

Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust 10 Parata Street Waikanae 5036

> Address for service Melanie McCormick <u>taiao@teatiawakikapiti.co.nz</u> cc: <u>admin@teatiawakikapiti.co.nz</u>

Greater Wellington Regional Council regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

14 October 2022

Form 5: Submission on GWRC RPS Draft Proposed Plan Change 1

Mai i Kūkūtauākī ki Whareroa, tatu atu ki Paripari Rere whakauta ngā tinitapu ko Wainui, Ko Maunganui, Pukemore, Kapakapanui, Pukeatua, Ūngutu atu ki te pou whakararo ki Ngāwhakangutu Ko Te Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai e

Our unique identity as indigenous mana whenua, as Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai (Ātiawa), arises from the land and water. As much as we influence the local land and waterscapes, they have shaped who we are as a people; our identities are inextricably linked. The pepeha outlines our rohe from the key waterways and peaks that mark the extent of our mana whenua. Whakapapa, or the genealogical lineage and connection to the land and water, is a fundamental value for the people of Ātiawa. It is through this whakapapa to Ātiawa that we inherit our birthright and responsibility as kaitiaki of all that is living and existing within our rohe.

Te Tiriti o Waitangi is the founding document of Aotearoa. It guarantees the tino rangatiratanga of Ātiawa over the land, waterways and all other taonga in our rohe. This type of authority differs from other forms of authority, such as that from the kāwanatanga or the governance of local or central government, which is subject to the tino rangatiratanga of mana whenua. A Tiriti partnership recognises these two types of authorities functioning together. This is represented in the 'Tiriti House Model',¹ which shows that a Tiriti approach to decision-making ensures equal recognition of, protection of and input from each house.

 $^{^1}$ Whakarongotai o te moana Whakarongotai o te wā pg 25

Whakarongotai o te moana Whakarongotai o te wā is Ātiawa's Kaitiakitanga Plan. This plan contains the kaupapa, tikanga and huanga² we are striving to achieve. We can measure our success and health as an iwi based on our ability to achieve those huanga.

Ātiawa recognises the mana of the hapū and iwi of the greater Wellington region, and supports their mana moutuhake within their rohe.

This submission provides Ātiawa's response to the GWRC RPS Change 1 to the Operative Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region.

Proposed Change 1 to the Regional Policy Statement

RPS Change 1 is being driven by the requirement to publicly notify a plan change that gives effect to the National Policy Statement on Urban Development by August 2022. Regional Council's approach to RPS Change 1 is to address several related key issues at the same time. The key topics being addressed in RPS Change 1 are:

- The impacts of climate change
- Degradation of freshwater
- Loss and degradation of indigenous ecosystems and habitats
- Lack of urban development capacity
- Integrated management of the natural and physical environment

In principle Ātiawa supports the overall intent of the RPS Change 1, to address significant and urgent resource management issues (climate change, indigenous biodiversity, freshwater and urban development). However, there are further amendments required to provide for Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai values and role as mana whenua. Ātiawa seeks that amendments are made to RPS Change 1 in accordance with the relief sought in our submission document (*Relief sought Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai GWRC RPS Change 1 Submission*) (enclosed).

Ātiawa make the following comments:

Integrated Management

Ātiawa supports an integrated approach to resource management. The concept of integrated management aligns with te tirohanga Māori/Māori worldview of understanding te ao Tūroa, the natural world as an interconnected, interdependent whole. These provisions enable mana whenua values and provide for our mātauranga to be applied to resource management.

Climate Change

Ātiawa supports the intent of the provisions that recognise and address the impacts of climate change on the environment. Ātiawa are pleased that this chapter recognises te ao Māori and mātauranga Māori. Many western approaches and concepts to address climate change are founded upon indigenous knowledge, including mātauranga Māori, which Māori have affirmed for generations. Mātauranga Māori and indigenous knowledge are critical to informing resource management issues that the natural world faces today.

Freshwater

Ātiawa notes that Regional Council have earlier signalled that RPS Change 1 will include limited provisions to that give effect in part to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (the **NPS-FM**); a separate freshwater plan change process will be publicly notified by Regional Council

 $^{^2}$ Whakarongotai o te moana Whakarongotai o te wā pg 8

Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust Submission on RPS Plan Change 1

on, or prior to 31 December 2024, to fully give effect to the requirements of the NPS-FM. However, Ātiawa are concerned at the interim effect of RPS Change 1 where proposed provisions are dependent on other provisions that are yet to be determined through a freshwater plan change process. For example, Policy 18 and Policy 41 relate to managing freshwater in a way that achieves 'target attribute states for water bodies and freshwater ecosystems'. However, target attribute states for the Kāpiti rohe will not be set until the freshwater plan change process and Te Whaitua o Kāpiti are completed, Te Whaitua o Kāpiti will formally commence in November/December 2022.

Indigenous Ecosystems and Habitats

In principle Ātiawa support the intent of the provisions to address the degradation of indigenous ecosystems and habitats. Ātiawa is pleased that Regional Council is taking steps to better provide for mana whenua through including reference to mātauranga Māori, mahinga kai values, and generally improving provision for mana whenua involvement in resource management. However, Ātiawa seeks further reference to mana whenua values and their relationship with their culture, land, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga and to partner with regional and district council in the process to identify and schedule indigenous ecosystems and habitats.

Urban Development

The development of the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 and the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020, and the timeframes provided for their incorporation into the GWRC RPS do not uphold the guarantee of tino rangatiratanga. Ātiawa therefore have fundamental concerns with the process and direction given by the Government requiring this Proposed Regional Policy Statement Change 1. This means that Ātiawa has had inadequate opportunities and insufficient time to contribute to the development of the RPS Change 1. This process and the intensification provisions also predjudice the outcomes and potential outcomes of our Treaty of Waitangi Settlement.

Ātiawa seeks further reference to mana whenua values, as well as addressing the impact of poor urban design on mana whenua and their relationship with the natural world and to provide for our values and role as mana whenua.

Other/General

Ātiawa seek a partnership with Greater Wellington Regional Council across all resource management matters, Ātiawa seeks that Regional Council move beyond thinking that limits mana whenua values to 'cultural' or 'spiritual', this philosophy is out-dated and unfairly restricts mana whenua involvement in resource management and decision-making processes. Mana whenua have an interest in all parts of te ao Tūroa/the natural world. Ātiawa look forward to strengthening our relationship with Greater Wellington Regional Council.

Although Ātiawa is pleased that mātauranga Māori is being given its due recognition by Regional Council, Ātiawa stress that mātauranga Māori and other forms of Māori data must be provided the appropriate protections. This includes, Māori data sovereignty, including but not limited to the way Māori data is stored, protected, accessed, shared, used and analysed. Ātiawa support provisions that seek to develop tikanga and kawa to govern Māori data sovereignty, we look forward to developing tikanga and kawa for data sovereignty for māturanga-a-Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai.

Ātiawa is concerned that the proposed Anticipated Environmental Results (**AERs**) are so broad that it will not offer meaningful data in terms of monitoring the effectiveness and efficiency of the policies and methods. The AER should be specific and measurable (based on evidence when relevant), and appropriately, time-bound. AER and monitoring is an integral step (and statutory requirement) in the

Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust Submission on RPS Plan Change 1

planning cycle (plan-do-monitor-review), and setting robust and meaningful AER produce better data to understand and assess the planning framework.

Ātiawa's position is that mana whenua identity is distinct from the community collective identity. As a result Ātiawa seeks that mana whenua are referred to in their own right. We seek changes to policies that lump together the values of mana whenua and the community.

Ātiawa wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Ātiawa could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Nāku iti noa, nā

Melanie McCormick, on behalf of Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust

Enclosure:

Relief sought – Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai GWRC RPS Change 1 Submission (word document)

Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust Submission on RPS Plan Change 1

This page is intentionally left blank

Submission on RPS Plan Change 1

| Submission c | on RPS Plan Change 1 | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------|---|---|
| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
| | | | | Please provide a summary of the reasons for your feedback on each provision to help us understand your position. | Please descri would like to alternative w |
| | | | | | Black text ina policy statem Whakarongo strikethrough Trust deletior |
| 4 | Chapter Introduction | Resource management issues, objectives and summary of policies and methods to achieve the objectives in the Regional Policy Statement | Support | In principle, Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust (Ātiawa) supports the inclusion of these provisions as they set the high-level framework for the proposed changes - that is they set out the issues and rationale for addressing these matters in the Regional Policy Statement. | Specific amer proposed bel |
| 4 | Overarching Issue 1: | Adverse impacts on natural environments and communities | Support | Ātiawa supports Overarching Issue 1. Ātiawa are pleased that the issue references the impact on mana whenua and their relationship with te taiao. | Retain as not |
| 5 | Overarching Issue 2: | Increasing pressure on housing and infrastructure capacity | Support in part | Ātiawa supports in part Overarching Issue 2.Ātiawa considers that population growth requires additional development capacity, but also exacerbates existing pressures on all aspects of te taiao and its limited resources. | Amend to: <u>2. Population</u> <u>infrastructure</u> <u>te taiao</u> . To m <u>populations</u> , the natural a |
| 5 | Overarching Issue 3: | Lack of mana whenua/tangata whenua involvement in decision making | Support | Ātiawa supports Overarching Issue 3. Ātiawa are pleased that this resource management decision making issue has been set out in the regional policy statement. It informs the reasoning for objective, policy, and rule setting within the planning framework. Ātiawa seek that explicit reference to the matters included in Part 2, s(e) of the RMA are included to ensure they are recognised and provided for in this planning framework. | Amend to: Mana whenu mātauranga I decision-mak the implemen whenua value <u>lands, water,</u> been adequa causing disco whenua and |
| 5 | Overarching Objective A: | Overarching Objective A | Support in part | Ātiawa supports the inclusion of Objective A. Objective A strengthens the position of te ao Māori, including mana whenua and mātauranga Māori in resource management. Ātiawa recognises the importance of this provision as it sets out what is to be achieved in the region and demonstrates to plan users that at the highest level te ao Māori must be embraced and provided for. Ātiawa seek reference the connection between mana whenua and te taaio. This relationship is inextricable and of the upmost importance to Ātiawa. There should be explicit reference in this Objective to ensure that the relationship is provided for in the RPS. | Insert new su (aa) support f taiao Insert the wo Objective A: I and built env <u>mātauranga I</u> |

ought:

cribe the actual changes to the provision that you to see and, where possible, include your suggested wording.

ndicates GWRC proposed changes to the regional ement. <u>Red text underline</u> indicates Ātiawa ki gotai Charitable Trust insertion, red text gh indicates Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable ion.

nendments in relation to the 'Issue' statements are below.

notified.

on growth is putting pressure on housing and ure capacity and exacerbates existing pressures on o meet the needs of current and future is, development will place additional pressure on I and built environments.

nua / tangata whenua values, Te Ao Māori and ga Māori have not been given sufficient weight in naking, including from governance level through to nentation. As a result, mana whenua / tangata alues, <u>including our relationship with our ancestral</u> <u>er, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga</u> have not uately provided for in resource management, sconnection between mana whenua / tangata and the environment.

v subclause: ort the connection between mana whenua and te

words:

A: Integrated management of the region's natural environments is guided by Te Ao Māori <u>and</u> ga <u>Māori</u> and:

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|---|--|
| | | | | In addition, mātauranga Māori should also guide the "Integrated management of the region's natural and built environments." Finally Ātiawa note that natural and physical resources are taonga. s6 of the RMA requires that those matters are not only recognised but also provided for. | Amend subcla (e) recognises physical resou qualities of we |
| 8 | 3.1A | Climate Change | | | |
| 8 | 3.1A | Climate Change [Chapter introduction] | Support | Ātiawa supports the intent of the chapter introduction, it sets out the rationale for climate change action based on current predictions and modelling. | Ātiawa seek th (<u>"Predictions f</u> <u>Region signific significantly re</u> |
| | | | | Ātiawa note minor error in paragraph 2, the first sentence (of paragraph 2) does not read well. | |
| 9 | Issue 1: | Greenhouse gas emissions must be reduced significantly, immediately and rapidly | Support | Ātiawa supports Issue 1. The wording sets out the rationale for actions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. | Retain as noti |
| 10 | Issue 2: | Climate change and the decline of ecosystem health and biodiversity are inseparably intertwined | Support | Ātiawa supports Issue 2, in particular the reference to the impacts of climate change on mana whenua and our ability to exercise our way of being in Te Ao Tūroa, the natural world. A minor deletion of "the" is sought. | |
| 10 | Issue 3: | The risks associated with natural hazards are exacerbated by climate change | Support | Ātiawa supports Issue 3. Ātiawa supports reference to mahinga kai which are increasingly under pressure from the impacts of climate change due to being located in sensitive environments. Mahinga kai provide indicators of the overall health of an ecosystem (including the impacts of climate change) therefore should be considered when planning for and decision-making in regards to natural hazards and climate change. In addition, Ātiawa supports moving away from the over-reliance on hard engineering protection works which contradict the natural order of te taiao and are ineffective and expensive in the long-term. | Amend to: The hazard ex tapu, infrastru security is incurange of natur development natural system protection wo overwhelmed increase the r |
| | | | | Amend Issue 3 to recognise that mana whenua sites, and wāhi tapu are at risk from the impacts of natural hazards. | |

clause (e): ses <u>and provides for</u> the role of both natural and sources in providing for the characteristics and well-functioning urban environments; and

k that the council redraft this sentence as for climate change impacts in the Wellington ificant impacts by 2090 if global emissions are not v reduced") so that it makes sense.

otified.

ange is placing significant additional pressure on bitats, ecosystems, and ecosystem processes, hose that are already threatened or degraded, ucing their resilience, and threatening their ability This, in turn, reduces the health of natural s, affecting their ability to deliver the range of services, such as carbon sequestration, natural gation, erosion prevention, and the provision of menity, that support our lives and livelihoods and na whenua to exercise their way of being in the Te he natural world.

exposure of our communities, land, <u>sites, wāhi</u> tructure, food (including mahinga kai), and water ncreasing because of climate change impacts on a tural hazards. Traditional approaches to nt that have not fully considered the impacts on tems, and our over-reliance on hard engineered works, which will inevitably become ed and uneconomic to sustain, will ultimately e risk to communities and the environment.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision sou |
|-----|----------------|---|------------------|---|---|
| 10 | Issue 4: | The impacts of climate change will exacerbate existing inequities | Support | Ātiawa supports Issue 4. Ātiawa note that mana whenua are especially affected by the impacts of climate change. Ancestral land, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga are often located in environments which are frequently impacted by climate change and natural hazard events. However, mana whenua have limited or no resources to enable mitigation or adaptation. Therefore, the impacts of climate change exacerbate existing inequalities for mana whenua. | Retain as not |
| 10 | Issue 5: | Climate change threatens tangible and spiritual components of Māori well-being | Support | Ātiawa supports Issue 5. This issue outlines the physical impacts of climate change on both tangible and intangible components for mana whenua; climate change threatens the ongoing existence and access to sites of significance, wāhi tapu, urupā, mahinga kai, and marae. Issue 4 and Issue 5 work together to highlight the issues that Māori face today in regards to climate change. | Retain as no |
| 10 | Issue 6: | Social inertia and competing interests need to be overcome to successfully address climate change | Support | Ātiawa supports Issue 5. | Retain as no |
| 11 | Objective CC.1 | Objective CC.1 | Support in part | Ātiawa supports the overall intent of this Objective. Ātiawa's position is that climate change mitigation and adaptation should be integral part of all aspects of resource management now, not by 2050. Ātiawa acknowledges that some of the other climate change objectives are suited to having the year 2050 as a timeframe, however this objective should not be hemmed in by a timeframe, especially in the context of climate change action (i.e. mitigation and adaptation). | Amend to: <u>Objective CC</u> <u>By 2050, The</u> <u>climate-resili</u> <u>and adaptati</u> <u>land, freshwa</u> <u>functioning u</u> well-planned |
| 13 | Objective CC.2 | Objective CC.2 | Support in part | Ātiawa supports the overall intent of Objective CC.2. Ātiawa note that as currently wording of the Objective is open to interpretation. It could be argued that those who contribute the largest amount to emissions should carry the largest cost, while those who actively pursue low or no emissions should benefit from this. However, the drafting of the objective could also be interpreted as all parties share fairly (equal) cost and benefit. | Amend to: The costs and climate-resili |
| 14 | Objective CC.3 | Objective CC.3 | Support in part | Ātiawa supports the overall intent of Objective CC.3 to achieve net reduction in emissions by 2030. Ātiawa note that there is no target reduction in greenhouse gases from the rural sector (other than the general net reduction of 50 percent by the year 2030). This undermines Objective CC.2 when referencing sharing fairly costs and benefits of transitioning to a low emission and climate resilient region if there is no target for the second biggest contributor (34% green house gas emissions in the region) to achieve a targeted reduction. | The Regional amend Object targets for re agricultural in reduction. |
| | | | | The agricultural industry needs support to achieve meaningful greenhouse gas emission reductions, Ātiawa acknowledge that their may be whānau who have agricultural interest, we would want to support those whānau to achieve reductions. | |

| ought: |
|---|
| otified. |
| notified. |
| notified. |
| <u>CC.1</u> ne Wellington Region is a low-emission and silient region, where climate change mitigation ation are an integral part of: (a) sustainable air, water, and coastal management, (b) well- g urban environments and rural areas, and (c) ed infrastructure. |
| and benefits of transitioning to a low emission and silient region are shared fairly to achieve social, ad economic well-being across our communities. Soubt, activities that contribute the largest amount suse gas emissions should carry the greatest cost, es that emit low or no greenhouse gas emissions eive the greatest benefit. |
| al Council work with the agricultural industry to ective CC.3 to provide ambitious but reasonable reductions in greenhouse gas emissions from the I industry, including a date to achieve the |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---|--|------------------|--|---|
| 19 | Objective CC.4 | Objective CC.4 | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports Objective CC.4. Ātiawa supports the use of nature-based solutions to provide solutions for climate change mitigation and adaptation. Given the fact that nature-based solutions align with mātauranga Māori approaches, Ātiawa seek to partner with council in identifying approaches. | Amend to: Nature-based integral part of improving the and the natur |
| 21 | Objective CC.5 | Objective CC.5 | Support | Ātiawa supports the inclusion of Objective CC.5 in RPS Change 1. Ātiawa are pleased that an objective has been drafted to support permanent forest. | Retain as not |
| 21 | Objective CC.6 | Objective CC.6 | Support | Ātiawa supports the inclusion of Objective CC.6 in RPS Change 1. | Retain as noti |
| 23 | Objective CC.7 | Objective CC.7 | Support | Ātiawa supports the inclusion of Objective CC.7 in RPS Change 1. | Retain as noti |
| 24 | Objective CC.8 | Objective CC.8 | Support | Ātiawa supports Objective CC.8. | Retain as noti |
| | Chapter 3.4 Freshwater (including public access) | | | | |
| 28 | Chapter 3.4 – Chapter introduction | 3.4 Fresh water (including public access) | Support in part | Ātiawa supports in part the overall intent of the Chapter Introduction given it provides updated reference to the NPS-FM and Te Mana o te Wai. However, Ātiawa seeks further changes to the introduction to further align wording with current legislation and to reflect best practice and approaches to freshwater management in the region. In general, the chapter introduction is outdated and the changes made are only inserting reference to the NPS-FM and Te Mana o te Wai. It is concerning that in the almost ten years since the policy statement was made operative (24 April 2013) there have been no amendments made to the context and freshwater issues in the region. Although Ātiawa acknowledges many of these issues are enduring and remain the same, some of the wording does not reflect a 2022 view of freshwater management. Noting that a local authority must commence a review of a provision if the provision has not been subject to review during the previous 10 years (s79, RMA). Ātiawa seeks clarity on the timing on the outstanding provisions that have not been reviewed through RPS Change 1. Ātiawa note that plan change processes are a significant draw on iwi resources and capacity. Where there is sufficient time it makes sense to review these freshwater provisions in a holistic manner, rather than a piece meal approach. | Mana whenua the chapter ir the plan chan December 20 |
| 33 | Objective 12 | | Support | Ātiawa are supportive of Objective 12, Ātiawa are pleased the hierarchy of obligations has been included as an objective. This explicitly sets out how freshwater is to be managed in the region and is consistent with national policy direction (NPS-FM). Ātiawa also supports the inclusion of the six principles (from the NPS-FM) relating to the roles of tangata whenua and other New Zealanders in the management of freshwater (including the RPS and its implementation). It is important that these are included as an objective as this provides an expectation that freshwater must be managed in a way that is accordance with these principles. | Ātiawa seek t (using the Fre ki Whakarong |

| ought: |
|---|
| |
| sed solutions <u>and mātauranga Māori</u> are an rt of climate change mitigation and adaptation, the health and resilience of people, biodiversity, tural environment. |
| notified. |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| |

nua and Regional Council work together to redraft er introduction, this could be addressed as part of nange process to give full effect to NPS-FM by 31 2024.

ek that Regional Council prepare a plan change Freshwater Plan Change Process) to insert Ātiawa ongotai statements at the appropriate time.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision sou |
|-----|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | Ātiawa look forward to including statements from Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai at an appropriate time. | |
| 58 | 3.6 | Indigenous ecosystems | | | |
| 58 | 3.6 Indigenous ecosystems | Chapter introduction | Support in part | In principle Ātiawa supports the intent of Chapter 3.6. Ātiawa seeks reference to not only iwi, but also whānau and hapū. It is a dated approach to refer to iwi as an all encompassing group, although whānau and hapū make up an iwi, appropriate recognition must be given to whānau and hapū especially in the context of action to protect, maintain and enhance of indigenous ecosystems where whānau and hapū undertake their own efforts to restore ecosystems. Ātiawa note that some definitions are bold and italicised and others are just in italics, this approach is inconsistent and it is not clear what the rationale is for this distinction. Ātiawa seek that mana whenua and landowners are provided for in septate issue statements. Our values and role as mana whenua is not the same as landowners (unless it is in reference to Māori landowners) and should be recognised and provided in accordance with Te Tiriti and the RMA. | Amend to: <u>Although Ne</u> <u>conservation</u> <u>this does not</u> <u>ecosystem.</u> <u>conservation</u> upon the gound number of ir <u>groups</u> and co working to re- <u>for restoring</u> <u>landowners</u> <u>indigenous br</u> <u>regional part</u> <u>increases in</u> <u>around Well</u> <u>and pest cor</u> <u>native birds</u> <u>there is still to</u> <u>conservation</u> <u>The restorat</u> <u>hapū, iwi and</u> <u>benefit</u> <u>3. Iwi Mana</u> <u>and roles</u> - <u>area</u> <u>Mana whenu</u> <u>kaitiakitanga</u> <u>by the curren</u> <u>biodiversity.</u> <u>stewards of to</u> |
| 61 | Objective 16: | Objective 16 | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports Objective 16. | better recog |
| | | | | Ātiawa would like to be involved in any process that identifies significant sites to ensure that mana whenua are part of decision-making that could involve land held by Māori. | Retain as no |

New Zealand has an extensive network of public on land (comprising over a third of the country), not adequately represent all types of indigenous . With few options to expand the public on estate, Ithe restoration of ecosystems relies good will and actions of landowners. There are a individuals, whānau, hapu, iwi, and community l organisations throughout the region that are restore indigenous ecosystems. <u>Public supports</u> ng indigenous ecosystems on public land and s retiring farmland has led to the regeneration of bush in rural gullies, along riparian margins, in arks and in urban backyards. This has led to n some indigenous habitats, such as in the hills ellington City, with sanctuaries such as Zealandia ontrol efforts increasing the number and variety of s and invertebrates around the city. However, Il much work to be done to improve the on status of many native ecosystems and species. ation of indigenous ecosystems on public, whānau, and private land provides both public and private

a whenua/tangata whenua and landowner values are not adequately recognised and supported

nua /tangata whenua values, including ga, are not adequately recognised and supported rent approach to managing indigenous y. The conservation efforts of landowners, as of their land, and local communities could be ognised and supported.

notified.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision sought |
|-----|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 63 | Objective 16A: | Objective 16A | Support in part | Ātiawa seeks that pre-notification drafting of Objective 16A be reinstated and current RPS Change 1 Objective 16A is deleted. There is no direct reference to ecosystem health, ecological integrity, and ecological connectivity of indigenous ecosystems in the current wording of Objective 16A. This appears to be an oversight as these factors are outlined as key issue and should be addressed as an objective. | Amend: <u>Objective 16A T</u> <u>ecological conne</u> <u>ecosystems, and</u> <u>them, are enhar</u> <u>indigenous biod</u> <u>resilient to envir</u> <u>change, and givi</u> |
| 64 | Objective 16B: | Objective 16B | Support in part | Ātiawa seeks that consistent reference to ecosystems rather than biodiversity is applied to Objective 16B. Ātiawa seeks that reference to support and resourcing is included, it is a significant part of the relationship between local government and mana whenua under Te Tiriti to provide equitable outcomes for mana whenua/tangata whenua. Without adequate support and resourcing mana whenua/tangata whenua are limited in their ability to participate in decision-making, which includes exercising kaitiakitanga. | Placeholder Obj values relating t particularly taor between indiger given effect to in whenua are ena adequate suppor their kaitiakitan |
| | 3.8 | Natural hazards | | | |
| 66 | 3.8 Natural hazards | Chapter introduction | Support in part | Ātiawa supports that the Regional Council have explicitly outlined climate change will occur, rather than 'having the potential to' or 'expected to'. Ātiawa seeks that the natural environment be referred to in Issue 1. The natural environment is at risk and can be significantly altered through a natural hazard event, many of these natural environments have value including mana whenua values and should be protected. Ātiawa acknowledges that natural hazards are a naturally occurring phenomena and it is not possible or appropriate to protect everything, everywhere. However, there are parts of the natural environment that provide for mahinga kai, sites of significance including wāhi tapu, wāhi tupuna that should be considered in regard to reducing the impacts of natural hazards. This amendment complements Objective 19 and the reference to the environment. | Risks from Natural hazard adverse impact <u>environment</u>, k |
| 70 | Objective 19 | Objective 19 | Support | Ātiawa supports Objective 19. | Retain as notifie |
| 71 | Objective 20 | Objective 20 | Oppose in part | Ātiawa request that areas associated with mana whenua values are included in Objective 20, noting that natural hazard and climate change mitigation and adaptation have traditionally impacted on our values, for example river works to alter the rivers natural course can destroy mahinga kai and sites of significance. Ātiawa seek to protect our values through Objective 20. | Amend to: Objective 20 Natural hazard adaptation acti natural hazards Te Mana o te T values, natural |
| 72 | Objective 21 | Objective 21 | Support | Ātiawa supports the amendments made to Objective 21 | |
| | 3.9 | Regional form, design and function | | | |
| 76 | Chapter introduction | Regional form, design and function | Support in part | Ātiawa seek reference to mana whenua and our values in reference to the concept of a well-functioning urban environment, in accordance with the NPS-UF. | Amend to: The concept of v introduced in th Development 20 |

| u | g | h | t | : |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |

6A The ecosystem health, ecological integrity and connectivity of the region's indigenous , and the ecological processes that supports enhanced, maintained and restored, so that biodiversity and mahinga kai is thriving and is environmental pressues particularly climate giving effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke. Objective 16B Mana Whenua/tangata whenua ing to indigenous biodiversity ecosystems, taonga species and the important relationship digenous ecosystem health and well-being, are to in decision-making and mana whenua/tangata enabled to exercise their kaitiakitanga through upport and resourcing are supportsed to exercise itanga for indigenous biodiversity.

from natural hazards

azard events in the Wellington region have an npact on people and communities, <u>the natural</u> ent, businesses, property and infrastructure.

otified.

azard and climate change mitigation and activities do not cause or increase the risk from zards or adversely impact on Te Mana o te Wai, te Taiao, areas associated with mana whenua tural processes, ecosystems and biodiversity.

t of well-functioning urban environments was in the National Policy Statement on Urban nt 2020. There are a number of characteristics

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-------|--|---|------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | and qualities urban environ enhance the around, allow close to when provide vibra business active traditions and enable comm the effects of low-carbon en region. Well- urban form a use of spatial guidance. We impact, incom managing the and features |
| 82 | Objective 22, subclause (a), (b), (c) (i), (j) | Objective 22 subclauses (a), (b), (c), (i) and (j) | Support in part | Ātiawa seeks growth that both retains the ability for our people to live in their own rohe, and create housing opportunities that attract our own people home as part of the growing population. Housing should be supported by life sustaining infrastructure including improved public transpot hubs. The tino rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi should be recognised in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resouces. The manaakitanga that iwi, hapū and ahi kā have provided over generations to share their home with Tangata Tiriti needs to be recognised in the way growth is managed. This includes recognising the significant role of Marae as a spiritual and cultural home for our people, a social hub and in civil emergencies. Proactive initatives are required to ensure that our unique history, identity and culture is respected and given expression in the region | Specific amer below. |
| 83 | Objective 22, subclause (d) | Objective 22 (d) | Support | Ātiawa are concerned that development will be enabled prior to infrastructure being established. The provision of adequate and appropriate infrastructure and the design of urban form is foundational to the delivery of housing and intensification. When grounded in and guided by the mātauranga of mana whenua the results enhance the unique identity and culture of this place. If done poorly, housing and intensification can have enduring negative impacts on the relationship of Ātiawa with our lands and waters. | Ātiawa positi infrastructure ensure this o |
| 83,84 | Objective 22, subclause (f), and (k) | Objective 22, subclause (f), and (k) | Support | Ātiawa supports the objective of urban development as we seek to retain the ability for our people to live in their own rohe, and create housing opportunities that attract our own people home as part of the growing population. We support the focus on existing centres where life sustaining infrastructure including improved public transpot hubs are provided. We also support a proactive approach to responding to climate change including managed retreat and increased restrictions on develpment in hight prone flood areas. In line with this, we also support the identification | Retain as not |

es that contribute to forming a well-functioning ronment. Well-functioning urban environments ne quality of life for residents as it is easier to get ows for a greater supply and choice of housing ere people work or to public transport, and rant, safe, and cohesive centres that enhance tivity and enable Māori to express their cultural nd norms. Well-functioning urban environments nmunities and businesses to be more resilient to of climate change, and the uptake of zero and emission modes is supported throughout the II-functioning urban environments have compact and are well-designed and planned through the al and development strategies and use of design Nell-functioning urban environments are low orporating water sensitive urban design and he effects on other regionally significant values es as identified in this RPS.

endments are proposed in relation to the policies

sition is that Regional Council must ensure that ure is established prior to housing development to s objective is met.

otified.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| | | | | of future new town centres that are removed from flood and liquefation risk. | |
| | | | | We support development centred around public transport hubs and walkable catchments. However, the scale of that development needs to planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai, and ensure that the primary life-supporting values of freshwater, and secondary values of human rights in relation to water is provided for before other tertiary economic and social values are provided for. | |
| 83 | Objective 22 subclause (g) and (h) | Objective 22 subclause (g) and (h) | Support | Ātiawa supports the objective of urban development as we seek to retain the ability for our people to live in their own rohe, and create housing opportunities that attract our own people home as part of the growing population. We support the focus on existing centres where life sustaining infrastructure including improved public transpot hubs are provided. We also support a proactive approach to responding to climate change including managed retreat and increased restrictions on develpment in hight prone flood areas. In line with this, we also support the identification of future new town centres that are removed from flood and liquefation risk. | Retain as noti |
| 88 | Objective 22A | Objective 22A | Support in part | Ātiawa seeks growth that both retains the ability for our people to live in their own rohe, and create housing opportunities that attract our own people home as part of the growing population. Housing should be supported by life sustaining infrastructure including improved public transpot hubs. The tino rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi should be recognised in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resouces. The manaakitanga that iwi, hapū and ahi kā have provided over generations to share their home with Tangata Tiriti needs to be recognised in the way growth is managed. This includes recognising the significant role of Marae as a spiritual and cultural home for our people, a social hub and in civil emergencies. Proactive initatives are required to ensure that our unique history, identity and culture is respected and given expression in the region. | Specific amer below. |
| 89 | Objective 22B | Objective 22B | Support in part | Ātiawa support the rural area being strategically planned and impacts on significant values and features managed effectively. Ātiawa has an enduring whakapapa relationship with the natural and physical environment. Our values, kaupapa and taonga are our enduring platform. Our vision is for our people to be able to live their lives in the rohe of Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai in harmony with te taiao. This means we need to ensure the sustainable use of taonga and te taiao and that there are minimal | Amend as foll Objective 22E Development strategically p features, <u>incl</u> are managed |

| ught: |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| endments are proposed in relation to the policies |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| ollows: 2B |
| nt in the Wellington Region's rural area is / planned and impacts on significant values and <mark>cluding mana whenua values</mark> identified in this RPS ed effectively. |
| |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision sou |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|---|--|
| | | | | impacts to our taonga and community through decision-making around development. Managing the effects of water supply systems, stormwater and wastewater disposal services and transport infrastructure on our cultural values is critical. | |
| | | | | We support a proactive approach to responding to climate change including managed retreat and increased restrictions on develpment in hight prone flood areas. In line with this, we also support the identification of future new town centres that are removed from flood and liquefation risk. | |
| | | | | Water is a taonga that must have its mana and wairua protected and enhanced. Ātiawa support the move away from the use of hard structures to provide storm and flood protection. | |
| | | | | Ātiawa seek specific reference to mana whenua values in Objective 22B to ensure they are managed effectively in the rural area in regards to development. The Trusk seek deletion of the word identified as it is not appropriate or necessary to provide an extensive list of mana whenua values in the RPS. | |
| | 4.1 | Regulatory policies – direction to district and regional plans and the Regional Land Transport Plan | | | |
| 99 | Policy 2: | Reducing adverse effects of the discharge of odour, smoke, dust and fine particulate matter, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions – regional plans | Support | Ātiawa supports the amendments to Policy 2. | |
| 100 | Policy CC.1: | Transport infrastructure – district and regional plans | Support in part | Ātiawa have an interest in this Policy. In principle Ātiawa supports the intent of Policy CC.1 to reduce carbon emissions generated by transport. Ātiawa wants to ensure that maximising modal shift from private vehicles to public transport or active modes does not exacerbate existing inequalities. That is, ensuring accessibility for all capabilities – those who cannot easily walk or cycle, ensuring equity for Māori, and those with care-giving responsibilities. For example, it is reported 'that low-income people in some areas, consider it essential to own a car, because they have no other way to do what they need to get done in their lives. Work and other activities are not close enough to walk to; the cycling networks are not safe enough; and public transport is neither frequent nor direct for people who do not work in the central city and live close to train lines or rapid bus routes.' | Ātiawa seek of the comm infrastructur biggest barri |
| 100 | Policy CC.2: | Travel demand management plans – district plans | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports Policy CC.2. | Retain as no |

ek that the Regional Council partner with all parts nmunity and mana whenua to manage transport ture and planning to ensure those that faces that arriers are provided for.

s notified.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|--|------------------|--|---|
| 101 | Policy CC.3 | Enabling a shift to low and zero-carbon emission transport – district plans | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports Policy CC.3 | Retain as noti |
| 101 | Policy CC.4 | Climate resilient urban areas – district and regional plans | Support | Ātiawa supports the objective of urban development as we seek to retain the ability for our people to live in their own rohe, and create housing opportunities that attract our own people home as part of the growing population. We support the focus on existing centres where life sustaining infrastructure including improved public transpot hubs are provided. We also support a proactive approach to responding to climate change including managed retreat and increased restrictions on development in hight prone flood areas. In line with this, we also support the identification of future new town centres that are removed from flood and liquefation risk. | Retain as noti |
| 102 | Policy CC.5 | Avoid increases in agricultural greenhouse gas emissions – regional plan | Support | Ātiawa supports Policy CC.5. It is important that the word avoid has been applied to this policy, this is supported by Ātiawa. | Retain as noti |
| 102 | Policy CC.6: | Increasing regional forest cover and avoiding forestry on highly erodible land – regional plans | Support in part | Ātiawa supports the overall intent of Policy CC.6 to increase permanent forest cover to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promoting and incentivising indigenous forest cover and avoiding plantation forestry on highly erodible land. The Trust is concerned that this policy could affect whānau, hapū, and iwi that have an interest in plantation forestry. | Ātiawa seeks t who have land |
| 103 | Policy CC.7 | Protecting, restoring, and enhancing ecosystem and habitats that provide nature-based solutions to climate change – district and regional plans | Support in part | Ātiawa want nature based solutions; and we want to ensure that the ecosystems and habitats that support those nature based solutions are protected/enhanced/restore | Amend to: <u>Policy CC.7: Pro- change in dever- design.</u> <u>District and rec- rules and met- ecosystems and and mātauran including dever- design. <u>Priority shall be</u> benefit for clin- indigenous bio- District and rec- rules and/or re- solutions to cl- infrastructure</u> |
| 103 | Policy CC.8 | Prioritising greenhouse gas emissions reduction over offsetting – district and regional plans | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports the intent of Policy CC.8. However, the Policy (and RPS Change 1) lacks sufficient detail on how activities will be identified and how scale will be determined. It is concerning that some activities could | Amend to: Explanation This policy rec greenhouse g |

| ought: |
|---|
| notified. |
| |
| |
| |
| notified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| notified. |
| |
| |
| |
| eks that further engagement occurs with Māori |
| land that could be affected by Policy CC.6. |
| |
| |
| |
| 7: Providing for nature-based solutions to climate development and infrastructure planning and |
| |
| |
| d regional plans shall include objectives, policies, methods to protect, restore and enhance |
| is and habitats that provide nature based solutions |
| uranga Māori approaches to climate change, |
| development and infrastructure planning and |
| |
| all be given to actions that provide the greatest co- |
| r climate change mitigation and adaptation, s biodiversity, fresh and coastal water. |
| S DIOUVERSILY, TEST AND COASTAL WATEL. |
| d regional plans shall include objectives, policies, |
| or methods that provide for nature based |
| e climate change to be part of development and sure planning and design. |
| |
| on |
| recognises the importance of reducing gross se gas emissions as the first priority, and only using |
| |
| 15 |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--|------------------|--|---|
| | | | | be excluded from prioritising reducing greenhouse gas emissions over offsetting, particularly hard-to-abate sectors | carbon remove sectors. Rrelyi taking actions cumulative em gross emissior |
| 104 | Policy 3: | Protecting high natural character in the coastal environment – district and regional plans | Support in part | Policy 3 does not provide strong policy direction, particularly providing the clear distinction between how matters in clause (a) and (b) should be considered. The policy relies on the explanation to clarify that matters in (b) can compromise, modify or otherwise dimmish the natural character. Ātiawa seeks that Regional Council partner with mana whenua when identifying areas with high natural character. Ātiawa maintain their rangatiratanga within the Ātiawa rohe. Te Tiriti guarantees a partnership approach to resource management. | Amend to: In partnership shall include p natural charact inappropriate character show matters, with character whe unmodified, th vegetation and are no appare |
| 106 | Policy 7: | Recognising the benefits from renewable energy and regionally significant infrastructure – regional and district plans | Support | Ātiawa supports the amendments to Policy 7, particularly the intent to transition to low or zero carbon energy and infrastructure. Insert the words, 'national' and 'regional' to make it clear at what level these benefits occur. | Amend to: Explanation Notwithstandi regionally sign on the surroun recognises that the regional a region, in part low or zero ca |
| 107 | Policy 9: | Promoting greenhouse gas emission reduction and uptake of low emission fuels – Regional Land Transport Strategy | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports Policy 9. | Retain as notif |
| 108 | Policy 10 – deletion of Policy 10 | | Support | Ātiawa supports the deletion of Policy 10 as its content has been provided through Policy 9 and Policy CC.2 which better provide for climate change through greenhouse gas emission reductions from the transport industry. | |
| 109 | Policy 11: | Promoting and enabling energy efficient design and small scale renewable energy generation – district plans | Support | Ātiawa supports the amendments to Policy 11. | Retain as noti |
| 110 | Policy EIW.1: | Prioritising affordable high quality active mode and car share infrastructure and public transport services – Regional Land Transport Plan | Support | Ātiawa supports Policy EIW.1. Ātiawa recognises the impacts of the transport industry on climate change. Ātiawa seeks that Regional Council actively work with a range of people who represent all capabilities, abilities, and minorities to develop the Regional Land Transport Plan to ensure that the transportation needs reflect all parts of the community, including the most vulnerable, whilst reducing greenhouse gas emissions. | Ātiawa recogr climate chang work with a ra abilities, and r Transport Plar reflect all part vulnerable, wh |

ight:

wals to offset emissions from hard-toabate ying heavily on offsetting will delay people ns that reduce gross emissions, lead to higher emissions and push the burden of addressing ons onto future generations.

ip with mana whenua, district and regional plans policies, rules and/or methods to protect high acter in the coastal environment from e subdivision, development and/or use. Natural ould be assessed considering the following h a site determined as having high natural hen the landscape is slightly modified or the land-cover is dominated by indigenous nd/or the vegetation cover is natural and there rent buildings, structures, or infrastructure:

ding that renewable energy generation and gnificant infrastructure can have adverse effects unding environment and community, Policy 7 hat these activities can provide benefits <u>at both</u> and national scale both within and outside the rticular if regionally significant infrastructure is a carbon development tified.

tified.

gnises the impacts of the transport industry on nge. Ātiawa seeks that Regional Council actively range of people who represent all capabilities, I minorities to develop the Regional Land an to ensure that the transportation needs rts of the community, including the most whilst reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|--|------------------|---|--|
| 110 | Policy 12: | Management of water bodies – regional plans | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports Policy 12, we support giving effect to Te Mana o te Wai, which is a statutory obligation, we are pleased that this policy sets out a clear framework for implementing the NPS-FM. | Amend to: Regional plans include object (a) are prepar |
| | | | | Ātiawa seeks reference to mātauranga Māori to enable 'ki te tirohanga Māori'/Māori world view, values and systems, knowledge to be applied to freshwater management. The application of mātauranga Māori is provided for in the NPS-FM. | (a) are prepar whenua; (aa) enable th (ab) adopt an (b) achieve th (c) identify fre |
| | | | | In addition, Ātiawa seek reference to ki uta ki tai, an integrated approach is included as a subclause to Policy 12. Ātiawa has identified in our Kaitiakitanga Plan the value of natural order and balance; that the health of one component of the environment can not be understood in isolation from the whole, that all things are connected and that the well-being of the whole always has to be the frame within which kaitiakitanga is actioned. Freshwater must therefore be managed using a ki uta ki tai, an integrated approach, it is well understood that one part of the water cycle affects another – fragmented and piecemeal approaches to freshwater management only provide localised outcomes, or at times fail to achieve any meaningful improvement as they fail to address the key driver of poor freshwater quality and quantity. Ki uta ki tai must be applied to freshwater management to give effect to Te Mana o te Wai and in implementing the NPS-FM, and therefore create meaningful and measurable improvement to freshwater quality and quantity in the region. Ātiawa notes that Te Mana o te Wai can only be interpreted by mana whenua, Ātiawa are yet to complete the process to contextualise this concept for our rohe. This process will occur concurrently to RPS Change 1. | (d) identify va outcomes for (e) identify tai environmenta (f) set environ environmenta (g) identify lim will achieve th include these (h) identify no Action Plans t states (in addi (i) identify non Plans required |
| | | | | Therefore, further changes to the RPS will be required to give effect to Ātiawa interpretation of Te Mana o te Wai at the appropriate time. | |
| 111 | Policy 13 | Allocating water – regional plans (deletion of this policy is proposed by RPS Change 1) | Support | Ātiawa supports the proposed deletion of Policy 13, given that water allocation will be addressed through new policies introduced as part of RPS Change 1 in accordance with the national direction to assess environmental flows and levels and identify take limits. Ātiawa look forward to addressing this important and sensitive issue through the Whaitua o Kāpiti process. | Retain propos |
| 112 | Policy 14 | Urban development effects on freshwater and the coast marine area | Support | Ātiawa supports the overall intent of Policy 14, the policy includes much greater controls and checks for managing the effects of urban development on freshwater and the coastal marine area, including recognising and providing for mana whenua freshwater values. | |
| 113 | Policy 15 | Managing the effects of earthworks and vegetation disturbance – district and regional plans | Support in part | Ātiawa supports reference to providing for mana whenua values, and our relationship with our culture, land, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga. Ātiawa supports this consideration to be applied to regional and district plans to ensure that those mana whenua values are provided for in regards to earthworks and vegetation clearance. These two activities can have devastating impacts on mana whenua values when poorly managed. | Retain as notif Amend to: Regional and of methods that to minimise th attribute state including the of supportsing ca |

ans shall give effect to Te Mana o te Wai and ectives, policies, rules and/or methods that: pared in partnership with mana whenua / tangata

the application of mātauranga Māori; an integrated approach, ki uta ki tai;

the long-term visions for freshwater; freshwater management units (FMUs);

values for every FMU and environmental or these as objectives;

target attribute states that achieve

ntal outcomes, and record their baseline state; ronmental flows and levels that will achieve

ntal outcomes and long-term visions;

limits on resource use including take limits that the target attribute states, flows and levels and se as rules;

non-regulatory actions that will be included in s that will assist in achieving target attribute ddition to limits); and

non-regulatory and regulatory actions in Actions red by the NPS-FM

osed deletion.

otified.

nd district plans shall include policies, rules and/or nat control earthworks and vegetation disturbance the extent necessary to achieve the target ates for water bodies and freshwater ecosystems ne effects of these activities on the lifeg capacity of soils, and to provide for mana

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|----------------------|--|---|
| | | | | The current drafting does not provide strong policy direction, the words 'to the extent necessary' are open to interpretation, and are a soft approach to the management earthworks and vegetation disturbance. Ātiawa has suggested the deletion of those words to ensure target attribute states are achieved and mana whenua values are provided for. | whenua / tan culture, land, |
| 114 | Policy 17 | Take and use of water for the health needs of people – regional plans | Support in principle | In principle Ātiawa supports the amendments to Policy 17 which provides for the of obligations to be applied to water takes. Ātiawa also supports the inclusion of subclause (d) to include the taking of water for marae as part of the health needs of people. Ātiawa is keen to understand how this policy will be applied to current water permits, especially where catchments are over-allocated or nearing over-allocation. Water rights (including permits) are a significant issue for Ātiawa. | Retain as noti |
| 115 | Policy 18 | Protecting and restoring ecological health of water bodies – regional plans | Support in part | Altiawa supports the amendments to Policy 18 which introduce stronger controls to protect and restore the ecological health of water bodies. In particular, Ātiawa supports inclusion of subclause (a) and (b) which provide for Te Mana o te Wai and mana whenua involvement (including at decision-making) as well Māori freshwater values. Ātiawa seek that an integrated approach, ki uta ki tai also be included in the list of subclauses. It cannot be understated that understanding and managing the natural environment, particularly ecological health of water bodies is integral to achieving improves to ecological health. Additionally, Ātiawa seeks reference to mātauranga Māori. Mātauranga Māori should be recognised and provided for as part of this policy, the NPS-FM provides for mātauranga Māori to be applied to all freshwater management (including ecological health). Ātiawa seeks that the word 'avoid' replace the word 'restricting' in subclauses (n),(o),(p),(q), to ensure that these activities are avoided in order to protect and restore ecological function. Ātiawa is concerned that the word 'restrict' could allow leniency and allow activities to occur that have adverse outcomes for ecological function. | environment managed in a (bc) Incorpora restore ecolog Amend the fo (n) discourage straightening (o) discourage rivers, lakes a (p)-discourage from wetland the hydrologie (q) discourage |
| 116 | Policy FW.1 | Reducing water demand – regional plans | Support | Ātiawa supports in principle reducing demand on water supply and encouraging more efficient use of water. | Retain as noti |
| 116 | Policy FW.2 | Reducing water demand – district plans | Support | Ātiawa supports in principle reducing demand on water supply and encouraging more efficient use of water. | Retain as noti |
| 116 | Policy FW.3 | Urban development effects on freshwater and the coastal marine area – district plans | Support | Ātiawa supports reference to Te Mana o te Wai, and that district plans must include objectives, policies, and methods (including rules) that give effect to Te Mana o te Wai. Ātiawa is pleased that particular consideration has been given to partnering with mana whenua, Māori freshwater values (including mahinga kai) and | Retain as noti |

| angata whenua and their relationship with their |
|--|
| d, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga. |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| w subclauses: |
| an integrated approach, ki uta ki tai, that |
| the interconnectedness of the whole |
| nt to ensure that ecological health of freshwater is |
| <u>1 an integrated, ecosystem wide approach</u> orate the use of mātauranga Māori to protect and |
| logical health |
| |
| following subclauses: |
| age <u>restricting</u> avoid the reclamation, piping, |
| ng or concrete lining of rivers; age <u>restricting avoid</u> stock access to estuaries, |
| s and wetland; |
| age <u>restricting</u> avoid the diversion of water into or |
| nds – unless the diversion is necessary to restore |
| ogical variation to the wetland; |
| age <u>restricting avoid</u> the removal or destruction us plants in wetlands and lakes; and |
| us plants in wetianus and lakes, and |
| |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|---|---|
| | | | | other values, providing for a ki uta ki tai approach, and the use of mātauranga Māori. | |
| 118 | Policy FW.4 | Financial contributions for urban development – district plans | Support in part | Ātiawa support financial contributions to be applied to subdivision and development to mitigate the management of offsite stormwater quality and quantity treatment is required. | |
| 118 | Policy 23 | Identifying indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values – district and regional plans | | Ātiawa supports identifying and protecting indigenous ecosystems and habitats. Indigenous ecosystems and habitats not only play a vital role in ensuring the health, well-being and balance of te taiao, but also provide for mana whenua values such as mauri, wairua, whakapapa and mana. When our indigenous ecosystems are flourishing and abundant it enables Ātiawa to interact with te taiao to undertake activities which enhance our relationship with te taiao, thereby strengthening our identity. Ātiawa supports subclause (e) which enables mana whenua to identify indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are significant to mana whenua. Therefore mana whenua seek to work in partnership with local authorities to identify and evaluate indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values. Ātiawa seek clarity on why the timeframe (30 June 2025) has been extended by one year compared to the pre-notified version date of 30 June 2024. Ātiawa are concerned that indigenous ecosystems and habitats that don't meet criteria to be considered 'significant' will then perceived as okay to modify and destroy. We do not support this and seek that the Regional | By 30 June 20 with mana will ecosystems a biodiversity v considered si following crite |
| 119 | Policy 24 | Protecting indigenous ecosystem and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values – district and regional plans | Support in part | Council avoid this from occurring. While Ātiawa supports provisions to protect indigenous ecosystems and habitats from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. Ātiawa opposes the use of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation methods where an ecosystem or habitat contains mana whenua values (including spiritual, historical or cultural significance to mana whenua). We seek to work in partnership with Regional Council to identify ecosystems and habitats that contain mana whenua values. | |

2025, district and regional plans, <u>in partnership</u> whenua shall identify and evaluate indigenous and habitats with significant indigenous values; these ecosystems and habitats will be significant if they meet one or more of the riteria:

nclude new subclause:

vide for biodiversity offsetting:

- where there is no appropriate site, knowledge, oven methods, expertise or mechanism available design and implement an adequate biodiversity set; or
- when an activity is anticipated to causes residual verse effects on an area after an offset has been blemented if the ecosystem or species is eatened or the ecosystem is naturally common;
- the ecosystem or habitat contains mana whenua ues (including spiritual, historical or cultural nificance to mana whenua).

vide for biodiversity compensation where an nticipated to cause residual adverse effects on an ecosystem or species is threatened or the is naturally uncommon, <u>or, the ecosystem or</u>

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|----------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | habitat conta historical or c |
| 121 | Policy IE.1 | Giving effect to mana whenua/tangata whenua roles and values when managing indigenous biodiversity – district and regional plans | Support | Ātiawa supports the role of mana whenua to be recognised and provided for through Policy IE.1. The policy clearly sets out ways to enable mana whenua to exercise their kaitiakitanga. | Retain as not |
| 121 | Policy 29 | Managing subdivision use and development in areas at risk from natural hazards – district and regional plans | Support in principle | While Ātiawa supports the overall intent of Policy 29, Ātiawa is concerned with details of the policy. In particular, (d), Ātiawa is concerned that Regional Council is determining how mana whenua can develop and use their land. Ātiawa acknowledges that it is important to avoid development in areas where risk is high to extreme; however any remnants of land held by Māori that trigger this subclause would be significantly limited. Ātiawa would like to work with Regional Council to determine which areas are affected by natural hazards (both low/tolerable and intolerable) to work together through any issues that capture land held by Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai uri. | Amend to: Regional and (a) <u>partner w</u> natural hazar (b) use a risk- subdivision, u climate chang (c) include ob subdivision, u hazards and r (d) include ob use or develo hazards and r |
| 123 | Policy 30 | Maintaining and enhancing the viability and vibrancy of regionally and locally significant centres – district plans | | Ātiawa supports the objective of urban development as we seek to retainthe ability for our people to live in their own rohe, and create housingopportunities that attract our own people home as part of the growingpopulation. We support the focus on existing centres where life sustaininginfrastructure including improved public transport hubs are provided. | Retain as not |
| 125 | Policy 31 | Identifying and enabling a range of building heights and density – district plans | | We also support a proactive approach to responding to climate change. | Retain as not |
| 127 | Policy 32 | Identifying and protecting key industrial- based employment locations – district plans | | | Retain as not |
| 128 | Policy 33 | Supporting well- functioning urban environments and a reduction in transport related greenhouse gas emissions – Regional Transport Plan | | | Retain as not |
| 129 | Policy UD.1 | Providing for the occupation, use, development, and ongoing relationship of | Support in part | Ātiawa position is that papakāinga should be provided for, not just recognised. Papakāinga are a taonga that enable tangata whenua to live on and be sustained by their ancestral land in accordance with tikanga Māori. | Amend as fol (c) recognisin cultural, and |
| | | mana whenua/tangata | | Papakāinga development should enable Māori to live as Māori, and should | papakāinga; a |

| ught: |
|---|
| ntains mana whenua values (including spiritual, r cultural significance to mana whenua).; |
| otified. |
| |
| d district plans shall: <u>with mana whenua to</u> identify areas affected by ards; and k-based approach to assess the consequences to |
| , use and development from natural hazard and nge impacts over a 100 year planning horizon; objectives, polices and rules to manage , use and development in those areas where the d risks are assessed as low to moderate; and |
| objectives, polices and rules to avoid subdivision, lopment and hazard sensitive activities where the d risks are assessed as high to extreme. potified. |
| Sincu. |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| |
| ollows: |
| ing providing for the historical, contemporary, d social importance of |
| ; and |
| |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|--|------------------|---|--|
| | | whenua with their ancestral lands. | | support tangata whenua to thrive as a community. This includes the social, cultural and economic wellbeing of iwi, hapū and whānau. | Retain remair |
| 133 | Policy IM.1 | Integrated management — ki uta ki tai — consideration | Support | Ātiawa supports Policy IM.1. Ātiawa is pleased that the drafting supports Te Tiriti; the policy principally recognises and upholds several core concepts that are fundamental to te ao Māori approach to resource management, including working in partnership with local government, ki uta ki tai/integrated management, mātauranga Māori. | |
| 134 | Policy IM.2 | Equity and inclusiveness – consideration | Support | Ātiawa supports ensuring that resource management creates fair and equitable outcomes and avoids exacerbating inequalities. | Retain as noti |
| 134 | Policy CC.9 | Reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with transport infrastructure – consideration | Support | Ātiawa supports reducing greenhouse gas emissions from transport by ensuring that resource consent for subdivision, use and development are designed in a way that optimise travel demand and maximise modal shift (from private vehicles to public transport and active modes). We support development centred around public transport hubs and walkable catchments. However, the scale of that development needs to planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. | Retain as noti |
| 135 | Policy CC.10 | Freight movement efficiency and minimising greenhouse gas emissions – consideration | Supports in part | While Ātiawa acknowledges the Regional Council's views on freight distribution centres and their proximity to efficient transport networks, Ātiawa is concerned that there could be adverse affects on mana whenua values from their construction in our rohe. Ātiawa seeks active involvement in decision-making to avoid adverse outcomes for mana whenua and our relationship with our culture, traditions, ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga in the development of new freight distribution centres and new industrial areas. | |
| 135 | Policy CC.11 | Encouraging whole of life carbon emissions assessment – consideration | Support in part | Atiawa supports ensuring that carbon emission assessments are considered in the whole-of-life context to assess the environmental impact of new and altered transport infrastructure. Atiawa seeks that stronger wording be applied to this policy, rather than the word 'encourage' which could be interpreted an optional part of a resource consent application. Considering greenhouse gases from transport represent the largest contribution (39%) to emissions in the region, the Regional Council should go further to ensure that these assessments are required as part of the consent application. | Policy CC.11: assessment – When conside notice of requ regional or di assessment is |

| ught: |
|--|
| ainder of policy as drafted. |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| uneu. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| : Encouraging Whole of life carbon emissions – consideration |
| dering an application for a resource consent, quirement, or a change, variation or review of a district plan, a whole of life carbon emissions |
| is required encouraged for all new or altered frastructure as part of the information submitted |
| olication. This information will assist with he potential greenhouse gas emissions, options g direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions |
| r the infrastructure has been designed and will manner that contributes to the regional target |
| ion to transport-related greenhouse gas |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|--|------------------|--|--|
| 136 | Policy CC.12 | Protect, enhance and restore ecosystems that provide nature-based solutions to climate change - considerations | Support in part | Ātiawa supports the protection, enhancement and restoration of ecosystems, Ātiawa acknowledge the significant benefits that ecosystems and nature-based solutions provide to climate change and natural hazards. The wording of the policy does not provide a strong mechanism to protect, enhance and restore ecosystems. We do not think is appropriate, we seek clear policy direction to ensure biodiversity is protected, enhanced and restored. | When consident notice of required district or reg |
| | | | | | Priority shall benefits for c indigenous bi a determinati adversely affe and particula effects on the functions |
| 136 | Policy CC.13 | Managing agricultural gross greenhouse gas emissions – consideration | Support | Ātiawa note that the agricultural sector should be supported to reduce and avoid greenhouse gas emissions from their activities. | Retain as noti |
| 136 | Policy CC.14 | Climate-resilient urban areas – consideration | Support | Ātiawa supports providing for actions and initiatives (including nature-based solutions) to contribute to climate resilient urban areas. | Retain as not |
| 137 | Policy 39 | Recognising the benefits from renewable energy and regionally significant infrastructure – consideration | Support in part | In principle Ātiawa supports options for renewable energy generation. Ātiawa seeks to be actively involved in resource consent applications where renewable energy activities could impact on mana whenua values, particularly with our relationship with culture, traditions, ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga. | |
| 139 | Policy 40 | Protecting and enhancing the health and well-being of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems – consideration | Support in part | While Ātiawa supports the protection and enhancement of the health and well-being of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems, Ātiawa is concerned that this policy applies only to regional consents. Ātiawa seeks that this policy apply where relevant to district consents. When viewing the natural environment through an integrated lens, which is a widely acknowledged and accepted principle, the effects of land use effect other parts of the natural environment. That is, what occurs on the land, if poorly management can have poor outcomes for water, biodiversity, and the ecosystem. An integrated approach to resource management is a key aspect of RPS Change 1, as it is currently drafted this policy does not align with this approach. Ātiawa does not propose to address responsibilities of regional and local authorities, rather to address at a high level that all freshwater management must (whether direct or indirect) must be managed in a way that gives effect to the NPS-FM, including Te Mana o te Wai. | being of wate consideration When conside consent, part |

| ught: |
|---|
| idering an application for a resource consent, equirement, or a change, variation or review of a egional plan, particular regard shall be given to: |
| otecting ecosystems from adverse effects of an ivity on climate change mitigation or adaptation octions and; nance or restoring ecosystems where the osystem health is in a degraded state in order to nature-based solutions to provide climate change tigation or adaptation functions. |
| II be given to actions that provide the greatest co- r climate change mitigation and adaptation, biodiversity, fresh and coastal water. |
| ation shall be made as to whether an activity may ffect a nature based solution to climate change lar regard shall be given to avoiding adverse the climate change mitigation or adaptation |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| Protecting and enhancing the health and well- ater bodies and freshwater ecosystems — on |
| idering an application for a regional resource articular regard shall be given to: |
| |
| |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|--|---|
| 140 | Policy 41 | Controlling the effects of earthworks and vegetation disturbance - | Support in part | Ātiawa supports controls to manage the effects of earthworks and vegetation disturbance, this is a significant resource management issue for Ātiawa. | Policy 41: Con vegetation dis |
| | | consideration | | Ātiawa seeks that erosion is considered as part of this policy, it is not clear why this has been removed from the framework as impacts of erosion remain a significant issue in the region. | When conside particular rega vegetation dis |
| | | | | Ātiawa seek that all discharges to water bodies are avoided, regardless whether suspended sediment limits are exceeded or not. Sedimentation of water ways pose significant risk to water quality in the region. | (a) minimising and (b) considerin environmenta |
| | | | | In addition, \bar{A} tiawa seeks that Te Mana o te Wai, and other mana whenua values are given effect to when considering earthworks and vegetation disturbance | (c) avoiding di may enter a v sediment are |
| | | | | Although Ātiawa supports the intent to achieve environmental outcomes and target attribute states these have not been determined through the Whaitua process for Ātiawa rohe. In the absence of environmental outcomes and target attributes states we seek to work with Regional Council to ensure that there are appropriate interim measures to assess an proposed activity | (d) giving effe (e) considerin whenua relati lands, water, s |
| 141 | Policy 42 | Effects on freshwater and the coastal marine area from urban development – consideration | Support | against. Ātiawa supports the considerations applied to this policy, and the intent of the policy to manage the effects of urban development on freshwater and the coastal and marine area. | Retain as noti |
| 143 | Policy 43 | Protecting aquatic ecological function of water bodies – consideration (deletion of policy) | Support | Ātiawa supports deletion of this policy as these matters are provided for in a more holistic manner through Policy 41 and Policy 42. | Retain as noti |
| 144 | Policy 44 | Managing water takes and use to give effect to Te Mana o te Wai – consideration. | Support | Ātiawa support the amendments made to Policy 44 to better provide for mana whenua values, including Māori freshwater values, achieving overall ecosystem health, and giving effect to Te Mana o te Wai in regards to water takes and use. | Policy 44: Mar Mana o te Wa When conside notice of requ |
| | | | | Ātiawa seeks specific reference to the hierarchy of obligations to ensure that water takes and use are considered against the national legislation. Additionally, Ātiawa seeks reference to ki uta ki tai, to acknowledge the | regional plan be given effec |
| | | | | impact of a water take and usage on the entire water cycle, and the wider ecosystem. | (a) Māori fres provided for; (b) sites of sig protected; (bb) the biore |
| | | | | | (bb) the hiera (bc) integrate (c) Environme flows, are ach |
| | | | | | (d) Take limits variability, saf |

| ught: |
|---|
| ontrolling the effects of earthworks and disturbance – consideration |
| dering an application for a resource consent, egard shall be given to controlling earthworks and disturbance by: |
| ng erosion and the runoff of silt and sediment; |
| ing whether the activity will achieve ital outcomes and target attribute states; and discharges to water bodies, and to land where it waterbody, where limits for suspended ie not met. <u>fect to Te Mana o te Wai; and</u> |
| ing the mana whenua values, including mana ationship with their culture, traditions, ancestral r, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taonga . |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| lanaging water take and use to give effect to Te Vai– consideration |
| dering an application for a resource consent, quirement, or a change, variation or review of a n to take and use water, Te Mana o te Wai must ect to so that: |
| eshwater values, including mahinga kai are r; |
| ignificance, wāhi tapu and wāhi tupuna are |
| rarchy of obligations is provided for; ted management, ki uta ki tai is considered; nental flows and levels, including variability of chieved; |
| its are achieved that provide for flow or level afeguard ecosystem health, provide for the life |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | cycle needs of environmenta (e) the applica sought is reas including cons taken for irrig (f) requiring th actual amoun (g) requiring t and demand r water will be (h) there is co storage or cap summer mont |
| 145 | Policy FW 5: | Water supply planning for climate change and urban development – consideration | Support in part | Ātiawa supports water supply planning for climate change and urban development. Ātiawa is not clear why the approach in the policy only applies to a change, variation, or review of a regional or district plan. Ātiawa seeks that this policy also apply to resource consent applications to ensure that water supply planning is considered as part of resource consent applications. | Policy FW.5: W urban develop When conside |
| 145 | Policy 47 | Managing effects on indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values – consideration | | Ātiawa supports the amendments made to Policy 47 to provide for indigenous ecosystems and habitats. We seek reference to mana whenua values associated with indigenous ecosystems and habitats are provided for in Policy 47 to ensure our values are considered as part of any assessment. | Amend to: (j) recognising |
| 146 | Policy IE.2 | Giving effect to mana whenua whenua/tangata whenua roles and values when managing indigenous biodiversity | Support | Ātiawa supports Policy IE.2, the policy provides for mana whenua, including our values, mātauranga Māori, and our ability to exercise our cultural practices and ways of being in the natural world. | |
| 147 | Policy 51 | Minimising the risks and consequences of natural hazards – consideration | Support in part | Ātiawa supports in part the proposed amendments to Policy 51. Ātiawa supports the intent of the policy to reduce the impact of natural hazards on the natural and physical environment, as well as anthropological values. Ātiawa is concerned that the policy does not adequately provide for mana whenua values. As it is drafted the policy is silent on any values for mana whenua. Ātiawa seeks a new subclause be added to provide for this. Additionally, Ātiawa seeks to work together with Regional Council, to ensure that decision-making occurs under the principle of partnership to guarantee | (f) <u>mana wh</u> <u>relationsl</u> <u>sites, wāl</u> Insert new po Policy xx: Part |

- of aquatic life, and take into account ntal outcomes;
- licant has demonstrated that the volume of water easonable and justifiable for the intended use, onsideration of soil and crop type when water is
- rigation purposes;
- the consent holder to measure and report the unt of water taken;
- g the consent holder to adopt water conservation d management measures and demonstrate how be used efficiently; and
- consideration of alternate water supplies such as capture of rainwater for use during the drier onths

: Water supply planning for climate change and lopment – consideration

idering <u>an application for resource consent</u>, riation or review of a regional or district plan egard shall be given to: (a) climate change water supply, including water availability and

I from future population projections; ment of future water sources, storage, treatment ation; and on of existing and future water sources.

ng and providing for indigenous ecosystems and at contain mana whenua values (including storical and cultural characteristics)

otified.

v subclauses: whenua values, including mana whenua nship with their traditions, ancestral lands, water, vāhi tapu and other taonga.

policy:

artner with mana whenua in decision-making and nt processes for natural hazards, to recognise and

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | that mana whenua values are provided for and protected in regards to natural hazards. Ātiawa seeks an additional policy to provide this relief. | provide for t tapu and oth |
| 149 | Policy 52 | Minimising adverse effects of hazard mitigation measures - consideration | Support | Ātiawa supports in part the proposed amendments to Policy 52. Ātiawa supports the overall intent of the policy. Ātiawa seeks further amendments to provide for mana whenua values. Ātiawa supports reference to mātauranga Māori options. Ātiawa is encouraged that mātauranga Māori is being recognised by Regional Council given it offers solutions to many resource management issues we face today. Ātiawa seeks that mana whenua lead and partner with Regional Council to develop such solutions. This includes tikanga to protect mātauranga Māori, including how it is used, access, stored and shared. Ātiawa supports the use soft engineering, green infrastructure solutions over | Ātiawa inclus (cd) adverse (mahinga kai (ce) adverse (culture, land, |
| 150 | Policy 55 | Providing for appropriate urban expansion | Support in part | hard structural and engineering solutions.Ātiawa note that Section 6 of the RMA states that in "achieving the purpose of this Act,shall recognise and provide for (e) the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga"Policy 55 does not adequately recognise and provide to these matters. | Amend as fol 7. Recognises significance <u>a</u> whenua, Retain remain |
| 153 | Policy 56 | Managing development in rural areas – consideration | Oppose in part | As it is drafted the policy does not provide for an assessment of the potential impacts of development in rural areas on the natural environment. While Ātiawa recognise that rural areas should maintain their productive nature, it is also realistic to expect development to occur in rural areas, particularly on the fringe of urban settlements. Therefore, Ātiawa seek additional considerations to be included in this policy. | Include new s (f) the prop values, in ancestra taonga; (g) the prop (h) integrate (i) protectin significal |
| 154 | Policy 57 | Integrating land use and transportation – consideration | Support | Ātiawa supports the overall intent of Policy 57. Ātiawa wants to ensure that maximising modal shift from private vehicles to public transport or active modes does not exacerbate existing inequalities. That is, ensuring accessibility for all capabilities – those who cannot easily walk or cycle, ensuring equity for Māori, and those with care-giving responsibilities. For example it is reported that low-income people in some areas consider it essential to own a car, because they have no other way to do what they need to get done in their lives. Work and other activities are not close enough to walk to; the cycling networks are not safe enough; and public transport is neither frequent nor direct for people who do not work in the central city and live close to train lines or rapid bus routes. Ātiawa seeks that the Regional Council actively partner with mana whenua and other parts of the community who are most impacted by the proposed policy to provide the greatest benefit to all. | |

r their relationship with water, land, sites, wāhi ther taonga that is susceptible to such events.

lusion of the following subclauses:

<u>se effects on Māori freshwater values, including</u> <u>ai</u>

se effects on mana whenua relationship with their ad, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga

follows:

ses and provides for values, <u>sites and areas</u> of e <u>and other taonga</u> to mana whenua / tangata

nainder of policy as drafted.

w subclauses:

oposal will adversely impact on mana whenua s, including the relationship with traditions,

ral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other

a;

oposal is resilient to climate change

ates Te Mana o te Wai consistent with Policy 42 cting indigenous ecosystems and habitats with cant biodiversity values as identified in Policy 23 notified.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|--|---|
| 155 | Policy 58 | Co-ordinating land use with development and operation of infrastructure – consideration | Support in part | Ātiawa supports the overall intent of policy - that all new urban development, including supporting infrastructure occurs in a sequenced and planned manner. Although Ātiawa recognises this is administratively challenging for regional and district council to coordinate, it is important that councils actively work together to achieve well-functioning urban development.Where infrastructure is available, it should be assessed to measure if the current infrastructure can handle additional capacity (i.e. wastewater and stormwater network that is already at capacity). | Amend to red infrastructure |
| | | | | Ātiawa oppose development being enabled on the basis of programmed infrastructure. | |
| 156 | Policy UD.2 | Enable Māori cultural and traditional norms – consideration | Support | Ātiawa supports Policy UD.2. | Retain as not |
| 156 | Policy UD.3 | Responsiveness planning to developments that provide for significant development capacity – consideration | Oppose in part | While Ātiawa is supportive of providing for urban development in a strategic and sequenced way, in accordance with mana whenua values, Ātiawa is concerned that responsiveness planning conflicts with the principles of a well-functioning urban development, in particular coordinating and planning for development (including supporting infrastructure). | Include new s (e) the propo whenua value water, sites, v |
| | | | | In addition, Ātiawa seek that mana whenua values are recognised and provided for during responsiveness planning for developments under Policy UD.3. | |
| 159 | Policy 61 | Allocation of responsibilities for land use controls for indigenous biodiversity | Support | Ātiawa supports the correction made to Policy 61. | Retain as not |
| 159 | Policy FW.6 | Allocation of responsibilities for land use and development controls for freshwater | Support | Ātiawa supports the direction provided to the regional and city and district councils through Policy FW.6. | Retain as not |
| 163 | Policy CC.15 | Improve rural resilience to climate change - non regulatory | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports the intent of this policy. | Retain as not |
| 163 | Policy CC.16 | Climate change adaptation strategies, plans and implementation programmes – non- regulatory | Support in part | Ātiawa supports Policy CC.16, and are supportive of partnering with the Regional Council to prepare strategic climate change adaptation plans, mana whenua involvement will be enabled through subclause (g) of this policy. Atiawa seek that the community is provided for in a separate policy. Ātiawa note that care should be taken where policies lump together partnering with mana whenua and engaging the community, this relationship should be managed under a Tiriti framework to ensure the principles are upheld. Only mana whenua can identify te ao Māori and mātauranga Māori approaches, and Te Mana o te Wai and Te Rito o te Harakeke. | |

| ught: |
|--|
| |
| equire that development occurs on the basis of |
| re provided. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| v subclause: |
| osal shall recognise and provide for mana |
| |
| ues, including their culture, ancestral lands, |
| <u>, wāhi tapu and other taonga.</u> |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|---|--|
| 164 | Policy CC.17 | Iwi climate change adaptation plans – non- regulatory | Support | Ātiawa supports Policy CC.17, Ātiawa want to ensure that iwi climate change adaptation plans are provided equal consideration and implementation as part of the wider adaptation action/frameworks to plan for climate change. | Retain as not |
| 164 | Policy CC.18 | Increasing regional forest cover to supports climate change mitigation: "right tree – right place" – non regulatory | Support | Ātiawa supports increasing regional forest cover, particularly indigenous permanent forest. Ātiawa requests that the Regional Council look for opportunities for mana whenua to partner together in regards to Policy CC.18 and planting of indigenous forest. | Retain as not |
| 164 | Policy 65 | Supporting and encouraging efficient use and conservation of resources – non- regulatory | Support | In principle Ātiawa supports Policy 65. Ātiawa would like to see more emphasis on reducing waste first. | (a) applying t residual w supports a |
| 166 | Policy FW.7 | Water attenuation and retention – non- regulatory | Support | Ātiawa supports the Regional Council promoting and supporting natural and built solutions to attenuate and retain water. | Retain as not |
| 166 | Policy FW.8 | Land use adaptation – non-regulatory | Support | Ātiawa supports this policy. | Retain as not |
| 166 | Policy IE.3 | Maintaining, enhancing, and restoring indigenous ecosystem health – non- regulatory | Support in part | Ātiawa supports the overall intent of Policy IE.3. Ātiawa seeks that mana whenua partner with Regional Council in this policy. Mana whenua can provide mātauranga Māori that is essential to understanding and identifying measures of ecosystem health. In addition, mana whenua are often acutely aware of areas that would benefit from restoration efforts. Further, partnering with mana whenua upholds Te Tiriti and the responsibility of Regional Council to work with mana whenua to protect and provide for mana whenua values at all levels of resource management (governance, decision- making, through to practical actions/operational level). | Amend introd <u>To maintain,</u> <u>ecological int</u> <u>region's indig</u> <u>processes tha</u> <u>Harakeke, the</u> <u>mana whenu</u> |
| 167 | Policy IE.4 | Recognising the roles and values of landowners and communities in the management of indigenous biodiversity – non-regulatory | Support | Ātiawa supports the role and contribution of landowners and communities in the management of indigenous biodiversity. Ātiawa are supportive of Ātiawa acknowledge the collective efforts required to create meaningful improvements to te taiao. | Retain as not |
| 167 | Policy 67: | Establishing and maintaining the qualities and characteristics of well-functioning urban environments | Support | Ātiawa approach to growth is grounded in and guided by our mātauranga, thus recognising the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi, applying the enduring wisdom of kaupapa Māori and enhancing the unique identity and culture of this place. Proactive initiatives are required to ensure that our unique history, identity and culture is respected and given expression in the region. The Design Guides are a key mechanism in giving effect to our kaupapa (values), huanga (vision) through our tikanga (approach) as expressed in Whakarongotai o te moana, Whakarongotai o te wā. | Amend as fol (a) implemer and any u practice u mātauran medium c |
| 179 | Method FW.1. | Freshwater Action Plan | Support | Ātiawa support the preparation of freshwater action plans, in accordance with the NPS-FM. Ātiawa also support mana whenua partnering with | Prepare Fresh whenua / tan contribute to |

| ught: |
|---|
| otified. |
| otified. |
| |
| the 5 Rs (reduce, reuse, recycle, recover, and waste management), <u>in particular encourage and</u> sactions to reduce waste; |
| otified. |
| otified. |
| oductory text to Policy IE.3 as follows: |
| , enhance and restore the ecosystem health, ntegrity and ecological connectivity of the igenous ecosystems, and the ecological nat supports them, giving effect to Te Rito o te ne Regional Policy Statement in partnership with ua shall, as soon as practicable: |
| otified. |
| bllows: |
| enting the New Zealand Urban Design Protocol urban design guidance that provides for best urban design and amenity outcomes, including nga Māori and for high density development and density residential development; |
| shwater Action Plans in partnership with mana ngata whenua, as required by the NPS-FM to o achieving the target attribute states set in the |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|---|--|
| | | | | Regional Council to prepare freshwater action plans. Ātiawa seek that this partnership model is enabled through funding/resourcing. It is not clear when a freshwater action plan would not be required as part of the NPS-FM which sets out the framework for all freshwater management. Ātiawa seek minor changes in keeping with the NPS-FM text and a deletion of the last sentence which seems to be redundant (all freshwater management must be in accordance with the NPS-FM). | NRP, for each freshwater ac measures and attribute state the Regional C resourcing. will outline no limits and oth Where an act contain both |
| 180 | Method CC.1 | Climate change education and behaviour change programme | Support | Ātiawa support Method CC.1, in particular the inclusion of te ao Māori and mātauranga Māori. Ātiawa seek that mana whenua actively partner with the Regional Council in the development and implementation phase of any programme that uses Ātiawa values and mātauranga. In addition, this partnership and use of te ao Māori and mātauranga Māori must be enabled through funding and resourcing. Ātiawa note that any use of mātauranga Māori shall be in accordance with tikanga and kawa developed by the relevant mana whenua. | Support and e programmes, Māori perspec emission and <u>The Regional (</u> whenua to de education and <u>ao Māori and</u> to partner wit funding and ro |
| 180 | Method CC.2 | Develop carbon emissions offsetting guidelines | Support in part | Ātiawa acknowledge that carbon offsetting will be required in certain circumstances, Ātiawa prefer carbon emissions reductions at source are prioritised. | |
| 180 | Method IE.1 | Partnering with mana whenua/tangata whenua to give effect to Te Rito o te Harakeke | Support | Ātiawa support Method IE.1. Ātiawa seek that this partnership model is enabled through funding/resourcing. | Insert the follo Mana whenua Council throu |
| 181 | Method 14 | Information on natural hazards and climate change | Support in part | Ātiawa support the intent of Method 14. Ātiawa seek to partner with Regional Council to undertake research, prepare and disseminate information about natural hazards and climate change. Mana whenua (including our ancestral land, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga) are particularly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change and natural hazards, naturally mana whenua should be actively involved in this method. Ātiawa seek that the Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing. | prepare and d and climate cl (a) guide and (b) raise a hazar |
| | | | | | Mana whenua Council throug |
| 181 | Method UD.1 | Development manuals and design guides | Support in part | Ātiawa note that our approach to growth is grounded in and guided by our mātauranga, thus recognising the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi, applying the enduring wisdom of kaupapa Māori and enhancing the unique identity and culture of this place. Proactive initiatives are required to ensure that our unique history, identity and culture is respected and given expression in the District. The Design Guides are a key mechanism in giving effect to our | In partnership development (a) Urban desi design and am 67(a); |

ch whaitua no later than December 2026. <u>The</u> action plans may describe both regulatory nd non-regulatory measure to achieve target ates. Mana whenua are enabled to partner with al Council through adequate funding and

non regulatory measures, which, along with ther rules, will achieve target attribute states. action plan is required by the NPS FM it shall th regulatory and non-regulatory actions. d enable climate education and behaviour change es, that include Te Ao Māori and Mātauranga pectives, to support a fair transition to lownd climate resilient region.

al Council will work in partnership with mana develop and implement climate change and behaviour change programmes that include te ad mātauranga Māori. Mana whenua are enabled with the Regional Council through adequate d resourcing.

ollowing sentence: nua are enabled to partner with the Regional pugh adequate funding and resourcing.

nip with mana whenua, undertake research, d disseminate information about natural hazards c change effects in order to:

de local authority planning and decision-making; I

e awareness and understanding of natural ards.

nua are enabled to partner with the Regional bugh adequate funding and resourcing.

nip with mana whenua, prepare the following nt manuals and design guidance:

esign guidance to provide for best practice urban amenity outcomes in accordance with Policy

| | | | | | 1 |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|---|--|
| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
| | | | | kaupapa (values), huanga (vision) through our tikanga (approach) as expressed in Whakarongotai o te moana, Whakarongotai o te wā. | (b) Papakāing kaupapa whic accordance w |
| | | | | Ātiawa support working in partnership with Regional Council to prepare papakāinga design guidance. Ātiawa seek that Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing. | (c) Urban des assist develop |
| | | | | Additionally Ātiawa seek a partnership model for the entirety of Method UD.1. It is appropriate that the council has identified papakāinga as a kaupapa to work in partnership with mana whenua, we are also interested in <u>all</u> urban development that has the potential to impact on our values, as well as giving effect to concepts such as Te Mana o te Wai (Policy FW.3) – which only mana whenua can provide the local context and meaning. | <u>Mana whenu</u> <u>Council throu</u> |
| | | | | Amend subclause (b) for ease of reading. | |
| 182 | Method IM.1 | Integrated management – ki uta ki tai | Support | Ātiawa support Method IM.1. Ātiawa is pleased that an integrated management, ki uta ki tai is being applied and enabled through the regional policy statement, including Method IM.1 Ātiawa seek an amendment to (b) to provide for mātauranga Māori to be | (b) partner wi tangata wher resource mar |
| | | | | applied to all resource management and decision-making. | |
| 182 | Method IM.2 | Protection and interpretation of mātauranga Māori and Māori data. | Support | Ātiawa support Method IM.2. Ātiawa seek that the Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing. | Insert the foll Mana whenua Council throu |
| 183 | Method FW.2 | Joint processing urban development consents | Support | Ātiawa support Method FW.2, particularly sub-clause (b). In addition, we seek that local authorities engage early with mana whenua. | Insert subclau (ba) engage e |
| 183 | Method 17 | Reducing waste and greenhouse gases emissions from waste streams | Support | Ātiawa support Method 17. Ātiawa seek that Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing. | Insert the foll Mana whenu Council throu |
| 183 | Method 22 | Integrated hazard risk management and climate change adaptation planning | Support | Ātiawa support Method 22. | Retain as noti |
| 184 | Method 30 | Implement the harbour and catchment management strategy for Porirua Harbour | Support in part | Ātiawa recognise that the Porirua Harbour is not within Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai rohe, the only comment from Ātiawa on this Method is that Regional Council should work in partnership with Porirua mana whenua to develop and implement the Porirua Harbour Catchment Strategy, if this is desired by mana whenua. This partnership should be enabled by funding and resourcing through the Regional Council. | |
| 184 | Method 31 | Protocol for management of earthworks and air quality between local authorities. (Proposed deletion) | Oppose | It is unclear the reasoning for the proposed deletion of Method 31. In particular Ātiawa are concerned that the deletion of the method will result in these effects not being addressed and properly managed. | Retain operat |

| ought: |
|--|
| nga design guidance that are underpinned by hich is Māori in partnership with Mana Whenua ir e with Policy 67(f); and lesign guidance and development manuals to lopers in meeting Policy CC.14 and Policy FW.3. |
| nua are enabled to partner with the Regional ough adequate funding and resourcing. |
| |
| |
| with and provide support to mana whenua / enua to provide for mātauranga Māori in natural nanagement and decision making; and |
| ollowing sentence to Method IM.2. nua are enabled to partner with the Regional ough adequate funding and resourcing. |
| lause: e early with mana whenua |
| following sentence to Method 17. nua are enabled to partner with the Regional ough adequate funding and resourcing. |
| otified. |
| |
| |
| rative version of Method 31. |
| |

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|--|------------------|---|--|
| 184 | Method 32 | Partnering with mana whenua/tangata whenua and engaging with stakeholders and the community in the | Support in part | While Ātiawa support the intent of Method 31, Ātiawa position is that partnering with mana whenua for the purposes of identifying and protecting significant values should be provided for separately to the stakeholders, landowners and the general public and community. | |
| | | identification and protection of significant values | | Te Tiriti provides for the relationship and partnership between the crown, including local government and mana whenua. In order to uphold Te Tiriti, Regional Council must actively work in partnership with mana whenua to protect and provide for the relationship of mana whenua, their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga (as provided for as a matter of national importance in Part 2 of the RMA). | Partner with i with stakehol |
| | | | | It is not appropriate that stakeholders, landowners (unless Māori landowners) and the community identify places, sites and areas with significant cultural heritage values, or outstanding natural features and landscapes with significant cultural values, or identify indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significance to mana whenua, or mana whenua values associated with rivers and lakes. It is only mana whenua who can identify these sets of values. | |
| | | | | Ātiawa recognise that stakeholders, landowners and the community also have their own values associated with te taiao, and the collective action is required to protect and enhance te taiao. | |
| 185 | Method 33 | Identify sustainable energy programmes (proposed deletion of Method 33) | Oppose | It is not clear why the Regional Council have proposed to delete Method 33, Ātiawa is concerned that pressure on energy supply and distribution will continue to increase. Ātiawa question how this sustainable energy use will be provided for and implemented without Method 33. | Retain operat |
| 185 | Method 34 | Prepare a regional water supply strategy | Support | Ātiawa supports Method 34. | Retain as not |
| 186 | Method 35 | Prepare a regional stormwater action plan (proposed deletion of Method 33) | Oppose | It is not clear why the Regional Council have proposed to delete Method 35. Ātiawa are concerned that if Method 35 is deleted from the regional policy statement there will be no mechanism to prepare a regional approach to stormwater management. Ātiawa position is that stormwater management in regards to water quality forms a part of Regional Councils functions under section 30 (f) of the RMA. | Retain operat |
| 187 | Method 46 | Develop complex development opportunities | Support in part | Ātiawa seek to ensure that Regional Council and central government partner with mana whenua in the development and implementation of plans and frameworks for each Complex Development Opportunity. | Jointly In part government a framework fo central gover The Regional |
| 187 | Method 47 | Analysis of the range and affordability of housing in the region (proposed deletion of Method 47) | Oppose | Ātiawa oppose deletion of Method 47, a regional analysis of housing, including range and affordability is still necessary, and essential to understanding a well-functioning urban environment. It is not clear why Regional Council no longer view Method 47 as an mechanism to provide for improving housing range and options, particularly in the interim while a Future Development Strategy is yet to be released. | |

: Partnering with mana whenua / tangata ad engaging with stakeholders, landowners and unity in the identification and protection of values

h iwi, hapū, marae and/or whānau, and engage Iolders, landowners and the community in the to:

rative version of Method 33.

otified.

rative version of Method 35.

artnership with mana whenua and central t agencies, develop and implement plans and a for each Complex Development Opportunity with ernment agencies.

al Council shall enable this partnership with mana rough adequate funding and resourcing. Inded Method 47:

hip with mana whenua complete a regional housing, including range and affordability, and h private sector developers innovative housing /or developments that increase the range of types ability in the region.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|--|--|
| 187 | Method UD.2 | Future Development Strategy | Support in part | Åtiawa note the national direction to prepare a Future Development Strategy, however Åtiawa seek reference to hapū and iwi values and aspirations for urban development within Method UD.2 in accordance with the National Policy for Urban Development, subpart 4. The current drafting of Method UD.2 is silent on the role of mana whenua in the development of FDS, this is contrary to the NPS-UD text. Åtiawa have not finalised our Treaty of Waitangi Settlement with the Crown. This process and the intensification provisions also predjudice the outcomes and potential outcomes of our Treaty of Waitangi Settlement. The development of the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 and the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020, and the timeframes provided for their incorporation into the RPS do not uphold the guarantee of tino rangatiratanga. Ätiawa therefore have fundamental concerns with the process and direction given by the Government requiring this Proposed Plan Change and the proposed Future Development Strategy. | Prepare a Fut Region in acco Statement for The Future Devision for acco and identifies development (a) district pla (b) priority ou strategies, inc (c) priorities a The Future De for achieving Wellington Re future growth meet future g <u>The Future De</u> statement of development prepared in p Additionally, A Provision is m Future Develop |
| 188 | Method CC.4 | Prepare a regional forest spatial plan | Support | Ātiawa support Method CC.4, Ātiawa seek to partner with Regional Council on Method CC.4 – we seek specific reference to this partnership in the method. | Using a partne identify where regeneration quality target Policy CC.6. |
| 188 | Method CC.5 | Review regional response to reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions | Support | Ātiawa support Method CC.5, particularly given the high emissions produced from the agricultural industry. | Retain as noti |
| 189 | Method CC.6 | Identifying nature-based solutions for climate change | Support | Ātiawa support Method CC.6. Ātiawa seek that Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing. | Insert the following the Regional whenua through the second secon |
| 189 | Method CC.7 | Advocating for the use of transport pricing tools | Oppose in part | While Ātiawa recognise the significant emissions from the transport industry, Ātiawa are concerned that any pricing tools/taxes will be passed on to citizens. Many whānau may struggle to absorb additional cost to household budgets. Therefore care should be applied when lobbying central government to encourage pricing tools/taxes to ensure these methods do not exacerbate existing inequalities. | Delete Metho |

uture Development Strategy for the Wellington ccordance with Subpart 4 of the National Policy for Urban Development 2020.

Development Strategy will set out the high-level ccommodating urban growth over the long term, es strategic priorities to inform other nt-related decisions, such as:

blan zoning and related plan changes; outcomes in long-term plans and infrastructure ncluding decisions on funding and financing; and s and decisions in regional land transport plans.

Development Strategy will provide a framework og well-functioning urban environments in the Region, including specifying how and where th will occur to provide for sufficient capacity to of growth needs over the next 30 years.

Development Strategy must include a clear of mana whenua values and aspirations for urban nt. The Future Development Strategy shall be partnership with relevant mana whenua.

, Ātiawa seek that:

made for Ātiawa tino rangatiratanga within the elopment Strategy process and for a review of y on the Ātiawa Settlement with the Crown.

tnership approach, <u>work with mana whenua to</u> ere to promote and support planting and natural on of forest, including how to address water ets for sediment, to inform the requirements of

otified.

ollowing sentence to Method CC.6. al Council shall enable this partnership with mana ough adequate funding and resourcing.

hod CC.7.

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|---|------------------|--|--|
| 189 | Method IE.2 | Inventory of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation opportunities | Support in part | Ātiawa support partnering with mana whenua to develop an inventory of opportunities for biodiversity offsetting or biodiversity compensation. Ātiawa's position is that priority should be given to protecting, maintaining or enhancing biodiversity. Ātiawa seek that this partnership approach shall be enabled through funding and resourcing. | Insert the foll Mana whenua Council throu |
| 189 | Method IE.3 | Regional biodiversity strategy | Support in part | Ātiawa support intent of Method IE.3. Ātiawa seek that protection should also be included in the regional biodiversity strategy – protection of indigenous biodiversity from the impacts of development, use and subdivision should be considered as part of the framework for regional biodiversity strategy. | Develop and i tangata when authorities, co regional biodi restore indige the Regional f August 2022 f both Mātaura planning. |
| 190 | Method 21 | Identification and protection of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with indigenous biodiversity values | Support | While Ātiawa supports the intent of Method 21, Ātiawa seek to partner with Regional Council in the development of a schedule of indigenous ecosystems and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values. This will ensure that mana whenua are included in the decision making process in regards to land with indigenous ecosystems and habitats that is held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 and general title held by Māori. It also ensures mana whenua values are provided for in the development of the schedule. | Council throu The Regional authorities and district plans is schedule of in significant ind to protect the development. Where a distr has not been will liaise with programme of (a) the territo responsibility (b) the Region (c) the territo share respons |
| 190 | Method 48 | Water allocation policy review | Support in part | Ātiawa support the intent of Method 48 – water allocation is a significant resource management issue for Ātiawa and Ātiawa are keen to see water allocation addressed in a way that gives effect to the NPS-FM 2020 (including Te Mana o te Wai), as well as providing for mana whenua rights and interests in water, and overall providing for the well-being of the water and sustainable use of water. | Mana whenua Council throu Review water (a) freshwater (b) all existing allocation is a (c) avoid alloc (d) improve w transferable p (e) provide fo (f) alternative (g) provide fo (h) adapt to c |

ollowing sentence to Method IE.2. nua are enabled to partner with the Regional ough adequate funding and resourcing.

d implement, in partnership with mana whenua / enua and in collaboration with territorial communities and other key stakeholders, a odiversity strategy to <u>protect</u>, maintain and genous biodiversity at a Proposed Change 1 to al Policy Statement for the Wellington Region 2 Page 190 of 228 landscape scale, incorporating uranga Māori and systematic conservation

al Council will liaise with the region's territorial and partner with mana whenua to ensure that all as include, by 30 June 2025 at the latest, a indigenous ecosystems and habitats with ndigenous biodiversity values and plan provisions them from inappropriate subdivision, use and nt.

strict-wide indigenous biodiversity assessment en initiated by 30 June 2024, the Regional Council ith the territorial authority to agree on a e of works and an understanding as to whether:

- torial authority shall continue to have sole ty; or
- onal Council shall take full responsibility; or torial authority and the Regional Council shall nsibilities.

nua are enabled to partner with the Regional bugh adequate funding and resourcing.

- er allocation policy in the regional plan so that: ter is allocated and used efficiently;
- ng over-allocation is phased out and future overs avoided;
- ocating water beyond a limit;
- water allocation efficiency- including e permits;
- for iwi and hapū rights and interests;
- ves to first in first served are considered;
- for equitable allocation;
- climate change;

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---|--|------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | (i) land use ch promoted; (j) government and (k) all matters provided for each |
| 191 | Method CC.8 | Programme to support low-emissions and climate resilient agriculture non- regulatory methods | Support | Ātiawa are supportive of actions to actively promote and support changes to reduce agricultural greenhouse gas emissions and increase rural land use resilience to climate change. | Retain as not |
| 191 | Method CC.9 | Support and funding for protecting, enhancing and restoring indigenous ecosystems and nature based solutions | Support | Ātiawa support the intent of Method CC.9. Ātiawa seek the minor amendment to clarify reference to the correct policy. | Provide supp programmes ecosystems in their biodiver based solutio |
| 191 | Method CC.10 | Establish incentives to shift to active and public transport | Support | Ātiawa support Method CC.10. | Retain as not |
| 192 | Method IE.4 | Kaitiaki indigenous biodiversity monitoring programme | Support | Ātiawa support Method IE.4. Ātiawa seek that Regional Council provide for this partnership through adequate funding and resourcing. | Insert the foll Mana whenu Council throu |
| 192 | Method 53 | Support mana whenua/tangata whenua and community restoration iniatives for indigenous ecosystems | Support in part | Ātiawa support the principle of enabling partnership models for mana whenua through support such as funding. Ātiawa have sought that a clause that effectively seeks funding for mana whenua be included to all relevant methods. Ātiawa prefer that this clause be included in relevant methods, rather than the approach of Method 53, to ensure that funding occurs and is explicitly provided for. | Include the for partner with <u>Mana whenu</u> <u>Council throu</u> |
| 192 | Method 54 | Assist landowners to maintain, enhance and restore indigenous biodiversity | Support | Ātiawa support Method 54. | |
| 194 | Anticipated Environmental Results | Integrated Management | Support in part | Ātiawa support the intent of the Anticipated Environmental Result (AER), that Regional Council and territorial authorities collaborate to undertake integrated management and recognise the importance of te ao Māori and mātauranga Māori in natural resource management and decision-making. Ātiawa view is that although the AER identified is appropriate to the objective/policy framework, it is so broad that it will not offer meaningful data in terms of monitoring the effectiveness and efficiency of the policies and methods. The AER should be specific and measurable (based on evidence when relevant), and appropriately, time-bound. AER and monitoring is an integral step (and statutory requirement) in the planning cycle (plan-do- monitor-review), and setting robust and meaningful AER produce better data to understand and assess the planning framework. | Ātiawa reque specific, mea work togethe the relationsh values. |

change to more climate resilient uses is

nent direction on water allocation is considered;

ers regarding giving effect to the NPS-FM are o<mark>r considered</mark>

otified.

pport, and seek new sources of funding, for es that protect, enhance or restore the priority s identified by Methods IE.2 and <u>Policy</u>CC.7 for versity values and/or their contribution as naturetions to climate change. notified.

ollowing sentence to Method IE.4: <u>nua are enabled to partner with the Regional</u> <u>ough adequate funding and resourcing.</u>

following clause to all methods that seek to h mana whenua:

nua are enabled to partner with the Regional ough adequate funding and resourcing.

uest that the Regional Council provide more easurable and time-bound AER. Ātiawa seek to her in the drafting of the AER, particularly given hship of the planning framework to mana whenua

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---|------------------------------|------------------|--|---|
| 195 | Anticipated Environmental Results | Climate Change | Support | Ātiawa support the intent of the AER to reduce carbon emission by 50 percent (from 2019 levels) by 2030. Ātiawa Ātiawa consider that targets from subclause 1(a),(b) and (c) of Objective CC.3 could be included as AER. Additionally, Ātiawa are concerned that no other AER have been included under the kaupapa 'climate change' that address the other objectives and the issues they seek to address such as permenant forest cover, use of nature base solutions, education programmes, and mana whenua climate change planning. | Ātiawa reques specific, meas work together the relationshi values. Mana whenua address the im region. This pa operational in address climat Mana whenua |
| | | | | | culture, ances taonga are pro Mātauranga N accordance w |
| | | | | | whenua. |
| 198 | Anticipated Environmental Results | Freshwater – Objective 12 | Support | Ātiawa support the overall intent of the AER to monitor freshwater planning provisions. Ātiawa seek further AER be included to ensure that mana whenua involvement in resource management is assessed and therefore those AER action is taken to achieve those AER. | Atiawa make t Atiawa make t Include a time That is when v the AER is bou rather than be uptake of the in keeping wit Freshwater qu managed in ac Wai and over a quality of fresh time. Include the fol Mana whenua the management |
| | | | | | partnership pr into all aspect freshwater, in Mana whenua culture, ances taonga are pro Mātauranga N |
| | | | | | <u>accordance w</u> whenua. |

uest that the Regional Council provide more easurable and time-bound AER. Ātiawa seek to her in the drafting of the AER, particularly given hship of the planning framework to mana whenua

nua and Regional Council work in partnership to e impacts from climate change in the Wellington a partnership provides for governance and input into all aspects of resource management to nate change, including decision-making.

nua values including their relationship with their cestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other protected and provided for.

a Māori is applied where appropriate, in with tikanga and kawa, as guided by mana

te the following comments and relief sought:

meframe for Freshwater AER #1 (Objective 12). n will over-allocation be phased out, this ensures bound to a timeframe to achieve this outcome, being open-ended, which can result in slow ne provision. Additionally including a timeframe is with the national direction (NPS-FM).

quality and quantity in the Wellington Region is accordance with the principles of Te Mana o Te er allocation in relation to both the quantity and eshwater is phased out <u>as soon as possible</u> over

following AER to the kaupapa 'Freshwater': ua and Regional Council work in partnership in ement of freshwater in the Wellington region. This provides for governance and operational input ects of resource management to address including decision-making.

nua values including their relationship with their cestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other protected and provided for.

a Māori is applied where appropriate, in with tikanga and kawa, as guided by mana

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---|------------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 199 | Anticipated Environmental Results | Freshwater – Objective 13 | Support | Ātiawa support the overall intent of the AER to monitor freshwater planning provisions. | Retain as not |
| 200 | Anticipated Environmental Results | Freshwater – Objective 14 | Support | Atiawa support the overall intent of the AER to monitor freshwater planning provisions. | Ātiawa make Include a tim That is when the AER is bo rather than b uptake of the in keeping wi Freshwater q managed in a Wai and over quality of fres |
| 201 | Anticipated Environmental Results | Indigenous Biodiversity | Support | Ātiawa support the proposed AER for the kaupapa 'Indigenous Biodiversity'. Ātiawa seek further AER be included to ensure that mana whenua involvement in resource management is assessed and therefore those AER action is taken to achieve those AER. | Include the for Biodiversity': <u>Mana whenu</u> <u>the managen</u> <u>Wellington real</u> <u>and operatio</u> <u>management</u> <u>decision-mak</u> <u>Mana whenu</u> <u>culture, ance</u> <u>taonga are pro- Mātauranga</u> <u>accordance v</u> whenua. |
| 202 | Anticipated Environmental Results | Natural Hazards | Support | Ātiawa support the proposed AER for Natural Hazards. Ātiawa seek further AER be included to ensure that mana whenua involvement in resource management is assessed and therefore those AER action is taken to achieve those AER. | Include the for Mana whenu the managen This partners input into all natural hazar Mana whenu culture, ance taonga are pr Mātauranga accordance v whenua. |

| ught: | |
|-------|--|
| ught: | |

otified

ke the following comments and relief sought:

imeframe for Freshwater AER #1 (Objective 14). en will over-allocation be phased out, this ensures bound to a timeframe to achieve this outcome, n being open-ended, which can result in slow the provision. Additionally including a timeframe is with the national direction (NPS-FM).

r quality and quantity in the Wellington Region is n accordance with the principles of Te Mana o Te ver allocation in relation to both the quantity and reshwater is phased out <u>as soon as possible</u> over

e following AER to the kaupapa 'Indigenous y':

nua and Regional Council work in partnership in ement of indigenous biodiversity in the region. This partnership provides for governance

ional input into all aspects of resource ent to address indigenous biodiversity, including aking.

nua values including their relationship with their cestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other protected and provided for.

a Māori is applied where appropriate, in e with tikanga and kawa, as guided by mana

e following AER to the kaupapa 'Natural Hazards': nua and Regional Council work in partnership in gement of natural hazards in the Wellington region. ership provides for governance and operational all aspects of resource management to address zards, including decision-making.

nua values including their relationship with their cestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other protected and provided for.

a Māori is applied where appropriate, in e with tikanga and kawa, as guided by mana

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision sough |
|-----|---|--|------------------|--|--|
| 203 | Anticipated Environmental Results | Regional form, design and function | Support in part | There is no AER related to (h) Enable Māori to express their cultural and traditional norms by providing for mana whenua / tangata whenua and their relationship with their culture, land, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga; and | Add the follow Mana whenua respected and Mana whenua |
| | | | | | land in accorda |
| | | | | | Development p of mana when |
| | | | | | Rāhui and othe communities fa detriment of th |
| | | | | | The valuation sustains and su financial value. |
| | | | | | The qualities o heal wairua are |
| | | | | | Critical habitat are protected |
| | | | | | Ecological con |
| 206 | Appendix 1A | Limits to biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation | Support in part | Ātiawa acknowledge the need for biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation, Ātiawa are concerned that offsetting and compensation may be preferred over protecting existing biodiversity. Ātiawa maintain an interest in ensuring that mana whenua values, including our relationship with our culture, ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and taonga (including taonga species) are protected from biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation. It is important to note that not all mana whenua values can be replaced or replicated, therefore it is not appropriate to apply biodiversity offsetting or compensation where an area contains our values. | Amend to inclu Policy 24(a) dir and regional pl they shall not p is no appropria expertise or m an adequate b activity is antic an area after a ecosystem or s naturally unco <u>ecosystem or h</u> (including spiri whenua). |
| | | | | | (Policy 24(b) di district and reg compensation compensation residual advers species is threa uncommon <u>or</u> <u>whenua values</u> <u>significance to</u> |

owing AER:

nua unique history, identity and culture is and given expression in the region.

nua live on and are sustained by their ancestral ordance with tikanga Māori.

nt provides for the economic and social security nenua.

other tikanga Māori tools are implemented when es fail to selfregulate behaviour and use to the of the environment or sustainability of resources.

on of the environment is in terms of how it d supports life to thrive, rather than in terms of lue.

es of the environment that restore, cleanse and are protected and enhanced where possible.

itats such as riparian and fish spawning habitat ed and restored.

onnectivity is maintained and protected. nclude new subclause:

directs that where policies and/or rules in district al plans enable the use of biodiversity offsetting ot provide for biodiversity offsetting: where there priate site, knowledge, proven methods, r mechanism available to design and implement e biodiversity offset (clause (i)); or when an nticipated to causes residual adverse effects on er an offset has been implemented if the or species is threatened or the ecosystem is ncommon (clause (ii)) <u>or the indigenous</u> or habitat contains mana whenua values piritual, historical or cultural significance to mana

) directs that where policies and/or rules in regional plans enable the use of biodiversity on they shall not provide for biodiversity on where an activity is anticipated to cause verse effects on an area if the ecosystem or meatened or the ecosystem is naturally or, the ecosystem or habitat contains mana ues (including spiritual, historical or cultural to mana whenua).

| Pg. | Provision No. | Title of provision | Support / Oppose | Reasons: | Decision soug |
|-----|---------------|--|------------------|---|---|
| 206 | Table 17 | | Support in part | Ātiawa maintain an interest in ensuring that mana whenua values, including taonga (including taonga species) are protected from residual affects of biodiversity offsetting and biodiversity compensation. | |
| 220 | Definitions | Marae (proposed deletion of definition) | Oppose | Ātiawa seek to include a new definition of marae, drafted by mana whenua.Ātiawa are concerned that in the absence of any definition for marae, somedevelopments may seek to fall under the policy framework for marae wherethis is not appropriate. | Ātiawa would together to dr |
| 222 | Definitions | Papakāinga (proposed deletion of definition) | Opppose | Ātiawa seek to include a new definition of papakāinga, drafted by mana whenua. Ātiawa are concerned that in the absence of any definition for marae, some developments may seek to fall under the policy framework for papakāinga where this is not appropriate. | Papakāinga m (including soc commercial a land. |
| 226 | Definitions | Te Mana o te Wai | Support in part | Ātiawa support defining Te Mana o te Wai in accordance with Section 1.3 of the NPS-FM (2020) Ātiawa note that Te Mana o te Wai will also include local context and interpretation as defined through Te Whaitua o Kāpiti (for Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai rohe) and then given effect through a Freshwater Plan Change Process. | Ātiawa seek tl will be determ included in th Freshwater Pl |
| 226 | Definitions | Te Rito o te Harakeke | Support | Ātiawa support the inclusion of the definition of Te Rito o te Harakeke. | Retain as noti |

Id like to request that mana whenua work or draft an appropriate definition for marae.

n means housing and any ancillary activities social, cultural, educational, recreational, and I activities) for mana whenua on their ancestral

k that our expression of Te Mana o te Wai (which ermined through Te Whaitua o Kāpiti) will be the RPS at the appropriate time through the Plan Change Process.

otified.