Criteria and preference values

Values are reported here as, equivalently, integers and normalised as % values so that the top-ranked hypothetically possible alternative = 100. Integer and % values produce identical rankings of alternatives (see **more info** in **report**).

land use type

retail	0	0.0 %
commercial	29	5.2 %
industry	44	7.9 %

incident history

no incident reports	0	0.0 %
less than 10 incidents reported in a year	149	26.7 %
greater than 10 incidents reported in a year	201	36.0 %

water quality

poor	0	0.0 %
fair	3	0.5 %
good	6	1.1 %
very good	13	2.3 %

community value

not aware	0	0.0 %
occasional use	74	13.3 %
high recreational use	148	26.5 %

ecosystem value

poor	0	0.0 %
moderate	52	9.3 %
good	59	10.6 %
very good	73	13.1 %

flow regime

intermittent	0	0.0 %
low	23	4.1 %
medium	44	7.9 %
high	51	9.1 %

stream health

declining	0	0.0 %
constant	1	0.2 %
improving	2	0.4 %

care group

no	0	0.0 %
yes	10	1.8 %

take charge friendly

no	0	0.0 %
yes	16	2.9 %