

Subject	Longbush Drain Consultation Meeting	File No.	
Time/Date	4.30pm, 7 <sup>th</sup> September 2023	Location	GWRC Chapel St

	Attendees	Company		
1.	Tim Lewis (TL)	Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)		
2.	Fraser Woods (FW)	Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC)		
3.	Scheme Member 1 (SM1)	Landowner/Scheme Member		
4.	Scheme Member 2 (SM2)	Landowner/Scheme Member		
5.	Scheme Member 3 (SM3)	Landowner/Scheme Member		
6.	Scheme Member 4 (SM4)	Landowner/Scheme Member		
7.	Scheme Member 5 (SM5)	Landowner/Scheme Member		
Apo	Apologies: A total of 19 scheme members were not present			

Item		Completed	Ву
		Ву	When
1.	Introduction		
	TL – Introductions	NOTE	
2.	Longbush Drainage Scheme Presentation/Feedback		
	TL proceeded with the presentation	NOTE	
	SM3 questioned, so the bits in light green, is that the ones that didn't get sprayed?	NOTE	
	TL answered, no it's just that the green is what we hope to spray, and the red is the areas we've been asked not to spray	NOTE	
	SM3 queried, so you don't know what areas were sprayed?	NOTE	
	TL responded, last year it was the bits north of Millars Road, so up here and I think this bit down here	NOTE	
	SM3 advised, that was not sprayed	NOTE	
	TL responded, was that not sprayed?	NOTE	
	SM3 advised, that was not sprayed; because I said I didn't want it sprayed because there was water in the bed of the creek, and it was a waste of spray	NOTE	
	TL responded, not sprayed then okay, probably just that north end	NOTE	



SM3 queried, are you being charged for that? Or do you put out a fee to spray the whole lot and that's the cost that they charge whether they spray or not?	NOTE	
TL responded; no we get charged for what they do	NOTE	
SM3 queried, well they said they've done that and they haven't	NOTE	
TL responded, well that's off the top of my head, that's what I remember, but I can go and check	NOTE	
SM3 advised, you need to know that	NOTE	
TL replied, I will double check the records and make sure	ACTION	BY NEXT
SM3 advised, and also, it's got an area in my property there that says do not spray. I don't know how long that's been in and why it's been in	NOTE	MEETING
TL responded, which bits that? that one there yup	NOTE	
SM3 advised, because that's where those seven Poplars were growing	NOTE	
TL replied, okay yes	NOTE	
SM3 advised, the guy who came out, who cut them said; well, he used to do the spraying and he says, why haven't these been sprayed. He said we used to spray them every year when we came along and that's why you haven't had it, until, you know obviously that it hasn't been sprayed for 3 years	NOTE	
TL replied, no. So the spray maps should have gone out to you all before each spray run, I think they posted them out	NOTE	
SM3 advised, yeah they did that and they came and saw me	NOTE	
TL replied, yup	NOTE	
SM3 advised, I said do not spray this area	NOTE	
TL responded, do not spray at all, yup	NOTE	



SM3 queried, but why that was a do not spray over the creek and obviously it hasn't been done for 3 years because maybe that red mark is there. But that's what created the seedling Poplars		
TL advised, so know we can change that. So, yeah, I was just going to say that the spraying is, whether the drain on your property is sprayed is entirely down to the landowner, so any time you want to change the spray map, just get it touch with us and we can update it. When the sprayers need to cross your land to get onto the drain as well, they will contact you, and if you decide then like SM3 said, that you didn't want it sprayed again, you can say to them, please don't spray. So, it entirely your decision, but we will get that changed on the map for this year. We've spoken to the sprayers and again we are going to try and get all the spraying done November, December time subject to the weather	's	BY NEXT MEETING
SM3 responded, I'm not too sure that's the right time to do it because there's usually water in the drains through my property at that stage and you know, you don't want to be spraying. You need the bed cleaned, not the sides	t, NOTE	
TL advised, yup, well absolutely that's the point of these meetings as well to try and determine when	NOTE	
SM3 replied, yeah and it always used to be done, end of January, early February which is an ideal time. You have good growth through there	NOTE	
TL queried, so that's when you prefer it to be done?	NOTE	
SM3 responded, yeah, yup	NOTE	
TL replied, okay yup	NOTE	
SM3 queried, um just a point, have we got anybody online?	NOTE	
FW advised, yes we do, yup. We've got SM5 and SM4 online	NOTE	
SM5 responded, how are you?	NOTE	
SM4 replied, hey guys	NOTE	
TL responded, welcome	NOTE	



TL advised, so yea that's the spray map as I say. You can change your mind anytime and before anyone goes across your land to spray, they will get in contact	NOTE	
SM3 responded, yea that's worked pretty good, but I was just concerned about basically saying that they have sprayed and they haven't, sort of thing	NOTE	
TL advised, no the areas in green are the ones that we are targeting to do, so	NOTE	
SM5 queried, what does that relate to sorry? (\$15,500 invoice – machine cleaning and regrading work)	NOTE	
TL advised, some machine cleaning and drain regarding work that was done by Millars Road, so at the top end of the scheme	NOTE	
SM2 replied, that's on the side of the road and the neighbours, yup	NOTE	
TL advised, so the scheme currently holds a reserve of over \$2,700	NOTE	
SM5 responded, so looking at that, it is actually operating in surplus and I'm just picking up on the letter that we've received where it says its operating in deficit. Can you give us a bit of context to what's in the letter about it being in deficit	NOTE	
TL advised, the bit in deficit talks about the aspirational maintenance which is an annual spray and a machine clean of the entire drain every 5 years and we've got some indicative rates and looking at those rates there's not enough income to cover that if that's the way you want to proceed	NOTE	
SM5 queried, so what's a machine clean? is that an excavator?	NOTE	
TL advised, yes, basically an excavator and a bucket and it will remove all the sediment and silt that has built up at the bottom of the drain	NOTE	
SM5 replied, right, look I think, you know, if I can offer my view on that. I mean we've been here 25 years, 25 + years almost and we've never had a mechanical cleaning of the drain, but I would, you know, if that, that needs to be a discussion point because I don't think that it's probably	NOTE	



necessary anyway. I don't know if you've seen those drains when they get filled up with water, nothing can sit in there. There's no real ability for sediment certainly to gather in the sections that we've got you know and probably most of it would be like SM3 would probably testify that, I mean the thing is V-shaped, groove and in a straight line and water just whips through it, you know, so maybe we need to just challenge that as a requirement. I mean from my experience its more about spraying and perhaps the occasional remedial work, when something washes out or something like that		
SM3 advised, um that is also the side drains through our property which you know probably could benefit from a clean. But they've been done once in the 35 years that I've been there and the last time that happened would have been 25 years ago. So not a lot gets done down that area of the drain, on the south side of the Eringa Stream	NOTE	
TL advised, yup, so that's the point of these meetings, is to try and get an understanding of what actual maintenance you want on there so it sounds like the machine clean probably isn't required as we planned, every 6 years and we can change that as we go through	NOTE	
SM5 advised, but I think so. If it's the aspirational spend that you are talking about you know, laying/setting a rate for the future then that's a big driver behind it, then definitely I would suggest that	NOTE	
SM1 queried, I would sort of like to talk about the \$15,900 I think it is that was spent. I haven't actually seen the details of works that was done, but for that sort of money you would be expecting, roughly 100 hours of digger work minus obviously transport; that's a fairly large volume of material that would have been moved. I'm wondering if you would talk about that a bit and just expand on it because I've got no idea on where it was and what it was?	NOTE	
TL responded, it was done before I joined so I don't have personal experience of it	NOTE	
SM3 advised; interesting thing about it, also is that if you talk to the neighbour, he says that it would be less than 1 km that was done and your estimated cost of cleaning is \$3,000 a Km, yet we get a bill for \$15,000. Okay, the spoil was carted away	NOTE	



but I would have expected that to be at the most double the cost of your \$3,000 so you know, there is a big hole there		
TL replied, yup, as I say I'm not familiar with those one's personally, but I can try and find out some more information	ACTION	BY NEXT MEETING
SM1 advised, yea we kind of need to see an invoice for that	NOTE	
SM5 advised, I definitely would agree and it needs to be understood the detail of that when we are just looking at high level numbers we can't drill down into it so it's difficult to have a meaningful conversation about it you know	NOTE	
SM3 advised, well Paul and I are probably the longest standing members here, back not long after I first arrived they did some major works on that northern drain and there was a holders meeting to discuss it and a special rate was put out to do that extra job and since then there has only been minor cleanings and things that they've done which is shown out by your graph of that the scheme was in surplus until that money was spent which was spent to nobody's knowledge apart from the neighbours and the dairy farmers, I think that had it and probably to spend that amount of money and obviously it must have been done without a quote otherwise you would have said that there is not enough money in the funds to finance that lets have a meeting	NOTE	
TL responded, yea, you would hope so wouldn't you	NOTE	
SM3 advised, at which we would say okay, we will have a 2 year special rate imposed to pay for it	NOTE	
SM2 advised, it seems like the drains, I've got a drain that runs through our place on the north boundary I keep that reasonably clear but on the other side its quite chocker. That's when I had the blow out at the bridge, when the bridge actually came up and the culvert was running backwards. The whole lot had come up like that, instead of the water running that way it was running that way	NOTE	
TL responded, yup	NOTE	
SM2 advised, and it sort of more or less all come down to level with our drive and then started coming up the lamppost, bottom of the lamppost then flowing right down. I keep our side clear but I notice on the other side, on the, where the old	NOTE	



over and it's just like land brid drain and the willows are sor from the willows and the wat	ot of the old willows are taking dges, you know you've got the t of got to come about this high er is literally just backing up and estead of it being like, say a meter		
TL queried, so where abouts	s that?	NOTE	
SM2 responded, that's the dr you've got your main water v water way from Eringa Road	vay. It's just north of your main	NOTE	
SM3 queried, so that red is go it would be just north of that	oing through the neighbours, so red?	NOTE	
TL responded, so this bit in he	ere?	NOTE	
SM3 advised, no right up the	top	NOTE	
SM5 advised, Millars Road		NOTE	
SM3 replied, yeah		NOTE	
TL queried, up here?		NOTE	
SM3 responded, yea, right up	, just below that there	NOTE	
are the only bridge. Yeah Mil yea there's another section the drain that runs back down the	lillars Road we are just South, we lars Road, so we are actually – nrough here, that comes, a little rough here and even that is just overflows and goes over the	NOTE	
TL advised, so yeah, this sche ones (drain). If you want to lo	me only covers the highlighted ook at expanding the scheme	NOTE	
SM3 replied, well that's within the shaded area	n it, that's within the scheme. It's	NOTE	
TL queried, it's one of these of	lotted?	NOTE	
SM3 replied, yeah just north	of that	NOTE	
TL queried; I thought you said	l it was here	NOTE	



SM3 and SM2 advised, no just down a little bit, about there	NOTE	
TL advised, but yeah, it's only this drain here that are in the scheme	NOTE	
SM2 responded, so basically it all comes, I keep my part clear but it's trying to coordinate other people too	NOTE	
TL advised, yup, so that might be one of the things you want to think about, is whether you want to expand the scheme to cover/include other people so you can coordinate the cleaning of those drains	NOTE	
SM3 queried, so all of those side drains going into the main drain are not part of the scheme?	NOTE	
TL advised, no	NOTE	
SM3 replied, Oh	NOTE	
FW advised, it's not the shaded area there, it's only the highlighted lines that you can see there	NOTE	
SM3 replied, but, well that seems, cause no doubt the shaded areas will be all rated for it	NOTE	
TL advised, yes	NOTE	
SM3 queried, but they get nothing for their rates. They are paying rates for that land, but they've got to clean the thing themselves so it's a bit pointless them paying rates for it, isn't it	NOTE	
TL advised; well, the shaded area has probably been calculated as the. As we explained, what the rate-age person pays is dependent on the benefit of the drain to them and the area of the land that benefits	NOTE	
SM3 advised, so there's multiple side drains coming from the eastern side of the road going across there	NOTE	
SM2 advised, and that's actually the problem and that's where I had that blow out and the bridge. I actually had clay boulders this big, with the blow out and it went back, the blockage went back about 600 odd meters, the bridge come	NOTE	



up, the culvert started running backwards. Next thing you know I had our telecom and where the cable was going form the lamppost to the house, I actually had water tracking to the house		
SM3 queried, well that would be district council wouldn't it. That's responsible for keeping that culvert dug under the road clean?	NOTE	
TL replied, if it's under the road then yes it probably would be	NOTE	
SM3 advised, well there's plenty of those blocked everywhere	NOTE	
SM2 advised, well that's it, I went to the council and then I had one guy come out and he had a look and I told him don't come on Friday. He turned up on Friday and he told me I can tell him to leave if I wanted too. He said I don't want to walk too far though and that just upset me a bit	NOTE	
SM1 queried, so just on the matter of what's in the scheme and what's not in the scheme?	NOTE	
TL responded, so the map there, basically everything covered, the bits covered in blue, is what the scheme covers	NOTE	
SM1 queried, has that changed over the years?	NOTE	
TL advised, I'm not aware that it's changed recently no	NOTE	
SM1 replied, because I'm more meaning when the scheme, between when the scheme was started and when it was not. Because when you go back to your first slide, I think it was, where you had a profile of it in the 1950's	NOTE	
TL advised, yup	NOTE	
SM2 queried, so what's in the green is actually in the scheme	NOTE	
TL advised, yup	NOTE	
SM2 replied, can you flick that back?	NOTE	
TL advised, yup, so again this map is available on the website	NOTE	



SM1 advised, they had one earlier because down, it's obviously changed at my place because my ones originally got all those squiggly ones through it	NOTE	
SM3 advised, because they used to cover up through Pat's and all around there	NOTE	
SM1 responded, yeah. The scheme must have changed at some point	NOTE	
FW advised, this presentation will be put on the website as well so you can look at that	NOTE	
SM3 advised, but you know, regardless, going back to the situation that we are now in. That should never have been allowed to happen without consultation with the scheme owners	NOTE	
TL replied, no it shouldn't happen, it's your money, you should agree to the works	NOTE	
SM3 advised, it's a drastic overspend without any control by us actually. Okay well I'm not arguing that it should not have been done but the way it was been done doesn't sound right	NOTE	
TL responded, no	NOTE	
SM5 advised, well particularly for a large number of us where the work that was actually carried out was kilometres up the road, you know and we're not even aware of it happening and you know, that's just not right	NOTE	
TL responded, no it's not right, it's your scheme and it's your funding and you should be controlling that	NOTE	
SM5 advised, yup	NOTE	
TL advised, I will try and find out more detail of what work was done there	ACTION	BY NEXT MEETING
SM3 advised, they actually sent that out to us, with the first rate instalment, yea its Carterton District Council (in terms of rates)	NOTE	
SM5 (expenditure) advised, you know, I don't, that risk of being accused of being sanicle, um you know, people see that	NOTE	



reserve tracking up to \$15,000 and think oh we bet to spend it and then you know up it goes and then funnily enough the spend is very close to what the reserve is and you know, yea it just seems coincidental doesn't it?	
TL advised, I'm sure, because I say the schemes are yours and the funding is yours so you should be in control of that, so there shouldn't be anyone saying odds, if you decide the reserves are too high, then you can perhaps think to reduce the rates, it doesn't have to be spent, its earning interest, and the interest that it earns gets plugged back into the scheme, so the reserve doesn't need to be spent at all	NOTE
SM3 advised, yeah, well I think, it was ticking along quite nicely	NOTE
TL replied, yes, up until then	NOTE
SM3 advised, up until then. Well, I was quite surprised to see that there was only two people that were paying over \$1,000 a year in rates and I was one of them. I thought there would be 3 people paying as much as that and um you know	NOTE
SM1 queried, how is that calculated, is it just based on meters of drain per property or?	NOTE
TL advised, no its to do with, it's based on the area of land that you benefit from and I think the quality of land as well. So that's why you have a Class A and a Class B, representing the different qualities of land	NOTE
SM3 advised, I sort of also find, just looking around today that the integrity of the scheme is getting compromised a bit by some of the small landholders that have planted trees alongside the drain and its now preventing a mechanical clean of the drains and that is creating quite a problem at my place because basically at this stage of the year the only way the water is going is up into the atmosphere	NOTE
SM2 responded, and that's why I keep trimming them so I can get a digger there, you know what I mean, if I need to get a digger there, I keep trimming them	NOTE
SM3 advised, but you know, they should never have been allowed to plant to the extent they have and that happened 15 years ago	NOTE



TL advised, unfortunately we have very little power to prevent that	NOTE
SM3 advised, well I mean, well you should do really, I mean because basically in my situation the blockages are occurring at the very end of the drains coming through our property so therefore its compromising the whole scheme, so I may as well not pay any rates because it's really, it's only benefiting me in high water times	NOTE
TL advised, well as FW was saying, the ideal situation would be to have an easement all the way along the drain to allow access and to prevent trees from being planted against it	NOTE
SM3 replied, I thought that would be a given	NOTE
TL advised, so again that can be something that the scheme members can	NOTE
SM3 queried, it's a bit late now isn't it?	NOTE
TL responded; I don't know why would it be too late?	NOTE
SM1 advised, well the trees are 15 years old	NOTE
SM5 advised, yeah, it's interesting because a number of years ago when Graham Ready (ex GW) was managing the drain, he indicated that it was no problem with planting trees because we've definitely planted trees and I would like to have trees along the water way there. I see them as beneficial overall as long as it, I mean we don't have blockage in our part of the drain anyway	NOTE
TL advised, trees also provide shade and that helps stop the growth of weed in the drain as well	NOTE
SM3 advised, the main drains good you know, no problems really, a few slumps this year but it's the side drains that are the problem	NOTE
SM5 advised, yup. I mean, I think that the key thing is that the thing is able to flow freely and If there's access required to get in then it might be a matter of selectively removing some trees but just in the experience that I've had with it, we haven't had to do that, anything like that yet so it kind of bit	NOTE



of a moot point but I mean I can't speak for the, well I know we do have a side drain running through our property but again it runs quite freely that one also but I could imagine other ones might not		
SM3 advised, basically the, well all, not saying all, but probably 90% of the money is being spent on the northern end of the scheme. Because the original scheme diverted the Erigna Stream south and everything else went north and basically on the south end of the Eringa Stream where it crosses the road there has been bugger all money spent in the 35 years that I have been there and nearly all of the money has been spent on the northern end. So maybe there is a requirement for the adjustments of the rates, you know maybe the south end of the stream should become a B rating and more of the northern end go to an A rating	NOTE	
TL responded, that's certainly something you can think about as part of the review, we can look into that and put that to the scheme members	NOTE	
SM2 queried, I've seen that other one that you've got Millars Road what's the other road on the opposite side?	NOTE	
SM3 replied, Riddells?	NOTE	
SM2 advised, it goes inland going east	NOTE	
SM3 replied, Eringa?	NOTE	
SM2 advised, Eringa Road yeah. And you've got the, it goes down, you've got Eringa Road here and then it comes through, goes through the dairy farm. I've actually seen it, the water coming over into the dairy farm, you know you just go up there and you see the actual height of the water was so great that it was actually bursting/going over the top of the bank you know into the dairy farm	NOTE	
SM3 advised, well it's not as bad as it was until they did that major clean 15-20 years ago. It used to be known as lake Longbush, it arrived in the middle of May and stayed there until October, that now drains away. So, they have got a tremendous benefit from it, you know that dairy farm involved, and they are the main beneficiaries in my opinion	NOTE	



SM2 queried, is that like a, looks like a clover type (in relation to celery weed)?	NOTE	
TL advised, yea looks a bit like a celery, with a hollow stem, but it grows really, really quickly and it becomes quite thick	NOTE	
SM5 replied, haven't seen that one	NOTE	
TL advised, that's good	NOTE	
SM3 responded, weed – we called it carrot weed or something	NOTE	
SM2 advised, I've got a lot of that and that's only since that blowout where I used to have just grass through there. When its dry I've been grazing the sheep on the grass there to keep it down and it literally would have been normally dry, and I've got a couple of Alpacas and I let them in there and they go in and clean it up, but they always do there poop up on the bank. They're pretty good, that weed, there is a lot of it	NOTE	
TL replied, you don't want that it grows really quickly	NOTE	
SM3 advised, as soon as you cultivate the paddock next to it to, it comes up	NOTE	
SM2 advised, I've been raking it out and pulling it out, trying to clear it	NOTE	
SM5 advised, we've had, I've noticed in the last 2 years and I have sprayed it once but it hasn't affected it too well is wandering willie	NOTE	
SM2 advised, I was cleaning it out with the rake and I was pulling eels out this big, it's incredible	NOTE	
SM3 replied, it must be healthy. Because that main drain has been fenced off ever since I arrived	NOTE	
SM3 advised, It is a petty because there was a lot of knowledge there (GW staff not completing the maintenance work)	NOTE	
SM3 queried, as far as your overheads are concerned. Do you inspect the drains as of right or do you only come in when we call you?	NOTE	



TL advised, we only come in when called	NOTE	
SM3 advised, well it used to be, you know, somebody, the supervisor used to walk the drains every year and say that's all fine or it needs something done there	NOTE	
SM1 queried, going back to your health and safety requirement, the onus is on you guys to actually look at the details of work before you have contractors on because you overview them. So, if you're saying you don't inspect the drains but then you're getting contractors in and that's not part of your overheads then how are you meeting the health and safety requirements?	NOTE	
TL advised, we have, we basically have to do risk assessments and give those to the contractors	NOTE	
SM1 queried, so you do inspect the drains?	NOTE	
TL advised, we don't inspect the drains	NOTE	
SM1 queried, how do you do your risk assessment if you're not inspecting the drain?	NOTE	
TL advised, because we know what the drains like	NOTE	
SM1 advised, but you don't know if you haven't been and looked because it changes	NOTE	
SM3 responded, so you just say you can fall in the main drain with all the rest, trip over and it will be alright	NOTE	
TL advised, if the scheme members want an annual inspection we can price for that and you can decide whether that is included	NOTE	
FW advised, just going back to health and safety, so its quality assurance making sure the contractors got the right items in place for the works he's carrying out	NOTE	
SM1 advised, yea that's part of it. Like obviously I work in forestry and this is just putting a massive red alert in my head because we are ultimately trained owners. We are the buck stops for health and safety as far as the contracting goes	NOTE	



FW advised, we can't direct a contractor, what to do. It's up to the contractor to provide the health and safety information	NOTE
SM1 replied, no you are buggered mate. The buck stops at the top	NOTE
FW responded, PCBU yes	NOTE
SM1 advised, this is all what changed after Pike River	NOTE
FW advised, so the contractor is a PCBU	NOTE
SM1 replied, yeah	NOTE
FW advised, Person Conducting a Business or Undertaking, yup	NOTE
SM1 advised, so are you guys (GW)	NOTE
FW replied, yes	NOTE
SM5 advised, the contractor is required to have a robust procedure of their own that they need to show us, so we don't have to do that for them. So, we can say, please provide us with your process and we'll look at it and say no that's not good enough or yes that fine, make sure you work to it, you know	NOTE
SM1 advised yeah, but you have to do your due diligence around it and as owners of it, we are responsible to you guys so we are trusting that you guys are doing it right because we are where the buck stops as well as the ultimate owners and there is plenty of issues with other industries like forestry, building and construction and stuff where owners who, like satellite owners who have no idea what's going on in their entities are having problems with this so	NOTE
TL queried, what do you expect? what would you like to see?	NOTE
SM1 advised, I think it's an absolute requirement that you are looking into the drains	NOTE
TL queried, how will that, how do you envisage it working then?	NOTE



SM1 advised, well you probably just do an annual inspection or something or an inspection before contracting works is undertaken and this is probably what's come to you know, going back to the \$15,000 digger bill this sort of happened without no one knowing it and no one knowing what the details of it are and it all looking like a bit of a overspend has happened as well, so there hasn't really been any administration done by you guys on it	NOTE	
TL responded, as I say I don't know enough about that to respond	NOTE	
SM1 advised, yea I get that	NOTE	
TL advised, we will look into that	ACTION	BY NEXT MEETING
SM1 queried, so what you are saying, going forward though is that you don't intend to do it going forward either so why would the same problem not happen again	NOTE	MEETING
SM5 advised, someone must be because somebody, is, it's already been mentioned that there's aspirational spend so that has to have come about by some sort of knowledge of the drain doesn't it	NOTE	
TL advised, the aspirational spend is based on an annual herbicide spray and a 5 year machine clean and we've got rates for those, and we've multiplied them along the length of the drain and come up with a rough annualised funding requirement	NOTE	
SM1 replied, it's a calculated number	NOTE	
TL advised, yeah	NOTE	
SM5 advised, yea so it could be that the drain just needs to be inspected every year and any determination made over any immediate kind of work required and perhaps potential future work required and then that gives us a stare as to manging the reserve, to have the right money in it at the right time, you know	NOTE	
SM3 advised, I mean a bit of flexibility could go into it to. I mean after the year we've had its probably important that there is a drain inspection but if you get a normalised year with no major floods or anything you say oh well basically, we	NOTE	



don't need to look at it this year everything should be fine, we will come back and look at it next year		
SM1 advised, ultimately, we are paying \$800 odd dollars for administration, if it was \$100 hour which is probably fair, we'd expect 80 hours' worth of work, like 2 weeks of work out of an individual to administer the drainage scheme	NOTE	
TL advised, I can ask our accounts team exactly what the administration charge is basically fixed	ACTION	BY NEXT MEETING
SM1 replied, yeah, I get that	NOTE	
TL advised, it covers the cost of doing the accounts and managing the reserve fund and paying invoices and stuff	NOTE	
SM3 queried, what managing of the reserve fund is there?	NOTE	
TL advised, they put it in and out of investments, I believe and stuff. So, it's not all	NOTE	
SM3 queried, so does that come back into the scheme?	NOTE	
TL advised, yes. All the interest comes back into the scheme. So, if you look on the financial, you have been getting interest on the reserve. So basically, all the reserves, my understanding is that all the reserves across GW are sort of put into a big lump sum and then that's invested. So, they aren't treated as individual accounts as such	NOTE	
SM3 advised, anything else is as required (in relation to an annual spray and inspection). If it's going to use up more than what the reserves is then there needs to be a meeting	NOTE	
TL queried, you would rather have an increased rates over the next couple of years to pay for any larger works?	NOTE	
SM1 advised, well if that's required yeah	NOTE	
TL replied, if that's required, yup	NOTE	
SM1 advised, based on the accounts that you have put to us, its one bill that's caused the problem that's you know if that bill wasn't there or was managed	NOTE	



TL responded, well no, we have only machine cleaned. I mean how longs the drain, the drains 9 Km. If you say there is only 1 Km been machined cleaned than the rest	NOTE	
SM1 advised, well, less than yeah	NOTE	
TL responded, the rest hasn't, has it. So, we haven't been doing this aspirational	NOTE	
SM3 advised, and the cost of that 1 Km is pretty much up there	NOTE	
TL replied, yup	NOTE	
SM5 advised, if you see that main drain in flood, you soon come to appreciate that it's pretty well self-cleaning you know yeah. I mean I've said that before, but you know not much can sit around in that part of the drain	NOTE	
TL advised, yeah that's good, we've got some good direction there	NOTE	
SM3 advised, I think everybody alongside it's in it you know, well the must be because they've been you know (in relation to inviting other landowners into the scheme or not)	NOTE	
TL queried, well you said that there's other drains that aren't, invite other drains that aren't part of the scheme, yeah?	NOTE	
SM2 advised, yeah, the drains that lead into that drain yeah, because you know that's what worries me it was because like, I've gone over next door, jumped the fence and it's just a build-up of willows about this high and you know it's about that high, I spent half a day pulling out bits of willow and soon as that backs up it comes right back to our house you know and affects the run off there	NOTE	
TL advised, yup, so it also talks about this sort of aspirational maintenance, it sounds like, well have a look at that and look at some alternatives	NOTE	
SM3 advised, the problem with that is that if I'm at the end of the scheme and everything is going fine with me (in relation to disbanding the scheme) you know the fact that its causing problems further down you know, I'm not going to spend	NOTE	



	to do that unless I'm affected are you, so for the y of the scheme it needs to be, yeah		
TL respo	onded, well as I say it's up to you, you are the owners cheme	NOTE	
every ye us) inclu being se let us kr	eried, have we being getting these figures sent to us ear (in relation to managing communications between uding the COVID? Because you know I remember them ent out every year and anything to discuss you know, now sort of thing but I don't remember apart from this got this year, but we got a copy of it for the last two	NOTE	
TL advis	ed; okay I will double check on that	ACTION	BY NEXT MEETING
that was	vised, yea because basically, until this letter came out s the first time we all asked about this major works d happened	NOTE	WILLTING
FW que	ried, is that the financials SM3?	NOTE	
SM3 adv	vised, yeah	NOTE	
FW repl	ied, yeah	NOTE	
SM3 adv	vised, but basically this came out every year	NOTE	
as well.	ised, going forward we can put that up on the website We are hoping to put more information on the so you can just refer to the website and see what's ed etc	NOTE	
spraying once the	ed, I think when there's works planned as well like the g and stuff we can put those on the website sort of e spraying has been done we can put the spray maps ving what's been done on there. So yeah, have a think nat	NOTE	
other fu to conti	eried, do all those things mean that there is perhaps inding coming from a high that we could actually use nue to keep our drains nice and clean (in relation to legislation) and fish life maintained?	NOTE	
	ed, I'm not aware of any potential, but it's just, you his could have an impact on the drain and stuff. So as I	NOTE	



say, I'm sure, once we have unpacked this and have got a better handle on it there will be further communications coming out		
SM3 responded, I mean I'm sort of considering you know like the catchment schemes that are being, because basically this is a catchment scheme isn't it	NOTE	
TL replied, yeah	NOTE	
SM3 queried, so there's funding available for catchment schemes for various reasons and you know, can we tap into that?	NOTE	
TL advised, well the catchment schemes are funded by targeted rates as well, so any other funding and subsidies can be, are eligible to be applied for if they can be	NOTE	
SM2 responded, there won't be any in your shoes, I mean if I get a digger in and dig out my creek, that small creek, because it's starting to, you know like with that weed that was in there	NOTE	
TL replied, yeah	NOTE	
SM2 advised, and just tidy it up because I've got Toe toe's that have sprouted like Toe toe's along that I want to get them out of it	NOTE	
TL advised, yeah, there is permitted activities and sort of cleaning drain is part of those, as long as you comply with the permitted activities you are allowed to work on your property, yup	NOTE	
SM2 advised, I would love it if next door did it as well, downstream you know	NOTE	
SM3 queried, is that the neighbours?	NOTE	
SM2 replied, no, the actual, where the old dairy farm used to be	NOTE	
SM3 responded, oh that is, that's the neighbours	NOTE	
SM2 replied, the neighbours yeah	NOTE	
SM5 queried, is that Toe toe or pampas grass?	NOTE	



SM3 replied, is there a differe	nce?	NOTE	
low, you've got willows and you like land bridge, it would be the this high and you can walk acr	of and bits of willow root, down bu've got the roots and it's just ne width of this coming up about loss it and not even get your feet e of it it's about a meter deep,	NOTE	
TL advised, yup, so provided y activities you can maintain yo		NOTE	
SM2 replied, yeah that's all go	ood	NOTE	
• •	steps), first of all we will dig out chine works and get that out, we ite or email them out or	ACTION	BY NEXT MEETING
SM1 queried, is it the ambitio committee, like you've mention		NOTE	
you want to say no, we would	few people it's really easy to at once. Some of the bigger	NOTE	
and I think you hit the nail on continuing with the spraying a machinery works need to be owhat everyone who's part of and said. And I think that if an need to be done then they she person who's been assigned to	en works are being undertaken the head with the notion of and consulting with us when lone. I think that's pretty much this meeting has come to you y members think that works	NOTE	
SM3 queried, who's our first p	point of call? you or Robbie?	NOTE	



	TL advised, either one of us, it doesn't matter	NOTE	
	SM4 advised, that sounds good, and I support the	NOTE	
	conversation today, certainly in terms of more regular		
	communication, certainly support that		
	SM1 advised, the only other thought I had was whether it's	NOTE	
		INOTE	
	possible to get a price per distance for hand cleaning of the		
	drain, say like weed eating or something like that for areas		
	that don't want to be sprayed that maybe need to		
	TL replied, yup	NOTE	
	SM1 advised, just as an alternate to places you need to clean	NOTE	
	that can't be mechanically cleaned or something		
	that can t be internalinearly creatined of something		
	TL advised, yup we can do that	ACTION	BY NEXT
			MEETING
	TL closed out the meeting	NOTE	
Meeting Closed: 5.29pm			