

## Summary of Decisions Requested on the Proposed Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region

Organised by Provision

5.3 Rules – Discharges to Land

For more information, contact the Greater Wellington Regional Council:

www.gw.govt.nz/proposed-natural-resources-plan/regionalplan@gw.govt.nz

Wellington Masterton PO Box 11646 PO Box 41

T 04 384 5708 T 06 378 2484 F 04 385 6960 F 06 378 2146

## Summary of Decisions Requested: 5.3 Rules – Discharges to Land

Plan Provision	Submitter Name	Submission point number	Support /oppose	Summary of decision requested
5.2.4 Contaminated land and hazardous substances	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/072	Support in part	Retain Rules R54 and R56 as notified.
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/096	Support	Retain Rule R54 in its current form.
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	Cuttriss Consultants Limited	S104/004	Amend	Amend Rule R54: Provisions (a) and (b) to include any future iterations of these documents.
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	NZ Transport Agency	S146/151	Support	Retain
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	Kevin Tearney	S154/003	Amend	Change the wording to be within 3 months of completion of the assessment. Set out how Council will manage the information. Reference documents such as 'the current edition of'. The Rule around the investigation of groundwater should be clearly set out and could be included under R54.
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/049	Support	Retain

Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	Chorus New Zealand Limited	S144/022	Amend	Consideration should be given to the introduction of an accidental discovery protocol for contamination as management tool.  Amend R54 as follows: The use of land provided the following conditions are met:(b)if more than 25m3 per 500m2 of soil is _disturbed, the assessment is reported in accordance with the Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 1: Reporting on Contaminated Land (2011), and  Note, regionally significant infrastructure providers are specifically excluded from requiring to comply with Rule R54, provided the exclusion parameters_listed under Rule R55 (and any subsequent provisions) are met.
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	Carrus Limited	S122/002	Amend	Amend provisions (a) and (b) to include any further iterations of these documents.
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S98/021	Oppose in part	Consideration is given to the accidental discovery protocol  Amend Rule R54 as follows:  (a) the assessment is undertaken in accordance with the Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No 1: Reporting on Contaminated Land (2011), and (b) if more than 25m3 per 500m2 of soil is disturbed, the assessment is reported in accordance with the Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No 1: Reporting on Contaminated Land (2011), and (c) a copy of the report is provided to the Wellington Regional Council two months after the completion of the assessment.  Note, regionally significant infrastructure providers are specifically excluded from requiring to comply with Rule54, provided the exclusion parameters listed under R55 (and any subsequent provisions) are met.
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council	S85/020	Amend	Amend the plan to clarify the requirements for instances more than 2 years from notification of the PNRP.

Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	The Oil Companies	S55/050	Support in part	Modify Rule 54 as follows: The use of land to assess the concentration of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil and any associated discharge into air is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met where the scale of the activity necessitates:
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	New Zealand Defence Force	S81/026	Amend	Amend [rules R54, R55 and R56] and clarify the applicability of these rules to different activities in relation to use of contaminated land.
Rule R54: Site investigation - permitted activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/033	Support	Retain
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/097	Support	Retain R55 in its current form.
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Woodridge Homes Limited	S105/004	Oppose	For Rule R55 consider a less restricted standard for discharges of stormwater from contaminated sites.
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Best Farm Limited, Hunters Hill Limited and Stebbings Farmlands Limited	S149/019	Amend	Clarify the meaning of 'contaminant' and therefore what activities R55 applies to.
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	NZ Transport Agency	S146/152	Oppose	Replace Rule 55 with a more enabling and effects-based long term rule for discharges from contaminated land.

Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Kevin Tearney	S154/004	Amend	Clarify what is sought after 31 July 2017. Address condition (b) drafting error. Requirement for consenting of sites should be risk based and not defined by the NZ Drinking Water Standards or ANZEEC guidelines.
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Regional Public Health	S136/010	Amend	That the proposed rule (b) (i) be amended to read "the concentration of contaminants in groundwater meets the Drinking Water Standards New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008) for safe drinking water."
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Chorus New Zealand Limited	S144/023	Amend	Amend Rule R55 as follows:  The use of trenchless methods to install infrastructure is a permitted activity and does not need to comply with provisions (a) and (b) but subject to manage discharges to the environment from trenches acting as migration pathways for contaminants. Note that if the provisions in Rule R55B are complied, compliance with Rule R55 is not necessary.  Add new rule R55B [see submission for suggested wording]
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/050	Support	Retain
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S98/022	Oppose in part	Exclude implementation of trenchless method lineal infrastructure from R55. A further PA is required to establish the thresholds as to when the provisions of R55 are necessary. [Amend R55 and include new R55B; see original submission for detailed amendments]
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council	S85/021	Amend	Amend the plan to clarify the requirements for instances more than 2 years from notification of the PNRP.

Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	The Oil Companies	S55/051	Amend	Modify Rule R55 as per p27-29 of original submission.
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	New Zealand Defence Force	S81/028	Amend	Amend [rules R54, R55 and R56] and clarify the applicability of these rules to different activities in relation to use of contaminated land.
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	New Zealand Defence Force	S81/027	Amend	Amend [rules R54, R55 and R56] and clarify the applicability of these rules to different activities in relation to use of contaminated land.
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Ravensdown Limited	S310/043	Oppose	Amend as follows:  (a) a site investigation has been completed in accordance with Rule R54-with-and a copy of the report provided to the Wellington Regional Council within two-five years after the date of public notification of the Proposed Natural Resources Plan (31.07.2015), and."  Delete Rule R55 (b) and further review of acceptable limits which should apply
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Fertiliser Association NZ	\$302/057	Amend	Delete Rule 55 (b) (ii) and further review acceptable limits which should apply.
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Spencer Holmes Limited	S273/005	Amend	Amend to apply to discharge of priority contaminants
Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Porirua City Council	S163/092	Not stated	Amend condition (a) to read: "within two years after the date that the Natural Resources Plan becomes operative"

Rule R55: Discharges from contaminated land - permitted activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/034	Amend	Amend Rule R55, as follows (or similar):  (b)(i) the concentration of contaminants in groundwater meets [the maximum acceptable values and guideline values set out in Tables XX of] the Drinking-Water Standards New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008) for potable water for 90% of species, and (ii) the concentration of contaminants in groundwater, at the property boundary, or at the location of existing bores, or at any point where the groundwater exits to the surface meets [the maximum acceptable values set out in Section XX] of OR  Specifically reference the maximum acceptable values/guidelines/standards within the PNRP itself (by way of an appendix or within Rule R55).
Rule R56: Discharges from contaminated land - discretionary activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/098	Support	Retain R56 in its current form.
Rule R56: Discharges from contaminated land - discretionary activity	Kevin Tearney	S154/005	Amend	Redraft R56 to "discharge from contaminated land that does not meet requirements under R54 and R55 is a discretionary (or restricted discretionary) activity."
Rule R56: Discharges from contaminated land - discretionary activity	NZ Transport Agency	S146/153	Support in part	Amend Rule 56.  The use the land, and discharge of contaminants onto or into land from contaminated land where the discharge may enter water that is not permitted by Rule R54 or Rule R55 is a discretionary activity.
Rule R56: Discharges from contaminated land - discretionary activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/052	Support in part	Amend Rule 56.The-use the land, and discharge of contaminants onto or into land from contaminated land where the discharge may enter water that is not permitted by Rule R54 or Rule R55 is a discretionary activity.
Rule R56: Discharges from contaminated land - discretionary activity	The Oil Companies	S55/052	Oppose	Modify Rule 56 as follows: The use the land, and discharge of contaminants onto or into land from contaminated land where the discharge may enter water that is not permitted by Rule R54 or Rule R55 is a restricted discretionary activity.

Rule R56: Discharges from contaminated land - discretionary activity	Wellington International Airport Limited	S282/057	Amend	Amend Rule R56 as follows:  The use—the of land to assess the concentration of hazardous substances, and or the discharge of contaminants onto or into land from contaminated land where the discharge may enter water that is not permitted by Rule R54 or Rule R55 is a discretionary activity.
Rule R56: Discharges from contaminated land - discretionary activity	Porirua City Council	S163/093	Amend	Correct the typographical error.
Rule R56: Discharges from contaminated land - discretionary activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/035	Support	Retain
Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/099	Support	Retain R57 in its current form.
Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity	Greater Wellington Regional Council	S133/010	Amend	Amend to reflect the correct rule structure of the proposed Plan by removing reference to Rules R87, R88, and R93 and including reference to Rule R56.
Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/051	Support	Retain
Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity	The Oil Companies	S55/053	Oppose	Modify Rule 57 as follows: The discharge of a hazardous substance into water or onto or into land where it may enter water that is not permitted by Rule R36, Rule R37, Rule R42, Rule R46 and Rule R87 or controlled under Rule R47 and Rule R87 or Rule R88 or discretionary under Rule R38 and Rule R93 is a discretionary—non-complying activity.

Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity	Minister of Conservation	S75/130	Amend	The discharge of a hazardous substance into water or onto or into land where it may enter water that is not permitted by Rule R36, Rule R37, Rule R42, Rule R46 and Rule R87, Rule R88 or controlled under Rule R47 - and Rule R87 or R88 or discretionary under Rule R38, R88A - and or Rule R93 is a non-complying activity.
Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity	Horticulture NZ	S307/066	Amend	Delete Rule R36, R37 and R38 from Rule R57. Include a restricted discretionary rule for minor discharges that do not meet conditions in Rule R42 and delete Rule R42 from Rule R57 and include matters of discretion, being the permitted activity conditions that are not met. Include fertiliser applications that do not meet conditions in Rule R82 in the new restricted discretionary activity rule, include matters of discretion, being the permitted activity conditions that are not met.
Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/069	Support in part	Retain
Rule R57: Discharge of hazardous substances - non-complying activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/036	Support	Retain
Rule R58: Water races - discretionary activity	Carterton District Council	S301/058	Neither support or oppose	CDC seeks clarification of whether the activity requiring consent is the discharge of contaminants into a water race, or discharge of water race water and contaminants into other water.
Rule R58: Water races - discretionary activity	Rangitane o Wairarapa Inc	S279/174	Support	Retain as notified

Rule R58: Water races - discretionary activity	Masterton District Council	S367/107	Oppose	Delete Rule 58 from the Plan, and any consequential amendments so as to provide for the ongoing use of the water race network within the Wairarapa as a permitted activity (where the quantity of water taken into the water race network is not increased over that rate existing at the time of notification of the Proposed NRP), until such time as the outcomes of a Water Race working group (Method M18) are confirmed and a Plan Change process initiated.
Rule R58: Water races - discretionary activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/107	Oppose	Delete Rule 58 from the Plan, and any consequential amendments so as to provide for the ongoing use of the water race network within the Wairarapa as a permitted activity (where the quantity of water taken into the water race network is not increased over that rate existing at the time of notification of the Proposed NRP), until such time as the outcomes of a Water Race working group (Method M18) are confirmed and a Plan Change process initiated.
Rule R58: Water races - discretionary activity	Michael Hewison	S355/046	Oppose	[Decision unclear; refer to original submission p14].
Rule R59: Existing pumped drainage schemes	Fish and Game	S308/087	Amend	Give effect to relief sought for policy P71 and apply the standards as amended in relation to policy P71
Rule R59: Existing pumped drainage schemes	Rangitane o Wairarapa Inc	S279/175	Amend	Add conditions that: water does not drain water from or cause the water level in a natural wetland to be lowered; and the concentration of nutrients (P and N) within the discharge are no greater than the applicable concentration limits for the water body into which the discharge occurs.
Rule R59: Existing pumped drainage schemes	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/190	Support	Retain

Rule R60: All other pumped drainage schemes - discretionary activity	Rangitane o Wairarapa Inc	S279/176	Support	Retain as notified
Rule R60: All other pumped drainage schemes - discretionary activity	Porirua City Council	S163/094	Amend	Add further categories as follows: "Discharge of water or contaminants from a pumped drainage scheme within an Urban Development overlay area, in respect of which a comprehensive development plan has been approved, is a controlled activity. "Discharge of water or contaminants from a pumped drainage scheme within an Urban Development overlay area, in respect of which a comprehensive development plan has not been approved, is a restricted discretionary activity."
5.2.6 Wastewater	Wellington Water Limited	S135/148	Amend	Include a new rule that allows for new discharges of wastewater to coastal water. Amend definitions of existing and new wastewater network discharges in the Interpretation section [see submission points S135/13 and 25].
Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	Liam Andrew Knight	S130/003	Amend	Retain
Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/147	Amend	Re-draft the rules to distinguish between the nature of environmental effects between different scale of effects of continuous high volume wastewater treatment plants and occasional (wet weather) temporary discharges from pump station constructed overflows that have a minor and temporary effect only.
Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	Wellington Recreational Marine Fishers Association	S32/037	Amend	Recognise in the Plan that discharge of endocrine chemical to land or sea is a major issue and address it in a standalone rule. At present there is no requirement to measure or set an acceptable level of endocrine chemical being discharged from council waste water pipes.

Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	Nga Hapu o Otaki	S309/035	Oppose in part	Amend R61 to be at discretion of mana whenua values.
Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	Fish and Game	S308/088	Amend	Amend the rule so that existing activities are required to achieve the freshwater objectives in section 3 tables including table 3.4 and 3.4a. Where the activity currently causes or contributes to over allocation then the activity must be managed in a manner consistent with improving the quality and reducing the quantity of its discharge so that the freshwater objectives including section 3 table objectives are achieved by 2030 Insert a new rule that makes existing discharges non-complying after 2030 where freshwater outcomes in section 3 tables are not achieved.
Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	Masterton District Council	S367/108	Amend	Retain the intent of Rule R61, with an amendment as follows: Rule R61: Existing Discharge of wastewater -discretionary activity The discharge of wastewater: (b) that is an existing discharge into fresh water
Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	Rangitane o Wairarapa Inc	S279/177	Oppose	Amend the rule to apply to existing discharges up until an appropriate date not later than 2030.Insert a new non-complying activity rule for existing discharges to freshwater after the date specified above.
Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	Porirua City Council	S163/095	Amend	Review and clarify this rule in terms of new discharges to coastal water. Either the word "existing" needs to be removed from the title of this rule, or a new rule needs to be added regarding new discharges to coastal water.
Rule R61: Existing wastewater - discretionary activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/108	Amend	Retain the intent of Rule R61, with an amendment to include provision for all wastewater to fall within this Rule, as follows (deletions in strikethrough): Rule R61: Existing Discharge of wastewater - discretionary activity The discharge of wastewater: (c) into coastal water, or (d) that is an existing discharge into fresh water is a discretionary activity.

Rule R62: New wastewater to fresh water - non-complying activity	Hutt City Council	S84/023	Oppose	Amend Rule R62 and change the activity status from a non-complying activity to a discretionary activity.
Rule R62: New wastewater to fresh water - non-complying activity	Wellington Recreational Marine Fishers Association	S32/038	Amend	Recognise in the Plan that discharge of endocrine chemical to land or sea is a major issue and address it in a standalone rule. [See submission point S32/037].
Rule R62: New wastewater to fresh water - non-complying activity	Nga Hapu o Otaki	S309/053	Oppose in part	Amend R62 to be a prohibited activity
Rule R62: New wastewater to fresh water - non-complying activity	Carterton District Council	S301/059	Amend	Amend Rule R62 to provide for all discharges of treated wastewater to surface water as a discretionary activity (not a non-complying activity.)
Rule R62: New wastewater to fresh water - non-complying activity	Masterton District Council	S367/109	Oppose	Delete Rule 62.
Rule R62: New wastewater to fresh water - non-complying activity	Rangitane o Wairarapa Inc	S279/178	Oppose	That the rule regarding the new discharge of wastewater to fresh water be amended to state that this is a prohibited activity.
Rule R62: New wastewater to fresh water - non-complying activity	Porirua City Council	S163/096	Amend	Review and amend this rule, taking into account the need to strike a balance between environmental outcomes and the ability of communities to pay for the substantial upgrades that would be required to comply with the rule. Either clarify whether this rule applies to wet weather overflows, or introduce more lenient provisions for wet weather overflows or provide transitional provisions.

Rule R62: New wastewater to fresh water - non-complying activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/109	Oppose	Delete Rule 62.
Rule R63: Wastewater from ships and offshore installations - permitted activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/100	Support	Retain R63 in its current form.
Rule R63: Wastewater from ships and offshore installations - permitted activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/053	Support	Retain
Rule R63: Wastewater from ships and offshore installations - permitted activity	Beef and Lamb NZ	S311/012	Not stated	Clarify whether wastewater in relation to ships and offshore installation includes ballast water. If it does, then the protocols for ballast water exchange/biosecurity should need to be met before any ballast water is permitted to be discharged in coastal waters.
Rule R64: Wastewater from ships and offshore installations - non-complying activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/101	Support	Retain Rule R64 in its current form.
Rule R64: Wastewater from ships and offshore installations - non-complying activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/054	Support	Retain
Rule R64: Wastewater from ships and offshore installations - non-complying activity	Porirua City Council	S163/097	Amend	Correct the typographical error.

Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	Ministry of Primary Industries	S10/001	Amend	Amend Rule R65 (b) [meant to be (c)?] to " and any cleaning of biofouling of international origin is of microfouling only or goose banacles and is by a gentle non-abrasive technique  (f) if suspected [harmful or unusual aquatic species] are found during inspection or cleaning, the vessel owner or operator shall take the following steps:  (i) any cleaning activities to cease immediately, and"
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/102	Support in part	Amend Rule R65 as follows:The discharge of contaminants and biological material into coastal water from in-water cleaning of biofouling from a vessel is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:(d) where the vessel is of international origin the cleaning or treatment method shall capture any biological material released into the water column greater than 50µm in diameter, with any captured cleaning debris disposed on land, and
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	New Zealand Diving and Salvage Limited	S147/006	Amend	Amend R65(d) to ensure that the requirement for capture is only applicable to vessels of domestic or international origin with macrofouling as described on P12 of the Anti-fouling and In-water Cleaning guidelines.
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	New Zealand Diving and Salvage Limited	S147/005	Amend	Amend R65(d) to allow for material that has been captured and filtered through the inwater cleaning process can be discharged into coastal water as a permitted activity.
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	New Zealand Diving and Salvage Limited	S147/004	Amend	Amend R65(f) to extend responsibility for reporting harmful or unusual aquatic species to the in-water cleaning operator, and recognise that novelty of species to region is critical, as follows:   (f) if any suspected species [sic] harmful or unusual aquatic species are found that are either new to New Zealand or the Wellington Region, the vessel owner and/or the inwater cleaning operator shall take the following steps:"
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	New Zealand Diving and Salvage Limited	S147/001	Amend	Amend condition (c) of R65 to permit in-water cleaning of vessels regardless of origin of microfouling and without need for containment.

Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	New Zealand Diving and Salvage Limited	S147/002	Amend	Amend R65 to permit the in-water cleaning of regional and domestic vessels regardless of micro or macro-fouling state and without containment.
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	New Zealand Diving and Salvage Limited	S147/003	Amend	Clarify which vessel classes (p12 of Anti-fouling and In-water Cleaning guidelines) are permitted by R65.
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/055	Support in part	(k) where the vessel has most recently arrived from an international origin the cleaning or treatment method shall capture any biological material released into the water column greater than 50µm in diameter, with any captured cleaning debris disposed on land, and
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	Minister of Conservation	S75/131	Oppose in part	Amend Rule R65 as per p24-25 of original submission.
Rule R65: In-water biofoul cleaning - permitted activity	Beef and Lamb NZ	S311/013	Amend	Amend (f) (i) immediately notify MPI Biosecurity
Rule R66: In-water biofoul cleaning - discretionary activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/103	Support in part	Amend R65 as indicated in submission point S121/102.
Rule R66: In-water biofoul cleaning - discretionary activity	Minister of Conservation	S75/132	Support	Retain as notified
5.2.8 All other discharges	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/058	Support in part	Retain Rules R67 and R68 as notified.

Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	CentrePort Limited (CentrePort)	S121/104	Oppose	Amend Rule R67 as follows:The discharge of water or contaminants into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water: (a) inside a site or habitat identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies), Schedule F1 (rivers/lakes), Schedule F3 (significant wetland), or Schedule F4 (coastal sites) unless located in the Commercial Port Area, and is a non-complying activity.
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Vector Gas Ltd	S145/057	Amend	Amend Rule 67: The discharge of water or contaminants into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water:  (c) that is not a discharge associated with a regionally significant infrastructure
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/052	Amend	Amend to ensure more specificity about the circumstances of the discharge (eg scale, frequency, intensity and quality of contaminants by the time it leaves the property in which it is discharged and when it arrives at the site or habitat identified in various schedules).  Redraft rule to avoid use of 'may'.  Clarify that (a) relates only to sites that are specifically mapped in the Proposed Regional Plan. It does not mean discharges on to land near a possible site/habitat or near a mapped site.
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	NZ Transport Agency	S146/154	Oppose	Amend Rule 67: The discharge of water or contaminants into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water:  (a) inside a site or habitat identified inand  (b) that is not permitted by Rules R42, R43, R44 or R45; and  (c) that is not a discharge associated with a regionally significant infrastructure  Or introduce a new discretionary rule specific to discharges of water or contaminants into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water from regionally significant infrastructure inside sites of significance.
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Maypole Environmental Limited	S143/010	Amend	Amend Rule 67 in order to clarify that it does not cover stormwater discharges that are provided for under Rules 48-53.

Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/056	Oppose	(a) inside a site or habitat identified in Schedule A (outstanding water bodies), Schedule F1 (rivers/lakes), Schedule F3 (significant wetland), or Schedule F4 (coastal sites) unless located in the Commercial Port Area, and
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Egon Guttke	S14/026	Amend	Amend Rule R67 to add Rule R48 to clause (b).
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Meridian Energy Limited	S82/028	Oppose	Amend Rule R67 by exempting stormwater discharges that are otherwise permitted or controlled or restricted discretionary activities or changing the consent status as follows:  The discharge of water or contaminants into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water, except stormwater discharges:(b) that is not permitted by Rules R42, R43, R44 or R45 is a non-complying discretionary activity.
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	GBC Winstone	S66/018	Amend	Add a note following Rule R67 to the effect that discharges associated with dredging for flood protection or erosion mitigation is provided for under Rules R200 and R201.
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Minister of Conservation	S75/133	Amend	Amend as follows:(b) that is not permitted by Rules R36, R37, R42, R43, R44, or R87 or R88, or restricted discretionary under Rule 88A
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Limited	S99/029	Amend	Amend Rule R67 in Section 5.2.8 'All other discharges' in the Proposed Plan as follows: 'Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non-complying activity The discharge of water or contaminants into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water:(b) that is not permitted by Rules R42, R43, R44, or R45, R48 or R49 is a non-complying activity.'

Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Nga Hapu o Otaki	S309/036	Amend	Amend rule that Schedule B and C sites are included into subsection (a).
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co- operative Group Ltd	S316/098	Amend	Amend Rule R67 (b) to add R48 to the list of rules that permit discharges to scheduled sites.
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Carterton District Council	S301/060	Amend	Amend Rule R67 to provide for all discharges into Schedules areas as a discretionary activity (not a non-complying activity).
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Masterton District Council	S367/110	Not stated	The discharge of water or contaminants into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water (except for treated wastewater (Rule R62)):
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Rangitane o Wairarapa Inc	S279/179	Amend	Ensure that the discharge of contaminants are restricted in sites in Schedule B and Schedule C, in particular, schedule C5
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Porirua City Council	S163/099	Amend	Clarify how this rule relates to Rule R53. If stormwater discharges in outstanding water bodies are captured by R67, it is requested that all stormwater discharges be treated as either a controlled or discretionary activity.
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/110	Not stated	Amend as follows: The discharge of water or contaminants into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water (except for treated wastewater (Rule R62)):
Rule R67: Discharges inside sites of significance - non complying activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/037	Support	Retain

Rule R68: All other discharges - discretionary activity	Rural Residents Environmental Society Incorporated	S125/022	Support	Rule R69 All discharges of hazardous substances _ non-complying activity The discharge of hazardous substances into water, or onto or into land where it may enter water, that is not permitted by Rule 68 is a non-complying activity.
Rule R68: All other discharges - discretionary activity	Vector Gas Ltd	S145/058	Amend	Retain Rule 68
Rule R68: All other discharges - discretionary activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/053	Amend	Make any consequential amendments arising from requested changes to R68 [see submission point S152/052].
Rule R68: All other discharges - discretionary activity	NZ Transport Agency	S146/155	Support	Retain
Rule R68: All other discharges - discretionary activity	Meridian Energy Limited	S82/029	Support in part	Clarify in Rule R68 that all discharge activities that are not permitted activities or controlled activities or restricted discretionary activities are discretionary activities.
Rule R68: All other discharges - discretionary activity	Porirua City Council	S163/098	Amend	Add further categories as follows: "All other discharges from a pumped drainage scheme within an Urban Development overlay area, in respect of which a comprehensive development plan has been approved, is a controlled activity. "All other discharges from a pumped drainage scheme within an Urban Development overlay area, in respect of which a comprehensive development plan has not been approved, is a restricted discretionary activity."
Rule R68: All other discharges - discretionary activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/038	Support	Retain

5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Rural Residents Environmental Society Incorporated	S125/024	Amend	Rule XXX Gun pistol or rifle ranges including Clay Target Shooting Ranges _ non-complying activity. The use of land for Gun pistol or rifle ranges including Clay Target Shooting Ranges is a non-complying activity.
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Egon Guttke	S14/024	Amend	Remove reference to "gully" in all rules [Rule R71, R72, R75, R76, R77, R81, R89 and R91].
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	New Zealand Defence Force	S81/023	Amend	Amend the rules in sections 5.2.2 and 5.3.2 and/or insert guidance notes to clarify which rules apply.
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Porirua Harbour and Catchment Community Trust	S33/037	Amend	Amend rules to include specific provisions to address septic tank discharges from intensive lifestyle use in the Porirua Harbour catchment.
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Porirua Harbour and Catchment Community Trust	S33/036	Amend	Amend plan, ahead of the Whaitua process, to include specific provisions relating to nutrient run off from land uses in the Porirua harbour catchment such as golf courses.
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Jeffrey Arthur	S28/001	Amend	[Include rules to avoid creation of new contaminated sites, not just policy P95]
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	N & S Terry	S173/002	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area' ]
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Lewis Herrick	S378/77	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area' ]

5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Sandra Shivas	S361/095	Amend	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area']
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Craig Dairy Farm Ltd	S358/002	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area']
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Ali & Dion Kilmister	S430/002	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area']
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Beryl Masters Stuart	S426/002	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area']
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Garry Daniell	S431/002	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area']
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Blair Percy	S429/002	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area' ]

5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	James and Jane Smallwood	S428/002	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area']
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	AB & DE Smith	S427/002	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area']
5.3.2 Discharge of contaminants	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/77	Not stated	[Identify an actual environmental problem or adverse effect to be avoided, remedied or mitigated by defaulting currently permitted activities to restricted discretionary/discretionary within the 'groundwater community drink water supply protection area']
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/054	Support	Retain.
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	Vector Gas Ltd	S145/059	Support	Retain Rule 69
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	NZ Transport Agency	S146/156	Support	Retain

Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	Chorus New Zealand Limited	S144/024	Amend	Amend Rule R69 as follows: The discharge of contaminants onto or into land The use of trenchless methods to install infrastructure is a permitted activity and does not need to comply with provisions (a) and (b) but subject to manage discharges to the environment from trenches acting as migration pathways for contaminants. Note that if the provisions in Rule R69B are complied, compliance with Rule R69 is not necessary.  Add Rule R69B [See submission for suggested wording]
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	Spark New Zealand Trading Limited	S98/023	Oppose	[Amend R69 and include new permitted activity rule R69B to establish the thresholds as to when the provisions of R69 are necessary; see original submission for detailed amendments]
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	The Oil Companies	S55/054	Oppose	Modify Rule 69 as follows: The discharge of contaminants conditions are met: (a) the contaminant shall not enter water, unless there has been on site treatment to a level which meets limits outlined in Rule 55, and
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	New Zealand Defence Force	S81/029	Amend	Amend R69 permitted activity control (a) to address [issues with temporary military training activities discharging minor contaminants; see original submission for details] and provide clarification for users.
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	Fish and Game	S308/089	Amend	Amend to include "shall not enter water either directly or indirectly"
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	Ravensdown Limited	S310/044	Oppose	Delete Rule R69. If Council decides to retain Rule R69, it should be amended by deleting condition (a).

Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	Beef and Lamb NZ	S311/014	Amend	Rewrite and align R42, R69 and R93 to provide for land uses that result in discharges to land where they may enter water, being a permitted activity, where the permitted land uses includes, but is not limited to, all forms of primary production.
Rule R69: Minor contaminants - permitted activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/039	Support	Retain
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Carrus Limited	S122/003	Amend	Amend Rule R70 so that:  (f) relates to a greater volume of material (i.e. 1000 cubic metres)  -the rule includes an exemption that enables earthworks being undertaken in association with a subdivision, and/or earthwork associated with residential and building developments be exempt from the standards set under (f).  -a new rule is inserted allowing for activities that cannot meet one or two or the Permitted Activity Standards to be considered as a Controlled or Restricted Discretionary Activity with control or discretion being retained over the standard(s) not met.
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Michael John Slater	S113/008	Oppose	Delete Rule R70 [condition f and g].
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Cuttriss Consultants Limited	S104/005	Amend	Amend Rule R70:  (f) relates to a greater volume of material (i.e.1000 cubic metres) that the rule be amended to include an exemption that enables earthworks being undertaken in association with a subdivision, and/or earthworks associated with residential and building developments be exempt from the standards set under (f).
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/055	Amend	Delete subclause (f) specifying a volume of 100m3.  Amend (g) so that situations where the material is sourced and deposited within the same property are exempt the requirement to record cleanfill information.

Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	NZ Transport Agency	S146/157	Oppose	[Delete existing conditions (a)-(h) and replace with]: The discharge of cleanfill material onto or into land is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met: (a) The cleanfill is not located within the bed of a river or lake, a river floodplain, coastal marine area or area at risk from inundation from coastal flooding.
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Kiwi Rail Holdings Limited	S140/057	Support in part	<ul> <li>(a) the cleanfill material is not located within 20m of a surface water body, or bore used for water abstraction for potable supply unless it is being utilised to maintain or upgrade Regionally Significant Infrastructure, and</li> <li>(e) the volume of cleanfill material deposited at a property shall not exceed 100m3 unless it is being utilised to maintain or upgrade Regionally Significant Infrastructure, and</li> </ul>
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	New Zealand Defence Force	S81/030	Amend	Amend the permitted conditions in R70 to clarify the time-frames for cleanfilling.
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Jim Hedley	S340/008	Oppose	R70. Delete: (a) words"of a surface water body" or delete 20m and replace with 2m Delete (e) Delete (f) Delete (g)
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Holcim (New Zealand) Ltd	S276/018	Oppose	Retain Rule R70 and insert a new default for a controlled activity resource consent for any infringements of the performance standards in R70.
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/191	Amend	(a) the cleanfill material is not located within 20m 5m of a surface water body, or bore used for water abstraction for potable supply, andd)—the cleanfill material shall be managed (siting, design and operation) in accordance with Sections 5-8 in A Guide to the Management of Cleanfills (2002), and e)—the volume of cleanfill material deposited at a property shall not exceed 100m3, and f)—the volume and origin of the cleanfill material and the date the material has been deposited on this property, is recorded using GPS or mapped to an accuracy of at least 50m at a scale of 1:50,000; and a copy of this information is made available to the Wellington Regional Council upon request, and g)—the cleanfill material shall be stabilised and re-vegetated within six months of completion of the activity.

Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Wainuiomata Rural Community Association	S164/003	Amend	This could be clarified in the definition of clean fill material. The current definition includes clay, soil and rock. We suggest the definition specifically excludes "river gravels" to avoid confusion. We also suggest that it be made clear whether amount of clean fill material that can be discharged under this rule is an annual amount.
Rule R70: Cleanfill material - permitted activity	Allan A Smith	S35/013	Amend	Amend R70 (f) to increase the permitted volume to 400m3.
Rule R71: Pit latrine - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/056	Amend	Delete reference to 'gully'. Amend map scale [Map 26, 27a-c. See submission point S152/008] to show the 'community drinking water supply protection area' at a local level so it is clear where rules apply.
Rule R71: Pit latrine - permitted activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/149	Support	No change sought.
Rule R71: Pit latrine - permitted activity	Egon Guttke	S14/027	Amend	Replace Rule R71 with the corresponding rule in the operative plan. [Rule 5 of operative Discharges to Land Plan, p82]
Rule R71: Pit latrine - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/192	Amend	not located i) within 50m 20m of a surface water body, coastal marine area, -gully-or bore used for potable supply
Rule R71: Pit latrine - permitted activity	Allan A Smith	S35/014	Amend	Amend the conditions of R71 to make them less draconian in their impact.
Rule R72: Composting toilets - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/057	Support in part	Delete 'gully' from subclause R72(c).
Rule R72: Composting toilets - permitted activity	Egon Guttke	S14/028	Amend	Amend Rule R72(c) by replacing current wording "the discharge is not within 50m of a surface water body potable supply" with "the discharge does not drain into a surface water body". [Also see S27/014]

Rule R72: Composting toilets - permitted activity	Regional Public Health	S136/011	Amend	That Rule 72 is amended by adding a note after clause (d) "Permission may be required from the relevant authority in respect of the discharge under other legislation or bylaws."
Rule R72: Composting toilets - permitted activity	Masterton District Council	S367/111	Oppose	Amend Rule R72(c) to require a 20m setback, not a 50m setback.
Rule R72: Composting toilets - permitted activity	Porirua City Council	S163/100	Support	[Retain]
Rule R72: Composting toilets - permitted activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/111	Oppose	Amend Rule R72(c) to require a 20m setback, not a 50m setback.
Rule R73: Greywater - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/058	Support	Retain.
Rule R73: Greywater - permitted activity	Regional Public Health	S136/012	Amend	That clause (c) wording is amended to state that the discharge is not located within "20 meters of a surface water body, coastal marine area, gully, or a minimum of 20 meters of a bore used for water extraction for potable supply as determined by a site risk assessment". That clause (b) is amended to "the application rate of greywater shall not exceed a maximum daily volume of 2,000 litres and is site appropriate, and"
Rule R73: Greywater - permitted activity	Porirua City Council	S163/101	Support	[Retain]
Rule R74: Existing on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/059	Amend	Permit replacement of the on-site domestic wastewater treatment and discharge system if it provides the same or better quality wastewater treatment discharges.

Rule R74: Existing on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Diane Strugnell	S128/003	Amend	Clarification on how a condition that contains a specific measure might be anticipated, for example, what might trigger this level of detail being sought or measured for compliance with the condition
Rule R74: Existing on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/193	Amend	d) the volume of domestic wastewater to be discharged from any one system shall not exceed 1,300L/day 2000L/day (calculated as a weekly average)
Rule R74: Existing on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/040	Support	Retain
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/060	Amend	Delete 'gully' from (e)(i). Permit replacement of an existing system in a community drinking water supply protection area if it provides if it provides the appropriate level of wastewater treatment
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/150	Amend	No change sought.
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Regional Public Health	S136/013	Amend	That the wording of the proposed rule is amended to insert a new clause "(I) in the case of a new system, where a sewer connection is available". That the wording of the proposed rule clause (e)(i) is amended to "20 meters of a surface water body, coastal marine area, gully, or a minimum of 20 meters of a bore used for water abstraction for potable supply as determined by a site risk assessment, or"
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Diane Strugnell	S128/004	Amend	Clarification on how a condition that contains a specific measure might be anticipated, for example, what might trigger this level of detail being sought or measured for compliance with the condition

Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Carter Families	S295/071	Amend	Amend R75 to provide for on-site effluent disposal systems within a community drinking water supply protection area.
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Julian and Ruth Blackett	S299/071	Amend	Amend R75 to provide for on-site effluent disposal systems within a community drinking water supply protection area.
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Porirua City Council	S163/102	Amend	Add a new rule specifically designed for communal wastewater treatment systems for small groups of properties, including small rural-based industries, rural primary production activities and rural commercial activities.
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/194	Amend	e) i. 20m of a surface water body, coastal marine area, gully or bore used for water abstraction for potable supply, or iv. a community drinking water supply protection area as shown on Map 26, Map 27a, Map 27b or Map 27c, and
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Max Lutz	S348/113	Amend	Amend R75 to provide for on-site effluent disposal systems within a community drinking water supply protection area.
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Michael Hewison	S355/044	Amend	[Decision unclear; refer to original submission for detail].

Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/041	Support	Retain
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	Wainuiomata Rural Community Association	S164/004	Support	[Not stated]
Rule R75: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems - permitted activity	USNZ	S349/071	Amend	Amend R75 to provide for on-site effluent disposal systems within a community drinking water supply protection area.
Rule R76: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems within community drinking water supply protection areas - controlled activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/061	Amend	Delete 'gully'.Amend map scale to show the 'community drinking water supply protection area' [Map 26, 27a-c. See submission point S152/008 ] at a local level so it is clear where rules apply.Permit replacement of an existing system in a community drinking water supply protection area if it provides the appropriate level of wastewater treatment.
Rule R76: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems within community drinking water supply protection areas - controlled activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/151	Support	No change sought.

Rule R76: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems within community drinking water supply protection areas - controlled activity	Regional Public Health	S136/014	Amend	That the wording of the proposed rule is amended to insert a new section "(I) where a sewer connection is unavailable".
Rule R76: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems within community drinking water supply protection areas - controlled activity	Masterton District Council	S367/112	Support	Retain Rule R76
Rule R76: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems within community drinking water supply protection areas - controlled activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/195	Amend	Delete
Rule R76: New or upgraded on-site wastewater systems within community drinking water supply protection areas - controlled activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/112	Support	Retain Rule R76

Rule R77: Application of Aa biosolids to land - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/062	Amend	Clarify the meaning of AA, Ab, Ba, and Bb biosolids so lay people know what they are.  Exclude wastewater from domestic on-site treatment systems from rules R77 and R78.
Rule R77: Application of Aa biosolids to land - permitted activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/152	Amend	Refer to the Ministry for the Environment "Guidelines for the application of biosolids to land in New Zealand".
Rule R77: Application of Aa biosolids to land - permitted activity	Regional Public Health	S136/015	Amend	That clause (d) wording is amended to state that the discharge "is not located within 20 meters of a surface water body, coastal marine area, gully, or a minimum of 20 meters of a bore used for water extraction for a potable supply as determined by a site risk assessment".
Rule R77: Application of Aa biosolids to land - permitted activity	Porirua City Council	S163/103	Amend	Clarify why the requirement to comply with the NZWWA Guidelines for the Safe Application of Biosolids to Land 2003 has been removed from the rule, or alternatively put this provision back in the rule.
Rule R78: Application of biosolids (Ab, Ba, or Bb) to land - restricted discretionary activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/063	Amend	Clarify the meaning of AA, Ab, Ba, and Bb biosolids so lay people know what they are. Exclude wastewater from domestic on-site treatment systems from rules R77 and R78.
Rule R78: Application of biosolids (Ab, Ba, or Bb) to land - restricted discretionary activity	Regional Public Health	S136/016	Amend	That the rule is amended by adding a new clause 10 Matters for discretion: "Measures for monitoring emerging contaminants".

Rule R78: Application of biosolids (Ab, Ba, or Bb) to land - restricted discretionary activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/153	Support	Retain
Rule R78: Application of biosolids (Ab, Ba, or Bb) to land - restricted discretionary activity	Porirua City Council	S163/104	Support	[Retain]
5.3.4 Treated wastewater	Liam Andrew Knight	S130/001	Amend	Amend the scope of the provision to include urupa and cemetaries.
Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	Liam Andrew Knight	S130/002	Support in part	Retain
Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/064	Amend	Permit discharge of treated wastewater if it meets a certain standard within the community drinking water supply protection area. Amend map scale to show the 'community drinking water supply protection area' [Map 26, 27a-c. See submission point S152/008] at a local level so it is clear where rules apply
Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/154	Support	Retain
Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	Fish and Game	S308/090	Amend	Amend the rule so that activities are required to achieve the freshwater objectives.  Insert a new rule that makes existing discharges non complying after 2030 where freshwater outcomes in section 3 tables are not achieved.  New activities which would cause or contribute to the freshwater objectives in section 3 tables being exceeded should be prohibited.  Give effect to relief sought policy P71 and apply the standards as amended in relation to policy P71

Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	Carterton District Council	S301/061	Amend	Increase the wind speed limit to 12 m/s.  Delete the 10% limit on trade waste.  Amend irrigation rate to 20mm per irrigation event.  Allow greater flexibility in the form of proposed droplet size versus distribution uniformity.  Amend minimum setback distances from boundaries and from surface water to allow discharge of treated effluent closer to boundaries.
Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	Masterton District Council	S367/113	Oppose	Delete Rule R79 and rewrite to provide for a Permitted activity rule land discharge of treated effluent; Controlled activity for all other land discharges, subject to reasonable matters of control, not prescriptive operational and asset management directives; and Provision for alternative discharge designs and methods, not a prescriptive standard based on a single method.
Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	Rangitane o Wairarapa Inc	S279/182	Amend	Include as matters of control and discretion: the effects of the discharge, including cumulative effects, on meeting the freshwater objectives and limits in the Plan; The effects of the discharge of sites listed in Schedules A to F and H; and The effects on the cultural and spiritual values of mana whenua. Clarified that mana whenua will be considered as potentially affected parties.
Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/113	Oppose	Delete Rule R79 and rewrite to provide for a Permitted activity rule land discharge of treated effluent; Controlled activity for all other land discharges, subject to reasonable matters of control, not prescriptive operational and asset management directives; and Provision for alternative discharge designs and methods, not a prescriptive standard based on a single method.
Rule R79: Discharge of treated wastewater - controlled activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/043	Support	Retain

Rule R80: Discharge of treated wastewater - restricted discretionary activity	Wairarapa Winegrowers Association	S103/008	Amend	Submitter has requested three additional rules for the discharge of viticulture and horticulture liquid wastes.  See submission (S103) for full details.
Rule R80: Discharge of treated wastewater - restricted discretionary activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/155	Support	Retain
Rule R80: Discharge of treated wastewater - restricted discretionary activity	Nga Hapu o Otaki	S309/037	Amend	Rule to include "the effects on tangata whenua values" under matters of discretion
Rule R80: Discharge of treated wastewater - restricted discretionary activity	Masterton District Council	S367/114	Oppose	Delete Rule R80, and rewrite in conjunction with rewrite of Rule R79 [submission point s367/113].
Rule R80: Discharge of treated wastewater - restricted discretionary activity	Rangitane o Wairarapa Inc	S279/259	Amend	[see submission point s279/182]
Rule R80: Discharge of treated wastewater - restricted discretionary activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/114	Oppose	Delete Rule R80, and rewrite in conjunction with rewrite of Rule R79.

Rule R81: Drinking water treatment plant supernatant waste - controlled activity	Regional Public Health	S136/017	Amend	That the rule be amended to read "(a) the discharge is not located within 20 meters of a surface water body, the coastal marine area, gully, or a minimum of 20 meters of a bore used for water abstraction for potable supply as determined by a site risk assessment, and"
Rule R81: Drinking water treatment plant supernatant waste - controlled activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/156	Support	Support
Rule R81: Drinking water treatment plant supernatant waste - controlled activity	Fish and Game	S308/091	Amend	Amend the rule so that under matters of control activities are required to achieve the freshwater objectives by 2030 Give effect to relief sought policy P71 and apply the standards as amended in relation to policy P71
5.3.6 Fertiliser and animal effluent	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/068	Amend	Introduce a new rule as follows: Rule R94 - Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial applications - restricted discretionary activity. The discharge of contaminant from ground-based or aerial applications that does not meet the conditions of Rule R82 is a restricted discretionary activity. Discretion is restricted to the following matters: The effects of discharge of fertiliser directly onto or into a surface water body. The nature, effect and duration of any objectionable odour. Or similar.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	James Falloon	S376/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Michael John Slater	S113/052	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Friends of the Paekakariki Streams	S112/094	Amend	Change to discretionary
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Henergy Cage-Free Limited	S116/002	Amend	The discharge of raw poultry manure solids (where moisture levels by weight are less than 40%) to land for soil conditioning and fertilising pasture and cropping land be provided for as a permitted activity, subject to fair and reasonable standards; and any related or consequential amendments to give effect to the intent of this submission.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Warren Bryant	S151/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/065	Support in part	Insert in (a) the words: every reasonable endeavour is made to ensure the discharge is not onto or into a surface water body
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Regional Public Health	S136/018	Amend	That the wording of proposed rule be amended by inclusion of a new clause "(d) the discharge is not to an area with existing elevated groundwater nitrate levels."
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Kairoa Farms Limited	S74/043	Oppose	Amend condition a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Mike McCreary	S397/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Daniel George	S395/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Bernie George	S396/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Sandy Bidwell	S399/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Hayden Thurston	S390/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Owen Butcher	S394/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Guy Didsbury	S391/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Gerard Vollebregt	S388/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Jeremy Bennill	S389/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Pip Wilkinson	S387/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Shane and Geoff Wilkinson	S386/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Susannah and Mark Guscott	S317/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Chris and Steven Price	S339/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	DW and PC McKay	S323/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Pip Tocker	S331/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Alan Westbury	S334/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Rex McKay	S322/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Bob Tosswill	S337/089	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Charlie Matthews	S320/046	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Andrew Thomson	S336/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Hiwi Trust	S332/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Tim Williams	S324/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Edward Handyside	S321/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	John Carred	S314/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Horticulture NZ	S307/067	Amend	Amend Rule R82 clause a) The discharge does not cause adverse effects beyond the boundary of the property.  Add new clause: The applications will be undertaken using good management practices to minimise the potential for wind drift having regarding to the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management (Fertiliser Association).
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Ravensdown Limited	S310/045	Support in part	Amend to read: The discharge of fertiliser onto or into land or into air is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met: (a) the discharge is not directly onto or into a surface water body or beyond the boundary of the property—including as a result of wind drift, and"
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Tony Dowman	S312/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co- operative Group Ltd	S316/099	Amend	Reword conditions (a) and (b) of Rule R82 as follows: "(a) the discharge is not onto or into a surface water body or beyond the boundary of the property or properties comprising a farm enterprise including as a result of wind drift, and (b) the discharge of odour is not offensive or objectionable odour beyond the boundary of the property or properties comprising a farm enterprise, and"
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Beef and Lamb NZ	S311/015	Amend	Amend R82 (a) the discharge is not onto or into a surface waterbody, or beyond the boundary of the property, including as a result of wind drift; ground-based and aerial applications of fertiliser must follow the latest available Fertiliser Association of New Zealand Code of Practice; and (b) for aerial discharges
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Leo Vollebregt	S372/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	George Ritchie	S371/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Mahaki Holdings LTD	S370/053	Amend	Amend R82 to provide for "best practice" and "to avoid wherever practicable" when undertaking aerial top-dressing.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Jamie Falloon	S373/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Craig and Janet Morrison	S303/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/059	Amend	Amend Rule R82 as follows: Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial applications - permitted activity. The discharge of fertiliser onto or into land or into air is a permitted activity, provided the following conditions are met: (a) the discharge is not directly onto or into a surface water body or beyond the boundary of the property-including as a result of wind drift, and
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Kennott Family Trust	S297/053	Amend	Amend R82(a) to provide for best practice when undertaking aerial top-dressing as opposed to a blanket no discharge rule.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Anders and Emily Crofoot	S304/007	Amend	Delete (c) (v). (c)(vii) should have a time frame included, say 6 months
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	A T McKay	S298/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Julian and Ruth Blackett	S299/053	Amend	Amend R82(a) to provide for best practice when undertaking aerial top-dressing as opposed to a blanket no discharge rule.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Carter Families	S295/053	Amend	Amend R82(a) to provide for best practice when undertaking aerial top-dressing as opposed to a blanket no discharge rule.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Richard Wilkie	S369/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Land Matters LTD	S285/053	Amend	Amend R82(a) to provide for best practice when undertaking aerial top-dressing as opposed to a blanket no discharge rule.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Jim, Pascoe and Henry Reynolds	S281/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Andrew Patrick	S292/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Bell Camp Trust	S294/053	Amend	Amend R82 which provides for best practice when undertaking aerial top-dressing as opposed to a blanket no discharge rule. Supports changes to provisions that provide instead for 'best practice' and 'to avoid wherever practicable discharges to surface water bodies' by aerial topdressing pilots.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Margaret Niven	S293/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Robert Kyle	S290/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Charlotte and Toby McDonald	S289/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Jan and Jock Richmond	S280/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Terawhiti Farming Co.	S288/018	Oppose	Amend the condition a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	David and Michael Keeling	S169/003	Not stated	Unrealistic condition for fertiliser not permitted to move beyond the boundary of the property, including as a result of wind drift.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Chris Engel	S170/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Stuart Woodman	S171/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	David Hume	S341/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Porirua City Council	S163/105	Support	[Retain]
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Blair Roberts	S393/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Stewart Weatherstone	S392/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Willy and Sally Bosch	S380/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Clayton Hartnell	S379/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/196	Amend	a) the discharge is not directly onto or into a surface water body or beyond the boundary of the property including as a result of wind drift, and

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Richard Tosswill	S368/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	A J Barton	S365/102	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Donald McCreary	S377/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Graeme Hugh Tulloch	S375/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Michael Taylor	S374/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Max Lutz	S348/095	Amend	Amend R82(a) to provide for best practice when undertaking aerial top-dressing as opposed to a blanket no discharge rule.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Max Lutz	S348/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Kyle Wells	S360/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Michael Hewison	S355/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Gavin Bruce	S363/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Griffin Ag-Air Ltd	S357/003	Oppose	amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.  [Condition (c) should be a requirement of the Operator not the pilot]  Delete condition (c)(iv)  [not stated for condition (c)(v)]
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Mike Butterick	S356/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Tim Mansell and Family	S351/053	Amend	Amend R82 which provides for best practice when undertaking aerial top-dressing as opposed to a blanket no discharge rule. Supports changes to provisions that provide instead for 'best practice' and 'to avoid wherever practicable discharges to surface water bodies' by aerial topdressing pilots.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Sam Orsborn	S354/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Joe Hintz	S401/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Mike Moran	S400/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Wainuiomata Rural Community Association	S164/005	Oppose	We believe it is important to ensure that fertiliser application is done according to best practise so recommend that sub clause (a) is replaced by sub clause (b) from Rule 20 in the previous draft. [see full submission for draft of Rule R82]  Another way to achieve the purpose that we all wish to achieve, preventing large amounts of fertiliser entering our waterways, would be to link this Rule to Rule 42.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Brian Bosch	S347/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Alex Kyle	S345/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/073	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Andy Phillips	S343/040	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.
Rule R82: Application of fertiliser from ground-based or aerial application - permitted activity	Dan Riddiford	S350/052	Oppose	Amend condition (a) to reflect the practicalities of aerial fertiliser application.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	James Falloon	S376/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Kaiwaiwai Dairies Limited	S119/024	Amend	Amend rule by including the limits that are being used
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Neville Fisher	S12/014	Amend	Add definition of ponding for R83(f). Ponding defined as: areas of ponded effluent on the ground surface greater than 10m2 for a period greater than 12 hours or runoff (visible overland flow)
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Neville Fisher	S12/010	Amend	Add definition for 'ponding', and define 'ponding' as: areas of ponded effluent on the ground surface greater than 10m2 for a period greater than 12 hours or runoff (visible overland flow).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Neville Fisher	S12/015	Oppose in part	Include the limits that are being used, particularly for matters of control 2 and 3 (maximum herd size and nutrient loading rates).

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Henergy Cage-Free Limited	S116/003	Support in part	That Rule R83 be amended as follows, or similar with the same intent and effect:  1. That R83(c) be amended to read "poultry farms (except as provided for in Rule R82 as a permitted activity)"; and  2. That R83 Matter 2 be amended to read "For dairy farm activities, maximum herd size for the property";  3. That Matter 3 be amended to read "Nutrient loading rates, from the perspective of the ability of the soil and any pasture or crops to assimilate any nutrients and the effects of overland flow to be managed", and  4. That R83 Matter 5 be deleted in its entirety.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/066	Amend	Clarify that subclause (d) involves the concentration of animals in a confined area at intervals of high frequency or for extended periods Exclude woolsheds. Permit the use of manure on gardens in community drinking water supply protection area.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Warren Bryant	S151/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Regional Public Health	S136/019	Amend	That the rule is amended to include a new clause 9 to matters of control stating "The maximum application rate reflects the background ground water nitrate concentration."

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Neville Fisher	S12/018	Oppose in part	Replace wording of Rule R83(g) as follows:  (g) the entire extent of effluent storage and treatment facilities (including sumps and ponds) must be sealed so as to restrict seepage of effluent where all or any part of the storage facility (including weeping walls, stone traps, sumps and ponds) is established or extended (including deepening) from the date the Plan is made operative. The permeability of the sealing layer must not exceed 1x10-9m/s subject to the following exceptions:  (i) Where there are multiple ponds that make up the storage facility, but not all are being extended then only those that are being
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Neville Fisher	S12/019	Oppose	A collaboratively produced section 32 analysis of the economic benefit of storage is carried out before farmers are forced to spend \$150,000 plus on storage.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Kaiwaiwai Dairies Limited	S119/022	Amend	"Suggested wording to replace (g): The entire extent of effluent storage and treatment facilities (including sumps and ponds) must be sealed so as to restrict seepage of effluent where all or any part of the storage facility (including weeping walls, stone traps, sumps and ponds) is established or extended (including deepening) from the date the Plan is made operative. The permeability of the sealing layer must not exceed 1x10-9m/s subject to the following exceptions: (i) Where there are multiple ponds that make up the storage facility, but not all are being extended then only those that are being extended are required to be fully sealed, or (ii) The establishment or extension of sumps, weeping walls or stone traps alone do not trigger a requirement for sealing of existing ponds."

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Kaiwaiwai Dairies Limited	S119/023	Amend	[Regarding (h)] A collaboratively produced section 32 analysis is needed.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Kaiwaiwai Dairies Limited	S119/021	Amend	Amend (f) by amending ponding to be defined as: areas of ponded effluent on the ground surface greater than 10m2 for a period greater than 12 hours or runoff (visible overland flow)
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Michael John Slater	S113/026	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/157	Support	Retain (e)(iii) to protect community drinking water supply areas.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Kairoa Farms Limited	S74/008	Oppose	Amend R83: - by undertaking a more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements prior to the hearing to support proper consideration by the Hearing Commissioners, and - to provide reasonable time frames and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (e.g. 3-5 years), and - to extend the consent time frame to 20 years.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Kairoa Farms Limited	S74/010	Oppose	Clarify the use of the term ponding in R83 and amend R83 to exclude extreme weather events and breakdowns occurring out of manager's control.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Daniel George	S395/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Mike McCreary	S397/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Sandy Bidwell	S399/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Bernie George	S396/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Blair Roberts	S393/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Hayden Thurston	S390/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Guy Didsbury	S391/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Shane and Geoff Wilkinson	S386/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Jeremy Bennill	S389/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Gerard Vollebregt	S388/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Pip Wilkinson	S387/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Alan Westbury	S334/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Hiwi Trust	S332/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Bob Tosswill	S337/063	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Andrew Thomson	S336/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Pip Tocker	S331/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Edward Handyside	S321/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	DW and PC McKay	S323/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Charlie Matthews	S320/020	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Chris and Steven Price	S339/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Tim Williams	S324/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Rex McKay	S322/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	John Carred	S314/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Nga Hapu o Otaki	S309/038	Amend	Rule conditions (e) amended to Include land where there are groundwater connections to waterbodies listed in schedules B and C
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Tony Dowman	S312/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co- operative Group Ltd	S316/100	Amend	Amend Rule R83: Delete condition (e)(iii) and amend conditions (g)(i), (g)(ii), (e)(ii) and (i) and the second matter of control [see original submission for detailed amendments]. Add a new advisory note.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Fish and Game	S308/092	Amend	Amend the rule so that under matters of control activities are required to achieve the freshwater objectives in section 3 tables including table 3.4 and 3.4a. Where the activity currently causes or contributes to over allocation then the activity must be managed in a manner consistent with improving the quality and reducing the quantity of its discharge so that the freshwater objectives including section 3 table objectives are achieved by 2030  Give effect to relief sought policy P71 and apply the standards as amended in relation to policy P71

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Leo Vollebregt	S372/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	George Ritchie	S371/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Michael Taylor	S374/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Kennott Family Trust	S297/054	Amend	Amend R83 to provide for a variation to Rule 83(g) and any other relevant provisions which allows an extended period of time, in line with the timeframe granted to local authorities to upgrade stormwater systems (R50).

				<del>-</del>
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Craig and Janet Morrison	S303/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	A T McKay	S298/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Julian and Ruth Blackett	S299/054	Amend	Amend R83 to provide for a variation to Rule 83(g) and any other relevant provisions which allows an extended period of time, in line with the timeframe granted to local authorities to upgrade stormwater systems (R50).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Carter Families	S295/054	Amend	Amend R83 to provide for a variation to Rule 83(g) and any other relevant provisions which allows an extended period of time, in line with the timeframe granted to local authorities to upgrade stormwater systems (R50).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Richard Tosswill	S368/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Masterton District Council	S367/115	Neither support or oppose	Clarification that the consideration of human health impacts and reverse sensitivity effects have been fully considered.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Richard Wilkie	S369/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Andrew Patrick	S292/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Robert Kyle	S290/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Margaret Niven	S293/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Charlotte and Toby McDonald	S289/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Jim, Pascoe and Henry Reynolds	S281/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Bell Camp Trust	S294/054	Oppose	Support a phased regime similar to that proposed for territorial authorities for the treatment of stormwater.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Land Matters LTD	S285/054	Amend	Amend R83 to provide for a variation to Rule 83(g) and any other relevant provisions which allows an extended period of time, in line with the timeframe granted to local authorities to upgrade stormwater systems (R50).

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Alexander Haddon Webster	S274/049	Amend	At the end of the current effluent consent the land holder will have 2 years to install lined ponds. When the new critera are met the consent period will be 20 years
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	N & S Terry	S173/006	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Chris Engel	S170/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Stuart Woodman	S171/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	David and Michael Keeling	S169/004	Amend	We believe that permitted activity standards is sufficient to manage ponding. Odour condition should be amended to note that all practical steps will be taken to ensure that odour is not offensive or objectionable beyond the boundary. The discharge of animal effluent to land should be a permitted activity.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	David Hume	S341/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Owen Butcher	S394/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Stewart Weatherstone	S392/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Willy and Sally Bosch	S380/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Jamie Falloon	S373/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/197	Amend	Amend title: <u>Discharge Application</u> of collected animal effluent onto or into land <u>from existing premises</u> Amend wording [see original submission p95-96 for detailed changes requested].
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/198	Amend	Include new rule "Application of collected animal effluent onto or into land from new premises" [See original submission p96 for detail of wording].
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/115	Neither support or oppose	Clarification that the consideration of human health impacts and reverse sensitivity effects have been fully considered.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	A J Barton	S365/076	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	A J Barton	S365/095	Amend	Acknowledge that some operations in particular areas do not require effluent storage and remove mandatory requirement for storage. Adopt an effects-based approach taking rigorous consideration of cost/benefit analysis of pond storage systems.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Clayton Hartnell	S379/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Mike Moran	S400/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Graeme Hugh Tulloch	S375/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Max Lutz	S348/096	Amend	Amend R83 to provide for a variation to Rule 83(g) and any other relevant provisions which allows an extended period of time, in line with the timeframe granted to local authorities to upgrade stormwater systems (R50).

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Max Lutz	S348/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Donald McCreary	S377/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/81	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Gavin Bruce	S363/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Kyle Wells	S360/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Craig Dairy Farm Ltd	S358/006	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Mike Butterick	S356/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/081	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	NZ Pork	S359/002	Not stated	Submitter requests that the discharge of collected animal effluent from exiting farming activities should be a permitted activity. Existing operations are lawfully established and should not be controlled activities.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Tim Mansell and Family	S351/054	Amend	Amend R83 to provide for a variation to Rule 83(g) and any other relevant provisions which allows an extended period of time, in line with the timeframe granted to local authorities to upgrade stormwater systems (R50).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Michael Hewison	S355/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Sam Orsborn	S354/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Beryl Masters Stuart	S426/006	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	James and Jane Smallwood	S428/006	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	KapAg Ltd	S41/001	Amend	Either R83(h) or the Section 32 report, should make it clear that to meet the condition, the people qualified to use the Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator include both accredited companies AND individuals that have passed the Massey University short course on farm dairy effluent system design.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Blair Percy	S429/006	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	AB & DE Smith	S427/006	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Garry Daniell	S431/006	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Ali & Dion Kilmister	S430/006	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Joe Hintz	S401/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/81	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (e)(iii).
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Brian Bosch	S347/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Alex Kyle	S345/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Andy Phillips	S343/014	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/047	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.
Rule R83: Discharge of collected animal effluent onto or into land - controlled activity	Dan Riddiford	S350/026	Oppose	Undertake more rigorous regional cost-benefit analysis of pond storage and sealing requirements. Provide reasonable timeframes and a stepped approach for the installation of storage (eg 3-5 years). Clarify the definition of ponding; and exclude extreme weather events, breakdowns out of manager's control, be consistent with urban conditions. In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary. Extend consent timeframe to 20 years to reflect investment made.

Rule R84: Discharge of collected animal effluent to water - non complying activity	Michael John Slater	S113/011	Oppose	Delete Rule R84
Rule R84: Discharge of collected animal effluent to water - non complying activity	Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co- operative Group Ltd	S316/101	Amend	Amend Rule R84 as follows: "The discharge of collected animal effluent into water except in extreme weather events is a non-complying activity."
Rule R84: Discharge of collected animal effluent to water - non complying activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/199	Amend	The discharge of collected animal effluent into water is a non-complying activity except in extreme weather events.
Rule R84: Discharge of collected animal effluent to water - non complying activity	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society	S353/135	Not stated	Move rule to correct location.
Rule R85: Application of compost to land - permitted activity	Wairarapa Winegrowers Association	S103/007	Amend	Insert a new Rule providing for the discharge of winery wastewater system sludge to land subject to appropriate conditions as a permitted activity.
Rule R85: Application of compost to land - permitted activity	Wairarapa Winegrowers Association	\$103/005	Support	Retain
Rule R85: Application of compost to land - permitted activity	Ravensdown Limited	S310/046	Oppose in part	Delete Condition (c) from Rule R85

Rule R85: Application of compost to land - permitted activity	Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co- operative Group Ltd	S316/102	Amend	Amend Rule R85 (d) as follows: "the discharge of odour is not offensive or objectionable beyond the boundary of the property or properties comprising a farm enterprise."
Rule R85: Application of compost to land - permitted activity	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/060	Amend	Amend Rule R85 as follows: The discharge of compost onto or into land, and the associated discharge of odour, is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met: (c) nitrogen loading on the discharge area from all sources is less than 150kg N/ha/year, and
Rule R85: Application of compost to land - permitted activity	Porirua City Council	S163/106	Support	[Retain]
Rule R85: Application of compost to land - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/200	Amend	The discharge application of compost onto or into land, and the associated discharge of odour, is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:ab. the discharge shall not pond on the surface of the ground or run off from the discharge area into a surface water body, and cause a conspicuous change in colour or visual clarity beyond the zone of reasonable mixing c. nitrogen loading on the discharge area from all sources to is less than 150kg N/ha/year, and
Rule R86: Application of compost to land - restricted discretionary activity	Wairarapa Winegrowers Association	S103/006	Support	Retain
Rule R86: Application of compost to land - restricted discretionary activity	Ravensdown Limited	S310/047	Oppose in part	Delete matter of discretion 3 from Rule R86.

Rule R86: Application of compost to land - restricted discretionary activity	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/074	Amend	Amend Rule R86 as follows:The application of compost onto or into land and the associated discharge of odour into air that is not permitted by Rule R85 is a restricted discretionary activity.Matters for discretion3. Nitrogen loading rates
5.3.7 Vertebrate toxic agents	Minister of Conservation	S75/137	Neither support or oppose	Add new rule as follows or similar:  Rule R88A: Vertebrate toxic agents into water - restricted discretionary activity  The discharge of vertebrate toxic agents into water for the control of invasive aquatic organisms is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following conditions are met:  (a) The substance and application technique or method is approved for use by the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the use and discharge of the substance is in accordance with all controls of the approval.  Matters for discretion:  1. Effects on community drinking water supply water quality  2. Effects on non-target species and aquatic ecosystem health including beneficial effects.
5.3.7 Vertebrate toxic agents	Atiawa ki Whakarongotai	S398/024	Support	Retain notification requirement
Rule R87: Land-based discharge of vertebrate toxic agents - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/068	Support	Retain.
Rule R87: Land-based discharge of vertebrate toxic agents - permitted activity	Minister of Conservation	S75/135	Amend	Delete (b) and (c

Rule R87: Land-based discharge of vertebrate toxic agents - permitted activity	Minister of Conservation	S75/134	Support	Retain permitted activity Rule R87
Rule R87: Land-based discharge of vertebrate toxic agents - permitted activity	Porirua City Council	S163/107	Support	[Retain]
Rule R88: Aerial application of vertebrate toxic agents - controlled activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/069	Support	Retain.
Rule R88: Aerial application of vertebrate toxic agents - controlled activity	Minister of Conservation	S75/136	Amend	Amend R88 to make the discharge of VTAs a permitted activity, delete control (b) and the matters of control 1-3. Amend the Plan to permit discharge of VTAs to water, such as by amending R88 as follows:  The discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into water or onto or into land and where it may enter water by aerial application
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	James Falloon	S376/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Graeme Hugh Tulloch	S375/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Java Trust Limited	S120/003	Amend	Amend [Rule R89] so farm refuse dumps are a permitted activity without restrictions or conditions; amend all related provisions accordingly; all incidental and consequential amendments.

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Hammond Limited	S132/026	Amend	Delete condition (g) of R89 as follows: (g) there is no burning of the contents of a farm refuse dump, and
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Michael John Slater	S113/007	Oppose	Delete Rule R89 [condition d, f and g].
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Warren Bryant	S151/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/070	Amend	Permit waste materials generated onsite to be disposed of onsite.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Michael John Slater	S113/049	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/158	Support	Retain condition (d)(iii) to protect community drinking water supply areas.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Kairoa Farms Limited	S74/040	Oppose	Amend Rule 89, Farm Refuse Dumps: - increase size from 50m3 to 100m3 - heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Atiawa ki Whakarongotai	S398/025	Support	[Retain Rule R89 - R91], in particular that there is no burning of the contents of farm refuse dumps
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Bernie George	S396/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Daniel George	S395/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Sandy Bidwell	S399/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Mike McCreary	\$397/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Owen Butcher	S394/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Guy Didsbury	S391/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Hayden Thurston	S390/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Gerard Vollebregt	S388/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Pip Wilkinson	S387/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Jeremy Bennill	S389/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Shane and Geoff Wilkinson	S386/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Chris and Steven Price	S339/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Hiwi Trust	S332/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	DW and PC McKay	S323/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Pip Tocker	S331/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Alan Westbury	S334/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Rex McKay	S322/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Bob Tosswill	S337/086	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Edward Handyside	S321/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Andrew Thomson	S336/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Jim Hedley	S340/004	Oppose	R89 Delete condition (A) (b) Delete "not exceed 50m3"
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Tim Williams	S324/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Charlie Matthews	S320/043	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	John Carred	S314/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Tony Dowman	S312/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Beef and Lamb NZ	S311/016	Amend	Amend as: (b) the volume of a farm refuse dump shall not exceed 50m3 100m3
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co- operative Group Ltd	S316/103	Amend	Amend Rule R89 to amend (a) to read as follows: "(a) the contents of the farm refuse dump is from the property or properties comprising a farm enterprise where the farm dump is located, and". Replace the term "property" with the term "farm enterprise" in conditions (c)(i), (c)(iii), (d)(ii) and (j).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Fish and Game	S308/093	Amend	Amend to include "shall not result in discharges entering water either directly or indirectly"
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Jamie Falloon	S373/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	George Ritchie	S371/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Leo Vollebregt	S372/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Jamie Falloon	S373/051	Amend	Allow current use.

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Craig and Janet Morrison	S303/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects. Remove distance rule. Allow existing use to continue if zoned rural.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Julian and Ruth Blackett	S299/055	Amend	Amend R89 so that it refers to a set-back distance from water supplies which are used for potable water. Allow for a refuse up to 100m3 in size. Delete clauses(c)(ii),(d)(i), (e), (h) and (i).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Carter Families	S295/055	Amend	Amend R89 so that it refers to a set-back distance from water supplies which are used for potable water. Allow for a refuse up to 100m3 in size. Delete clauses(c)(ii),(d)(i), (e), (h) and (i).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	A T McKay	S298/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Masterton District Council	S367/116	Not stated	That the following changes by made to Rule R89: (c)(i)on a property that is over-20ha 4ha, or (d)(ii)50m 20m of a surface water body Delete R89(h) (GPS location and mapping) in its entirety
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Richard Wilkie	S369/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Jan and Jock Richmond	S280/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Jim, Pascoe and Henry Reynolds	S281/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Bell Camp Trust	S294/055	Amend	Amend R89 so that it refers to a set-back distance from water supplies which are used for potable water. Allow for a refuse up to 100m3 in size. Delete clauses(c)(ii),(d)(i), (e), (h) and (i).

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Margaret Niven	S293/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Andrew Patrick	S292/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Robert Kyle	S290/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Charlotte and Toby McDonald	S289/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Land Matters LTD	S285/055	Amend	Amend R89 so that it refers to a set-back distance from water supplies which are used for potable water. Allow for a refuse up to 100m3 in size. Delete clauses(c)(ii),(d)(i), (e), (h) and (i).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Alexander Haddon Webster	S274/036	Amend	Amend (c) (ii) to read silty or clay soils where possible
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Derek Neal	S278/015	Oppose	Delete clause g)
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Derek Neal	S278/014	Oppose	Delete clause f) (ii)
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Derek Neal	S278/012	Amend	Amend clause a) with addition of"or farm properties under the same ownership."
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Derek Neal	S278/013	Oppose	Delete clause c) (iii)

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	N & S Terry	S173/007	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Chris Engel	S170/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	David and Michael Keeling	S169/005	Amend	That the permitted activity standards are reduced to clearly focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	N & S Terry	S173/004	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Stuart Woodman	S171/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	David Hume	S341/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Blair Roberts	S393/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Stewart Weatherstone	S392/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Willy and Sally Bosch	S380/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Clayton Hartnell	S379/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/201	Amend	Amend: a. the contents of the farm refuse dump is from the property or under the same ownership where the farm dump is located, and b. the volume of a farm refuse dump shall not exceed 50m3 100m3, excluding vegetation and clippings and  Delete conditions c(ii), c(iii), d(iii), d(iii), f(ii), and (g)-(i).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Richard Tosswill	S368/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/116	Not stated	That the following changes by made to Rule R89: Amend R89(c)(i) to read "on a property that is over-20ha 4ha, or" Amend R89(d)(ii) to read "50m 20m of a surface water body" Delete R89(h) (GPS location and mapping) in its entirety
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	A J Barton	S365/099	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Donald McCreary	S377/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Michael Taylor	S374/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Max Lutz	S348/097	Amend	Amend R89 so that it refers to a set-back distance from water supplies which are used for potable water. Allow for a refuse up to 100m3 in size. Delete clauses(c)(ii),(d)(i), (e), (h) and (i).

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Max Lutz	S348/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/79	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/82	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Kyle Wells	S360/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Craig Dairy Farm Ltd	S358/004	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Craig Dairy Farm Ltd	S358/007	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Michael Hewison	\$355/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Gavin Bruce	S363/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/082	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/079	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Mike Butterick	S356/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Tim Mansell and Family	S351/055	Amend	Amend R89 so that it refers to a set-back distance from water supplies which are used for potable water. Allow for a refuse up to 100m3 in size. Delete clauses(c)(ii),(d)(i), (e), (h) and (i).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Sam Orsborn	S354/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Ali & Dion Kilmister	S430/004	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Beryl Masters Stuart	S426/004	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	James and Jane Smallwood	S428/004	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	James and Jane Smallwood	S428/007	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Blair Percy	S429/004	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Blair Percy	S429/007	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	AB & DE Smith	S427/007	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Garry Daniell	S431/004	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Garry Daniell	S431/007	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Ali & Dion Kilmister	S430/007	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	AB & DE Smith	S427/004	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Beryl Masters Stuart	S426/007	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Joe Hintz	S401/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Mike Moran	S400/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	J.Q and P.M Donald	S404/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions, particularly the 20km rule.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Brian Bosch	S347/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Alex Kyle	S345/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects. Not one rule for all.

Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Andy Phillips	S343/037	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/82	Amend	Amend the rule by deleting condition (d)(iii).
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/070	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/79	Not stated	[Indicate] whether farm refuse dumps are an increasing environment problem or whether the dumps have a problem in relation to groundwater and potable water for a community supply. Have community supply protection areas been identified adequately to impose restriction upon land users where there is no record established of an adverse effect occurring?
Rule R89: Farm refuse dumps - permitted activity	Dan Riddiford	S350/049	Oppose	Increase size from 50m3 to 100m3. Heavily prune the fourteen other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	James Falloon	S376/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Neville Fisher	S12/021	Amend	Define 'silage storage area'. [see sub point S12/007]
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Kaiwaiwai Dairies Limited	S119/027	Amend	Delete rule or more consultation with the rural sector about what is being attempted to be achieved with this rule.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Kaiwaiwai Dairies Limited	S119/025	Amend	Split rule into 2 separate rules - silage and compost.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Kaiwaiwai Dairies Limited	S119/026	Amend	Delete R90 (b) from silage rule
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Hammond Limited	S132/027	Amend	Amend R90 as follows: d) the walls and floor of a silage storage area shall where practicable have an impermeable lining able to withstand corrosion, and there shall be no discharge of leachate to water, and
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Greater Wellington Regional Council	S133/011	Amend	Amend to require commercial (or large scale) compost manufacturing to control seepage in accordance with condition (d) of Rule R90.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Greater Wellington Regional Council	S133/012	Amend	Amend condition (b)(ii) to include all components of human sewage not just wastewater.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Neville Fisher	S12/016	Oppose in part	Split Rule R90 to make separate rules for silage and compost.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Michael John Slater	S113/006	Oppose	Delete Rule R90.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Wairarapa Winegrowers Association	S103/009	Amend	Amend Rule 90(c) as follows: (c) stormwater runoff is prevented from entering into the manufacture or storage area, and Leave Rule R90(d) unchanged.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Warren Bryant	S151/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Neville Fisher	S12/020	Oppose in part	Delete Rule R90 or more consultation with the rural sector about what is being attempted to be achieved with this rule.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Neville Fisher	S12/017	Amend	Delete Rule R90(b) [relating to hazardous substances, wastewater, offal and dead animal matter] from the silage rule.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Michael John Slater	S113/032	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Kairoa Farms Limited	S74/021	Oppose	Delete the requirement in R90 that does not allow storage within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Kairoa Farms Limited	S74/020	Oppose	Delete the requirement in R90 for impermeable lining, and retain the condition that there be no discharge to water.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Bernie George	S396/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Daniel George	S395/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Mike McCreary	S397/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Sandy Bidwell	S399/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Blair Roberts	S393/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Guy Didsbury	S391/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Hayden Thurston	S390/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Pip Wilkinson	S387/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Shane and Geoff Wilkinson	S386/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Gerard Vollebregt	S388/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Jeremy Bennill	S389/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Charlie Matthews	S320/002	Oppose	[Oppose rule]
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Susannah and Mark Guscott	S317/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Andrew Thomson	S336/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Hiwi Trust	S332/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Edward Handyside	S321/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Alan Westbury	S334/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Tim Williams	S324/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Charlie Matthews	S320/026	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Chris and Steven Price	S339/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	DW and PC McKay	S323/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Pip Tocker	S331/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Bob Tosswill	S337/069	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Rex McKay	S322/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Jim Hedley	S340/005	Oppose	Delete condition (d)
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Tony Dowman	S312/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	John Carred	S314/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co- operative Group Ltd	S316/104	Amend	Amend Rule R90 by deleting (d) and amending (e) as follows: "(e) the discharge of odour is not offensive or objectionable odour beyond the boundary of the property farm enterprise."

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Beef and Lamb NZ	S311/017	Amend	Amend as follows: (d)-the walls and the floor of a silage storage area shall have an impermeable lining able to withstand corrosion, and there shall be no discharge of leachate water, and
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Fish and Game	S308/094	Amend	Amend to include "shall not result in discharges entering water either directly or indirectly"
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	George Ritchie	S371/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Michael Taylor	S374/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Leo Vollebregt	S372/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Anders and Emily Crofoot	S304/008	Amend	Delete (d) or re-write to be "No direct discharge of leachate to water"

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	A T McKay	S298/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Craig and Janet Morrison	S303/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Richard Wilkie	S369/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Margaret Niven	S293/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Andrew Patrick	S292/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Jan and Jock Richmond	S280/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Robert Kyle	S290/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Jeffrey Arthur	S28/009	Support	[Retain]
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Charlotte and Toby McDonald	S289/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Jim, Pascoe and Henry Reynolds	S281/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	N & S Terry	S173/008	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Stuart Woodman	S171/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Chris Engel	S170/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	David and Michael Keeling	S169/006	Amend	Delete the requirement for a impermeable lining while retaining that there is no discharge to water. The definition of silage should be amended to not include balage.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	David Hume	S341/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Stewart Weatherstone	S392/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Owen Butcher	S394/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Willy and Sally Bosch	S380/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Jamie Falloon	S373/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/202	Amend	a. the manufacture and storage area shall not be located within-20m 5m of a surface water body, b. the discharge does not contain: i. hazardous substances, or ii. wastewater, offal or dead animal matter, and c. stormwater is prevented from entering into the manufacture or storage area, and d. the walls and floor of a silage storage area shall have an impermeable lining able to withstand corrosion, and there shall be no discharge of leachate to a surface water body, and
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Richard Tosswill	S368/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	A J Barton	S365/082	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body. [Delete the rule].
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Clayton Hartnell	S379/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Graeme Hugh Tulloch	S375/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Max Lutz	S348/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/83	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Donald McCreary	S377/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Gavin Bruce	S363/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Craig Dairy Farm Ltd	S358/008	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Mike Butterick	S356/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/083	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Kyle Wells	S360/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Michael Hewison	S355/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Sam Orsborn	S354/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	James and Jane Smallwood	S428/008	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	AB & DE Smith	S427/008	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Garry Daniell	S431/008	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Ali & Dion Kilmister	S430/008	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Blair Percy	S429/008	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Beryl Masters Stuart	S426/008	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Joe Hintz	S401/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Mike Moran	S400/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Alex Kyle	S345/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Andy Phillips	S343/020	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.

Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/83	Amend	Amend condition (d) of the rule by inserting the word "permanent" in front of 'silage storage area' as follows; (d) the walls and floor of a permanent silage storage area Or any other equivalent change
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Brian Bosch	S347/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/053	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R90: Manufacture and storage of silage and compost - permitted activity	Dan Riddiford	S350/032	Oppose	Delete requirement for impermeable lining; retain condition that there be no discharge to water. Delete requirement for location not allowed within 20m of a surface water body.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	James Falloon	S376/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Graeme Hugh Tulloch	S375/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Michael John Slater	S113/050	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Warren Bryant	S151/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/071	Amend	Delete 'gully' from R91(b). Delete subclause (c) that limits the offal pit to two soil types.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Regional Public Health	S136/020	Amend	That the wording of the proposed rule is amended by adding a clause (i) the offal pit is located outside of a community drinking water protection area as shown on Map 26, Map 27a, map 27b or Map 27c.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Kairoa Farms Limited	S74/041	Oppose	Amend Rule 91: Offal Pits - retain condition a) re only containing dead matter from the property; and condition h) odour is not offensive beyond the boundary - heavily prune the other seven conditions to focus on effects
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Bernie George	S396/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Daniel George	S395/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Sandy Bidwell	S399/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Mike McCreary	S397/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Owen Butcher	S394/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Guy Didsbury	S391/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Hayden Thurston	S390/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Gerard Vollebregt	S388/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Pip Wilkinson	S387/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Jeremy Bennill	S389/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Shane and Geoff Wilkinson	S386/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Chris and Steven Price	S339/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	DW and PC McKay	S323/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Pip Tocker	S331/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Alan Westbury	S334/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Rex McKay	S322/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Bob Tosswill	S337/087	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Edward Handyside	S321/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Charlie Matthews	S320/044	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Andrew Thomson	S336/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Hiwi Trust	S332/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Tim Williams	S324/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	John Carred	S314/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Dairy NZ and Fonterra Co- operative Group Ltd	S316/105	Amend	Amend Rule R91 as follows: "(a) the offal pit shall only contain dead animal matter from the property farm enterprise where the offal pit is located, and" "(h) the discharge of odour is not offensive or objectionable odour beyond the boundary of the property farm enterprise, and"
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Tony Dowman	S312/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Fish and Game	S308/095	Amend	Amend to include "shall not result in discharges entering water either directly or indirectly"

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Leo Vollebregt	S372/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	George Ritchie	S371/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Jamie Falloon	S373/052	Amend	Allow current use.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Jamie Falloon	S373/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Craig and Janet Morrison	S303/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects. Remove distance rule. Allow existing use to continue if zoned rural.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	A T McKay	S298/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Richard Wilkie	S369/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Jan and Jock Richmond	S280/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Jim, Pascoe and Henry Reynolds	S281/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Margaret Niven	S293/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Andrew Patrick	S292/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Robert Kyle	S290/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Charlotte and Toby McDonald	S289/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Alexander Haddon Webster	S274/038	Neither support or oppose	Special exception [for cemetaries]
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Alexander Haddon Webster	S274/037	Amend	Clause (c) to read: silty or clay soils where possible
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Derek Neal	S278/018	Oppose	Delete [clause g]
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Derek Neal	S278/016	Amend	Amend clause a) with addition of or farm properties under the same ownership."
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Derek Neal	S278/017	Oppose	Delete clause (f)
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Chris Engel	S170/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Stuart Woodman	S171/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	David and Michael Keeling	S169/007	Not stated	Change the definition to include in-situ burial of single carcasses. It is not practical to GPS every dead animal on a farm, and the reason for the limitations on the choice of soils is not clear.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	David Hume	S341/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Jim Hedley	S340/083	Oppose	In Rule R91 delete condition (a) (f)
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Blair Roberts	S393/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Stewart Weatherstone	S392/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Willy and Sally Bosch	S380/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/203	Amend	a. the offal pit shall only contain dead animal matter from the property or under the same ownership where the offal pit is located, andb. the offal pit shall not be located within:i.  50m 20m of a surface water body, coastal marine area, gully, or bore used for water abstraction for potable supply, andii. an area prone to flooding or ponding, andc. the offal pit shall be located in silty or clay soils, andd. the bottom of the offal pit is 0.6m above the seasonally highest water table, and f. stormwater is prevented from entering the offal pit, andg. the location is recorded, by GPS or mapped to an accuracy of at least 50m at a scale of 1:50,000; and a copy of this information is made available to the Wellington Regional Council upon request, andh the offal pit is re-contoured and re-vegetated to a condition that is compatible with the surrounding land within six months of completion.

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Richard Tosswill	S368/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	A J Barton	S365/100	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.  Delete condition (f) requirement for GPS mapping.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Donald McCreary	S377/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Michael Taylor	S374/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Max Lutz	S348/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Clayton Hartnell	S379/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Kyle Wells	S360/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Michael Hewison	S355/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Gavin Bruce	S363/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Mike Butterick	S356/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Sam Orsborn	S354/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Joe Hintz	S401/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Mike Moran	S400/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	J.Q and P.M Donald	S404/038	Oppose	[Retain condition (h)]. [Require fencing of offal pits].
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Brian Bosch	S347/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Alex Kyle	S345/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects. Not one rule for all.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/071	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Andy Phillips	S343/038	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.

Rule R91: Offal pit - permitted activity	Dan Riddiford	S350/050	Oppose	Retain condition (a) and condition (h). Heavily prune the seven other conditions to focus on clear effects.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Waa Rata Estate	S152/072	Support in part	Restricted discretionary activity status is supported.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Wellington Water Limited	S135/159	Amend	Change name to "Rule R92". Clarify whether this rule includes the application of agrichemicals, pesticides, poison baits, etc
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Minister of Conservation	S75/138	Amend	Amend Rule R92 to exclude its application to Rules R36, R87, and R88
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Horticulture NZ	S307/068	Amend	Amend Rule R92 as follows: The discharge of contaminants onto or into land from pit latrines, wastewater systems, biosolids or farm refuse dumps that occurs within a community drinking water supply protection area and is not permitted in rules R71, R75, R77, R78 or R 89 is a restricted discretionary activity.  Amend the title: Specific discharges to land within community drinking water supply protection areas
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Fish and Game	S308/096	Amend	Rule should be discretionary or matters of discretion should be included which ensure that the objectives in section 3 including tables are achieved

Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/070	Support in part	Retain
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Julian and Ruth Blackett	S299/070	Oppose	Delete Rule 92.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Julian and Ruth Blackett	S299/052	Amend	Any provisions [Rule R92] should relate to any future lots and not existing lots subject to evidence showing that such provisions are necessary to give effect to the NPS-FW. If those provisions are not necessary they should be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Carter Families	S295/052	Amend	Any provisions [Rule R92] should relate to any future lots and not existing lots subject to evidence showing that such provisions are necessary to give effect to the NPS-FW. If those provisions are not necessary they should be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Carter Families	S295/070	Oppose	Delete Rule 92.

Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Masterton District Council	S367/117	Oppose	Review all of the relevant Maps relating to this rule, and confirm the level of regulation proposed (including activity status) is appropriate for the respective risk.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	N & S Terry	S173/009	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Sustainable Wairarapa Inc	S167/019	Not stated	Consider including table(s) which name streams and wetlands (or parts thereof) and listing which rule(s) apply.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	S352/204	Oppose	Delete
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	South Wairarapa District Council	S366/117	Oppose	Review all of the relevant Maps relating to this rule, and confirm the level of regulation proposed (including activity status) is appropriate for the respective risk.

Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Max Lutz	S348/112	Oppose	Delete Rule 92.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/84	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Craig Dairy Farm Ltd	\$358/009	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/084	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Tim Mansell and Family	S351/052	Amend	Any provisions [Rule R92] should relate to any future lots and not existing lots subject to evidence showing that such provisions are necessary to give effect to the NPS-FW. If those provisions are not necessary they should be deleted.

Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Garry Daniell	S431/009	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	James and Jane Smallwood	S428/009	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	AB & DE Smith	S427/009	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Ali & Dion Kilmister	S430/009	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Blair Percy	S429/009	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.

Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Beryl Masters Stuart	S426/009	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/84	Oppose	Request that the rule be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	USNZ	S349/052	Amend	Any provisions [Rule R92] should relate to any future lots and not existing lots subject to evidence showing that such provisions are necessary to give effect to the NPS-FW. If those provisions are not necessary they should be deleted.
Rule R92: All discharges to land within community drinking water protection areas - restricted discretionary activity	USNZ	S349/070	Oppose	Delete Rule 92.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	James Falloon	S376/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Rural Residents Environmental Society Incorporated	S125/023	Support	Rule R94: Discharges of Hazardous Substances to Land _ non-complying activity. The discharge of hazardous substances onto or into land that are not permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary is a non-complying activity.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Vector Gas Ltd	S145/060	Amend	Retain Rule 93
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Warren Bryant	S151/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	NZ Transport Agency	S146/158	Support	Retain
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Michael John Slater	S113/027	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Roading, Parks and Gardens and Solid Waste departments of Hutt City Council and Upper Hutt City Council	S85/018	Amend	Include specific rules addressing discharges to land from landfills.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Meridian Energy Limited	S82/030	Support	Retain Rule 93
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Kairoa Farms Limited	S74/009	Oppose	Amend R93: - by undertaking a risk analysis in groundwater protection areas, prior to the hearing, to support appropriate conditions being established in a controlled rule, rather than discretionary, and - to extend the consent time frame to 20 years.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	GBC Winstone	S66/019	Support	Retain the rule unchanged.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Daniel George	S395/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Mike McCreary	S397/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Sandy Bidwell	S399/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Bernie George	S396/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Blair Roberts	S393/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Guy Didsbury	S391/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Hayden Thurston	S390/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Pip Wilkinson	S387/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Shane and Geoff Wilkinson	S386/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Jeremy Bennill	S389/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Gerard Vollebregt	S388/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Hiwi Trust	S332/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Andrew Thomson	S336/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Edward Handyside	S321/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Alan Westbury	S334/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	DW and PC McKay	S323/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Charlie Matthews	S320/021	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Chris and Steven Price	S339/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Pip Tocker	S331/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Bob Tosswill	S337/064	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Tim Williams	S324/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Rex McKay	S322/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	John Carred	S314/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Tony Dowman	S312/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Horticulture NZ	S307/069	Amend	Amend Rule R93 to a restricted discretionary rule with matters of discretion.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Ravensdown Limited	S310/048	Support	Retain
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Leo Vollebregt	S372/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	George Ritchie	S371/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Michael Taylor	S374/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Fertiliser Association NZ	S302/071	Support in part	Retain
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Craig and Janet Morrison	S303/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	A T McKay	S298/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Richard Wilkie	S369/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Richard Tosswill	S368/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Andrew Patrick	S292/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Margaret Niven	S293/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Robert Kyle	S290/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Charlotte and Toby McDonald	S289/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Jim, Pascoe and Henry Reynolds	S281/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Holcim (New Zealand) Ltd	S276/019	Oppose	[Refer to submission point S276/018]
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Chris Engel	S170/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Stuart Woodman	S171/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	David Hume	S341/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Stewart Weatherstone	S392/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Owen Butcher	S394/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Willy and Sally Bosch	S380/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Jamie Falloon	S373/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	A J Barton	S365/077	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Clayton Hartnell	S379/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Graeme Hugh Tulloch	S375/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Max Lutz	S348/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Donald McCreary	S377/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Lewis Herrick	S378/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Gavin Bruce	S363/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Sandra Shivas	S361/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Kyle Wells	S360/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Mike Butterick	S356/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Michael Hewison	S355/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Sam Orsborn	S354/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Joe Hintz	S401/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Mike Moran	S400/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Transpower NZ Ltd	S165/044	Support	Retain
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Alex Kyle	S345/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.

Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Brian Bosch	S347/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Andy Phillips	S343/015	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Gary James and Anne Marie Daysh	S342/048	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.
Rule R93: All other discharges to land - discretionary activity	Dan Riddiford	S350/027	Oppose	[Regarding discharge of collected animal effluent rule R83] In groundwater protection areas, undertake a risk analysis to support a controlled rule rather than discretionary.