

Proposed Regional Transport Programme Status Report - Methodology

1. Definitions

Lead agency: The organisation responsible for the delivery of the project or activity (including development and resourcing).

Partner agency: An organisation significantly involved in the development and resourcing of the project or activity.

2. Reporting Methodology

2.1 Rooding Projects

Lead agencies are asked to estimate progress on strategic rooding projects as identified in the proposed Regional Transport Programme.

Each agency received a spreadsheet listing its projects. For each project, the lead agency was asked to report:

- Progress by project phase (i.e investigation, design and construction)
- Progress on obtaining designation and consents.

For each phase (including obtaining designation and consents), the lead agency selects from a menu the status that best represents progress on that phase. GW has given each status a score, with *no progress* receiving zero percent, through to *100% complete* receiving 100% (see Table 1).

Each phase's contribution towards overall progress is calculated by multiplying the phase status by a weighting for that phase. A 75% weighting is placed on the construction phase to emphasise the importance of actually making real progress. Overall progress is then the sum of the contributions across investigation, design, obtaining designation and consents, and construction (see Table 2).

As an example, a project that had completed investigation and design phases, and which had the necessary designation and consents, but for which no construction had started, would receive an overall progress score of 25%.

Rooding projects are listed by transport corridor. Progress issues that may impede a particular project and interdependencies with other initiatives (e.g. awaiting the outcome of a study) are included by the lead agencies where appropriate.

Planned rooding project start dates for the next 10 years are given in separate tables by the three lead agencies reporting. Planned start dates are listed under the three project phases: investigation, design and construction.

Table 1: Roading project drop-down lists and scoring

Investigation	Score	Design	Score	Designation and Consents	Score	Construction	Score
Not applicable	0	Not applicable	0	Not applicable	0	Not applicable	0
Project feasibility report only - no funding application yet	0	Design phase not required	0	Notice of requirement lodged	20	Construction phase not required	0
Investigation phase not required	0	Design funding applied for - but not yet approved	10	Designation obtained	40	Construction funding applied for - but not yet approved	10
Investigation funding applied for - but not yet approved	10	Design funding approved - but no work started	20	Resource consent applied for - but not approved	50	Construction funding approved - but no work started	20
Investigation funding approved - but no work started	20	Design 10% complete	28	Resource consent under appeal	80	Construction 10% complete	28
Investigation 10% complete	28	Design 20% complete	36	Resource consent obtained	100	Construction 20% complete	36
Investigation 20% complete	36	Design 30% complete	44			Construction 30% complete	44
Investigation 30% complete	44	Design 40% complete	52			Construction 40% complete	52
Investigation 40% complete	52	Design 50% complete	60			Construction 50% complete	60
Investigation 50% complete	60	Design 60% complete	68			Construction 60% complete	68
Investigation 60% complete	68	Design 70% complete	76			Construction 70% complete	76
Investigation 70% complete	76	Design 80% complete	84			Construction 80% complete	84
Investigation 80% complete	84	Design 90% complete	92			Construction 90% complete	92
Investigation 90% complete	92	Design 100% complete	100			Construction 100% complete	100
Investigation 100% complete	100						

Table 2: Roading project phases and weighting

Phase	Investigation	Design	Designation and Consents	Construction
Weighting	5%	10%	10%	75%

2.2 Passenger Transport Activities

Progress on passenger transport activities is reported for the 2005/06 financial year (to end of May 2006). Progress is an estimated percentage of completed work (see Table 3 for examples).

Table 3: Example passenger transport activity progress

Progress	Description
n/a	no progress on this project intended in 2005/06
0 %	work intended to be carried out in 2005/06 has not been carried out
1 %	work has begun on this project
90 %	11/12 months work has been completed
100%	work intended to be carried out in 2005/06 has been completed