

Draft Kaitoke Regional Park Management Plan: Summary of Submissions

Name	Submission	Submission Form					Plan refs.	GW comments
		Most important values	Objectives & policies relevant?	Activities undertaken	items that are missing	Comments suggestions		
01 Terry Porritt	Generally happy with document, would like to keep the back country experience preserved at Norberts creek			n/a				Any tracks at Norberts Creek would be 'routes', rather than benched tracks
02 Alan Bell Hutt valley Canoe Club	Would like to see more discussion on white water resources at Kaitoke gorge	White water resource	Fails to address issues regarding access to white water	Walking, dog walking, kayaking	No policy re values of gorge	Plan should be expanded incl. Protecting natural river flow		Activity addressed to same amount of detail as others. More detail will be found in resource information to be published later this year. River flows and hydro outside scope of plan, Regional FW plan relevant.
03 David Western Kaumātua Tramping Club	Club happy with present management, supports new tracks, would like some tracks better marked and suggests alternative escape route from Hutt River. Current emphasis in planting, pest management and control should be continued. Club will continue monitoring			n/a			Tracks p 39	Escape route: agreed - no marking on opposite direction of escape route. Rangers will review markers but believed sufficient for current usage, with no complaints received by kayakers. No need for secondary route.
04 Nguyen Trinh Ly NZ Motor Caravan Assn. Inc	Supports provisions regarding motor caravan recreation and requests table to be revised in accordance with policy			n/a			Policy 3.12. p26 and Table 2: activities, p 25	Table 2 should be revised accordingly to make a clear distinction between motorised recreation and motor caravans
05 Sarah Bagnall Department of Conservation	Supports general direction but would like further details of management actions. Suggests a vascular plant list could be included in appendix, additional actions for threatened plants and spelling mistake. New classification system should be used for birds. Affirms GW's role in managing parks. Suggests use of NZ standard for tracks.			n/a			Table 1, Environmental areas p15. Table 3 Monitoring p 36 policy 1.18, p 10	Operational plans, as well as monitoring and funding programmes exist in further detail. This approach allows GW to be more responsive in the short-term and is similar to DoC's approach. Plan's purpose is outlined p2 and could be expanded. Plant species Identified by DoC can be added to table and additions in text re restoration species. The 'Puffer' is governed by the Forest Lands Plan and is Identified in that plan. NZ Standard is acknowledged in draft plan, see p10.
06 Penny Thomson Transit NZ	Supports policies re use and access, consider themselves to be an affected party if activity increases traffic or signage can be viewed from SH.			n/a				GW maintain good relationships with Transit, particularly SH1 & 2 realignments affecting Kaitoke and Queen Elizabeth parks and Forest lands
07 JC Home Wellington Botanical Society	Acknowledge the value of relationship with GW to protect Te Marua bush. Provides recommendations on management of the area that are consistent with plan's policies although in a more detailed site specific way			n/a				Can be accommodated within plan, Dr lan Atkinson has been approached to undertake ecological study of Te Marua Bush. Would like to be heard in support of submission
08 JC Horne/ E Mitcalfe	Wishes land to be purchased for access from the Akatarawa valley to KRP			n/a				Would like to be heard in support of submission
09 RW Milne Forest & Bird Rimutaka Forest Park Trust	Good vision policy, frameworks, pest management strategy. Generally supports plan. incl. policies 2.6, 2.9, 2.14, 2.20. 3.1, 3.7, 3.9. Not happy with 3.30	Good management, ranger	Yes	Tramping, including leading tramps	Ecological corridors to all native bush areas	Continue Pakuratahi track. add new track TR Totara Creek	supports policies 2.6, 2.9, 2.14, 2.20, 3.1, 3.7, & 3.9 but not 3.30	Natural material policy 3.30 regulates use and potential effects. Is consistent with Councils policy of eco-sourcing plant material for restoration work and allows research to be tracked. Corridors 2.7 & 2.9. River walk continued potential p 43. New track = old southern crossing, lower priority than above