File: **PS/08/01/01**

Report 13.200

Council

Minute extract from meeting held on 12 June 2013

Wellington Region Local Government Reform – Draft application

Report 13.200

File: PS/08/01/01

Moved

(Cr Wilde/ Cr Glensor)

That the Council:

- 1. Receives the report.
- 2. Notes the contents of the report.
- 3. Agrees that local government reorganisation is required to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of local government in the Wellington region.
- 4. Agrees that a unitary authority with local boards is the best structure for local government in the Wellington region.
- 5. Agrees to lodge an application as set out in Attachment 1 with the Local Government Commission.
- 6. Notes that any letters received of support of the application will be attached to the application prior to it being lodged.
- 7. Delegates to the Chair of the Council the authority to make minor editorial changes to the application.
- 8. Notes that there is an option for other councils to become a party to the application.
- 9. Authorises the Chair of the Council to sign the application.
- 10. Notes that, once the Local Government Commission has received the application, it will consult with the Council, and others, as it considers reorganisation options for the Wellington region.
- 11. Notes that the Council will have the opportunity to submit on any draft proposal released by the Local Government Commission.
- 12. Agrees that the Council supports a poll on any final proposal notified by the Commission.

Moved as an amendment (To replace Part 5) Cr McPhee/ Cr Swain)

5. If an application is lodged, the Wairarapa be excluded from the application, noting that seven of the eight territorial authorities in the current Wellington Region, namely Wellington City Council, Kapiti Coast District Council, Hutt City Council, Upper Hutt City Council, South Wairarapa District Council, Carterton District Council and Masterton District Council, have all expressed formal support for the already lodged application for a separate Wairarapa unitary authority.

The amendment was LOST.

The motion was decided part by part.

Parts 1 to 3 were CARRIED.

A Division was called for in respect of Part 4. Part 4 was CARRIED by eight votes to five.

A Division was called for in respect of Part 5. Part 5 was CARRIED by nine votes to four.

Parts 6 to 12 were CARRIED.

Noted

Councillor Bruce requested that his vote against Part 4 be recorded.

Councillors Greig, McPhee, Ponter and Swain requested that their votes against Parts 4 and 5 be recorded.

HB# 1228793