## Risk + Assurance Management

## Wellington Regional Council Risk Criteria

1. Likelihood

| Name | Full Description | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Almost Certain | Occurrence of the event within this 10 -yearly LTCCP may be credibly regarded as a 'real possibility' i.e. the probability of occurrence is greater than non-occurrence. <br> is likely to occur at least once in this 10-year LTCCP period. | 99 |
| Uikely | Occurrence of the event within this 10 -yearly LTCCP may be credibly regarded as a 'real possibility' i.e. the probability of occurrence is similar to non-occurrence. <br> Occurrence is more likely than non occurrence during the 10 -year LTCCP period. | 65 |
| Unlikely | Occurrence of the event within this 10 -yearly LTCCP would be considered as having some potential to occur. i.e. a reasonable probability of occurrence over time, but less than the probability of non-occurrence. <br> Chance of occurrence is less than non occurrence. During the 10 -year LTCCP period. | 25 |
| Highly Unlikely | Whilst possible, occurrence of the event within this 10 -yearly LTCCP would be regarded by most people as unlikely i.e. the probability of nonoccurrence is somewhat larger than occurrence. <br> Has less than 10\% chance of occurrence in this 10-year LTCCP period. | 12 |
| Rare | It is not expected that the event would occur within dis 10 -peaily LTCCP. Otcurrence of the event would probatly be regarded as unusual. (the probability of occurrence is quite smali). <br> Has less than $1 \%$ chance of occurrence in this 10 -year LTCCP period. | 3 |

## 2. Consequence; Value at Stake

| Financial |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1 | Cost of unplanned expenditure or loss of income or cash over \$15 million | 80 |
| Level 2 | Cost of unplanned expenditure or loss of income or cash over $\$ 10 \mathrm{millifon}$ | 65 |
| Level 3 | Cost of unplanned expenditure or loss of income or cash over \$5 million | 35 |
| Level 4 | Cost of unplanned expenditure or loss of income or cash over \$1 milion | 12 |
| Level 5 | Cost of unplanned expenditure or loss of income or cash over $\$ 0.5$ million | 5 |
| No Financial Impact | No measurable financial impact | 0 |


| Stakeholders/Reputation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1 | Extreme dissatisfaction and loss of confidence by stakeholders and/or Regulatory Body investigation and/or statutory managernent installed and/or significant sanctions against the organisation. <br> Regulatory action resulting in major prosecution and conviction of council (e.g. fine of $>\$ 100 \mathrm{k}$ ). <br> Note: 'Stakeholder' means clients, public, industry groups (such as forestry/agriculture), local government bodies, lobby groups, or Iwi. | 90 |
| Level 2 | Major loss of stakeholder confidence and/or extensive stakeholder dissatisfaction expressed through media resulting in a long period of negative coverage ( $>2$ months). Widespread, unified, coordinated revolt by consent holders and/or ratepayers against fees/conditions or sanctions imposed against the organisation. <br> Regulatory action resulting in moderate prosecution and conviction of council (e.g. \$25-\$100k) | 75 |
| Level 3 | 2-3 stakeholders sectors dissatisfaction expressed through media resulting in a long period of negative coverage $\mid>2$ months). Central Government impose statutory sanctions. <br> Regulatory action resulting in prosecution but no corviction. | 45 |
| Level 4 | Single stakeholder sector express dissatisfaction through media for up to one month and/or Central Government - CEO MFE directed by Minister to make enquiries and/or Regulatory action resulting in investigation but no prosecution | 15 |
| Level 5 | Individual(s) express dissatisfaction through media or directly and/or Individual(s) refuse to pay fees/rates as a stand against council activities and/or Breach of law with internal investigation with minor changes to operations. | 5 |
| No Impact | No significant impact on stakeholders or image | 0 |



