#### FORM 5

# SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 1 TO THE REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT FOR THE WELLINGTON REGION

Part 1, Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Greater Wellington Regional Council
Environmental Policy
P O Box 11646
Wellington 6142

Name: Wellington International Airport Limited ("WIAL")

- 1. This is a submission on the Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region ("the RPS").
- 2. WIAL could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 3. The specific provisions of the Proposal that this submission relates to are:
- 3.1 Proposed amendments to Chapter 3: Resource Management issues, objectives, and summary of policies and methods to achieve the objectives in the Regional Policy Statement.
- 3.2 Proposed insertion of Chapter 3.1A: Climate Change.
- 3.3 Proposed amendment to Chapter 3.3: Energy, infrastructure and waste.
- 3.4 Proposed amendment to Chapter 3.4: Freshwater.
- 3.5 Proposed amendment to Chapter 3.6: Indigenous ecosystems.
- 3.6 Proposed amendment to Chapter 3.8: Natural hazards.
- 3.7 Proposed amendment to Chapter 3.9: Regional form, design and function.
- 3.8 Proposed amendment to Chapter 4.1: Regulatory policies direction of district and regional plans and the Regional Land Transport Plan.
- 3.9 Proposed amendment to Chapter 4.2: Regulatory policies matters to be considered.

- 3.10 Proposed insertion of Appendix 1A: Limits to biodiversity offsets and biodiversity compensation.
- 3.11 Proposed amendment to Appendix 3: Definitions.
- 3.12 Any other matter or related matter referred to in **Annexure A**.

This covering submission should be read alongside **Annexure A**.

#### 4. WIAL's submission is:

#### **Overview of Wellington International Airport**

- 4.1 WIAL operates the regionally and nationally significant Wellington International Airport ("Wellington Airport" or "the Airport").
- 4.2 Wellington Airport plays a fundamental role in the city, region and country's social and economic wellbeing. The Airport accommodates aircraft movements associated with scheduled, general aviation operations, for domestic and international flights, corporate jets, the New Zealand Defence Force and helicopters. The Airport provides an important national and international transport link for the local, regional and international community and has a major influence on the regional and national economy. The Airport is also a provider of emergency services and is a lifeline utility under the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002 ("CDEM 2002").
- 4.3 Wellington Airport is one of the busiest airports in New Zealand, and prior to Covid-19, accommodated in excess of 6.4 million passengers in the year ending March 2019 (FY19). It is a gateway for residents, visitors and business travellers, connecting the capital city to all parts of New Zealand, to Australia, the Pacific, and onwards to the rest of the world. The Airport is also a generator of economic growth, providing significant direct business and employment opportunities on-site and indirect economic benefits to the city and the wider Wellington region.
- 4.4 Prior to Covid-19, Wellington Airport had been experiencing significant growth in the use of its facilities and infrastructure over recent years, particularly in international and domestic passengers. In the latest financial year (FY22) traffic recovered to 58% of pre-pandemic levels, and during the first third of FY23 to 75%, with latest projections anticipating a full recovery around FY25. Beyond FY25, pre-Covid levels of growth are predicted to continue as required to support the forecast growth in population and economic activity in the Wellington Region.
- 4.5 Wellington Airport is managed by WIAL. WIAL is a network utility operator and a requiring authority under section 166 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("the RMA or "the Act").

## Wellington Airport as a significant infrastructure provider

- 4.6 Wellington Airport comprises regionally and nationally significant infrastructure.
- 4.7 It is therefore appropriate that the RPS continues to recognise the importance of the Airport in providing for the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of people and communities.
- 4.8 Functional, technical, operational and safety related constraints often influence the location of important infrastructure, such as airports. In the case of Wellington Airport, given the lack of suitable alternative locations, providing for the ongoing operation, development and growth of Wellington Airport in its current location and safeguarding the Airport's obstacle limitation surface and aircraft noise boundaries to ensure effective and efficient airport operations is therefore of regional significance.

## **WIAL** as a Lifeline Utility Operator

4.9 WIAL is a lifeline utility operator under the CDEM 2002 in respect of its operation of Wellington Airport. In the event of a significant earthquake or other hazard event, the airport is recognised as potentially the only link between the city and the rest of the country given the vulnerability of the road and rail network, and the potential for the port and harbour access to be affected by liquefaction. This further emphasises why it is important to appropriately recognise and provide for Wellington Airport's ongoing operation and development.

# Wellington Airport as a facilitator of economic growth and wellbeing

- 4.10 Wellington Airport serves an important role in facilitating the movement of people and goods, which in turn feeds the region's economy. Wellington Airport is the primary arrival and departure port for many visitors to the region.
- 4.11 For the year ending March 2020, Wellington Airport accommodated 6.1 million passengers, with the last few months of the year impacted by the initial phase of Covid. Despite passengers reducing to just under 3 million passengers the following year, recovery since has been strong with recovery back to 79% of pre-Covid for April-September 2022 (89% in September alone) with the current expectation that restoration to FY20 levels will occur around FY25. Growth projections beyond FY25 have indicated that passenger growth is set to continue, with almost 8 million passengers projected by 2030 at an average growth rate of 3.4% per year.
- 4.12 Wellington Airport makes a significant contribution to the Wellington region's economy.

  Prior to Covid 19, for the year ending March 2020, it was estimated that Wellington Airport contributed approximately \$2.3 billion to the region's economy, with pre Covid growth projections indicating this would double to \$4.3 billion per year, generating \$2.1 billion of

GDP and facilitating more than 22,000 additional jobs. The ongoing operation and development of the Airport is therefore of significant importance to the economic wellbeing of the community and the associated employment opportunities that accrue.

4.13 The Airport also facilitates social connectivity and wellbeing. The demand for air travel is often driven by a need or desire to visit family and friends, take vacations, participate in sporting or cultural activities, do business, and/or take part in educational opportunities. Because Wellington Airport is such a significant contributor to the region's social and economic wellbeing, the ongoing ability of Wellington Airport to function and grow without undue constraint is therefore of significant importance to the Wellington region.

# Sustainability at the Airport

- 4.14 WIAL recognises that the effects of climate change and global sustainability are of increasing importance to the community, WIAL's customers and the aviation industry. WIAL is committed to playing its part in helping New Zealand to achieve the national target of net zero emissions by 2050.
- 4.15 WIAL, together with its airline and aviation sector partners, work closely with government agencies to ensure that all policy requirements are met and is closely engaged in the development of climate-related policy. WIAL submits that the changes to the RPS need to appropriately recognise that there are many regulatory layers and that the Resource Management Act is not the only or primary legislative vehicle in which climate change is being addressed in New Zealand. New Zealand's response to climate change is primarily addressed through the Climate Change Response Act 2002 ("CCRA"). The CCRA sets the overarching legal framework to drive domestic emissions reductions to enable New Zealand to meet its international climate change commitments, and to provide a means for identifying and adapting to the effects of climate change that pose a material level of risk to New Zealand now and in the future. Core action points for achieving climate reduction have been established within the Emissions Reduction Plan, which stems from the CCRA The ERP will continually develop. These actions are comprehensive and stem from Central Government legislation. Replication and deviation from this centralised plan bear risk of conflicts, ambiguity and inefficiency-particularly for entities involved in the cross-boundary industry of aviation.
- 4.16 The Emissions Trading Scheme (**"ETS"**) was established through amendments to the CCRA effected in 2008, and is the cornerstone of New Zealand's climate change regulation. More specifically, it is the primary mechanism for incentivising emission reductions, through the costs it imposes on emissions, as New Zealand seeks to transition to a lower carbon economy.

Submission on Notified Proposal

Wellington Airport Annual Review, 2020.

- The ETS covers all sectors of the economy, including forestry, liquid fossil fuels used for transport (including domestic aviation emissions), 'stationary energy' (mainly covering oil and gas used in energy generation), industrial processes, waste, synthetic gases and agriculture. These sectors must report to the Government on their annual greenhouse gas emissions and, with the exception of agriculture, face costs for their emissions via ETS surrender obligations that are imposed on certain persons based on whether they carry out certain prescribed activities in each industry sector. The intended effect of the ETS is to drive behaviour across the economy away from emissions-intensive technologies and practices, toward 'cleaner' technologies and practices that result in lower (or no) emissions, as these become increasingly more economically viable alternatives. WIAL therefore submits that it needs flexibility in local government related legislation to adapt and incorporate new technologies into building design and airport/aviation related support infrastructure. The RPS should ensure it is not out of step with existing or higher order legislation in this regard.
- 4.18 To this end, WIAL has committed to reducing the airport's own operational carbon emissions (as well as waste to landfill and potable water use) by 30% by 2030. These targets are used to inform daily decision making, including adopting energy efficient and sustainable construction into airport projects and making changes to how waste and resources are managed. WIAL's own carbon emissions target is an absolute target, which means WIAL will reduce its emissions irrespective of the Airport's footprint or the number of passengers serviced through the Airport. Further, WIAL is taking a proactive role in affecting the three key levers in the decarbonisation of aircraft using the airport; including Sustainable Aviation Fuel ("SAF") implementation, regional aircraft electrification and the development of hydrogen technology. WIAL is part of a working group of airports formed to navigate the operational and technical hurdles associated with the imminent rollout of battery-electric aircraft. WIAL is also directly collaborating with airline and fuel supplier stakeholders to procure SAF for commercial operations. WIAL is also working with hydrogen R&D stakeholders to understand and establish the trajectory of hydrogen supply, storage and usage at the Airport in anticipation of future hydrogen-based aircraft. These actions sit above and beyond work instigated by the Government of all levels and form a core part of WIAL's sustainability approach.
- 4.19 WIAL also observes that a lot of attention has been given within the change to the RPS to reducing emissions, as distinct from adapting to climate change. For an airport, sitting in a bigger context of aviation emissions, there are relatively limited emissions which the airport can control. In contrast, there is a great deal of action that airports can take to address adaptation, giving their direct exposure to severe weather and where the airport or its surrounding infrastructure are close to sea level. WIAL submits that the RPS needs to include sufficient flexibility in the proposed climate change provision so that the Airport can appropriately adapt to the challenges and opportunities that the changing climate will present.

4.20 The only thing that is certain about the future of aviation in a low carbon economy is that airports, including Wellington Airport, will need the flexibility to accommodate changes in technology as there is a move toward meeting our nation's net carbon zero 2050 commitment. Local Government's efforts are best placed in facilitating the local development of supporting infrastructure, such as SAF plants, electrical grid improvements and commercial hydrogen production capabilities, to help make these changes a reality.

#### Freshwater

- There are a number of new freshwater related objectives and policies within the change to the RPS which seek to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 ("NPSFM"). Some of the provisions however also refer to the coastal marine area / coastal environment. WIAL is concerned that this will result in the management of the coastal resources which is inconsistent with the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement ("NZCPS") and the remaining sections of the RPS which are not subject to this Proposal. It will also apply freshwater management concepts to the coastal marine area and the coastal environment which is not appropriate.
- 4.22 WIAL seeks that any reference to the coastal marine area / coastal environment is deleted from those provisions which seek to directly give effect to the NPSFM.

#### **Indigenous Ecosystems**

- 4.23 WIAL submits that the RPS needs to provide clear provisions which properly recognise the significant benefits of existing regionally significant infrastructure, and which enable its protection and adaption as discussed above. WIAL is particularly concerned that the changes to the biodiversity provisions within the RPS could unduly constrain development within the Airport environs, including projects which may be necessary to adapt to the ongoing effects of climate change (e.g sea level rise and associated protection).
- It appears that pursuant to Appendix 1A there are limits on biodiversity offsetting and compensation for certain species. The provisions of the RPS appear to then 'rule out' offsetting and compensation when even individual specimens of a species, or even part of their habitat will be lost due to a project, irrespective of whether the loss may in ecological terms be capable of being offset or compensated to produce a net gain. In the case of the Airport, and for activities such as the ongoing protection of physical assets and infrastructure, it may not always be possible to avoid impacts to habitats or certain species (e.g. giant kelp may be present in and around the sea wall and end of the runway area where ongoing maintenance is often required to protect the road, cycleway, underground services and runway).

#### **Natural Hazards**

4.25 WIAL submits that there needs to be suitable recognition within the natural hazard provisions of the RPS that infrastructure often has a functional or operational requirement

to locate in a certain area, even if that area is subject to natural hazard risk. Wellington Airport is located near the coast, for example. For such infrastructure providers the RPS needs to suitably recognise that natural hazard tolerance is therefore inherently different to those without the same operational or functional need to be located in such areas.

4.26 The provisions also need to suitably recognise that in some instances hard engineering structures can be an acceptable and most appropriate response to the management and protection of existing infrastructure assets (such as the sea wall adjacent to the south and western airport areas, which protect and support the adjacent road, 3 Waters and Airport infrastructure) and where nature-based solutions are simply not appropriate, including importantly for aircraft safety reasons.

# **Urban Form, Design and Function**

- 4.27 WIAL acknowledges that for the most part these provisions are giving effect to the National Policy Statement on Urban Development ("NPSUD"), however the provisions also need to appropriately recognise that in some situations housing developments can be constrained by the "qualifying matters" that are also set out in the NPSUD. This specifically includes areas of land which are subject to designations and the ability to safely and efficiently operate regionally significant infrastructure.
- 4.28 The RPS should also strike a balance in terms of the timing of development so that the provisions do not have the potential to unnecessarily inhibit appropriate development including at the Airport. The Airport is a facilitator of traffic and must take a long term approach to development.

#### **Procedural Matters - Freshwater Planning Process**

- 4.29 The Proposal contains a number of provisions that have been notified as either using (the usual) Part One Schedule 1 process, or as part of a Freshwater Planning Process ("FPP"). Provisions which are subject to the FPP are annotated throughout the proposal documentation with the reference "FW".
- 4.30 Section 80A is a relatively new provision, inserted on 1 July 2020<sup>2</sup>, which sets out the Freshwater Planning Process. Section 80A(2) defines a freshwater planning instrument as:

A proposed regional plan or regional policy statement for the purpose of giving effect to any national policy statement for freshwater management.

- a) A proposed regional plan or regional policy statement that relates to freshwater (other than for the purpose described in paragraph (a)).
- b) A change or variation to a proposed regional plan or regional policy statement if the change or variation –

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Resource Management Amendment Act 2020.

- c) Is for the purpose described in paragraph (a); or
- d) Otherwise relates to freshwater.
- 4.31 Section 80A(3) acknowledges that regional policy statements and regional plans may relate to more than just freshwater, and requires that if a regional council in preparing a proposed regional policy statement or proposed plan that addresses additional matters, the freshwater parts must be prepared using the freshwater specific process and other parts must be prepared using the standard plan making process. In these circumstances only the parts of the RPS or regional plan that relate to freshwater constitute a freshwater planning instrument. This subsection of 80A was specifically added at Select Committee stage to recognise "that what constitutes a "freshwater planning instrument" may not be clear-cut, and that some planning instruments may have some provisions that relate to freshwater, and other provisions that do not." The new section was added in response to that concern to "provide greater transparency and reduce confusion".<sup>3</sup>
- 4.32 The key difference between the Schedule 1 process and the FPP is that the appeal rights against the council's decisions are limited. Submitters are able to appeal a provision or matter to the Environment Court on the merits if they addressed that provision or matter in their submission, or the recommendation was outside the scope of submissions, and the regional council had rejected a recommendation of the Freshwater Hearings Panel and decided on an alternative solution which led to that provision or matter being included or deleted. In respect of recommendations accepted by the regional council it is only possible to appeal to the High Court on points of law.<sup>4</sup>
- 4.33 A number of the provisions within the RPS have been identified as progressing through the FPP. For the majority of these provisions, the relationship between freshwater and the provision is reasonably clear, however in some instances it is not. In accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's guidance which provides a high level overview of the FPP, it is understood that it was essentially established so as to allow expedited regional plan changes to give effect to the NPSFM, or otherwise relate to freshwater. It is therefore not clear how provisions such as those which more broadly relate to climate change, urban environments, all biodiversity and natural hazards should be progressed through the FPP. WIAL submits that such provisions are either not related to freshwater resources at all or relate to matters which may have some interaction or interplay with freshwater resources but are focussed on outcomes that are much broader.
- 4.34 This has recently been tested in the Otago region in the High Court. In this case, the Otago Regional Council publicly notified the entirety of its Proposed RPS as a freshwater planning instrument. This was challenged by Forest and Bird and in its judgement (Otago Regional Council v Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated [2022] NZHC 1777) dated 22 July 2022, the High Court declared that the Council's

Resource Management Amendment Bill, As reported from the Environment Committee – Commentary (pp 5-6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Part 4, Schedule 1 – Subpart 2, Appeals Clauses 54 – 57.

determination that the whole of the Proposed RPS is a freshwater planning instrument was wrong. The High Court then instructed the Council to satisfy itself as to which parts of the proposed regional policy statement qualify are part of a freshwater planning instrument because they relate directly to the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality or quantity. The Otago Regional Council has recently re-notified the freshwater components of its RPS and these are notably now very narrow in terms of the provisions which are being subject to the FPP.

#### Conclusion

- 4.35 WIAL considers that in the absence of amendments to the RPS to address and give effect to the above submission points and those set out in Annexure A:
  - 4.35.1 The Proposal will not promote the sustainable management or efficient use and development of natural and physical resources;
  - 4.35.2 The Proposal is not the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA, particularly when having regard to the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions relative to other means;
  - 4.35.3 The Proposal does not appropriately fulfil the requirements of section 32 of the RMA, particularly in terms of evaluation the costs of implementing the provisions under section 32(2)(a); and
  - 4.35.4 The Proposal does not represent sound resource management practice particularly with respect to planning for Wellington International Airport, as regionally significant infrastructure.

# 5. WIAL seeks the following decision from the local authority:

- 5.1 That the submission points contained in Section 4 above and Annexure A which is attached to and form part of this submission be accepted, or that the change to the RPS be amended in a similar or such other way as may be appropriate to address WIAL's submission points; and
- Any alternative, consequential changes (including to methods and anticipated environmental results or other provisions), amendments or decisions that may be required to give effect to the matters raised in WIAL's submission.
- 6 WIAL wishes to be heard in support of its submission.
- 7 If others make a similar submission, WIAL will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signature:

Date: 14 October 2022

Electronic address for Service: claire.hunter@mitchelldaysh.co.nz

Telephone: 021 242 5453

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Mitchell Daysh Limited PO Box 489 Dunedin 9054

Contact person: Claire Hunter

# ANNEXURE A – Wellington International Airport Limited submissions on the Proposed Wellington Regional Policy Statement – Proposed Change 1

Text highlighted with underlining (<u>example</u>) represents proposed insertions

Text highlighted with strikethrough (example) represents proposed deletions

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)			
Proposed Amendments to Chapter 3: Resource Management Issues, objectives and policies and methods						
Overview of issues:  The overarching resource management issues for the Wellington Region are:  1 2 3	Oppose in part	Insert into the overview of issues recognition that infrastructure providers, particularly those which are nationally and regionally significant must be given sufficient flexibility to accommodate changes in technology as we move toward meeting our nation's net carbon zero 2050 commitment. Maintaining the functionality, integrity and adaptability of infrastructure will also be key to achieving community resilience to the challenges of climate change and this needs to be adequately recognised.	Add or amend the issues statement to recognise that key infrastructure assets within the region are vulnerable to the effects of climate change and that such facilities need to be given sufficient flexibility to accommodate new technology, respond and adapt to climate related issues.			
Objective A: Integrated management of the region's natural and built environments is guided by Te Ao Māori and:  (a) incorporates mātauranga Māori; and (b) recognises ki uta ki tai – the holistic nature and interconnectedness of all parts of the natural environment; and (c) protects and enhances mana whenua / tangata whenua values, in particular mahinga kai, and the life-supporting capacity of ecosystems; and (d) recognises the dependence of humans on a healthy natural environment; and (e) recognises the role of both natural and physical resources in providing for the characteristics and qualities of well-functioning urban environments; and (f) responds effectively to the current and future pressures of climate change, population growth and development.	Oppose in part	The expression 'Te Ao Māori' is not defined for the purposes of Objective A and it is not clear what guidance it will provide (or require).	Either define and provide sufficient methodologies to support the intent of this objective or delete.			
Policy IM.2: Equity and inclusiveness  When considering an application for a notified resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a regional and district plan particular regard shall be given to achieving the objectives and policy outcomes of this RPS in an equitable and inclusive way, by:  (a) avoiding compounding historic grievances with iwi/Māori; and  (b) not exacerbating existing inequities, in particular but not limited to, access to public transport, amenities and housing; and  (c) not exacerbating environmental issues; and	Oppose in part	WIAL considers these to be laudable goals, however it is not clear how they will be applied in a statutory sense under the framework of the Resource Management Act or realistically achievable given the terminology used. For example "not exacerbating" is not something that is consistent with usual resource management practice and requirements.	Delete this policy.			

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
(d) not increasing the burden on future generations.			
Chapter 3.1A: Climate Change			
Issue statement generally and including the following statements:  The key areas of action required to address climate change are to:  1. Reduce gross greenhouse gas emissions. This includes transitioning as rapidly as possible from fossil fuels to renewable energy and recognising that methane reductions offer a significant opportunity for global cooling in the short-term  3. Take adaptation action to increase the resilience of our communities, the natural and built environment to prepare for the changes that are already occurring and those that are coming down the line. Critical to this is the need to protect and restore natural ecosystems so they can continue to provide the important services that ensure clean water and air, support indigenous biodiversity and ultimately, people.  The causes of climate change need to be addressed by internationally coordinated action, but our success depends on responses at national, local and individual levels.	Support in part	WIAL recognises that climate change is a significant issue for the Wellington region, New Zealand and the world. On this basis WIAL also seeks that the RPS sufficiently recognises that the RMA is not the primary regulatory tool for dealing with New Zealand's climate change response. This is currently the Climate Change Response Act 2022 (CCRA). The CCRA sets the overarching legal framework to drive domestic emissions reductions to enable New Zealand to meet its international climate change commitments, and to provide a means for identifying and adapting to the effects of climate change that pose a material level of risk to New Zealand now and in the future.  The RPS also needs to suitably recognise that the emission trading scheme (ETS) is the cornerstone of New Zealand's climate change regulation. The ETS covers all sectors of the economy, including forestry, liquid fossil fuels used for transport, 'stationary energy' (mainly covering oil and gas used in energy generation), industrial processes, waste, synthetic gases and agriculture. These sectors must report to the Government on their annual greenhouse gas emissions and, with the exception of agriculture, face costs for their emissions via ETS surrender obligations that are imposed on certain persons based on whether they carry out certain prescribed activities in each industry sector.  While the ETS has been a 'cap and trade' scheme in name since its inception in 2008, the 'cap' aspect was only formally realised through amendments to the CCRA implemented through the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Act, effected in June 2020 (Emissions Trading Reform Amendment Act).  The Emissions Trading Reform Amendment Act introduced a suite of reforms to align the ETS settings with the net-zero targets and associated five-yearly emissions budgets introduced through the Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Act introduced in November 2019 (Zero Carbon Amendment Act).  The intended effect of the ETS is therefore to drive behaviour acr	

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
		emissions, as these become more economically viable alternatives.	
Objective CC.1  By 2050, the Wellington Region is a low-emission and climate- resilient region, where climate change mitigation and adaptation are an integral part of:  (a) sustainable air, land, freshwater, and coastal management, (b) well-functioning urban environments and rural areas, and (c) well-planned infrastructure.	Support in part	WIAL generally supports the intent of this objective, however as noted above sufficient flexibility needs to be built into the RPS to ensure infrastructure is not only well planned but has sufficient flexibility to adapt and change its operations in order to respond to climate change.	Amend the objective, as follows (or to similar effect):  By 2050, the Wellington Region is a low-emission and climate-resilient region, where climate change mitigation and adaptation are an integral part of:  (a) sustainable air, land, freshwater, and coastal management,  (b) well-functioning urban environments and rural areas, and  (c) well-planned and effectively operating infrastructure.
Objective CC.3  To support the global goal of limiting warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius, net greenhouse gas emissions from transport, agriculture, stationary energy, waste, and industry in the Wellington Region are reduced:  (a) By 2030, to contribute to a 50 percent reduction in net greenhouse gas emissions from 2019 levels, including a:  (i) 35 percent reduction from 2018 levels in land transport-generated greenhouse gas emissions, and  (ii) 40 percent increase in active travel and public transport mode share from 2018 levels, and  (iii) 60 percent reduction in public transport emissions, from 2018 levels, and  (b) By 2050, to achieve net-zero emissions.	Oppose in part	WIAL understands the intent of this objective, however as noted above the RPS needs to adequately recognise that the RMA is not the only vehicle to achieve New Zealand net zero target.  As discussed above, the Zero Carbon Amendments Act introduced a framework whereby Emission Reduction Plans are to set out the policies and strategies for meeting the relevant emission budgets. Each Emissions Reduction Plan will set the national policy framework for reducing emissions across the economy through sector-specific and multi-sector strategies.  It is noted that for certain industries such as international aviation and shipping, emissions from these activities are not currently included in the net-zero target, but are separately accounted for as part of New Zealand's broader international commitments. The Commission is required under the CCRA to advise by the end of 2024 on whether these should be included in the net-zero target.¹  The national climate change policy framework is complex, and requires consideration of a number of present and future factors that will influence the path New Zealand takes to achieve the required level of emissions reductions to meet its ultimate net-zero emissions target, and five-yearly emissions budgets on the way to 2050. This means that the path is not expected to be linear, and there is uncertainty around the pace and extent of future technological developments that will be needed in each sector. The policy steps taken to meet the 2050 net-zero target and associated emissions budgets would need to be sensitive to such uncertainties, and weigh up what is achievable and economically viable in each period.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Climate Change Response Act, section 5R.

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
		With regard to the aviation sector for example, the various difficulties recognised by the Commission and the Government in relation to decarbonising heavy transport suggest that it could take some time to overcome the various technological development and supply and cost barriers in order to achieve deeper emissions reductions in the aviation sector.  WIAL also submits that airports provide a vital transport link in both business as usual and emergency settings	
		(particularly in the NZ context), and it is important that the RPS provides sufficient flexibility to ensure that the Airport is able to successfully adapt to the effects of climate change in order to achieve long term sustainability of such regionally significant infrastructure.	
Objective CC.4  Nature-based solutions are an integral part of climate change mitigation and adaptation, improving the health and resilience of people, biodiversity, and the natural environment.	Oppose in part	WIAL seeks that this objective suitably recognises that nature based responses are not always practicable within urban environments, and in some instances may present a direct conflict with the operational and safety of an infrastructure asset (e.g. by attracting birds to the airport surrounds).	Amend the objective as follows, or delete:  Where practicable, nature based
Objective CC.6  Resource management and adaptation planning increase the resilience of communities and the natural environment to the short, medium, and long-term effects of climate change.	Support in part	It is not only the resilience of communities and the natural environment that need strengthened resilience against the adverse effects of climate change. Infrastructure, including regionally significant infrastructure can be particularly vulnerable to climate change effects and represents a considerable financial investment that is critical to the resilience of communities. It warrants explicit mention in Objective CC.6.	Amend the objective as follows:  Resource management and adaptation planning increase the resilience of communities, infrastructure (including regionally significant infrastructure) and the natural environment to the short, medium, and long-term effects of climate change.
Objective CC.7  People and businesses understand what climate change means for their future and are actively involved in planning and implementing appropriate mitigation and adaptation responses.	Support in part	As above, WIAL submits that it is vital that the RPS adequately recognises that infrastructure in particular will need sufficient flexibility to adapt to the needs and effects of climate change. The community should be aware that this may result in changes to the current footprint or operation of such facilities. Switching to a new low emissions fuel or electrifying aircraft may mean that the airport is required to provide more space to accommodate smaller, more numerous aircraft or larger, more efficient aircraft, or require more space to install new technology for charging etc.	Amend the objective as follows:  People and businesses understand what climate change means for their future, and the changes that need to be made to adapt to the challenges and opportunities of climate change and are actively involved in planning and implementing appropriate mitigation and adaptation responses.  Or otherwise delete the objective.
Policy CC.1: Reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport infrastructure – district and regional plans  District and regional plans shall include objectives, policies, rules and/or methods to require that all new and altered transport	Support in part	As set out above it may take some time to develop the strategies that are necessary to achieve zero emissions in the aviation sector. It would therefore be inappropriate if this policy were to extend to air transportation.	Amend the policy to ensure it relates to land transportation infrastructure, rather than inadvertently capturing all modes of transportation.

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
infrastructure is designed, constructed, and operated in a way that contribute to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by:  (a) Optimising overall transport demand;  (b) Maximising mode shift from private vehicles to public transport or active modes; and  (c) Supporting the move towards low and zero-carbon modes.			
Policy CC.2: Travel demand management plans – district plans  By 30 June 2025, district plans shall include objectives, policies and rules that require subdivision, use and development consent applicants to provide travel demand management plans to minimise reliance on private vehicles and maximise use of public transport and active modes for all new subdivision, use and development over a specified development threshold where there is a potential for a more than minor increase in private vehicles and/or freight travel movements and associated increase in greenhouse gas emissions.	Oppose in part	WIAL is actively involved in initiatives such as the Let's Get Wellington Moving programme to improve connectivity between the airport and key nodes and realise the potential to shift to more sustainable travel modes. This seeks to deliver a 'whole of system' approach that encompasses a range of measures which work together to improve transport access and associated levels of service as well as increasing sustainability. Against this background, WIAL seeks that policy such as CC.2 would not inadvertently require the airport to prepare individual travel demand management plans for each development or new facility located at the airport.	Amend this policy to clarify and therefore ensure that this policy does not apply to development associated with Wellington International Airport.
Policy CC.7: Protecting, restoring, and enhancing ecosystems and habitats that provide nature-based solutions to climate change – district and regional plans  District and regional plans shall include objectives, policies, rules and/or methods that provide for nature-based solutions to climate change to be part of development and infrastructure planning and design.	Oppose in part	WIAL is concerned that this would promote development which would conflict with the effective and efficient operation of the airport, for example green spaces could attract birds which in turn for the airport present a significant safety hazard. It needs to be recognised that nature based solutions are not always practicable nor desirable in certain locations.	Amend the policy to add the following qualifier: where it is practicable and appropriate to do so [or provide an appropriate qualifier for regionally significant infrastructure].  Otherwise delete the Policy
Policy CC.8: Prioritising greenhouse gas emissions reduction over offsetting – district and regional plans  District and regional plans shall include objectives, policies, rules and/or methods to prioritise reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the first instance rather than applying offsetting, and to identify the type and scale of the activities to which this policy should apply.	Oppose	While WIAL understands the intent of this policy, it is noted that it may be too simplistic to apply this to the airport and aviation industry at this time. For example, in 2016 the Government agreed New Zealand would participate in the ICAO's Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA). <sup>2</sup> CORSIA is a global market-based measure for reducing and offsetting carbon emissions in the international aviation sector. <sup>3</sup> The scheme is to remain in place until 2035 and will operate as a global carbon market. Participation is only voluntary between 2021 and 2026. The second phase from 2027 onward will require mandatory participation of most ICAO member states. When the scheme becomes mandatory, airlines will be required to purchase carbon credits and finance abatement activities outside the aviation sector for emissions generated by international routes.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ministry of Transport "CORSIA" at <a href="https://www.transport.govt.nz/area-of-interest/environment-and-climate-change/corsia/">https://www.transport.govt.nz/area-of-interest/environment-and-climate-change/corsia/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ministry of Transport "CORSIA" at <a href="https://www.transport.govt.nz/area-of-interest/environment-and-climate-change/corsia/">https://www.transport.govt.nz/area-of-interest/environment-and-climate-change/corsia/</a>

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
		In August 2019, the Government reconfirmed the decision to participate in CORSIA from 2021, and agreed to implement it through the Civil Aviation Bill. <sup>4</sup> The Bill is currently in its second reading stage before parliament. Among other matters, the Bill would see substantive policy changes to require certain airline operators offering international air services as a New Zealand airline to implement an emissions reporting and monitoring plan, and perform various emissions monitoring and reporting requirements. <sup>5</sup> In this situation mandatory carbon offsetting is expected to directly lead to a net reduction in emissions. It is therefore too simplistic for this policy to prioritise a reduction in emissions over offsetting.	
Policy CC.9: Reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport infrastructure – consideration  When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a regional or district plan, particular regard shall be given to whether the subdivision, use and development have been planned to optimise overall transport demand, maximising mode shift from private vehicles to public transport or active modes, in a way that contributes to reducing greenhouse gas emissions.	Oppose in part	As set out above it may take some time to develop the strategies that are necessary to address emissions in the airport and aviation sector. It would therefore be inappropriate if this policy were to extend to air transportation.	Amend this policy so that it is clear that it does not apply to the airport and aviation industry, or delete.
Policy CC.10: Freight movement efficiency and minimising greenhouse gas emissions – consideration  When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a regional or district plan for freight distribution centres and new industrial areas or similar activities with significant freight servicing requirements, particular regard shall be given to the proximity of efficient transport networks and locations that will contribute to efficient freight movements and minimising associated greenhouse gas emissions.	Oppose in part	WIAL submits that the intention of this policy is somewhat unclear. The Airport is a major distributor of freight for the region and it is not clear how this policy would impact on its operations in this regard.	Amend this policy so that it is clear that it does not apply to the airport and aviation industry, or delete.
Policy CC.11: Encouraging whole of life carbon emissions assessment – consideration  When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a regional or district plan, a whole of life carbon emissions assessment is encouraged for all new or altered transport infrastructure as part of the information submitted with the application. This information will assist with evaluating the potential greenhouse gas emissions, options for reducing direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions and whether the infrastructure has been designed and will operate in a manner	Oppose in part	As set out above technological changes and advances are evolving in the aviation sector to address emissions and it is difficult to prepare a "whole of life carbon emission assessment" which will be fixed at a certain point in time. The industry needs sufficient flexibility to adapt to new technology and respond to climate change. It would be inappropriate for this policy to require Wellington Airport and its operators to prepare a whole of life carbon emission assessment when technology and the industry is rapidly changing. In addition, this type of assessment is not	Delete this policy or make it clear that it does not apply to Wellington International Airport and aviation industry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Explanatory Note for the Civil Aviation Bill states that the framework is intended to enable New Zealand to meet its obligations under CORSIA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The monitoring and reporting requirements are provided under Part 6, Subpart 3 of the Civil Aviation Bill.

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
that contributes to the regional target for a reduction to transport- related greenhouse gas emissions.		appropriate for notices of requirements where long term development is contemplated and details of specific projects are not yet known.	
Policy CC.12: Protect, enhance and restore ecosystems that provide nature-based solutions to climate change – consideration  When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a district or regional plan, a determination shall be made as to whether an activity may adversely affect a nature-based solution to climate change and particular regard shall be given to avoiding adverse effects on the climate change mitigation or adaptation functions.	Oppose	WIAL is concerned that it is not sufficiently clear as to what a nature based solution to climate change involves. It would be inappropriate for this policy to unduly constrain regionally significant infrastructure and its associated development due to such uncertainty.	
<ul> <li>Policy CC.14: Climate resilient urban areas – consideration</li> <li>When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a district or regional plan, provide for actions and initiatives, particularly the use of nature-based solutions, that contribute to climate resilient urban areas, including:         <ul> <li>(a) maintaining, enhancing, restoring, and/or creating urban greening at a range of spatial scales to provide urban cooling, including working towards a target of 10 percent tree canopy cover at a suburb-scale by 2030, and 30 percent cover by 2050,</li> <li>(b) the application of water sensitive urban design principles to integrate natural water systems into built form and landscapes, to reduce flooding, improve water quality and overall environmental quality.</li> <li>(c) capturing, storing, and recycling water at a community-scale (for example, by requiring rain tanks, and setting targets for urban roof area rainwater collection).</li> <li>(d) protecting, enhancing, or restoring natural ecosystems to strengthen the resilience of communities to the impacts of natural hazards and the effects of climate change,</li> <li>(e) providing for efficient use of water and energy in buildings and infrastructure, and</li> <li>(f) buildings and infrastructure that are able to withstand the predicted future temperatures, intensity and duration of rainfall and wind.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Oppose in part	WIAL is concerned that the drafting of this policy would require all of these matters to be achieved when considering development within urban areas by the use of the "and" between "e" and "f". In some urban environments achieving all of these outcomes would be impractical, for example buildings within the airport could be used more efficiently to conserve water and energy usage, however it would be inappropriate for the airport to create green spaces, as these would present an aviation hazard and safety issue.	
Policy 3: Protecting high natural character in the coastal environment – district and regional plans  District and regional plans shall include policies, rules and/or methods to protect high natural character in the coastal environment from inappropriate subdivision, development and/or use. Natural	Support in part	WIAL generally support the changes made to this policy, however it is not clear as to how such amendments fit within the general theme of this policy.	Accept the amendments.

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
character should be assessed considering the following matters, with a site determined as having high natural character when the landscape is slightly modified or unmodified, the land-cover is dominated by indigenous vegetation and/or the vegetation cover is natural and there are no apparent buildings, structures or infrastructure:			
a b c. Social values: the place, site or area has meaning for a particular community or communities, including:  (i) sentimental: the natural character of a place, site or area			
has a strong or special association with a particular community; and/or			
(ii) recognition: the place, site or area is held in high public esteem for its natural character value, or its contribution to the sense of identity of a particular community.			
Proposed Amendment to Chapter 3.3: Energy, Infrastructure and	Waste		
Policy 7: Recognising the benefits from renewable energy and regionally significant infrastructure – regional and district plans  District and regional plans shall include policies and/or methods that recognise:  (a) the social, economic, cultural and environmental benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, and in particular low and zero carbon regionally significant infrastructure including:  (i) people and goods can travel to, from and around the region efficiently and safely and in ways that support transitioning to low or zero carbon multi modal travel modes;  (ii) public health and safety is maintained through the provision of essential services: - supply of potable water, the collection and transfer of sewage and stormwater, and the provision of emergency services;  (iii) people have access to energy, and preferably low or zero carbon energy, so as to meet their needs; and  (iv) people have access to telecommunication services.  (b) the social, economic, cultural and environmental benefits of energy generated from renewable energy resources including:  (i) security of supply and diversification of our energy sources;  (ii) reducing dependency on imported energy resources; and  (iii) reducing greenhouse gas emissions.		WIAL supports the policy in so far as it seeks to recognise the social, economic, cultural and environmental benefits of regionally significant infrastructure. However, the addition of "in particular low and zero carbon regionally significant infrastructure" is vague and it appears to elevate or potentially prioritise this type of infrastructure over all other types of regionally significant infrastructure. This would be inappropriate and as discussed above it is critically important that the RPS protects existing regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of climate change and should include sufficient flexibility to adapt and respond to the challenges (and opportunities e.g. developing localised renewable energy generation facilities) climate change will present.	Delete reference in paragraph (a) to "in particular low and zero carbon regionally significant infrastructure" and in paragraph (a)(ii) at the end of this subparagraph to "including Wellington International Airport" in this policy .

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
Policy 9: Promoting greenhouse gas emission reduction and uptake of low emission fuels – Regional Land Transport Plan Strategy Reducing the use and consumption of non-renewable transport fuels, and carbon dioxide emissions from transportation  The Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan Strategy shall include objectives and policies that promote a reduction in:  (a) a reduction of the consumption of non-renewable transport fuels; and  (b) the emission of carbon dioxide from transportation  (b) a reduction of the emission of greenhouse gases, and other transport-generated harmful emissions such as nitrogen dioxide; and  (c) the uptake of low emission or zero carbon fuels, biofuels and new technologies.  including through prioritising public and active transport investment to serve future urban areas, to enable development in a sequential manner which minimises the risk of increasing car journeys in the region.	Support	WIAL supports this policy on the basis that it is directed at land transportation requirements. WIAL also notes that it will take some time to transition to Sustainable Aviation Fuels. This was recognised in the Government's proposal to introduce a sustainable biofuels mandate. It is currently proposed that this mandate would not include sustainable aviation fuels. This is to be addressed separately as it is recognised that there are currently technological barriers in decarbonising the aviation industry. As such, there is more uncertainty as the policy direction the Government will take in the aviation sector across future emission budgets. It would be inappropriate for the RPS to be inconsistent with this national level policy.	Ensure that this policy retains its focus on land transportation.
Policy 11: Promoting and enabling energy efficient design and small scale renewable energy generation – district plans  District plans shall include policies and/or rules and other methods that:  (a) promote energy efficient design and the energy efficient alterations to existing buildings;  (b) enable the installation and use of domestic scale (up to 20 kW) and small scale distributed renewable energy generation (up to 100 kW); and provide for energy efficient alterations to existing buildings;	Support	WIAL supports the policy in that it suitably seeks to enable the installation and use of smaller scale renewable energy generation facilities.	Retain this policy.
Policy EIW.1: Promoting affordable high quality active mode and public transport services – Regional Land Transport Plan  The Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan shall include objectives, policies and methods that promote equitable and accessible high quality active mode infrastructure, and affordable public transport services with sufficient frequency and connectedness, including between modes, for people to live in urban areas without the need to have access to a private vehicle, by contributing to reducing greenhouse emissions.	Support in part	WIAL supports initiatives to be contained within the Regional Land Transport Plan to assist in facilitating high quality active mode infrastructure and affordable public transport services with sufficient frequency. WIAL is however concerned that it may be unrealistic as an outcome within the RPS to expect that people will be able to live without the need to have access to a private vehicle.  WIAL also submits that the current structure of the policy does not make grammatical sense and the last part should be deleted.	Delete the expectation that people will live without the need to access a private vehicle.  Amend as follows:  The Wellington Regional Land Transport Plan shall include objectives, policies and methods that promote equitable and accessible high quality active mode infrastructure, and affordable public transport services with sufficient frequency and connectedness, including between modes, for people to live in urban areas without the need to have access to a private vehicle, by contributing to reducing greenhouse emissions.
Policy 39: Recognising the benefits from renewable energy and regionally significant infrastructure – consideration	Oppose in part	WIAL supports the policy in so far as it seeks to recognise the social, economic, cultural and environmental benefits of regionally significant infrastructure. However, the addition of	Delete reference to "in particular low and zero carbon regionally significant infrastructure" in this policy.

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement or a change, variation or review of a district or regional plan, particular regard shall be given to:		"in particular low and zero carbon regionally significant infrastructure" is vague and it appears to elevate or prioritise this type of infrastructure over all other types of regionally	
<ul> <li>(a) the social, economic, cultural, and environmental benefits of energy generated from renewable energy resources and/or regionally significant infrastructure, in particular where it contributes to reducing greenhouse gas emissions; and</li> </ul>		significant infrastructure. This would be inappropriate and as discussed above it is critically important that the RPS protects existing regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of climate change coupled with sufficient	
(b) protecting regionally significant infrastructure from incompatible subdivision, use and development occurring under, over, or adjacent to the infrastructure; and		flexibility to adapt and respond to the challenges (and possibly opportunities e.g. developing localised renewable energy generation facilities) climate change will present.	
(c) the need for renewable electricity generation facilities to locate where the renewable energy resources exist; and			
(d) significant wind, solar and marine renewable energy resources within the region.			
Proposed Amendment to Chapter 3.4 Freshwater	l		
Policy 14: Urban Development effects on freshwater and the coastal marine area – Minimising contamination in stormwater from new development – regional plans  Regional plan objectives, policies, and methods including rules, must give effect to Te Mana o te Wai and in doing so must:  (a) Enable the active involvement of mana whenua / tangata whenua in freshwater management (including decision-making processes), and Māori freshwater values are identified and provided for;  (b) Adopt an integrated approach, ki uta ki tai, that recognises the interconnectedness of the whole environment to determine the location and form of urban development;  (c) Require the control of both land use and discharge effects from the use and development of land on freshwater and the coastal marine area;  (d) Achieve the target attribute states set for the catchment;  (e) Require the development, including stormwater discharges, earthworks and vegetation clearance meet any limits set in a regional plan;  (f) Require that urban development is designed and constructed using the principles of Water Sensitive Urban Design;  (g) Require that urban development located and designed to minimise the extent and volume of earthworks and to follow, to the extent practicable, existing land contours;	Oppose in part	WIAL is concerned that this policy has applied the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 concepts to the coastal marine area. There are separate provisions relating to the management of the coastal environment and coastal marine area in the RPS. It is also confusing to have coastal policies in the Freshwater chapter and has the potential to cause interpretation problems in the future.	Delete reference to the coastal marine area in this policy and explanation. Ensure it only applies to freshwater and is consistent with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020.

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
(h) Require that urban development is located and designed to protect and enhance gully heads, rivers, lakes, wetlands, springs, riparian margins and estuaries;			
(i) Require riparian buffers for all waterbodies and avoid piping of rivers;			
(j) Require hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of runoff quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows;			
(k) Require stormwater quality management that will minimise the generation of contaminants, and maximise, to the extent practicable, the removal of contaminants from stormwater; and			
(I) Identify and map rivers and wetlands.			
Regional plans shall include policies, rules and/or methods that protect aquatic ecosystem health by minimising ecotoxic and other contaminants in stormwater that discharges into water, or onto or into land that may enter water, from new subdivision and development.			
Policy 42: Effects on freshwater and the coastal marine area from urban development – consideration Minimising contamination in stormwater from development – consideration	Oppose in part	WIAL is concerned that this policy has applied the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 concepts to the coastal marine area. There are separate	Delete reference to the coastal marine area in this policy. Ensure it only applies to freshwater and is consistent with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020.
When considering an application for a resource consent the regional council must give effect to Te Mana o te Wai and in doing so must have particular regard to:		provisions relating to the management of the coastal environment and coastal marine area in the RPS.	
(a) Adopt an integrated approach, ki uta ki tai, that recognises the interconnectedness of the whole environment to determine the location and form of urban development;			
(b) Protect and enhance mana whenua /tangata whenua freshwater values, including mahinga kai;			
(c) Provide for mana whenua/tangata whenua and their relationship with their culture, land, water, wāhi tapu and other taonga;			
(d) Incorporate the use of mātauranga Māori to ensure the effects of urban development are considered appropriately;			
(e) The effects of use and development of land on water, including the effects on receiving environments (both freshwater and the coastal marine area);			
(f) The target attribute states set for the catchment:			
(g) Require that the development, including stormwater discharges, earthworks and vegetation clearance meets any limits set in a regional plan;			

PRO	VISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
(h)	Require that urban development is located and designed and constructed using the principles of Water Sensitive Urban Design;			
(i)	Require that urban development located and designed to minimise the extent and volume of earthworks and to follow, to the extent practicable, existing land contours;			
(j)	Require that urban development is located and designed to protect and enhance gully heads, rivers, lakes, wetlands, springs, riparian margins and estuaries;			
(k)	Require hydrological controls to avoid adverse effects of runoff quantity (flows and volumes) and maintain, to the extent practicable, natural stream flows;			
(1)	Require stormwater quality management that will minimise the generation of contaminants, and maximise, to the extent practicable, the removal of contaminants from stormwater;			
(m)	Require riparian buffers for all waterbodies and avoid piping of rivers;			
(n)	Daylighting of rivers, where practicable;			
(o)	Mapping of rivers and wetlands;			
(p)	Efficient end use of water and alternate water supplies for non-potable use;			
(q)	protecting drinking water sources from inappropriate use and development; and			
(r)	applying an integrated management approach to wastewater networks including partnering with mana whenua as kaitiaki and allowance for appropriately designed overflow points where necessary to support growth and consideration of different approaches to wastewater management to resolve overflow.			
	n considering an application for a resource consent, notice of			
adve	rement, or a change, variation or review of a district plan, the research of stormwater runoff from subdivision and lopment shall be reduced by having particular regard to:			
<del>(a)</del>	limiting the area of new impervious surfaces in the stormwater catchment;			
<del>(b)</del>	using water permeable surfaces to reduce the volume of stormwater leaving a site;			
<del>(c)</del>	restricting zinc or copper roofing materials, or requiring their effects to be mitigated;			
<del>(d)</del>	collecting water from roofs for domestic or garden use while protecting public health;			

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)		
<ul> <li>(e) using soakpits for the disposal of stormwater;</li> <li>(f) using roadside swales, filter strips and rain gardens;</li> <li>(g) using constructed wetland treatment areas;</li> <li>(h) using in situ treatment devices;</li> <li>(i) using stormwater attenuation techniques that reduce the velocity and quantity of stormwater discharges; and</li> <li>(j) using educational signs, as conditions on resource consents, that promote the values of water bodies and methods to protect them from the effects of stormwater discharges.</li> <li>Policy FW.3: Urban development effects on freshwater and the coastal marine area</li> <li>District plans shall include</li> <li>Explanation:</li> <li>Policy FW.3 requires district plans to manage the effects of urban development on freshwater and the coastal marine area.</li> </ul>	Oppose in part	WIAL is concerned that this policy has applied the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 concepts to the coastal marine area. There are separate provisions relating to the management of the coastal environment and coastal marine area in the RPS.	Delete reference to the coastal marine area in this policy and explanation. Ensure it only applies to freshwater and is consistent with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020.  Otherwise delete the policy.		
Proposed Amendment to Chapter 3.6 Indigenous Ecosystems					
Objective 16 Indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant ecosystem functions and services and/or biodiversity values are maintained protected, enhanced, and restored to a healthy functioning state.	Oppose in part	WIAL acknowledges that this objective is generally consistent with section 6 requirements in the RMA relating to indigenous biodiversity outcomes. However when coupled with the ensuing policies and offsetting and compensation limitations, WIAL is concerned that this suite of provisions could significantly impact on infrastructure projects, including those which may be necessary to protect existing infrastructure assets such as maintenance of the seawall surrounding the airport. It may not always be able to enhance and restore existing ecosystems which may be affected by a development or project, however with appropriate offsetting or compensation overall ecosystem health could be improved and protected.	values are protected, enhanced, and restored where appropriate and in accordance with an effects management hierarchy in order to achieve an overall healthy functioning state.		
Policy 23: Identifying indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values – district and regional plans  By 30 June 2025, Delistrict and regional plans shall identify and evaluate indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values; these ecosystems and habitats will be considered significant if they meet one or more of the following criteria:  (a)  (b)  (c)	Oppose in part	WIAL is concerned that the broad framing of this significance criteria will likely mean significant areas of the region are identified as being a significant natural area. This criteria could potentially capture highly modified areas which cannot sensibly be identified as significant natural areas.  WIAL also notes that the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity is pending. It is likely that this will contain criteria that will be different to the RPS. It may therefore be appropriate to await the outcome of this policy document to ensure consistency.	Ensure this provision is consistent with national guidance, or alternatively ensure the criteria is appropriately targeted so that it does not inadvertently capture areas which do not sensibly comprise significant natural areas or delete the policy		

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
<ul> <li>(d)</li> <li>(e) Mana whenua / tangata whenua values: the ecosystem or habitat contains characteristics of special spiritual, historical or cultural significance to mana whenua / tangata whenua, identified in accordance with tikanga Māori.</li> </ul>			
Policy 24: Protecting indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values – district and regional plans  By 30 June 2025, Delistrict and regional plans shall include policies, rules and methods to protect indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.  Where the policies and/or rules in district and regional plans enable the use of biodiversity offsetting or biodiversity compensation for an ecosystem or habitat with significant indigenous biodiversity values, they shall:  (a) not provide for biodiversity offsetting:  (i) where there is no appropriate site, knowledge, proven methods, expertise or mechanism available to design and implement an adequate biodiversity offset; or  (ii) when an activity is anticipated to causes residual adverse effects on an area after an offset has been implemented if the ecosystem or species is threatened or the ecosystem is naturally uncommon;  (b) not provide for biodiversity compensation where an activity is anticipated to cause residual adverse effects on an area if the ecosystem or species is threatened or the ecosystem is naturally uncommon;  (c) ecosystems and species known to meet any of the criteria in (a) or (b) are listed in Appendix 1A (Limits to biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation);  (d) require that the outcome sought from the use of biodiversity offsetting is at least a 10 percent net biodiversity gain, or from biodiversity benefit.	Oppose	This policy is inappropriate in that it sets out limits and constraints as to when offsetting and compensation are available. These criteria are limiting and are written as a bottom line or hard limit. If they are not met the option of offsetting and/or compensation is no longer available to be used as part of any effects management response. These limits will likely foreclose offsetting and/or compensation even where it is likely to result in beneficial ecological or biodiversity outcomes in the region.  The restrictions also depart from RMA section 104(1)(ab) which states that a consent authority "must" have regard to: "any measure proposed or agreed to by the applicant for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment to offset or compensate for any adverse effects on the environment that will or may result from allowing the activity".  Furthermore, RMA section 104(1)(b)(iii) requires that a consent authority "must" have regard to any relevant provisions of a National Policy Statement.  While not yet operative, the draft NPSIB provides some direction about when consideration of biodiversity offsetting should be precluded from consideration — being circumstances when:  (i) Residual adverse effects cannot be offset because of the irreplaceability or vulnerability of the indigenous biodiversity affected.  (ii) There are no technically feasible or socially acceptable options by which to secure gains within acceptable timeframes.  (iii) Effects on indigenous biodiversity are uncertain, unknown or little understood, but potential effects are significantly adverse.  This is far more balanced and likely to give rise to good environmental outcomes through offsetting, while avoiding the loss of very important or irreplaceable biodiversity.	Delete the proposed amendments to the policy including the limits associated with offsetting and compensation within this policy (a) – (d).
Policy 47: Managing effects on indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values – consideration	Oppose in part	WIAL is concerned that there are inappropriate limits on offsetting and compensation in Policy 24 which is cross referred to in this policy. These reasons are set out above.	Delete subparagraph (i) including the reference to Policy 24 and the limits on offsetting and compensation.

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a district or regional plan, a determination shall be made as to whether an activity may affect indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values, and in determining whether the proposed activity is inappropriate particular regard shall be given to:			
(a) maintaining connections within, or corridors between, habitats of indigenous flora and fauna and/or enhancing the connectivity between fragmented indigenous habitats;			
(b) providing adequate buffering around areas of significant indigenous ecosystems and habitats from other land uses;			
(c) managing wetlands for the purpose of aquatic ecosystem health, recognising the wider benefits, such as for indigenous biodiversity, water quality and holding water in the landscape;			
(d) avoiding the cumulative adverse effects of the incremental loss of indigenous ecosystems and habitats;			
(e) providing seasonal or core habitat for indigenous species;			
(f) protecting the life supporting capacity of indigenous ecosystems and habitats;			
(g) remedying or mitigating minimising or remedying adverse effects on the indigenous biodiversity values where avoiding adverse effects is not practicably achievable; and			
<ul> <li>(h) the need for a precautionary approach when assessing the potential for adverse effects on indigenous ecosystems and habitats;</li> </ul>			
(i) the limits to, and expected outcomes from biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation set out in Policy 24.			
Proposed insertion of Appendix 1A: Limits to biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation  Table 17: Ecosystems and species that either meet or exceed the limits to the use of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation in the Wellington Region (there are some duplicates of ecosystems and species as some habitats relate to more than one ecosystem type).	Oppose	WIAL is concerned that the list of species in Table 17 is too broad. This coupled with the limits to offsetting and compensation that are set out in Appendix 1A and associated policies will mean that many projects which include beneficial ecological outcomes involving offsetting and/or compensation will not be able to be considered. For example, Table 17 sets out that "lake margins" meets or exceed Policy 24(b). The explanation set out in the Appendix 1A sets out that ecosystems and species that meet the criteria for Policy 24(b) exceed the limits of biodiversity compensation meaning that applications for compensation cannot be considered. This appears to be very broad for any activity which may affect a broadly defined "lake margin".  Giant kelp which is present around the airport coastal area also triggers both Policy 24(a)(i) and NZCPS Policy 11(a) which when read against Appendix 1A appears that any	

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
Decree de Arres de Charles 2.0 Notes de l'Instante		activities which may impact on species would not be able to offer any offsetting or compensation and therefore proposals could not be considered.	
Proposed Amendment to Chapter 3.8 Natural Hazards			
Objective 19  The risks and consequences to people, communities, their businesses, property, and infrastructure and the environment from natural hazards and the effects of climate change effects are reduced minimised.	Support in part	WIAL supports the intent of this objective, however it is unclear what is meant by the term "minimise" This needs to be defined as per the Council's proposed Natural Resources Plan	Define minimise as per the Council's PNRP namely "Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning." Otherwise delete the amendment.
Objective 20  Natural hazards and climate change mitigation and adaptation activities minimise the risks from natural hazards and impacts on Te Mana o te Wai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity.	Support in part.	WIAL submits that it is unclear what is meant by the term "minimise" This needs to be defined as per the Council's proposed Natural Resources Plan	Define minimise as per the Council's PNRP namely "Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised, minimising and minimisation have the corresponding meaning." Otherwise delete the amendment.
Objective 21  The resilience of our communities—are more resilient to natural hazards, including the impacts and the natural environment to the short, medium, and long-term effects of climate change, and sea level rise is strengthened, and people are better prepared for the consequences of natural hazard events.	Support in part	WIAL supports ensuring that communities and the environment are made more resilient to and are better prepared for natural hazard events. This should be extended to also ensure regionally significant infrastructure is similarly managed.	Amend the objective as follows:  The resilience of our communities, regionally significant infrastructure, and
Policy 29: Avoiding inappropriate Managing subdivision, use and development in areas at risk from natural hazards – district and regional plans  Regional and district plans shall:  (a) identify areas affected by natural hazards; and  (b) use a risk-based approach to assess the consequences to subdivision, use and development from natural hazard and climate change impacts over a 100 year planning horizon;  (c) include objectives, polices and rules to manage subdivision, use and development in those areas where the hazards and risks are assessed as low to moderate; and  (d) include objectives, polices and rules to avoid subdivision, use or development and hazard sensitive activities where the hazards and risks are assessed as high to extreme.	Oppose in part	Many infrastructure providers have a functional or operational requirement to locate in a certain area, even if that area is subject to natural hazard risk. Wellington Airport is located near the coast for example. Such infrastructure providers natural hazard tolerance is therefore inherently different to those without the same operational and functional need to locate in such areas.	Delete this policy or amend as follows:  (d) include objectives, polices and rules to avoid subdivision, use or development and hazard sensitive activities where the hazards and risks are assessed as high to extreme, unless there is a functional or operational need locate in such areas.
Policy 51: Minimising the risks and consequences of natural hazards – consideration  When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review to a district or regional plan, the risk and consequences of natural hazards on people,	Oppose in part	As above, WIAL submits that for certain activities, the risk to natural hazards is inherently different to those activities that do not have the same operational and functional need to locate in areas deemed to be high hazard locations. It would be inappropriate for this policy to constrain the development	Delete this policy or amend to acknowledge that regionally significant infrastructure is not inappropriate development in certain high hazard locations.

PRO	VISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
and/d	nunities, their property and infrastructure shall be minimised, or in determining whether an activity is inappropriate particular rd shall be given to:		of the Airport for example on the basis of its proximity to the coast.	
(a)	the frequency and magnitude likelihood and consequences of the range of natural hazards that may adversely affect the proposal or development subdivision, use or development, including residual risk those that may be exacerbated by climate change and sea level rise,			
(b)	the potential for climate change and sea level rise to increase in the frequency or magnitude of a hazard event;			
(c)	whether the location of the subdivision, use or development will foreseeably require hazard mitigation works in the future;			
(d)	the potential for injury or loss of life, social and economic disruption and civil defence emergency management implications – such as access routes to and from the site;			
(e)	whether the subdivision, use or development causes any change in the risk and consequences from natural hazards in areas beyond the application site;			
(f)	minimising effects on the impact of the proposed subdivision, use or development on any natural features that may act as a buffer to or reduce the impacts of a from natural hazards event; and where development should not interfere with their ability to reduce the risks of natural hazards;			
(g)	avoiding inappropriate subdivision, use or development and hazard sensitive activities where the hazards and risks are assessed as high to extreme; in areas at high risk from natural hazards;			
	appropriate hazard risk management and/or adaptation and/or mitigation measures for subdivision, use or development in areas where the hazards and risks are assessed as low to moderate hazard areas, including an assessment of residual risk; and			
(i)	the allowance for floodwater conveyancing in identified overland flow paths and stream corridors; and			
(j)	the need to locate-habitable floor-areas levels of habitable buildings and buildings used as places of employment above the 1% AEP (1:100 year) flood level, in identified flood hazard areas.			
	y 52: Minimising adverse effects of hazard mitigation measures insideration	Support in part	WIAL generally supports Policy 52(c) in that it recognises that structural protection works and/or hard engineering	Delete this policy and explanation, or make it clear that in some situations hard engineering methods can be preferred in order to protect existing regionally significant infrastructure assets and limit reference to
	n considering an application for a resource consent, notice of rement, or a change, variation or review of a district or regional		methods may be necessary to protect regionally significant infrastructure from hazard risk. This is relevant to the seawall which currently exists to protect existing infrastructure from	Te Mana o te Wai and Te Rito o te Harakeke

PRO	VISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
plan, to: (a) (b) (c)	the need for structural protection works or hard engineering methods; whether non-structural, soft engineering, green infrastructure, room for the river or Mātauranga Māori options provide a more appropriate or suitably innovative solution; avoiding structural protection works or hard engineering methods unless it is necessary to protect existing development, regionally significant infrastructure or property from unacceptable risk and the works form part of a long-term hazard management strategy that represents the best practicable option for the future; the long-term viability of maintaining the structural protection works with particular regard to how climate change may increase the risk over time; adverse effects on Te Mana o te Wai, mahinga kai, Te Rito o te Harakeke, natural processes, or the local indigenous ecosystem and biodiversity; sites of significance to mana/tangata whenua identified in a planning document recognised by an iwi authority and lodged with a local authority or scheduled in a city, district or regional plan;		the effects of coastal erosion and storm surges. It is noted however that the first preference in the RPS is to avoid such structures. With respect to the sea wall avoidance cannot practicably be the first preference in such a location. It is also not clear how such requirements as the "long term viability of maintaining the structural protection works" will be measured in the context of this policy. Does it mean that ongoing maintenance has to be avoided? Or does it require that the structure is able to withstand changes as a result of climate change and therefore should be maximised in terms of its engineering and construction.  Reference is made to adverse effects on Te Mana o te Wai which is a concept of the NPS FW and not be applicable to the CMA and Te Rito o te Harakeke which as defined is overly broad.	
(g)	a no more than minor increase in risk to nearby areas as a result of changes to natural processes from the hazard mitigation works;			
(i) so th	the cumulative effects of isolated structural protection works; <u>any</u> residual risk remaining after mitigation works are in place, at they <u>minimise</u> reduce and do not increase the risks <u>from</u> of ral hazards.			
	osed Amendment to Chapter 3.9: Regional Form, Design and	l Function		
infras	ctive 22 Urban development, including housing and structure, is enabled where it demonstrates the characteristics qualities of well-functioning urban environments, which:  Are compact and well designed; and  Provide for sufficient development capacity to meet the needs of current and future generations; and  Improve the overall health, well-being and quality of life of the people of the region; and	Oppose in part	WIAL seeks that the RPS appropriately recognises that in some situations housing developments can be appropriately constrained by the "qualifying matters" that are also set out in the National Policy Statement on Urban Development (NPS-UD) and recognised in sections 77I and 77O of the RMA.  WIAL also considers that it would be appropriate for this objective to be clear in that it does not apply to regionally significant infrastructure, and rather it is referring to	Amend the objective as follows:  Urban development, including housing and its associated infrastructure  (L) Protects regionally significant infrastructure and its ability to operate safely and effectively.  Otherwise delete the objective

PRC	VISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
(d)	Prioritise the protection and enhancement of the quality and quantity of freshwater; and		infrastructure (3 Waters, roading) which supports housing developments.	
(e)	Achieve the objectives in this RPS relating to the management of air, land, freshwater, coast, and indigenous biodiversity; and			
(f)	Support the transition to a low-emission and climate-resilient region; and			
(g)	Provide for a variety of homes that meet the needs, in terms of type, price, and location, of different households; and			
(h)	Enable Māori to express their cultural and traditional norms by providing for mana whenua / tangata whenua and their relationship with their culture, land, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga; and			
(i)	Support the competitive operation of land and development markets in ways that improve housing affordability, including enabling intensification; and			
(j)	Provide for commercial and industrial development in appropriate locations, including employment close to where people live; and			
(k)	Are well connected through multi-modal (private vehicles, public transport, walking, micro-mobility and cycling) transport networks that provide for good accessibility for all people between housing, jobs, community services, natural spaces, and open space.			
	mpact well designed and sustainable regional form that has an trated, safe and responsive transport network and:			
<del>(a)</del>	a viable and vibrant regional central business district in Wellington city;			
<del>(b)</del>	an increased range and diversity of activities in and around the regionally significant centres to maintain vibrancy and vitality;			
<del>(c)</del>	sufficient industrial-based employment locations or capacity to meet the region's needs;			
<del>(d)</del>	development and/or management of the Regional Focus Areas identified in the Wellington Regional Strategy;			
<del>(e)</del>	urban development in existing urban areas, or when beyond urban areas, development that reinforces the region's existing urban form;			
<del>(f)</del>	strategically planned rural development;			
<del>(g)</del>	a range of housing (including affordable housing);			
<del>(h)</del>	integrated public open spaces;			
<del>(i)</del>	integrated land use and transportation;			

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
(j) improved east-west transport linkages;			
(k) efficiently use existing infrastructure (including transport network infrastructure); and			
(I) essential social services to meet the region's needs.			
Policy 55: Providing for appropriate urban expansion	Oppose in part	WIAL submits that in considering urban development	Amend the policy to include (or with similar effect):
When considering an application for a resource consent, or a change, variation or review of a district plan for urban development beyond the region's urban areas (as at March 2009August 2022), particular regard shall be given to whether:		particular regard should also be had to whether it is compatible with and does not adversely affect or constrain the ability to operate existing regionally significant infrastructure.	avoids adverse reverse sensitivity effects on the operation and safety of regionally significant infrastructure.
(a) the urban proposed development is the most appropriate option to achieve Objective 22 contributes to establishing or maintaining the qualities of a well-functioning urban environment, including:			
<ul> <li>the urban development will be well-connected to the existing or planned urban area, particularly if it is located along existing or planned transport corridors;</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>the location, design and layout of the proposed development shall apply the specific management or protection for values or resources identified by this RPS, including:</li> </ul>			
Policy 57: Integrating land use and transportation – consideration	Support in part	WIAL generally supports the intent of this policy, however this should be directed at the district level (to influence	This policy should be amended so that it is directed at a higher level rather than as a consideration for each and every resource consent application.
When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a change, variation or review of a district plan, for subdivision, use or development, require land use and transport planning within the Wellington Region is integrated in a way which:		zoning decisions for example) rather than requiring applicant for a resource consent (for example) to have to demonstrate consistency with all of the matters set out in (a) – (f).	Otherwice delete the amendments to the Policy
(a) <u>supports a safe, reliable, inclusive and efficient transport</u> <u>network;</u>			
(b) supports connectivity with, or provision of access to, public services or activities, key centres of employment activity or retail activity;			
(c) minimises private vehicle travel and trip length while supporting mode shift to public transport or active modes and support the move towards low and zero-carbon modes;			
(d) encourages an increase in the amount of travel made by public transport and active modes;			
(e) provides for well-connected, safe and accessible multi modal transport networks while recognising that the timing and sequencing of land use and public transport may result in a			

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
period where the provision of public transport may not be efficient or practical;  (f) supports and enables the growth corridors in the Wellington Region, including:  (i) Western Growth Corridor – Tawa to Levin;  (ii) Eastern Growth Corridor – Hutt to Masterton;  (iii) Let's Get Wellington Moving Growth Corridor.  to the following matters, in making progress towards achieving the key outcomes of the Wellington Regional Land Transport Strategy:  (a) whether traffic generated by the proposed development can be accommodated within the existing transport network and the impacts on the efficiency, reliability or safety of the network;  (b) connectivity with, or provision of access to, public services or activities, key centres of employment activity or retail activity, open spaces or recreational areas;  (c) whether there is good access to the strategic public transport network;  (d) provision of safe and attractive environments for walking and cycling; and  (e) whether new, or upgrades to existing, transport network infrastructure have been appropriately recognised and			
Policy 58: Co-ordinating land use with development and operation of infrastructure – consideration  When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a plan change, variation or review of a district plan for subdivision, use or development, require all new urban development including form, layout, location, and timing is sequenced in a way that:  (a) the development, funding, implementation and operation of infrastructure serving the area in question is provided for; and  (b) all infrastructure required to serve new development, including low or zero carbon, multi modal and public transport infrastructure, is available, or is consented, designated or programmed to be available prior to development occurring.  particular regard shall be given to whether the proposed subdivision, use or development is located and sequenced to:  (a) make efficient and safe use of existing infrastructure capacity; and/or  (b) coordinate with the development and operation of new infrastructure.	Oppose	WIAL submits that this policy sets an unduly onerous threshold in that it requires all new urban development to ensure it has all the infrastructure required to serve such development, including that low or zero carbon and public transportation infrastructure is available prior to the development occurring. While it is not clear if this policy would apply to a development within the Airport area, WIAL submits that it would be inappropriate to hold up such a project if for example, there are issues with the public transportation network, which is beyond its control.	Include a clear definition of urban development in the RPS.  Delete this policy.

When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a plan change of a district plan for use or development, particular regard shall be given the ability to enable Māori to express their culture and traditions in land use and development, by as a minimum providing for mana whenua / tangata whenua and their relationship with their culture, land, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga.	PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
Policy UD.3: Responsive planning to developments that provide for Oppose in part WIAL seeks that the RPS appropriately recognises that in Amend the policy to include (or with similar effect):	When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a plan change of a district plan for use or development, particular regard shall be given the ability to enable Māori to express their culture and traditions in land use and development, by as a minimum providing for mana whenua / tangata whenua and their relationship with their culture, land, water, sites,		limitations to the ability to implement this policy, and for this reason there is uncertainty around it. It also does not make	When considering an application for a resource consent, notice of requirement, or a plan change of a district plan for use or development, regard shall be had to whether there is any opportunity to support Maori in being able to express their culture and tradition through the proposal. This includes recognising taonga and sites and areas of significance, awa and moana and important places where mana whenua /
significant development capacity – consideration  When considering a change of a district plan for a development in  When considering a change of a district plan for a development in  (a)	Significant development capacity – consideration  When considering a change of a district plan for a development in accordance with clause (d) of Policy 55, particular regard shall be given to whether the following criteria is met:  (a) the location, design and layout of the proposal:  (i) contributes to establishing or maintaining the characteristics and qualities of a well-functioning urban environment identified in Policy 55(a)(ii) and Objective 22  (iii) is well-connected to the existing or planned urban area, particularly if it is located along existing or planned transport corridors.  (iiii) for housing will apply a relevant residential zone or other urban zone that provides for high density development or medium density residential development.  (b) the proposal makes a significant contribution to meeting a need identified in the latest Housing and Business  Development Capacity Assessment, or a shortage identified in monitoring for:  (i) a variety of housing that meets the regional, district, or local shortages of housing in relation to the particular type, size, or format,  (ii) business space or land of a particular size or locational type, or  (iii) community, cultural, health, or educational facilities, and (iv) the proposal contributes to housing affordability through a general increase in supply or through providing nonmarket housing, and  (c) when considering the significance of the proposal's contribution:  (i) is of high yield relative to either the forecast demand or	22 er or	constrained by the "qualifying matters" that are also set out in the National Policy Statement on Urban Development (NPS-UD) and recognised in sections 77I and 77O of the	(a)  (iv) avoids adverse reverse sensitivity effects on the operation and safety of regionally significant

PROVISION	POSITION	REASONS	RELIEF SOUGHT (subject to general relief sought in the covering submission)
(iv) will facilitate a net increase in district-wide up-take in the short to medium term,			
(d) required development infrastructure can be provided effectively and efficiently for the proposal, and without material impact on planned development infrastructure provision to, or reduction			
in development infrastructure capacity available for, other feasible, likely to be realised developments, in the short-medium term.			
Definitions			
Regionally Significant Infrastructure     Wellington International Airport	Support in part	WIAL supports the definition of Regionally Significant Infrastructure	Retain the definition and for clarity amend to include all associated supporting infrastructure for the Airport, such as its navigational infrastructure and the sea wall.
Maintain / maintained/ maintenance (in relation to indigenous biodiversity)	Oppose in part	WIAL seeks to ensure that this definition is consistent with national direction that may be contained in the NPSIB. In its current drafting it also appears to achieve a level of protection, which is arguably higher than a requirement to "maintain".	Delete this definition.
Protect	Oppose in part	WIAL seeks to ensure that this definition is consistent with national direction that may be contained in the NPSIB.	Delete this definition.
Other Matters	-	•	
Freshwater Planning Process	Oppose in part	WIAL notes that not all of the provisions which have been earmarked for the freshwater planning process are directly related to the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality or quantity.	Ensure only those provisions which relate to the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality or quantity are subject to the fast-track freshwater planning process.