1.27	2682	1.25	1.00			1.1
	2590	4.25	1.5	and and the second statements and the second statements with state	6.65	0.98	1.14	1376	1.00				
	2700	5.00	1.5		5.65	1.73	2.02	3649	1.38	1.11	5695	NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	1.29
	2800	2.00	1.5		4.55	0.12	0.14	15	0.22	0.18	23	and a state of the	
	2860	2.50	1.5		4.70		And the second design of the s	57	0.35		89		
	2930	4.00	1.5		4.45	1.00		956			1493		
L	3050	6.50	1.5	9.75	5.90	3.73	4.35	17611	2.33	1.88	27490	2.71	2.18



REPRESENTATIVE LINING CROSS-SECTIONS



ROCK LININGS

Scale 1:250

Figure 4

the lining in places, and some topping up would still be required. But for a cost effective lining some maintenance topping up should be carried out to provide extra rock where and when required. The design dimensions for this type of lining are shown on Figure 4.

Snub rock groynes can be used in places along the Hutt River [as they have been at Pomare bridge], but the narrowness of the present channel restricts their use. Heavier rock is required than for linings, and upstream of Kennedy-Good Bridge the design velocities result in very large rock sizes and massive thicknesses.

6.3 Groynes

Permeable groynes of timber or rail irons have been used along the Hutt River. Where they have been maintained along the lower reaches they have been quite effective, but the groynes from Pomare Bridge upstream have been severely damaged when under direct attack. The high river banks make the construction of effective groynes more difficult, and with the deep channels that can form beside the outer banks (of channel bends or meanders) under the constrained channel conditions, groynes placed along such banks have to withstand deep bed scouring. Localised scouring takes place around the groyne heads, and when a set of groynes are placed along a bank this localised scouring can interact with the general bed scour, and give rise to unusually deep scouring. Grovne outflanking, usually arises from either failure or undermining, and the piles at the outer end of permeable groynes must be adequately founded if damage is to be minimised.

To minimise the scouring around the groyne head, the groynes should be angled slightly downstream, but at a lesser angle than the existing groynes. The groyne spacing can be related to the curvature of the minor meander of the threshold of motion regime, or be about the same as the (actual) channel width. The latter criterion is normally more conservative, and imposes a tighter control over the bank alignment. When the groynes are closer together there is more likelihood of a linking together of the local scour holes, and it is generally more cost effective to use fewer groynes of greater strength and/or stability. The existing groynes are mostly closer together, at spacings of about a half to a quarter of the channel width.

The influence of a groyne depends on its protrusion into the channel, or length, and the spacing of groynes can be related to their effective length. There are some length to spacing guidelines, but these are not generally applicable to steep gravel bed rivers. Where the river channel is relatively narrow and entrenched, though, and groyne lengths have to be short, then a closer spacing between groynes may be required.

Permeable groynes can be effectively sited upstream and downstream of a bend, rather than uniformly spaced along the bend. The groynes are then sited where there is less bed scouring, and provide protection by guiding the channel form at the bend. The extent of any lateral erosion is then restricted because of the maximum curvature of the natural channel forms. If a gentler curvature must be maintained, then some additional groyne work can be constructed at the centre of the bend, and this may take the form of snub rock groynes.

The cabled rail fences, while giving rise to a general strengthening, are easily damaged by head end scouring when the bank is under attack. Once they are exposed in the river channel they become a liability, as they generate turbulence and increase the flow pressures against the bank. Thus, they should either be removed when they are exposed, or the end of every third or fourth fence could be strengthened to form a groyne, with a lesser downstream angle.

Permeable groynes are generally more effective as river training works than as bank protection works. They can be used to deflect the river flow as part of the development of a different active channel alignment, and they can then be quite long structures. When they are short structures down high banks the prevention of outflanking from bank erosion can be difficult, while at the outer end they suffer from the deep scouring that can be generated. In the Hutt River there is little space for channel realignment, but permeable groynes could be used to resist downstream channel migration while relieving some of the flow pressure against the banks.

6.4 Vegetation Buffers

The amount of bank erosion that takes place during a flood event can be markedly reduced by tree vegetation. Willows are especially effective because of their fibrous root mass, adaption to saturated water conditions and ability to sprout from layered material and cuttings. They grow very quickly and provide both a dense surface cover and root mass. Cut poles must, however, have their ends buried to summer groundwater levels for good establishment. Willow poles will not grow in dry gravels.

To be effective willow vegetation should be established in bands along the channel edges. Then as erosion occurs the willows that remain beside the bank can be partly cut and layered down in a lopping and layering operation. This willow material will then sprout with new roots being put down, and in this way the eroded area can be progressively reclaimed. To be an effective buffer the willow band must be wide enough to contain the bank erosion that will normally occur during flood events, and leave some willows for reestablishment. The natural channel meander widths can be used to size consistent vegetation buffer zones (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study).

The willows bands established by the Hutt River Board were quite narrow, probably no more than 10 m wide, and they were often totally removed by bank erosion. Willows have continually been re-established, however, and narrow bands of mature willows do exist along some reaches of the Hutt River, mainly in the Lower Valley. In recent years a programme of willow planting has been implemented, and there are now much wider bands of young willows, with bands of 30 m or more having been established in places.

In general the larger the pole and the deeper it is buried the better the willow establishment. However, lighter stakes can be used, especially on channel beaches where water levels are close to the surface. More closely spaced poles produce a thicker initial vegetation, but this is obviously more expensive, and after a few years the resulting vegetation may not be very different. Where relatively deep and fast flows can occur over the planted area, a quickly achieved denseness can be useful in reducing flow velocities and providing a self-protection to the plantings. In these areas rail and cable fences can be used to strengthen vegetation buffers, providing some initial flow retardation and redirection away from the willow plantings.

Willows should be established along the edge of the channel to give as strong a vegetative edge as possible, and there needs to be sufficient willows to provide the source material for re-establishment of the buffer zone as erosion occurs. Other species can, however, be used, and poplars also grow quickly and establish from cut poles. Some alder species are well suited to alluvial gravels, and they can be established from cuttings. Alders have a deep root system which will penetrate waterlogged soils. There are many acacia and eucalyptus species that grow well in alluvial gravels, as well as native species such as totara, and some eucalypts and totara are growing on the berms in the Upper Valley.

Tamarix and some acacia species are salt tolerant, while striking from layering and producing a prolific suckering growth from damaged roots, the same as willows and poplars. They can, therefore, be used as a substitute for willows and poplars along tidal reaches.

The high banks along the Hutt River combined with the gravel material of the berms seriously reduces the effectiveness of vegetation buffers, while making vegetation establishment more difficult. In many places willows can only be established in close proximity to the channel edge, or on the channel beaches. This restricts the width of the willow band, and when bank erosion occurs tree roots quickly become ineffective because of their height above the channel bed. Other species that tolerate drier conditions should thus be considered on the higher ground. Robinia is a fast growing tree that has been used in erosion control works, and it tolerates drier conditions, while having the same suckering root growth and striking from layering of willows. Sycamore is another relatively fast growing tree that suckers and prefers drier river bank conditions.

In New Zealand there has been an almost exclusive reliance on willows for erosion control along river banks, with some use of poplars. Silver poplars were used quite extensively, and there are some silver poplars along the Hutt River from earlier plantings, but their rapid spreading by root suckering can make them difficult to control. Willows also have a serious disadvantage in their ability to grow from broken off material, as this allows them to spread rapidly over a river channel. The constant working of the gravel bed by gravel extraction and cross-blading has prevented any serious problem of willow spreading from arising in the Hutt River. It has, in fact, a remarkably clear channel.

There is, of course, an enormous diversity of trees to select from, but there is little knowledge in New Zealand of the appropriateness or effectiveness of species other than willows and poplars. Given the restricted applicability of willows in the Hutt River, with a very narrow range at the channel edge, a search for alternatives is more pertinent, and only a few tentative suggestions can be made in this report.

A more systematic assessment of vegetative methods, including species other than willows, as well as different willow hybrids, would, though, undoubtedly be worthwhile. One possible arrangement would be to retain willows along the channel edge, then have willows interplanted with alders, and poplars on the higher (and drier) land behind. Robinias and alders could also be planted on the higher ground, along with the poplars, with other species (including native species) planted in groups behind the main protection species.

6.5 Other Measures

There are many other types of works, or variations of the above measures, that could be used for bank protection or river training purposes. Vegetation can be laid to form 'live' groynes, or layered along banks as facines. Linings can be formed of stone filled netting boxes or gabions, reinforced by driven piles tied together by cables, and with supporting planting. Solid groynes can be formed from concrete blocks cabled together and from placed or dumped rubble. Channel bed levels can be controlled by rock chutes or drop structures. Longer lengths of channel bed can be armoured by roughly placed rock, or well positioned concrete blocks or other structural measures. Solid or permeable vanes, either on the channel bed or in the body of the flood flow, can be used to alter the flow pattern around bends.

Some of these measures have been used on the Hutt River, with varying success, as described above. Their usefulness depends on the particular site conditions. While standard techniques will normally be considered first, and this is sensible, other techniques or less standard arrangements should not be ignored. The difficulties in managing the Hutt River without resorting to heavy structural measures, such as rock linings, warrants some experimentation and innovation.

7 DESIGN CHANNELS

7.1 General

The natural meander patterns of the river can be used as a guide when the width and shape of design channels is being determined. The more the design channel fits that natural form of the river, and allows for the natural channel meandering that takes place over time, the easier it will be to maintain the channel.

These natural patterns have been assessed in the River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study ³. Two possible design channels have been developed based on different natural meanders.

7.2 Minimum Channel

A narrow design channel [the 'extreme minimum' channel of the River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study] can be based on the larger of the threshold of motion meanders. These meanders are highly mobile and would normally migrate with the channel reforming that takes place from flood to flood, but in this case a fixed meander pattern is imposed. The channel then has a natural form, but normal channel migration cannot occur, and strong bank protection measures are required.

A design channel has been drawn up from the river mouth to Maoribank, using the channel parameter values given in Table 11 for the 'extreme minimum' threshold of motion regime channel. The wavelength of the design channel is around the guideline of 10 to 11 times the channel width, but the design channel meanders have been varied in both wavelength and amplitude to follow as much as possible the existing channel, and fit in with natural control features.

This channel is shown as an overlay on a set of 13 A3 size plans, using the aerial photographic plans of the Council at a 1:5000 scale as a base (see Volume of Plans and Database).

The design channel, as adjusted in plan form by variations in the meander wavelength and amplitude, fits well within the existing channel. The existing channel has, in fact, a meander form that closely fits the major meander of the threshold of motion regime, and the river has responded to the channel confinement by forming this meander pattern consistently along its length. There is not the constant interchange of minor and major meanders as normally occurs, and there are few well formed meanders with a curvature radius of around 4 times the width rather than 6 times.

A design channel with a curvature radius of 4 times the width is shown on Figure 5, along with the channel with a radius of 6 times, for two reaches of the river. Around Melling Bridge the narrow existing channel is relatively well formed, and the lesser radius gives rise to a design channel that better fits the existing channel. In the somewhat wider channel below Totara Park Bridge there are some better formed

DESIGN CHANNELS

Table 11

(m)

Minimum Design Channel - Threshold of Motion Regime

REACH	CHANNEL WIDTH	RADIUS OF	CURVATURE	BUFFER WIDTH
		4xW	6xW	
Estuary to				
Ewen Bridge	85	350	500	221/2
Ewen to				
Whakatikei	70	275	425	171/2
Upstream of				
Whakatikei	65	250	400	16

Wider Design Channel - Flow Dominant Regime

REACH	CHANNEL WIDTH	RADIUS OF	CURVATURE	BUFFER WIDTH
		4xW	6xW	
Estuary to Ewen Bridge	155	625		45
Ewen to Kennedy-Good	145	575		40
Kennedy-Good to Whakatikei	135	550		35
Upstream of Whakatikei	125	500		32



Figure 5 meanders. For the two reaches shown on the figure there is, therefore, a better than usual fit with the lesser radius. But in general the design channel soon gets out of step with the existing channel when the lesser radius is used, and the greater radius has to be used for a consistent design channel along the Hutt River.

The only place where a significant realignment of the existing channel is required is at Ewen Bridge, where the design channel cuts into the existing flood defences. There are some other places along the lower reaches where there is insufficient berm, and immediately upstream of Silverstream the state highway is within the berm area. Generally the design channel involves some narrowing, although in many places it involves only a minor filling out of the berms along the inner side of bends.

The design channel is drawn up as a consistent channel along the Hutt River, but it can be adapted to suit local site conditions and more closely fit the existing channel, at some loss of consistency. In this case there is a trade off between the degree of modification of the channel (to give the design channel) and the required strength of bank protection measures.

7.3 Wider Channel

If the main channel is to be enlarged, it should be enlarged substantially to give a channel size and shape that relates to the flow dominant meander pattern. Lesser enlargements could be undertaken, giving some improvement in capacity while reducing flow velocities for any given flood flow. However the channel form would be affected, and a more and a more unstable channel could result. Progressive enlargements of different reaches at different times could give rise to a There are definite states of guite unstable river channel. dynamic equilibrium in river systems, with river channels undergoing sudden changes in state (or behaviour patterns) when the controlling characteristics change significantly. Thus, whenever an artificial channel is imposed on a river, it will respond - with either channel widening or narrowing to shift the channel towards a natural equilibrium state. The further away from this state the artificial channel is, the more severe the response and the more dynamic are the processes of bed and bank erosion and deposition.

Unfortunately the relationship between channel width (or form) and bank protection requirements is not well understood. It is though critical to the assessment of management alternatives.

A wider channel based on the flow dominant form (as determined in the River Characteristic and Sedimentation Study) has been drawn up from the river mouth to Maoribank, using the channel parameter values as given in Table 11. The design channel has been fitted around the existing channel, using the meander width to wavelength ratio of 10 to 11, and again varying the wavelength and amplitude to fit the general alignment of the river channel. This channel is shown as an overlay on another set of the aerial photographic base plans (see Volume of Plans and Database).

In general the wider channel can fit within the existing channel and berm area, with much of the defined berms also The state highway, that within this area. has been positioned close to the existing channel, would encroach into the berm area of this design channel. Below the Pomare Bridge there is some encroachment opposite Taita, while from Silverstream to Moonshine Bridge the highway would be completely within the defined berm, and would come close to the main channel in places. Upstream of the Moonshine Bridge the existing state highway is outside the design berm area, but opposite Totara Park the highway is relatively close to the outer edge of the berm.

The design main channel itself extends beyond the existing berms only along the lower reaches, mainly in the Ewen Bridge area. The channel would cut through the stopbank around the point at Croft Grove and at Melling Bridge, and the channel edge would be along the line of the existing stopbanks on the right bank downstream of Melling Bridge, upstream of Ewen Bridge and at Alicetown. The major extension beyond the existing stopbanks is on the left bank at Ewen Bridge, and the channel edge extends beyond the stopbanks from the top end of Strand Park to the upper car park area.

The wider channel does affect the electricity sub-station and Harcourt Werry Drive at Boulcott, and recreational areas would be affected, including Sladden and Strand Park, and golf courses, especially Manor Park Golf Course.

The wider channel allows less intensive bank protection measures to be used along the channel edge - for a given design standard - with vegetation buffers on the wider berms giving a substantial level of protection. smaller The threshold of motion channel forms - that become fixed as flood flows recede and are seen in the low flow channel forms - can migrate without undue restraint, and there is less pressure against the banks, although river attack can occur at any point along the channel. Vegetation buffers are thus particularly effective. However, where there are roadways within the design berm area a heavier and more fixed type of protection would have to be used. To minimise land taking when the channel extends beyond the existing stopbanks heavy protection works such as rock linings could be used with a minimal berm, and walls could be used instead of stopbanks for the flood defences.

The wider channel also provides greater capacity for flood flows, and a larger channel bed area for the transport of the bed material load. The erosion and deposition associated with the transport of bed material would take place with less channel asymmetry, and the bed forms would be less variable with generally lesser scour depths.

7.4 Management Alternatives

The minimum design channel requires the fixing of a meander pattern that is normally highly mobile. Sharp flow cross-

overs develop in this narrow channel, and flood flows are directed sharply into the banks, especially during the rising and falling stages of the flood hydrograph, when erosion and deposition processes are more dynamic. The low flow channel will still move, and over time the direct river attack to the banks can occur anywhere along the channel. To resist the flow pressures heavy protection works such severe as continuous rock linings could be used, and to meet design standards large sized rock and thick linings would be The design channel cross-section with the design required. widths is shown on Figure 6 along with representative rock linings. This cross-section is at a natural scale (of 1:500), and the large bulk of the linings compared with the channel size can be clearly seen. The flow area is relatively small because of high velocities within the well defined channel, but these high velocities necessitate heavy rock linings.

The entrenchment of the Hutt River channel means that the rock lining layout would have to be adapted, and a benching of the berms would be an appropriate way of keeping the rock linings to the design dimensions - and thus minimum (design) rock requirements. Some examples of this are also shown on Figure 6.

The channel bed would develop severe section asymmetries and plan distortion with this approach, but it could be left alone, with no cross-blading or vegetation maintenance, and no gravel extraction outside of specific extraction zones (see Section 6). The rock linings would only be topped up when slumping occurred, and only intermittent maintenance (in both time and space) would be undertaken.

The rock linings would, of course, be very expensive, and a large capital expenditure would be required to put them in place. Except for a few places, the existing berms are much wider than the minimum design berm, and where there are wide berms an opposite maintenance and repair approach can be taken. The long term costs may still be very high, but expenditure is spread over time, while being dependent on the pattern of flood events and hence damages.

This approach could involve a planting and vegetation maintenance programme, with vegetation buffers being established along the channel banks. These buffer zones could be of variable width [in excess of the design minimum] and would extend out to the design channel edge, and include The vegetation could be strengthened the lower berm areas. fences and groynes, but continual repairs and by reestablishment of the buffer zone would be necessary. The aim would be to maintain the narrow gently curving design channel, so that when a large flood event does occur the erosion is minimised because of the lack of tight bends, and is not likely to be of sufficient extent to threaten the flood defences themselves. When bank erosion occurred vegetation would be re-established along the exposed bank, and erosion bays would be reclaimed and replanted. Cabling and groyne work would be needed to protect layering and planting, and cross-blading of the channel bed could be used



with varying regularity to assist in the re-establishment by directing the main flow away from the reclamation area.

For this management approach to be successful a maintenance programme must be put in place that is properly funded - with both on-going and flood damage reserve funds. Repairs must be carried out promptly, with sufficient maintenance personnel and readily available machinery to keep up a high standard of maintenance. The workforce must be made up of people who are knowledgeable about the behaviour of the river and can react immediately in accordance with the management aims.

There are significant differences in the present condition of the river channel, and this affects the appropriateness of different management approaches. The protection works that are in place also vary greatly, and account has to be taken existing works of the when considering management alternatives. Along the lower reaches (downstream of Kennedy-Good Bridge) the dominant flood flow (of a 2 year return period) is generally contained within the main the channel has appropriate bankfull channel, and an In large floods the berm flow is relatively deep, capacity. with the flow extending across berms of varying width. Upstream of the Kennedy-Good Bridge the flood flows are much more contained within the main channel, and even in large flood events berm flows can be very minor if they do exist. In the Upper Valley the bankfull capacity is generally the dominant flood flow again, with berm flows for larger floods being guite variable.

The channel banks for a number of representative crosssections are shown as a set of figures (see Figure 7) with the estimated flood levels for 2 and 100 year return period flood flows being indicated.

In considering alternatives and defining management approaches the Hutt River should be considered in reaches. The rock and rubble linings along the lower reaches, and the rock linings in the Upper Valley impose fixed channel edges, and although much of the rock could be reclaimed and reused, the existing linings are substantial protective assets along a well defined channel edge. The few places where there is little or no berm require special attention, particularly as any retreat of the flood defences involves expensive urban land taking.

A solid wall has been constructed along the channel edge at Maoribank, and solid walls were investigated at the Ewen Bridge site as part of the assessment of options¹. Walls must be deeply founded to remain stable under design scour conditions - if they cannot be founded in hard material - and are very expensive, although heavy rock linings can be as expensive - given the high cost of suitable rock in the Wellington Region. Exceptionally deep scouring can be generated beside vertical walls, and if they fail the turbulence created around the failure tends to generate a wide erosion bay behind. Walls should only be considered as a last resort in special circumstances. The different types of river works and management practices that can be carried out cannot be considered in isolation, as there are many interactive effects. The flood mitigation aims of river management also have to be balanced against other aims. The Hutt River has a relatively large low flow [from its mainly greywacke catchment], and the water is clear and retains a high natural quality as it passes down the urbanised flood plain. It is certainly unusual to have such a steep gravel bed river with clear fast flowing water within a city environment. The berm areas are used for both passive and active recreation, and the river is an important landscape feature which adds interest and diversity.

The in-channel cross-blading works, when undertaken on a continual basis, reduce the amount of buffer vegetation and/or bank strengthening work that is required, but constantly disrupt the aquatic environment. On the other hand, widening vegetation buffers to provide compensating protection if cross-blading is discontinued could reduce active recreational areas and restrict passive recreational An on-going maintenance approach access to the river. implies constant river works, damaged works that are unsightly and potentially hazardous, and a public perception The use of vegetation has a definite of constant failure. landscape impact, which can be seen as adverse, but which gives rise to enhancement opportunities if suitable species are used in an appropriate manner. The cross-blading prevents willow vegetation establishing within the main channel area. If it was not undertaken willows would spread over the channel, and chemical spraying instead of mechanical removal has other environmental implications.

The wider design channel necessitates the removal of much of the existing protection works, and the re-establishment of channel edge protection. While the design channel fits within the existing channel and berm area along most of the study reach, if the channel is to be extended at around the existing channel bed level, deep excavations of the existing berms would be necessary in a lot of places (see Figure 8). There would be even higher banks along the channel edge, making the vegetation that should then be an appropriate form of protection even less effective.

Channel enlargements normally proceed in an upstream direction, and to be really effective should extend along the full reach of the river that threatens a given flood plain area, that is up to Melling for the Petone area, and the Taita Gorge for Lower Hutt. Different management approaches could, however, be implemented along specific reaches of the river where natural controls provide a clear demarcation. For instance, the reach between the Whakatikei confluence and Maoribank in the Upper Valley could be managed in accordance with the design wider channel, without applying such an approach upstream or downstream.

The very expensive land taking of the wider channel is mainly along the lower reaches, downstream of Boulcott. There are also an unusual number of natural controls and distinct reaches along the Hutt River. If reasonable transitions could be incorporated and a significant reach was more
readily enlarged, then a partial implementation of the wider channel could be considered in terms of overall cost effectiveness.

The existing river channel as it is, or modified in accordance with the minimum design channel, could be managed in different ways to accommodate a large flood of say a 100 year return period - peak flow of about 2000 m3/s. However, if the design standard was raised to a much larger flood, with a peak flow of 3000 or 4000 m3/s, the viability of such a narrow channel would have to be questioned. Floods with peak flows of this magnitude are likely to so overwhelmed the channel with severely turbulent flood waters that its ability to pass the flood flows without the flood defences being threatened must be doubtful, regardless of the management approach taken. Wide erosion into the berms must be likely, and even where heavy protection works were in place the severity and duration of high flows could be sufficient to cause failures, and then very deep and distorted erosion bites could be generated.

The effects of such large floods are, though, unpredictable. They are so much more extreme than normal flood events that their effects are really beyond the limits of predictability, and this precludes any reasonable comparison of different management approaches.

The theoretical capacity of the existing channel, with flood defences, may in some reaches be as much as 3000 to 4000 m3/s in terms of hydraulic conveyance with normal channel resistance characteristics, but the flood flows that could in reality be passed through are likely to be much less. The problem is not one of capacity, but of preventing the flood defences from being eroded away by river attack. If the wider design channel was implemented, and effective protection (through edge strength and/or berm resistance) was put in place, then the passing of very large floods (with a peak of 3000 m3/s or more) with little risk of a system failure, would become feasible. What the increase in ability to pass floods of given sizes would be for any specific management approach would, though, be very difficult to judge. Again this must be considered beyond prediction, at least from the investigations that have been carried out. The only tool that may provide some useful guidance is physical modelling using a mobile bed.



Scale 1 : 250







Figure 7 - D





by FRASER PARK





Figure 7–F











near GIBBONS ST.



Figure 8

8 GRAVEL MANAGEMENT

The gravel bed material of the Hutt River channel is reworked from flood to flood, as the flow energy is expended on moving the bed material. Extensive new beach deposits can be formed during floods, along with deep localised channel degradation. Extensive degradation and aggradation can occur depending on the pattern of flood events, and the gravel bed material tends to move down the river in waves. However, the average rate of transport of bed material down the river is not high (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study). Gravel extraction disturbs the natural armouring layer the on channel bed, and the constant working of the material can result in greater localised transportation by the river. The deepening of the channel may then arise from both the extraction itself and the river response to the extraction activity.

The transport of bed material involves a continual reworking of the bed and banks of the river, with material being moved only a short distance in any one flood event. In the Hutt River much of the bed load is related to a channel reworking, with little gravel material being derived from the catchment. Over geological time the river has been cutting into the alluvial deposits along its length, and the river regime is based on a gradual entrenchment as the alluvial deposits are eroded away by the river activity.

Gravel supply to any point on the river is, then, episodic and there is an interdependence of erosion and deposition along the river. Degradation in the Upper Valley will, for instance, supply gravel material to the Lower Valley. Given this regime, it does not take much extraction to cause both localised degradation and a general lowering of the bed.

The narrowing of the river channel and the generation of higher flow velocities should have increased the transport of bed material. However sufficient source material must be available for transport, and bank protection works have restricted the supply from bank erosion. At the same time the bed material size may have been increased through a process of differential sorting, and baserock is now exposed in the river channel in places upstream of Taita. Thus deeper scouring may be generated in the narrower channel, while the overall transport of bed material is less than it was.

These channel changes may have affected the transport balance between reaches. A river can naturally change its width and meandering in accordance with changes in channel slope to maintain a transport balance for a given bed material. In the Hutt River changes in channel width and meandering have been imposed, while the overall (valley) slope remains unaltered, and there has been a response in terms of bed material size. In these circumstances there will probably no longer be a natural balance, rather the river will be responding in a way that attempts to re-establish such a balance. The calculated rates of bed material transport do show significant differences between reaches. The highest rates are along the most degraded reach of the river upstream from Belmont (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study, and the database).

The series of medium sized flood events in the early 1980's gave rise to some aggradation in the upper reaches above Birchville. Lesser floods since have reworked this material, and bed levels were lowered again. In the Upper and Lower Valley down to about Kennedy-Good Bridge, channel degradation occurred during the early 1980's, with some recovery in bed levels since, except for the Totara Park reach where channel degradation has continued.

During the early 1980's substantial gravel extraction took place in the Upper and Lower Valley as well as at the mouth. Since then extraction has virtually stopped in the Upper Valley, and been markedly reduced in the Lower Valley. In spite of this, degradation has continued in the Upper Valley as well as further upstream, while there has been only a minor recovery downstream (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study³).

The Hutt River transports bed material through to its mouth, and under the present artificial channel conditions the supply to the mouth is much greater than it would be naturally. Continual removal of material from the mouth is necessary to maintain a sufficient mouth opening for flood flows, and minimise flood level rises along the lower reaches. Transport rates along the lower reaches vary greatly with tidal fluctuations, while there is a very rapid decline in bed material size. Bed material transport is thus more complex and dynamic, but bed material would accumulate at the mouth if there was no extraction.

Transport rates decline below the major change of grade around the Kennedy-Good Bridge, and some extraction at the present site between Melling and Kennedy-Good Bridge should be maintained.

In the lower reaches the flood capacity is not much greater than the 100 year return period design standard (about 2000 m3/s peak flow) and channel aggradation would occur if some gravel extraction did not continue. Elsewhere gravel extraction is not required to prevent channel aggradation, as flood capacity is not the problem (for a 2000 m3/s flow). On the contrary aggradation would have a beneficial effect through the reduction in bank heights, although the nature of the accumulation could have some adverse impacts on channel form and hence cross-over attack.

Gravel extraction is then required to maintain capacity along the lower reaches where deposition naturally occurs. Elsewhere extraction should only be undertaken for river management purposes, and even then kept as small as possible.

Given the gravel supply estimated in the River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study, the recommended gravel extraction policy for the present, is as follows:

(1) Extraction at the present rate of about 50000 m3 per year at the mouth

(2) Extraction up to 25000 m3 per year upstream of the mouth

(3) Extraction upstream of the mouth to be concentrated in the reach between Melling and Kennedy-Good Bridge

(4) Extraction for channel management purposes to be kept as low as possible

The total annual extraction of gravel would then be no more than 75000 m3, with all extraction upstream of the mouth being less than 25000 m3.

Where channel modifications are proposed that involve a redevelopment of existing berm areas as active channel, then consideration should be given to retaining the gravel material of this berm land as active channel material. This would give rise to an input of berm material, that could have channel management advantages. The material should not be seen as immediately available for extraction.

The changes in bed levels should be monitored, as there is a lot of uncertainty about bed material transport and hence the effects of any given level of gravel extraction. It has been recommended that the set of river cross-sections between the river mouth and Te Marua be re-surveyed at no longer than five yearly intervals, with more frequent surveys where extraction is carried out³. Following monitoring surveys the degradation/aggradation trends should be determined, and extraction effects re-assessed.

9 CONCLUSION

The Hutt River has been greatly modified over the last 100 years. Along the lower reaches, below the Kennedy-Good Bridge, the channel has been enlarged and flood waters have been confined to a relatively narrow channel and berm area. Upstream a consistent narrow channel with a gentle curvature has been developed, and the channel has become much more entrenched into the alluvial gravel of the valleys. In many places the berms would only be flooded in large floods, and even then the berm flows would generally be quite shallow. The berms vary greatly in width, and there are large recreational areas, including a number of golf courses, that give rise to a wide separation between urban areas and the river channel.

The protection works along the channel edges vary greatly, with different types of works of differing strength and maintenance requirements. Although there is often a lack of consistency along reaches of the river, the works in place do give rise to a degree of protection that is in general related to the assets at risk and the likelihood of erosion reaching either roadways or the flood defences. There are a number of places where there is virtually no berm, and flood defences are relatively vulnerable. Except for the Gemstone Drive stopbank, where the protection works have so far been surprisingly effective, these vulnerable places are all along the lower reaches.

The existing channel has, then, a relatively uniform channel width and shape, and some consistency to the standard of protection in spite of the variable nature of the protection works and of the berm width and vegetation. The available river corridor is not, though, optimised, and channel degradation is likely to continue upstream of Kennedy-Good Bridge in spite of a cessation of gravel extraction.

A consistent design channel could be developed within the existing channel using the extreme minimum channel of the threshold of motion meanders, except for a small extension along the left bank at Ewen Bridge. The channel could be managed through an on-going maintenance and repair approach, where the channel edge was defined by a vegetation buffer, and eroded areas were re-established by willow and groyne works. Alternatively the channel could be rigidly fixed by rock linings, with occasional topping up of the rock as required. Composite approaches could be used, with different types of bank protection works in various combinations.

The level of security of these different approaches would not necessarily be the same. Different reaches of the river could also be treated differently, with different design channel widths. The river management approaches that could be taken would have differing impacts on the river environment and recreational uses of the river area.

If a larger channel is to be re-developed, a substantial enlargement to a channel based on the flow dominant meander could be undertaken. This would involve large scale excavation of the existing berms, and the re-establishment of edge protection works. Very expensive land taking would also be necessary along the lower reaches. However, if a design standard much greater than the 2000 m3/s peak flow is to be considered, then this wider channel may be the more appropriate design channel.

Whatever management approaches are taken, the aims and associated implementation policies should be clearly spelt out, and a greater consistency achieved along reaches. Gravel extraction should be minimised and bed level changes monitored by repeat cross-section surveys. An extraction of 50000 m3 per year at the mouth, and no more than 25000 m3 per year upstream of the mouth is recommended.

10 RECOMMENDATIONS

The assessment of river management practices on the Hutt River has been difficult due to a lack of consistent monitoring in the past, and because of poor record keeping. There are also real problems of river management with the Hutt River, because of its steep gravel bed nature, and the severe changes that have been imposed on the river. Standard techniques can be either unsuitable or very expensive. Some experimentation and search for more appropriate techniques could be very beneficial.

To allow a better assessment of river management practices on the Hutt River in the future a few recommendations are put forward on monitoring, record keeping and the assessment of management techniques.

- (1) A summary of works carried out should be prepared each year as part of annual planning. This should identify the location of the works, the type of works and the cost. The design parameters of any significant works (such as rock linings) should be included.
- (2) A flood report should be prepared after every major flood event. This should describe flood damage to river works and to property, and include hydrological data that was collected.
- (3) Periodic inspections of the river should be carried out to identify adverse channel changes and other potential weaknesses. This could be done as part of annual maintenance programme planning.
- (4) The database should be amended as necessary, with a periodic review to ensure it is kept up to date. The Existing Works plans could be used as a base for showing future works, or the aerial photographic plans could be used. In either case the set of plans should be specifically labelled and given a recorded plan number.
- (5) A programme of technique assessment be carried out to identify better arrangements or combinations of standard protection measures, and possible alternative techniques. This could include various arrangements of protection vegetation species, and combinations of plantings and groyne works, combinations of lateral and groyne protection measures, and other techniques such as bed armouring. The programme measures should be carefully documented with continuing follow-up monitoring.

July 1991

G J Williams

Water & Soil Engineer

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Discussions on river works and management practices with John Easther, Colin Munn, Brendan Paul and Geoff Dick have been very helpful, and their contributions to the assessments presented in this report is acknowledged with thanks. The computer database was set up by Sam Barnes, while Dennis Finnigan checked the data entries and provided inquiry reports.

REFERENCES

1 Williams G J 1989: Ewen Bridge Gateway - Channel Management Study. Williams Consultants, Otaki

2 Easther J 1991: The Hutt River - A Modern History 1840-1990. [Topic 12] Catchment Engineering, Wellington

3 Williams G J 1991: Hutt River - River Characteristics and Sedimentation. [Topic 5] Williams Consultants, Otaki



DATABASE CLASSIFICATION

1 CHANNEL LISTING

LOCATION

Cross-Section - Identifier number

Profile Distance - Associated distance upstream from the mouth (m)

RIVER CHARACTERISTICS

Flow - Dominant flood flow, taken as the 2 year return period flood flow (m3/s)

Slope - Channel slope, taken as the energy gradient at the dominant flood flow

Bed Material - Medium size of the armouring layer of the channel bed material (m)

DOMINANT FLOW

Power - Power generated by the dominant flow, that is $p \in Q_2$ (kW/m)

Transport - Estimated transport of bed material (m3/s)

CHANNEL WIDTH

Threshold - Width of threshold of motion meander (m), given by the empirical formula of Chang (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study)

Flow - Width of flow dominant meander (m), given by the empirical formula of Lacey (see River Characteristics and Sedimentation Study)

Actual - Width of the existing river channel (m)

DIMENSION

Min W/D - Minimum width to depth ratio of the channel crosssection

Numeric values only for this factor, and there must be a value. Thus where the ratio has not been calculated this is indicated by a -1.

MEANDER W/Wt - Ratio of actual to threshold of motion width W/Wf - Ratio of actual to flow dominant width Uniformity - Assessed factor of the uniformity of the channel meander in plan. Range from 1.0 to 1.9 in increments of 0.1 CHANNEL CONDITIONS Shape -Type - General nature of the channel SS = straight single channel US = uniformly meandering single channel HS = half meander form single channel SC = split channel with one larger than the other DC = double channels of similar size PB = partially braided channel FB = fully braided channel Distortion - Distortion of the general channel form D = disturbed formH = tightly hooked or deflected form B = broken up formBed Material -Type - General nature of the bed material C = clayM = siltS = sandG = gravelL = large gravel/cobbles B = bouldersR = rockMore than one symbol can be used, with a priority ranking of the more predominant to less predominant material Base - Proximity of the baserock 0 = rock outcropsR = exposed baserockVegetation -

Channel - Low flow channel with symbols as below

Beach - Active beach areas of the channel with symbols as below

Channel	Beach	
C = clear	clear	
S = some snags	scrub	
T = large snags/tree	scrub and scattered trees	

RIVER REGIME STATUS

Power/Transport - For both the power and transport values the range of values is split into 5 equal intervals (excluding extreme values - beyond 95% range) and each value is ranked accordingly. The two ranking scores are combined and divided by two to give a rank between 1 and 5 in 0.5 increments.

Meander Status - The width ratio is selected on the basis of W/Wf if W/Wf > 0.9, otherwise W/Wt. The width ratio and uniformity value are then given a rank as follows:

Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Uniformity 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 Width ratio 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 >1.9 0.95 0.9 0.85 0.8 0.75 0.7 0.65 0.6 <0.6

The two ranking scores are then combined and divided by four to give a rank between 0.5 and 5 to the nearest 0.5.

CHANNEL STATUS

Channel Form - Status ranking based on the channel shape as follows:

SS = 1 US = 2 HS = 3 SC = 3 DC = 3.5 PB = 2 FB = 3
for D add 1 to 1.5
H add 1.5 to 2
B add 2

where there is rock influencing the channel form, reduce by 0.5 to 1

Channel Clearance - Status ranking given by the channel vegetation as follows:

CC = 1 CS = 1.5 SC = 2 CT = 2.5 SS = 3 TC = 3.5 ST = 4 TS = 4.5 TT = 5

2 BANK LISTING

LOCATION

Cross-Section - Identifier number

Side - L = left bankR = right bank

BANK

Height - Height of the main channel bank in metres from 0.5 to 5.0 in 0.5 m increments. Numeric values only, and 5.5 means all heights greater than 5 m.

BERM

Materials -

Type - General nature of the berm material.

The symbols used are the same as for bed material, and can also be ranked in order, but includes an extra symbol F for fill.

Depth - Depth of the layer of berm material in metres.

Numeric values only, and there must be a value, thus O is used to indicate that there is no available information, while a -1 is used when the material is known but the depth has not been determined. Where there is more than one symbol for the type of berm material, the depth value is repeated.

Width - Width of the berm in metres, to the nearest 5 metres, up to 100 m. Numeric values only, and 101 means all widths greater than 100 m. There must be a value, thus where there is no clearly defined berm (and the width is therefore indeterminate) this is indicated by a -1, while a 0 is used where there is no berm.

EDGE LINING

Type - General lining category

SO		solid
BL	=	blocks
RO	=	rock
RU	=	rubble
\mathbf{PE}	=	permeable
VE	=	vegetation

Description - Open field for some descriptive labels, eg Gabions

GROYNES

Type - General groyne category

The symbols used are the same as for linings

Description - Open field for some descriptive labels and/or lengths and interval distances, eg Timber of 10 at 40 - for 10 m long timber groynes at 40 m intervals

STRENGTHENING

Type - General vegetation strengthening category

LB = line of blocks RF = driven rails and cable fences RT = retard of anchored trees TT = tied down trees PT = large placed trees

Description - Open field for some descriptive labels and or lengths and interval distances, eg 20 at 40 - for 20 m long fences at 40 m intervals.

EDGE VEGETATION

Type - General type of vegetation

- A = alders
- G = grass
- M = mature willows
- N = natives
- 0 = other (trees)
- P = poplars
- S = scrub
- T = scattered trees
- W = scattered willows
- Y = young willows

More than one symbol can be used, with a priority ranking of the more predominant to the less predominant type.

Width - Width of the edge vegetation in metres, to the nearest 5 m.

Numeric values only, and there must be a value, thus 0 is used where there is no edge vegetation, and a -1 when there is no clearly defined width to the vegetation.

BERM VEGETATION

Type - General type of vegetation

The symbols used are the same as for edge vegetation, and can also be ranked in order.

Description - Open field for some descriptive labels eg. Golf Course

BANK STATUS

Channel Position - Status ranking of the bank in relation to the existing channel position

Inner bank and large gravel beach1Inner bank2Outer bank3Outer bank on a tight bend4Outer bank on a very tight bend with a deep hole5

Edge Strength - Status ranking based on the type and strength of edge protection works. A representative ranking is as follows:

Rock, high exposure Rock at base of bank	1 1.5
Solid lining	1.5
Substantial rock groynes or linings	2
Rock lining and rubble base	2
Rock lining, less massive dimensions and rock	2.5
Rubble lining	2.5
Permeable groynes and willows	3 3
Thick buffer of willows with fence strengthening	
Willow buffer with fence strengthening	3.5
Thick buffer of willows	3.5
Thin buffer of willows	4
Willows and other trees	4
High bank with willows	4.5
Low bank with grass	4.5
High bank with no vegetation	5

Berm Resistance - Status ranking based on the resistance to erosion of the berm taking account of berm vegetation, materials and width. A representative ranking is as follows:

Rock mate	erial		1
Cohesive	material		1.5
		tree vegetation with strengthening, wide	2
Alluvial	material,	tree vegetation, wide	2.5
Alluvial	material,	grass vegetation, very wide 1.5 -	2.5
Alluvial	material,	tree vegetation, intermediate width	3
Alluvial	material,	grass vegetation, wide	3
		tree vegetation, close	4
		grass vegetation, intermediate width	4
Alluvial	material,	grass vegetation, close	5

High berm, reduce by 0.5

OVERALL STATUS

A weighted average of all the status factors as follows:

Total of 3 x Edge Strength; 2 x Berm Resistance; 1.5 x Meander, 1.5 x Power/Transport, 1.5 x Channel Form, 1.5 x Channel Clearance; 0.5 x Channel Position. This total is divided by 10 to give a ranking between/and 5, rounded to the nearest 0.5

EMPIRICAL SCOUR FORMULAE

Maza & Echavarria:

$$D_{S} = 0.365 \underline{D}_{D_{m}} \left(\underline{Q}_{W} \right)^{0.784} \underline{1}_{d^{0.157}}$$

N.Z. Railways:

$$D_{S} = K \frac{R}{(A/W)^{0.5}} \frac{C Q}{A} \left(\frac{D}{A/W}\right)^{0.67}$$

and $K = \left(\frac{W}{4.83 Q^{0.5}}\right)^{0.5}$ but ≥ 1

.

where	$D_{S} = maximum depth of scour (m)$
	D = maximum depth of water (m)
	D_{m} = mean depth of the bed (m)
	<pre>R = rise of the water level above the low flow</pre>
	W = width of the water surface (m)
	A = cross-section area (m2)
	$Q = design flow (m^3/s)$
	d = medium size of bed material (m)
	C = 1.2 for converging flows, otherwise C=1

EMPIRICAL FORMULAE FOR RIP-RAP

California Highways Practise:

$$W_{C} = \underbrace{0.0113 \quad V6 \quad Sg}{\sin^{3}(70-\phi) \quad (Sg-1)^{3}}$$

$$W_{max} = 3.6 W_{C} \quad \text{and} \quad W_{min} = 0.22 W_{C}$$

$$d_{C} = k W_{C}^{0.33} \quad \text{and} \quad k = \text{shape factor (0.09 for sphere)}$$

$$T = 1.5 l_{C} \quad \text{if placed, or } 1.875 l_{C} \quad \text{if dumped}$$

Wallingford:

$$d = C \left(\frac{V}{(gD)^{0.5}} \right)^3 D$$

$$d_{max} = 2 d \text{ and } d_{20} = 0.5 d$$

$$T = 2 d \text{ or } d_{max}$$

where W_C = weight of the critical rock - 2/3 heavier (kg) d_C = size of the critical rock (m) d = medium size of the rock material (m) V = effective velocity (m/s) D = depth of water upstream (m) S_g = specific gravity of the rock ϕ = slope of the rip-rap material (°) C = slope and safety factor (e.g. 0.3 for 2:1 slope) T = thickness of the rip-rap layer (m) l_C = longest dimension of the critical rock (m)