Submitter ID:

File No:



Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region



Fold here

Fold here

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991.

Please complete this form to make a further submission on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region (PNRP). All sections of this form need to be completed for the submission to be accepted.

A further submission may only be made by a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest, or a person that has an interest in the PNRP greater than the interest that the general public has, or the Wellington Regional Council itself. A further submission must be limited to a matter in support of, or in opposition to, a submission made on the PNRP.

For information on making a further submission see the Ministry for the Environment website: www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/rma/everyday-guide-rma-making-submission-about-proposed-plan-or-plan-change

Return your signed further submission to the Wellington Regional Council by post or email by 5pm Tuesday 29 March 2016 to:

Greater Wellington Regional Council Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region Freepost 3156 PO Box 11646 Manners Street Wellington 6142 Regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

FreePost Authority Number 3156





Greater Wellington Regional Council Further Submission on Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region Freepost 3156 PO Box 11646 Manners Street Wellington 6142

FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION FORM

This is a further submission in support of, or opposition to, a submission on the PNRP.

A. DETAILS OF FURTHER SUBMITTER

FULL NAME

ORGANISATION (* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of)

Waikanae Christian Holiday Park

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE (INCLUDING POSTCODE)

C/O Land Matters Ltd, 20 Addington Road, Otaki 5581

PHONE 06 364 7293 FAX

EMAIL anna@landmattersnz.com

Only certain people may make further submissions

Please tick the option that applies to you:

I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or

XI am a person who has an interest in the PNRP that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Specify below the grounds for saying that you are within the category you have ticked.

Waikanae Christian Holiday Park Inc. are landowners in the Wellington Regional and for this reason we have an interest in the PNRP that is greater than the interest the general public has.

Service of your further submission

Please note that you must serve a copy of this further submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after this further submission has been provided to Wellington Regional Council.

If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served on each original submitter.

Signature:	Date:	

Signature of person making further submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the further submission. A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Please note

All information contained in a further submission under the Resource Management Act 1991 becomes public information. All further submissions will be put on our website and will include all personal details included in the further submission.

B. APPEARANCE AT HEARING

Please select from the following:

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or
- \boxtimes I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and, if so,
- ⊠I would be prepared to consider presenting this further submission in a joint case with others making a similar further submission at any hearing.

C. FURTHER SUBMISSION POINTS

Please complete the following table with details of	which original submission points	vou support and/or oppose.	and why, adding further rows as necessary.

Details of the submission you are commenting on Name of person/ group making original submission and postal address.	Original submission number The original submission number can be found on the submitter address list.	Position Whether you support or oppose the submission.	Part(s) of the submission you support or oppose Indicate which parts of the original submission (which submission points) you support or oppose, together with any relevant PNRP provisions.	Reasons Why you support or oppose each submission point.	Relief sought The part or whole of each submission point you wish to be allowed or disallowed.
e.g. Joanne Bloggs 12 Pine Tree Avenue Redwood	e.g. submitter S102	e.g. Oppose	e.g. Oppose all of submission point S102/41	e.g. The submission point does not recognise	e.g. Disallow the parts of S102/41 relating to
CT and EM Brown	S13	P38 Amend	Support	Support removing references to restoration unless it is referring to "over time' or on "public lands", and is compulsory requirement under the NZCPS wtihin the coastal environment	Delete, "resotration of natural wetlands" unless it is followed by "located on public lands" and/or "over time" and "within the coastal environment"
Department of Conservation	S75	P30 – DoC seeks amendment to natural buffers	Oppose	We do not support the creation of of buffer areas on top of already defined/mapped ecological sites/ sites of Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Significant Amenity Landscapes. Buffers could include an indescriminate area of land resulting in a loss of useable land. We note that DoC is also seeking for fragmented natural habitats to have ecological corridors connecting them and which could include significantly large areas of currently useable land. This land could currently contain activities which could not be carried out elsewhere and be legitimately established activities. Such a policy creates significant uncertainity for landowners particularly when these buffer areas are not shown in the PNRP on maps allowing for wide discretion by District Councils.	Amend and rewrite policy as follows, "Use and development on natural features such as beaches and dunes and wetalands where they are within the coastal environment that buffer development from natural hazards shall be minimimised if thaat use or development reduces the ability of that feature to operate as a buffer."

Details of the	Original	Position	Part(s) of the submission	Reasons	Relief sought
submission you are	submission		you support or oppose		
commenting on	number				

If you require more space for additional comments, please insert new rows as needed